# Addressing African Swine Fever Protocols and Guidelines for Laboratory Diagnosis

**May 2024** May 2024



The World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH), the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and other partners have been actively working in countries affected or at risk of incursion by African swine fever (ASF). This manual is an updated and expanded version of guidance first published in 2020 following the emergence of ASF in China, other Asian countries, and countries of the Pacific and Caribbean regions.



**World Organisation** for Animal Health -<br>Founded as OIE

Required citation: WOAH (2024). *Addressing African swine fever: Protocols and Guidelines for Laboratory Diagnosis*. Paris, 38 pp., DOI [[https://doi.org/10.20506/asf.3475\]](https://doi.org/10.20506/asf.3475). Licence: CC BY-SA 3.0 IGO.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on behalf of the World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by WOAH in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader, and in no event shall WOAH be liable for damages arising from such interpretation or use. The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of WOAH.

© WOAH, 2024



Some rights reserved. This work is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-SA 3.0 IGO; [https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/igo/legalcode\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/igo/legalcode). Under the terms of this licence, this work may be copied, redistributed and adapted, provided that the work is appropriately cited. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WOAH endorses any specific organisation, product or service. The use of the WOAH logo is not permitted. If the work is adapted, then it must be licensed under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If a translation of this work is created, it must include the following disclaimer along with the required citation: 'This translation was not created by the World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH). WOAH is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the authoritative edition.'

Disputes arising under the licence that cannot be settled amicably will be resolved by mediation and arbitration as described in Article 8 of the licence except as otherwise provided herein. The applicable mediation rules will be the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization ([https://www.wipo.int/amc/](https://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules) [en/mediation/rules\)](https://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules) and any arbitration will be in accordance with the Arbitration Rules of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL).

Third-party materials. Users wishing to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, are responsible for determining whether permission is needed for that reuse and for obtaining permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-partyowned component in the work rests solely with the user.

Sales, rights and licensing. WOAH information products are available on the WOAH website ([www.woah.org\)](http://www.woah.org) and can be purchased through [www.woah.org/en/ebookshop/.](http://www.woah.org/en/ebookshop/)

Cover image: © Getty Graphic design: P. Blandín

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Contents**



## <span id="page-3-0"></span>Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the following people for their contributions to this publication:

#### Main author:

David Williams, WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory, CSIRO, Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness, Geelong, Victoria, Australia.

#### Contributors and members of the WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory Network:

Aruna Ambagala, WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory, National Centre for Foreign Animal Disease, Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.

Carrie Batten, WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory, The Pirbright Institute, Ash Road, Pirbright, Woking, Surrey, United Kingdom.

Linda Dixon, WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory, The Pirbright Institute, Ash Road, Pirbright, Woking, Surrey, United Kingdom.

Zhiliang Wang, WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory, National Surveillance and Research Center for Exotic Animal Diseases, China Animal Health and Epidemiology Center, Qingdao, People's Republic of China.

José Manuel Sánchez-Vizcaíno, WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory, Centro de Vigilancia Sanitaria Veterinaria (VISAVET), Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Chris Oura, Member of WOAH Biological Standards Commission, Faculty of Medical Sciences, The University of the West Indies, Trinidad and Tobago.

Trevor Drew, WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory, CSIRO, Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness, Geelong, Victoria, Australia

Ping Wu, WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory, National Veterinary Services Laboratories, USDA, APHIS, New York, United States of America.

Livio Heath, WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory, Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute, Agricultural Research Council, Onderstepoort, South Africa.

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>Introduction

The World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH), the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and other partners have been actively working in countries affected or at risk of incursion by African swine fever (ASF). This manual is an updated and expanded version of guidance first published in 2020 following the emergence of ASF in China, other Asian countries, and countries of the Pacific and Caribbean regions. Since the emergence of the virulent p72 genotype II strain of ASF virus (ASFV) in China in 20�8, several ASFV variants have been identified (Zhang *et al.*, 2020; Sun *et al.*, 202�a). Some of these variants have a non-haemadsorption (HAD) phenotype and have been associated with lower virulence disease forms. Different combinations of point mutations, insertions, or deletions have been found in the genomes of these variants. Notably, there have been reports of a variant containing deletions in the multigene family (MGF) 360 and 505 genes and in the *EP402R* gene (encoding CD2v). These deletions have been widely applied in vaccine development strategies. In 2021, the emergence of genotype I ASFV was reported in China and isolates have been associated with chronic ASF in domestic pigs (Sun et al., 2021b). More recently, detection of recombinant ASFVs comprising genome fragments of genotypes I and II in pigs have been reported in China (Zhao *et al*., 2023). Currently, the epidemiology of different ASFV genotypes and variants is not well understood and there is limited knowledge of the prevalence of these variant forms of ASF.

For the purposes of the WOAH *[Terrestrial Code](https://www.oie.int/en/what-we-do/standards/codes-and-manuals/terrestrial-code-online-access/index.php?id=169&L=1&htmfile=glossaire.htm#terme_code_terrestre)*, ASF is defined as an infection of suids with ASFV. Early detection of ASF infection in the context of co-circulation of ASF viruses causing different clinical forms of disease (e.g. acute, subacute, chronic and subclinical) requires both virological and serological diagnostic testing for sensitive and accurate laboratory diagnosis.

Recently, significant progress has been made in the development of live attenuated vaccines (LAV), including LAV containing single or multiple gene deletions (Borca *et al*., 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2020; O'Donnell *et al.*, 20�5). In Vietnam, the LAV ASFV-ΔI�77L and ASFV-ΔMGF, originally developed by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) based on genotype II ASFV, were licensed to local vaccine manufacturers in 2022 and 2023 (FAO, 2023). These vaccines have recently been approved for nationwide use in Vietnam following extensive field trials to assess safety. They have also been evaluated for use in the Dominican Republic and the Philippines (FAO, 2023).

## Clinical Signs of ASF

Infection of pigs with ASFV can produce a range of clinical signs associated with the different forms of ASF, which have been identified as peracute, acute, subacute and chronic (FAO, 20�7; WOAH, 2022). Virulent strains such as the current pandemic strain can produce peracute or acute ASF, while moderately virulent strains lead to acute and subacute disease, and low virulence ASFV infections have been linked to milder clinical signs, characterised by chronic or subclinical forms of ASF. The range of clinical signs associated with each form of ASF is shown in Table �. It is important to note that for each form of ASF, the full range of clinical signs may not be observed in infected animals. This is particularly true of early-stage disease, when clinical signs

may be subtle and appear non-specific (e.g. moderate fever, recumbency and mild reddening of the skin). Unlike classical swine fever and foot and mouth disease, ASF has low contagiousness and therefore spreads slowly when introduced into a pig herd, but it has a severe disease course and a high impact.

