

1 **A deep learning algorithm using CT images to screen for Corona Virus**

2 **Disease (COVID-19)**

3 Shuai Wang^{1,*}, Bo Kang^{2,3,*}, Jinlu Ma^{4,*}, Xianjun Zeng^{5,*}, Mingming Xiao^{1,*}, Jia
4 Guo³, Mengjiao Cai⁴, Jingyi Yang⁴, Yaodong Li⁶, Xiangfei Meng^{2,#}, Bo Xu^{1,#}

5

6 ¹ Department of Molecular Radiation Oncology, National Clinical Research Center
7 for Cancer, Key Laboratory of Cancer Prevention and Therapy, Key Laboratory of
8 Breast Cancer Prevention and Therapy, Ministry of Education, Tianjin Clinical
9 Research Center for Cancer, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and
10 Hospital, Tianjin 300060, China

11 ² College of Intelligence and Computing, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, China

12 ³ National Supercomputer Center in Tianjin, Tianjin 300457, China

13 ⁴ Department of Radiation Oncology, First Affiliated Hospital, Xi'an Jiaotong
14 University, Xi'an, China

15 ⁵ Department of Radiology, Nanchang University First Hospital, Nanchang, China

16 ⁶ Department of Radiology, No.8 Hospital, Xi'an Medical College, Xi'an, China

17 * Equal contribution

18 # Corresponding authors:

19 Bo Xu, MD, PhD
20 Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital
21 Tianjin 300060, China
22 Email: xubo@tmu.edu.cn

23

24 Xiangfei Meng, PhD
25 National Supercomputer Center in Tianjin
26 Tianjin 300457, China
27 Email: mengxf@nscc-tj.cn

28

29

Abstract

30 **Background:** To control the spread of Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19),
31 screening large numbers of suspected cases for appropriate quarantine and
32 treatment is a priority. Pathogenic laboratory testing is the diagnostic gold standard
33 but it is time consuming with significant false negative results. Fast and accurate
34 diagnostic methods are urgently needed to combat the disease. Based on
35 COVID-19 radiographical changes in CT images, we aimed to develop a deep
36 learning method that could extract COVID-19's graphical features in order to provide
37 a clinical diagnosis ahead of the pathogenic test, thus saving critical time for
38 disease control.

39 **Methods and Findings:** We collected 1,065CT images of pathogen-confirmed
40 COVID-19 cases (325 images) along with those previously diagnosed with typical