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 1. Forms of ASF and associated clinical signs



### Emergence of Lower Virulence ASFV

Recently, chronic ASF disease was reported in China, caused by a genotype I virus with high levels of genomic identity to previously characterised isolates from Portugal (Sun *et al.*, 2021). Clinical signs observed on affected farms included paralytic signs, weight loss, intermittent fever, skin ulcers, arthritis and sporadic deaths. Experimentally infected pigs inoculated with the genotype I virus isolated in China showed similar signs, i.e. intermittent fever, swollen joints and the development of papules on the skin and necrotic lesions. Bleeding from the nose and reddening of the skin (hyperaemia) were also observed. Notably, this genotype I virus was demonstrated to have a non-haemadsorbing (HAD) phenotype and mutations in the gene encoding the viral haemagglutinin CD2v (*EP402R*), which is characteristic of non-HAD and lower virulence isolates (Sun et al., 2021). In Europe, there is evidence that genotype II ASFV has evolved into strains causing chronic and subacute disease or subclinical infections in domestic pigs and wild boar, caused by viruses with moderately virulent/HAD and attenuated/non-HAD phenotypes, respectively (Gallardo *et al.*, 20�8; Zani *et al.*, 20�8). Pigs infected with these lower virulence viruses typically survive long enough to seroconvert to the infecting virus.

This manual has been developed to address the detection of virulent and variant forms of ASFV and the future use of authorised LAVs with defined mutations. It has been developed in consultation with the WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory Network and is based on WOAH recommendations for laboratory diagnosis of ASF.

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>�. Molecular Diagnosis by PCR

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a highly sensitive and specific method for the detection of ASFV genomic material and it can be used for a wide range of purposes, including confirmation of clinical cases and confirmation of freedom from infection before movement. Several PCR assays have been reported for the detection of ASFV (Table 2). Of these, WOAH recommends two real-time PCR assays for ASFV molecular diagnostics, namely those developed by King *et al.* and by Fernández-Pinero *et al.* (King *et al.*, 2003; Fernández-Pinero *et al.*, 20�3; WOAH, 20�9). In response to the emergence of lower virulence isolates of ASFV in China and with the development and use of modified LAVs, real-time PCR assays to differentiate wildtype virus from variants or LAV viruses have also recently been reported. This manual describes five validated PCR methods that are used for different purposes:

- **King Assay, a WOAH-recommended assay targeting the B646L gene, encod**ing the ASFV protein p72 (King *et al.*, 2003). Purpose: Detection of all ASFV p72 genotypes.
- **CAHEC Triplex Assay** developed by the Chinese Animal Health and Epidemiology Center (CAHEC). Purpose: Differential detection of ASFV genotype II variants with deletions in the *MGF360/505* and *EP402R* genes, which have been associated with subclinical and chronic ASF.
- ASFV 1177L Assay, based on the method of Velazquez-Salinas *et al.* (2021). Purpose: Differential detection of genotype II LAV-derived viruses containing deletions in the *I177L* gene.
- ASFV MGF360-12L Assay, based on the method of Velazquez-Salinas *et al.*  $(2001).$

Purpose: Differential detection of genotype II LAV-derived viruses containing deletions in the MGF360-12L gene.

ACDP ASFV Genotype I Assay, based on a method developed at the Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness (ACDP). Purpose: Differential detection of genotype I viruses associated with lower virulence and chronic disease.

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>Table 2. Primers and probes for the detection of ASFV in real-time PCR assays



\*Fluorophore dyes and quenchers can be substituted to be compatible with the PCR instrument that will be used for testing

†Test recommended by WOAH

^The original probe for this assay (UPL#�62) is no longer commercially available and can be replaced by this standard probe sequence (WOAH, 20�9)

#Assay can be duplexed for classical swine fever virus detection

±Conventional PCR

## <span id="page-8-0"></span>1.1. PCR Protocol: King Assay

The protocol described below is a WOAH-recommended real-time PCR procedure for the detection of ASFV which targets the *B646L* gene, encoding the ASFV structural protein p72 (King *et al.*, 2003). The reverse transcription (RT) step is not required for amplification of ASF viral DNA; however, it is included in this protocol so that only a single enzyme/buffer system and standard thermocycling conditions can be employed in the laboratory for both DNA and RNA virus targets. The RT step does not affect the assay performance. Standard PCR mixes and cycling conditions without RT can also be used.

This assay has been produced in kit form by the ACDP and has been provided to various veterinary diagnostic laboratories in Southeast Asia by FAO and WOAH.

## 1.1.1. Purpose

This assay can be used to detect viral DNA of all ASFV genotypes, including the genotype II lineage currently circulating in Africa, Europe, Asia and the Pacific, and genotype I and genotype II recombinants reported in China (Zhao *et al.*, 2022).

- DNA isolated from various sample types can be used (Section 1.1.2.).
- The King assay has been validated using European and African ASFV isolates belonging to different genotypes, including genotype II (King *et al.*, 2003; Gallardo *et al.*, 20�5).
- The assay cannot be used to determine genotype. Additional conventional PCR testing and sequencing for p72 genotyping is required using specific primers.
- No cross-reactions with other porcine viruses have been detected.

### 1.1.2. Samples

Recommended samples are whole blood in EDTA anti-coagulant, serum and tissue (including spleen, lymph node, liver, tonsil, heart, lung, kidney and bone marrow). Blood swabs (dried or in transport medium) or dried blood spots on filter paper can also be useful samples. Blood collected in heparin-based anticoagulant can also be used for PCR testing, but should be diluted (e.g.  $1:10$ ) prior to extraction to remove the inhibitory effect of heparin.

Alternative sample types that can be used include oro-nasal swabs, deep throat swabs, and oral fluids from rope chew collections; however, viral loads may be lower in these samples than in blood and tissues. Oral fluids offer a convenient, non-invasive means of sampling at a herd or pen level and can be used for surveillance purposes (Grau *et al.*, 20�5; Goonewardene *et al.*, 2022; Havas *et al.*, 2022). For dead pigs, the preferred collection sites are lymph nodes, spleen, bone marrow and lungs. Superficial inguinal lymph nodes are quick and easy to collect and can be used for testing dead pigs with minimum environmental contamination (Goonewardene *et al.*, 2022). Meat juice or tissue exudates can also be used (Onyilagha *et al.*, 202�; Okwasiimire *et al.*, 2023).

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Samples should be kept as cold as possible, without freezing, during transit. Serum, tissue samples, and oral fluid should be stored frozen  $(-20^{\circ}C)$  or below) if processing and shipping is going to be delayed for more than 24 hours. Whole blood and blood swabs in medium should be stored at 4°C. Dried blood swabs can be stored at ambient temperature in low-gas-permeable plastic bags containing desiccant. Where the maintenance of cold chain is not possible, there are commercially available products that can assist in the stabilisation of samples for room temperature transport.

## �.�.3. DNA Extraction

There are several commercially available nucleic acid extraction kits that are suitable for the extraction and purification of ASF viral DNA.

#### **1.1.3.1. DNA Extraction from Clinical Specimens**

Whole blood, undiluted or diluted 1:10, can be used for samples expected to contain high viral loads that may be inhibitory. Tissues should be homogenised  $(10\% w/v)$  using standard protocols. For extraction of DNA from other sample types, refer to the product manual of the DNA extraction kit used.

#### �.�.3.2. DNA Extraction from Pork Products

This section describes a procedure for processing pork products to extract DNA for ASFV PCR testing. This method can be used on various products, such as dried pork, jerky, biltong, salami, ham, uncooked pork, sausages and dumplings. Feed additives such as spray-dried blood and plasma may also be tested by this method.

*This extraction method has been optimised using the High Volume Extraction method of the MagMAXTM Viral RNA Isolation kit protocol. Optimised methods using other commercial extraction kits, including column-based kits, may also be suitable.*

- In a class II biosafety cabinet, dissect the product into three equal portions. Using a sterile scalpel or scissors, excise or cut a ~0.5 g cube of tissue from each portion and chop finely using a scalpel blade and tweezers within a petri dish.
- Pool the chopped product and add ~0.5 g (i.e. one third of the original sample pool) into a sterile 15 ml tube containing 5 ml of phosphate-buffered saline and antibiotics for processing. Store the remainder of chopped product in a separate 5 ml tube at 4°C for subsequent re-sampling or confirmatory testing. For long-term storage, freeze at -80 $\degree$ C.
- Homogenise the sample with homogeniser beads or shards using a suitable homogeniser fitted with a 15 ml tube adaptor or with mortar and pestle. *Example settings: 6 m/s, 45 sec for � cycle using an MP Biomedical FastPrep homogenizer.*
- Centrifuge tubes at 1500 *g* for 2 min in a benchtop centrifuge and transfer 200 µ<sup>\*</sup> of clarified homogenate into a separate microtube (avoid taking the fatty top layer of sample).
- Perform nucleic acid extraction according to the manufacturer's instructions.

\*This volume can be used for the High Volume Extraction method of the MagMAXTM Viral RNA Isolation kit protocol as well as the MagMax™ Pathogen RNA/DNA kit. The table below shows the difference in the proportion of sample and buffer used for high-volume extraction compared to normal extraction methods (using the MagMAX™ viral RNA Isolation kit). Similar ratios may be used for other commercial extraction kits.



## �.�.4. PCR Protocol

#### 1.1.4.1. Kits and Controls

- The assay uses the AgPath-ID One-Step RT-PCR Kit. The inclusion of an RT step does not affect the assay performance. Alternative PCR or RT-PCR kits are expected to work as well, but are recommended to be verified prior to routine use.
- Run controls: a 'no template' control (NTC), as well as strong and weak positive ASFV DNA controls (PC).
- After preparation of the mastermix (Section 1.1.4.3.), 10  $\mu$ I will be used for each reaction and 5 µl template DNA is to be added for a total volume of 15 µl. The volume can be adjusted to accommodate other detection equipment.

#### 1.1.4.2. Preparation of Primer/Probe Mix



\*The choice of fluorophores and quenchers will depend on local availability, costs, and the instrumentation used by individual laboratories.

#### 1.1.4.3. Preparation of Mastermix



#### 1.1.4.4. Programming the PCR Cycler

Standard RT-PCR amplification conditions are used. Cycling parameters can be adjusted to accommodate different kits and machines.



\*Fluorescence is recorded in the FAM-channel during annealing.

#### **1.1.4.5. Analysis**

- Positive result: Ct < 40 AND the appearance of specific amplification curves
- Negative result:  $Ct > 45$
- • Indeterminate result: Ct between ≥ 40 and 45
- If an indeterminate result is obtained, repeat the test to confirm.
- Failure to detect the positive control means the run is invalid.
- If 'no template' or negative controls are 'positive', cross-contamination may have occurred during reaction set-up or reagents may have been contaminated with ASFV DNA. The run is invalid.



#### 1.1.4.6. Example Test Worksheet

#### AFRICAN SWINE FEVER REAL-TIME PCR TEST WORKSHEET: KING ASSAY





## <span id="page-13-0"></span>�.2. PCR Protocol: CAHEC Triplex Assay

The protocol described below was developed by the Chinese Animal Health and Epidemiology Center for the multiplex detection of *MGF360/505, EP402R* (CD2v) and *B646L* (p72) genes of ASFV belonging to genotype II.

### 1.2.1. Purpose

This assay can be used to detect and identify viral DNA of genotype II ASFV variants containing genome deletions in the *MGF360/505* and *EP402R* (CD2v) genes (currently circulating in Asia).

- DNA isolated from various sample types can be used (Section 1.1.2.).
- • This assay has been validated using Chinese ASFV isolates belonging to genotype II and candidate LAV viruses developed by CAHEC containing *MGF360/505* and *EP402R* (CD2v) gene deletions.
- The assay cannot be used to determine genotype. Additional conventional PCR testing and sequencing for p72 genotyping is required using specific primers.
- No cross-reactions with other porcine viruses have been detected.

## �.2.2. Samples

Refer to Section 1.1.2.

### �.2.3. DNA Extraction

Refer to Section 1.1.3.

## �.2.4. PCR Protocol

#### 1.2.4.1. Kits and Controls

- The assay uses the AceQ Universal U+ Probe Master Mix V2 (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd), or Luna® Universal Probe qPCR Master Mix (New England BioLabs Inc.). Alternative PCR or RT-PCR kits (as described above) are expected to work as well, but are recommended to be verified prior to routine use.
- Run controls: an NTC, as well as strong and weak positive ASFV DNA controls.
- After preparation of the mastermix (Section 1.2.4.3.), 20 µl will be used for each reaction and 5 µl template DNA is to be added for a total volume of 25 µl. The volume can be adjusted to accommodate other detection equipment.

#### �.2.4.2. Preparation of Primer/Probe Mix

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

\*The choice of fluorophores and quenchers will depend on local availability, costs, and the instrumentation used by individual laboratories. ^Reference sequence GenBank Acc. No. NC\_044959.2.

#### �.2.4.3. Preparation of Mastermix



#### �.2.4.4. Programming the PCR Cycler

Standard RT-PCR amplification conditions are used. Cycling parameters can be adjusted to accommodate different kits and machines.



\*Fluorescence is recorded in the FAM, VIC and Cy5 channels during annealing

#### �.2.4.5. Analysis

- Positive result: Ct < 35 for CD2v/MGF-14L/p72 AND the appearance of specific amplification curves for each assay
- • Negative result: Ct ≥ 40
- • Indeterminate result: Ct ≥ 35 and <40
- If an indeterminate result is obtained, repeat the test to confirm.
- Failure to detect the positive control means the run is invalid.
- If negative controls are 'positive', cross-contamination may have occurred during reaction set-up or reagents may have been contaminated with ASFV DNA. The run is invalid.
- Where the p72 assay is positive AND one or both of the MGF and CD2v assays are negative, this indicates that a variant ASFV has been detected.
- Where all assays are positive, this indicates that the parental or pandemic ASFV strain has been detected.





#### �.2.4.6. Example Test Worksheet

#### AFRICAN SWINE FEVER REAL-TIME PCR TEST WORKSHEET: CAHEC TRIPLEX ASSAY





## �.3. PCR Protocol: **ASFV I177L Assay**

The protocol described below was developed by the USDA Agricultural Research Service at the Plum Island Animal Disease Center. It was developed for the specific detection of the ASFV-ΔI177L LAV candidate developed by the USDA (Velazquez-Salinas et al., 2021). This virus has had the *I177L* gene deleted, resulting in an attenuated virus (Borca *et al.*, 2020).

## 1.3.1. Purpose

This assay can be used to discriminate between infected pigs and those vaccinated with the ASFV-ΔI177L LAV.

- DNA isolated from various sample types can be used (Section 1.1.2.).
- • This assay should be used for further molecular characterisation of samples that have tested positive for p72 detection using either the King assay (Section 1.1.) or the Triplex assay (Section 1.2.).
- This assay has been validated using samples collected from uninfected pigs and from pigs infected with parental ASFV Georgia isolate (ASFV-G) or vaccinated with AFSV-ΔI177L. Analytical sensitivity was shown to be comparable to a validated USDA p72 assay (Zsak *et al.*, 2005). A false negative rate of 0% and a false positive rate of  $<$  1% were found for diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, respectively.
- The assay cannot be used to determine genotype. Additional conventional PCR testing and sequencing for p72 genotyping is required using specific primers.
- No cross-reactions with other porcine viruses have been detected.

### �.3.2. Samples

Refer to Section 1.1.2.

## �.3.3. DNA Extraction

Refer to Section 1.1.3.

## �.3.4. PCR Protocol

1.3.4.1. Kits and Controls

• The assay uses the TaqMan<sup>TM</sup> Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems Cat. No. 4305719).

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>• Alternative PCR or RT-PCR kits (as described above) are expected to work as well, but are recommended to be verified prior to routine use.
- Run controls: an NTC, as well as strong and weak positive ASFV DNA controls.
- After preparation of the mastermix (Section 1.3.4.3.), 20 µl will be used for each reaction and 5 µl template DNA is to be added for a total volume of 25 µl. The volume can be adjusted to accommodate other detection equipment.

#### �.3.4.2. Preparation of Primer/Probe Mix



\*The choice of fluorophores and quenchers will depend on local availability, costs, and the instrumentation used by individual laboratories.

#### �.3.4.3. Preparation of Mastermix



#### �.3.4.4. Programming the PCR Cycler

The following PCR amplification conditions are used. Cycling parameters can be adjusted to accommodate different kits and machines.



\*Fluorescence is recorded in the FAM channel during annealing.

#### �.3.4.5. Analysis

- Positive result: Ct < 37 AND the appearance of a specific amplification curve
- Negative result:  $Ct \geq 37$
- Failure to detect the positive control means the run is invalid.
- If negative controls are 'positive', cross-contamination may have occurred during reaction set-up or reagents may have been contaminated with ASFV DNA. The run is invalid.
- Samples that test positive for p72 in the King assay or Triplex assay and negative in the ASFV-I177L assay indicate the presence of the ASFV-ΔI177L LAV.
- Samples that test positive in the ASFV I177L assay and negative for MGF and/or CD2v indicate the presence of additional gene deletions.



#### �.3.4.6. Example Test Worksheet

#### AFRICAN SWINE FEVER REAL-TIME PCR TEST WORKSHEET: **ASFV I177L ASSAY**







## <span id="page-21-0"></span>�.4. PCR Protocol: ASFV MGF360-12L Assay

The protocol described below was developed by the USDA Agricultural Research Service at the Plum Island Animal Disease Center. It was developed for the specific detection of the *MGF360-�2L* gene, one of six genes deleted form the ASFV-ΔMGF LAV developed by the USDA (O'Donnell *et al.*, 2015; Velazquez-Salinas *et al.*, 2021).

## 1.4.1. Purpose

This assay can be used to discriminate between infected pigs and those vaccinated with the ASFV-ΔMGF LAV.

- DNA isolated from various sample types can be used (Section 1.1.2.).
- • This assay should be used for further molecular characterisation of samples that have tested positive for p72 detection using either the King assay (Section 1.1.) or the Triplex assay (Section  $1.2$ .).
- This assay has been validated using samples collected from uninfected pigs and from pigs infected with parental ASFV-G virus or vaccinated with AFSV-ΔMGF. Analytical sensitivity was shown to be comparable to a validated USDA p72 assay (Zsak *et al.*, 2005). A false negative rate of 0% and a false positive rate of < 1% were found for diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, respectively.
- The assay cannot be used to determine genotype. Additional conventional PCR testing and sequencing for p72 genotyping is required using specific primers.
- No cross-reactions with other porcine viruses have been detected.

### �.4.2. Samples

Refer to Section 1.1.2.

## �.4.3. DNA Extraction

Refer to Section 1.1.3.

## �.4.4 PCR Protocol

#### 1.4.4.1. Kits and Controls

• The assay described uses the TaqMan<sup>TM</sup> Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems Cat. No. 4305719).

- Alternative PCR or RT-PCR kits (as described above) are expected to work as well, but are recommended to be verified prior to routine use.
- Run controls: an NTC, as well as strong and weak positive ASFV DNA controls.
- After preparation of the mastermix (Section 1.4.4.3.), 20 µl will be used for each reaction and 5 µl template DNA is to be added for a total volume of 25 µl. The volume can be adjusted to accommodate other detection equipment.

#### �.4.4.2. Preparation of Primer/Probe Mix



\*The choice of fluorophores and quenchers will depend on local availability, costs, and the instrumentation used by individual laboratories.

#### �.4.4.3. Preparation of Mastermix



#### �.4.4.4. Programming the PCR Cycler

• The following PCR amplification conditions are used. Cycling parameters can be adjusted to accommodate different kits and machines.



\*Fluorescence is recorded in the FAM channel during annealing.

#### �.4.4.5. Analysis

- Positive result: Ct < 40 AND the appearance of a specific amplification curve
- Negative result:  $Ct \geq 40$
- Failure to detect the positive control means the run is invalid.
- If negative controls are 'positive', cross-contamination may have occurred during reaction set-up or reagents may have been contaminated with ASFV DNA. The run is invalid.
- Samples that test positive for p72 in the King assay or Triplex assay and negative in the ASFV MGF360-12L assay indicate the presence of the ASFV- ΔMGF LAV.
- Samples that test positive in the ASFV MGF360-12L assay and negative for I177L and/or CD2v indicate the presence of additional gene deletions.



#### �.4.4.6. Example Test Worksheet

#### AFRICAN SWINE FEVER REAL-TIME PCR TEST WORKSHEET: ASFV MGF360-12L ASSAY









## <span id="page-25-0"></span>�.5. PCR Protocol: ACDP ASFV Genotype I Assay

The protocol described below was developed by the ACDP for the specific detection of ASFV genotype I isolates to address the recent emergence of this type in China. This assay targets the *MGF505-3R* gene of ASFV.

### 1.5.1. Purpose

This assay can be used to detect and identify viral DNA of ASFV isolates belonging to genotype I.

- DNA isolated from various sample types can be used (Section 1.1.2.).
- • This assay should be used for further molecular characterisation of samples that have tested positive for p72 detection using either the King assay (Section 1.1.) or the Triplex assay (Section  $1.2$ .).
- This assay has been validated using a panel of reference isolates belonging to genotypes �, 2, 7, 9 and �0, as well as diagnostic specimens. No cross-reactions with other genotypes tested were detected and no false positive results were found.
- No cross-reactions with other porcine viruses tested have been detected.

## �.5.2. Samples

Refer to Section 1.1.2.

## �.5.3. DNA Extraction

Refer to Section 1.1.3.

## �.5.4. PCR Protocol

1.5.4.1. Kits and Controls

- The assay uses the AgPath-ID One-Step RT-PCR Kit. The inclusion of an RT step does not affect the assay performance. Alternative PCR or RT-PCR kits are expected to work as well, but are recommended to be verified prior to routine use.
- Run controls: an NTC, as well as strong and weak positive ASFV DNA controls.
- After preparation of the mastermix (Section 1.5.4.3.), 10  $\mu$  will be used for each reaction and 5  $\mu$ l template DNA is to be added for a total volume of 15  $\mu$ l. The volume can be adjusted to accommodate other detection equipment.

#### �.5.4.2. Preparation of Primer/Probe Mix

<span id="page-26-0"></span>

\*The choice of fluorophores and quenchers will depend on local availability, costs, and the instrumentation used by individual laboratories.

#### �.5.4.3. Preparation of Mastermix



#### �.5.4.4. Programming the PCR Cycler

Standard RT-PCR amplification conditions are used. Cycling parameters can be adjusted to accommodate different kits and machines.



\*Fluorescence is recorded in the FAM-channel during annealing.

#### �.5.4.5. Analysis

- Positive result: Ct < 40 AND the appearance of specific amplification curves
- Negative result: Ct > 45
- • Indeterminate result: Ct ≥ 40 and ≤45
- If an indeterminate result is obtained, repeat the test to confirm.
- Failure to detect the positive control means the run is invalid.
- If negative controls are 'positive', cross-contamination may have occurred during reaction set-up or reagents may have been contaminated with ASFV DNA. The run is invalid.

#### �.5.4.6. Example Test Worksheet

#### AFRICAN SWINE FEVER REAL-TIME PCR TEST WORKSHEET: ACDP GENOTYPE I ASSAY





## <span id="page-28-0"></span>2. Serology

Since variant forms of ASFV have been associated with lower virulence and non-lethal, subacute and chronic disease leading to seroconversion in infected animals, the use of serological diagnostic techniques for laboratory diagnosis has become important in regions where these viruses are circulating. Previous recommendations emphasised the importance of PCR as the frontline detection method for China and countries in Southeast Asia and the Indo-Pacific, where the acute form of ASF first appeared; however, the emergence of lower virulence forms warrants the wider use of serodiagnosis for disease investigation and surveillance. This manual provides guidance for the use and application of serological tests in these scenarios.

Antibodies against ASFV appear from 7-10 days post-infection and persist for long periods of time. In surviving pigs, high levels of antibodies are found, which can be present for years and are usually accompanied by intermittent viraemia that may not be detectable by PCR. For outbreaks caused by highly virulent strains of ASFV, serological testing is of lower diagnostic value, since most pigs die before antibody response. However, for disease caused by low or moderately virulent strains, serological testing is a valuable laboratory technique for disease diagnosis and determination of infection status. The presence of antibodies can indicate current or past infection. In countries where approved vaccines are used or where illegal vaccine use is suspected, current serological tests are unable to distinguish infection by wildtype and infection by vaccine strains. In ASF endemic areas, where attenuated and lower virulence viruses circulate, serological testing is valuable for identifying evidence of previous exposure to ASFV in animals experiencing subacute, chronic or subclinical infections, or those that have recovered. Since ASFV infection does not induce a robust neutralising antibody response, neutralisation tests are not recommended for antibody testing.

Of the laboratory tools available for ASFV antibody testing, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is the quickest and has the highest throughput, making it suitable as a screening test. Several commercial ELISAs as well as in-house ELISA protocols (in competitive or indirect formats) are available to reliably detect ASFV antibodies. ELISAs allow rapid, high-throughput testing and interpretation of results, and they can be adapted for automation where suitable robotic systems are available. ELISA tests can be prone to false-positive results, particularly when hemolysed samples are tested. Therefore, positive and suspicious samples should be subject to confirmatory testing, where possible, using additional methods such as the immunoperoxidase antibody (IPX), immunofluorescent antibody (IFA) or immunoblot (IB) tests. Due to their higher levels of sensitivity, these tests can also detect antibody at earlier stages of infection compared to some ELISAs.

IPX and IFA tests can be established using fixed infected cell monolayers on infected glass slides or in multi-well (e.g. 96-well) format for higher throughput. The IB test follows standard western blot protocols using solubilised infected cell extracts or recombinant virus proteins and can be used as an alternative or back-up test for IFA/IPX tests. However, these tests are more labour-intensive than ELISAs, and require highly skilled staff both to perform the test and to accurately interpret the test results. They also require access to ASFV propagation capability (Biosafety Level 3) or recombinant protein technology (for IB test).

<span id="page-29-0"></span>WOAH-recommended protocols are available for an indirect (in-house) ELISA, and for IPX, IFA and IB tests (WOAH, 20�9). In addition, point-of-care tests for antibody detection are described by the WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory Network in their overview of diagnostic tests for field application (WOAH, 2022).

## 2.1. Samples

In addition to serum, various specimen types contain antibodies and can be used for diagnostic testing, including whole blood, plasma, dried blood on filter paper, oral fluids and meat juice or tissue exudate. Oral fluids offer a convenient, non-invasive means of sampling at a herd or pen level and can be used for surveillance purposes (Mur *et al.*, 20�3; Giménez-Lirola *et al.*, 20�6). Similarly, meat juice or tissue exudate can be readily sampled at abattoirs or markets for detection of contaminated pork entering the food chain. ELISA tests can vary in sensitivity and specificity for testing alternative sample types other than serum (Gallardo *et al.*, 20�5; Onyilagha *et al.*, 2021). It is therefore recommended to undertake verification testing beforehand or, in the case of commercially available ELISA kits, to ensure that the test has been validated for the sample intended for testing. The more sensitive formats such as the IPX or IFA tests are more suitable for testing oral fluids, which contain lower levels of antibody compared to serum.



## <span id="page-30-0"></span>3. Algorithm for the Detection of ASF Virus



#### Figure 1. Algorithm for the detection of ASFV

#### Notes:

- 1. Clinical specimens (EDTA blood/serum, spleen, lymph nodes, tonsils, kidneys), pork products, meat juice/tissue exudate, oral fluids.
- 2. Refer to Sections 1.1. to 1.5. The use of a WOAH-recommended or validated PCR test ([Table 2\)](#page-7-0) capable of detecting all genotypes and variants of ASFV is recommended for initial testing. Where the circulation of genotype I or variant forms of genotype II associated with chronic, subacute or subclinical ASF is suspected, an additional test is recommended (Section 1.2. and Section 1.5.), as relevant. For testing to distinguish infected from vaccinated pigs, the Triplex PCR, ASFV I177L or ASFV MGF360-12L assays are recommended (Sections 1.2. to 1.4.).
- 3. Virus isolation requires the use of primary porcine cells that may not be available in all laboratories. Certain continuous cell lines have been reported that have comparable sensitivity to primary porcine cells (e.g. Portugal *et al.*, 2020; Masujin *et al.*, 2021; Rai et al., 2021). Virus isolation is typically performed on PCR-positive samples. Virus replication in cell culture can be detected using one or a combination of the following tests: haemadsorption assay, antigen detection using an IFA or IPX antibody test, or PCR.
- 4. Antigen ELISA can be used for primary diagnosis if PCR is unavailable. It is also useful, in combination with PCR, for providing additional confidence that a diagnosis is correct (detection by two different methodologies), where sequencing is not readily available. Please note that antigen ELISA is generally less sensitive than PCR.
- 5. For differential diagnosis of negative ASFV diagnostic results, the following diseases should be considered: classical swine fever, porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome, porcine dermatitis and nephropathy syndrome, erysipelas, salmonellosis, pasteurellosis, Aujeszky's disease (pseudorabies), and swine enteric coronavirus diseases (e.g. those caused by porcine endemic diarrhoea virus and transmissible gastroenteritis virus). Detection of other pathogens does not exclude an underlying ASFV infection. In addition, other non-infectious causes should be considered, including warfarin poisoning, fungal poisoning (aflatoxicosis, stachybotryotoxicosis), heavy metal poisoning, salt poisoning with water deprivation, and thrombocytopaenia purpura.
- 6. Perform genotyping at the start of an outbreak by amplifying and sequencing the *B646L* gene, encoding the ASFV p72 protein. Other gene targets may also be used for molecular characterisation, including one or more of the following: *B602L* central variable region, *I73R-I329L* intergenic region, *CP204L* (p30), and *E�83L* (p54), as described in Bastos *et al.* (2003), Nix *et al.* (2006), Rowlands *et al.* (2008), and Gallardo *et al.* (2009, 2014). Next-generation sequencing (NGS) can also be used to obtain the sequence information for these gene targets. NGS can also be employed to determine whether an ASFV isolate is a recombinant virus. Conventional PCR targeting different genes belonging to the constituent genotypes making-up the recombinant can also be used, followed by sequencing and analysis. For example, for the genotype I/genotype II recombinant reported in China (Zhao *et al.*, 2023), conventional PCR and sequencing of the *B646L* or *B602L*, belonging to genotype I, and *E�83L* or *EP402R* genes, belonging to genotype II, can be used to identify this recombinant.
- 7. Perform IFA, IPX or IB tests for confirmation or clarification.

Reporting:

- a. No ASFV present in sample. Differential diagnosis optional.
- b. Report as ASFV detected in sample.
- c. Report as ASFV detected in sample with genotype/types.
- d. ASFV antibodies detected in sample. Further investigation for active infection in the herd is required or the extent of infection in the herd must be determined through serological survey. Assessment of the circulating virus strain causing infection should take into account PCR testing and/or sequencing and typing results. Prior use of vaccine (authorised or not) should also be considered.

## 4. Diagnostic Approaches for Different Scenarios

The following table provides a summary of different scenarios for ASF disease investigation and shows recommended laboratory diagnostic methods that may be employed in countries where ASF is endemic or where the disease is not present.

When ASFV is detected in a country previously free of ASF, samples should be submitted to a WOAH ASF Reference Laboratory for confirmatory testing and characterisation of the virus.



\*Can be used for primary diagnosis if PCR is unavailable.

†Can be used where circulation of genotype I or variant forms of genotype II is suspected, or when there is a need to distinguish LAV from wildtype virus. ^Positive ELISA results should be confirmed by IPX, IFA or IB tests. Antibody ELISA can be used for outbreak investigations involving low or moderately virulent ASFV strains.

#Test at the beginning and at the end of the quarantine period.

IPX: immunoperoxidase antibody

IFA: immunofluorescent antibody

IB: immunoblot



## <span id="page-33-0"></span>5. References

Agüero, M., Fernández, J., Romero, L., Sánchez Mascaraque, C., Arias, M. & Sánchez-Vizcaíno, J.M. 2003. Highly Sensitive PCR Assay for Routine Diagnosis of African Swine Fever Virus in Clinical Samples. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 41(9): 4431. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.9.4431-4434.2003) [org/10.1128/JCM.41.9.4431-4434.2003](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.9.4431-4434.2003)

Agüero M., Fernández J., Romero L., Sánchez Mascaraque C., Arias M. & Sánchez-Vizcaíno **J.M.** 2003. Highly sensitive PCR assay for routine diagnosis of African swine fever virus in clinical samples. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 41(9): 443 [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.9.4431-4434.2003
) [JCM.41.9.4431-4434.2003](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.9.4431-4434.2003
)

Agüero M., Fernández J., Romero L.J., Zamora M.J., Sánchez C., Belák S., Arias M. & Sánchez-Vizcaíno J.M. 2004. A highly sensitive and specific gel-based multiplex RT-PCR assay for the simultaneous and differential diagnosis of African swine fever and classical swine fever in clinical samples. *Veterinary Research*, 35(5): 55�–563. [https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:](https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2004031)2004031

Borca M.V., Ramirez-Medina E., Silva E., Vuono E., Rai A., Pruitt S., Holinka L.G., Velazquez-Salinas L., Zhu J. & Gladue D.P. 2020. Development of a highly effective African swine fever virus vaccine by deletion of the I�77L gene results in sterile immunity against the current epidemic Eurasia strain. *Journal of Virology*, 94(7): e020�7-�9. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02017-19
) [JVI.02017-19](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02017-19
)

Bastos A.D.S., Penrith M.-L., Crucière C., Edrich J.L., Hutchings G., Roger F., Couacy-Hymann E. & Thomson, G.R. 2003. Genotyping field strains of African swine fever virus by partial p72 gene characterisation. Archives of Virology, 148(4): 693-706. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-002-0946-8) [s00705-002-0946-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-002-0946-8)

Chen W., Zhao D., He X., Liu R., Wang Z., Zhang X., Li F., Shan D., Chen H., Zhang J., Wang L., Wen Z., Wang X., Guan Y., Liu J. & Bu Z. 2020. A seven-gene-deleted African swine fever virus is safe and effective as a live attenuated vaccine in pigs. *Science China Life Sciences*, 63(5): 623–634. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-020-1657-9>

Fernández-Pinero J., Gallardo C., Elizalde M., Robles A., Gómez C., Bishop R., Couacy-Hymann E., Fasina F., Pelayo V., Soler A. & Arias M. 20�3. Molecular diagnosis of African swine fever by a new real-time PCR using universal probe library. *Transboundary Emerging Disease*, 60(�): 48–58.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1865-1682.2012.01317.x>

FAO. 2023. African swine fever situation in Asia & Pacific update, 3 August 2023. [https://www.](https://www.fao.org/animal-health/situation-updates/asf-in-asia-pacific/en) [fao.org/animal-health/situation-updates/asf-in-asia-pacific/en](https://www.fao.org/animal-health/situation-updates/asf-in-asia-pacific/en)

Gallardo C., Fernández-Pinero J., Pelayo V., Gazaev I., Markowska-Daniel I., Pridotkas G., Nieto R., Fernández-Pacheco P., Bokhan S., Nevolko O., Drozhzhe Z., Pérez C., Soler A., Kolvasov D. & Arias M. 2014. Genetic variation among African swine fever genotype II viruses, Eastern and Central Europe. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 20(9): 1544-1547. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2009.140554) [org/10.3201/eid2009.140554](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2009.140554)

Gallardo C., Mwaengo D.M., Macharia J.M., Arias M., Taracha E.A., Soler A., Okoth E., Martín E., Kasiti J. & Bishop R.P. 2009. Enhanced discrimination of African swine fever virus isolates through nucleotide sequencing of the p54, p72, and pB602L (CVR) genes. *Virus Genes*, 38(�): 85–95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-008-0293-2>

Gallardo C., Nieto R., Soler A., Pelayo V., Fernández-Pinero J., Markowska-Daniel I., Pridotkas G., Nurmoja I., Granta R., Simón A., Pérez C., Martín E., Fernández-Pacheco P. & Arias M. 2015. Assessment of African swine fever diagnostic techniques as a response to the epidemic outbreaks in Eastern European Union countries: how to improve surveillance and control programs. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 53(8): 2555–65. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00857-15) [JCM.00857-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00857-15)

Gallardo C., Nurmoja I., Soler A., Delicado V., Simon A., Martin E., Perez C., Nieto R. & Arias M. 2018. Evolution in Europe of African swine fever genotype II viruses from highly to moderately virulent. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 2�9: 70–79.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2018.04.001>

Gallardo C., Soler A., Rodze I., Nieto R., Cano-Gómez C., Fernandez-Pinero J. & Arias M. 2019. Attenuated and non-haemadsorbing (non-HAD) genotype II African swine fever virus (ASFV) isolated in Europe, Latvia 2017. *Transboundary Emerging Diseases*, 66(3): 1399-1404. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13132) [doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13132](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13132)

Giménez-Lirola L.G., Mur L., Rivera B., Mogler M., Sun Y., Lizano S., Goodell C., Harris D.L., Rowland R.R., Gallardo C., Sánchez-Vizcaíno J.M. & Zimmerman J. 2016. Detection of African swine fever virus antibodies in serum and oral fluid specimens using a recombinant protein 30 (p30) dual matrix indirect ELISA. *PLOS One*, ��(9): e0161230. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161230) [pone.0161230](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161230)

Goonewardene K.B., Onyilagha C., Goolia M., Le V.P., Blome S., Ambagala A. 2022. Superficial inguinal lymph nodes for screening dead pigs for African swine fever. *Viruses*, Jan 4; 14(�): 83. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v14010083>

Grau F.R., Schroeder M.E., Mulhern E.L., McIntosh M.T. & Bounpheng M.A. 2015. Detection of African swine fever, classical swine fever, and foot-and-mouth disease viruses in swine oral fluids by multiplex reverse transcription real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, 27(2): �40–�49.<https://doi.org/10.1177/1040638715574768>

Haines F.J., Hofmann M.A., King D.P., Drew T.W. & Crooke H.R. 2013. Development and validation of a multiplex, real-time RT PCR assay for the simultaneous detection of classical and African swine fever viruses. PLOS One, 8(7): e71019. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071019) [pone.0071019](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071019)

Henao-Diaz A., Giménez-Lirola L., Baum D.H. & Zimmerman J. 2020. Guidelines for oral fluidbased surveillance of viral pathogens in swine. *Porcine Health Management*, 19(6): 28. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40813-020-00168-w) [doi.org/10.1186/s40813-020-00168-w](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40813-020-00168-w)

King D., Reid S., Hutchings G., Grierson S., Wilkinson P., Dixon L., Bastos A. & Drew T. 2003. Development of a TaqMan PCR assay with internal amplification control for the detection of African swine fever virus. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 107(1): 53-61. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0166-0934(02)00189-1) [s0166-0934\(02\)00189-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0166-0934(02)00189-1)

Lin Y., Cao C., Shi W., Huang C., Zeng S., Sun J., Wu J. & Hua Q. 2020. Development of a triplex real-time PCR assay for detection and differentiation of gene-deleted and wild-type African swine fever virus. Journal of Virological Methods, 280: 113875. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2020.113875) [jviromet.2020.113875](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2020.113875)

McKillen J., Hjertner B., Millar A., McNeilly F., Belák S., Adair B. & Allan G. 2007. Molecular beacon real-time PCR detection of swine viruses. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 140(1–2): �55–�65.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2006.11.018>

Mur L., Gallardo C., Soler A., Zimmermman J., Pelayo V., Nieto R., Sánchez-Vizcaíno J.M. & Arias M. 2013. Potential use of oral fluid samples for serological diagnosis of African swine fever. Veterinary Microbiology, 165(1-2): 135-139.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.12.034>

Nix R.J., Gallardo C., Hutchings G., Blanco E. & Dixon L.K. 2006. Molecular epidemiology of African swine fever virus studied by analysis of four variable genome regions. *Archives of Virology*, �5�(�2): 2475–2494.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-006-0794-z>

O'Donnell V., Holinka L.G., Gladue D.P., Sanford B., Krug P.W., Lu X., Arzt J., Reese B., Carrillo C., Risatti G.R. & Borca M.V. 2015. African swine fever virus Georgia isolate harboring deletions of MGF360 and MGF505 genes is attenuated in swine and confers protection against challenge with virulent parental virus. *Journal of Virology*, 89(11): 6048–6056. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00554-15) [JVI.00554-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00554-15)

Onyilagha C., Nash M., Perez O., Goolia M., Clavijo A., Richt J.A. & Ambagala A. 2021. Meat exudate for detection of African swine fever virus genomic material and anti-ASFV antibodies. *Viruses*, Sep �; �3(9): 1744.<https://doi.org/10.3390/v13091744>

Okwasiimire R., Nassali A., Ndoboli D., Ekakoro J.E., Faburay B., Wampande E. & Havas K.A. 2023. Comparison of diaphragm meat juice and muscle swab samples to spleen and spleen swab samples for the detection of African swine fever viral nucleic acid. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, 35(2): �45–�52. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10406387231151663>

Portugal R., Goatley L.C., Husmann R., Zuckermann F.A. & Dixon L.K. 2020. A porcine macrophage cell line that supports high levels of replication of OURT88/3, an attenuated strain of African swine fever virus. *Emerging Microbes & Infection*, 9(1): 1245-1253. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1772675) [1080/22221751.2020.1772675](https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1772675)

Rai A., Pruitt S., Ramirez-Medina E., Vuono E.A., Silva E., Velazquez-Salinas L., Carrillo C., Borca M.V. & Gladue D.P. 2021. Detection and quantification of African swine fever virus in MA-104 cells. *Bio Protocol*, 11(6): e3955.<https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.3955>

Rowlands R.J., Michaud V., Heath L., Hutchings G., Oura C., Vosloo W., Dwarka R., Onashvili T., Albina E. & Dixon L.K. 2008. African swine fever virus isolate, Georgia, 2007. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, �4(�2): �870–�874.<https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1412.080591>

Sun E., Zhang Z., Wang Z., He X., Zhang X., Wang L., Wang W., Huang L., Xi F., Huangfu H., Tsegay G., Huo H., Sun J., Tian Z., Xia W., Yu X., Li F., Liu R., Guan Y., Zhao D. & Bu Z. 2021a. Emergence and prevalence of naturally occurring lower virulent African swine fever viruses in domestic pigs in China in 2020. *Science China Life sciences*, 64(5): 752–765. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-021-1904-4) [org/10.1007/s11427-021-1904-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-021-1904-4)

Sun E., Huang L., Zhang X., Zhang J., Shen D., Zhang Z., Wang Z., Huo H., Wang W., Huangfu H., Wang W., Li F., Liu R., Sun J., Tian Z., Xia W., Guan Y., He X., Zhu Y. Zhao D. & Bu Z. 2021b. Genotype I African swine fever viruses emerged in domestic pigs in China and caused chronic infection. *Emerging Microbes & Infections*, 10(1): 2183-2193. [https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.](https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2021.1999779) [2021.1999779](https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2021.1999779)

ThermoFisher Scientific. Undated. *MagMAX viral RNA isolation kit* [online]. [Cited 9 September 2020].<https://assets.thermofisher.com/TFS-Assets/LSG/manuals/1939M.pdf> Tignon M., Gallardo C., Iscaro C., Hutet E., Van der Stede Y., Kolbasov D., Le Potier M., Bishop R., Arias M. & Koenen F. 2011. Development and inter-laboratory validation study of an improved new real-time PCR assay with internal control for detection and laboratory diagnosis of African swine fever virus. Journal of Virological Methods, 178(1-2): 161-170. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2011.09.007) [org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2011.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2011.09.007)

Trinh T.B.N., Truong T., Nguyen V.T., Vu X.D., Dao L.A., Nguyen T.L., Ambagala A., Babiuk S., Oh J., Song D. & Le V.P. 2021. Development of a novel real-time PCR assay targeting p54 gene for rapid detection of African swine fever virus (ASFV) strains circulating in Vietnam. *Veterinary Medicine & Science*, 7(6): 2268–2272.<https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.605>

Velazquez-Salinas L., Ramirez-Medina E., Rai A., Pruitt S., Vuono E.A., Espinoza N., Gladue D.P. & Borca M.V. 2021. Development real-time PCR assays to genetically differentiate vaccinated pigs from infected pigs with the Eurasian strain of African swine fever virus. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8: 768869.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.768869>

World Organisation for Animal Health. 2019. African swine fever (infection with African swine fever). *In* OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals. [https://www.oie.](https://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/3.08.01_ASF.pdf) [int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health\\_standards/tahm/3.08.01\\_ASF.pdf](https://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/3.08.01_ASF.pdf)

World Organisation for Animal Health. 2022. The OIE ASF Reference Laboratory Network's overview of African swine fever diagnostic tests for field application, 13 pp. [https://www.oie.int/](https://www.oie.int/en/document/the-oie-asf-reference-laboratory-networks-overview-of-african-swine-fever-diagnostic-tests-for-field-application/) [en/document/the-oie-asf-reference-laboratory-networks-overview-of-african-swine-fever](https://www.oie.int/en/document/the-oie-asf-reference-laboratory-networks-overview-of-african-swine-fever-diagnostic-tests-for-field-application/)[diagnostic-tests-for-field-application/](https://www.oie.int/en/document/the-oie-asf-reference-laboratory-networks-overview-of-african-swine-fever-diagnostic-tests-for-field-application/)

Yang H., Peng Z., Song W., Zhang C., Fan J., Chen H., Hua L., Pei J., Tang X., Chen H. & Wu B. 2022. A triplex real-time PCR method to detect African swine fever virus gene-deleted and wild type strains. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 9: 943099. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2022.943099) [fvets.2022.943099](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2022.943099)

Zani L., Forth J.H., Forth L., Nurmoja I., Leidenberger S., Henke J., Carlson J., Breidenstein C., Viltrop A., Höper D., Sauter-Louis C., Beer M. & Blome S. 2018. Deletion at the 5'-end of Estonian ASFV strains associated with an attenuated phenotype. *Science Reports*, 8(�): 65�0. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24740-1>

Zhang Y., Zhang J., Yang J., Yang J., Han X., Mi L., Zhang F., Qi Y, Xhang S., Wang Y., Zhou X., You H., Wang S., Chen T. & Hu R. 2021. Identification of a natural variant of African swine fever virus in China. *Chinese Journal of Veterinary Science*, 4�(2): �99–207. [https://doi.org/10.16303/j.](https://doi.org/10.16303/j.cnki.1005-4545.2021.02.01) [cnki.1005-4545.2021.02.01](https://doi.org/10.16303/j.cnki.1005-4545.2021.02.01)

Zhao D., Sun E., Huang L., Ding L., Zhu Y., Zhang J., Shen D., Zhang X., Zhang Z., Ren T., Wang W., Li F., He X. & Bu Z. 2023. Highly lethal genotype I and II recombinant African swine fever viruses detected in pigs. *Nature Communications*, �4(�): 3096. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38868-w) [s41467-023-38868-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38868-w)

Zsak L., Borca M., Risatti G., Zsak A., French R., Lu Z., Kutish G., Neilan J., Callahan J., Nelson W. & Rock D. 2005. Preclinical diagnosis of African swine fever in contact-exposed swine by a real-time PCR assay. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 43(1): 112-119. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.1.112-119.2005) [JCM.43.1.112-119.2005](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.1.112-119.2005)

## Addressing African swine fever: Protocols and Guidelines for Laboratory Diagnosis

12, rue de Prony, 75017 Paris, France

 $T. +33(0)144151888$ 

F. +33 (0)1 42 67 09 87

[woah@woah.org](mailto:woah%40woah.org?subject=)

[www.woah.org](http://www.woah.org)

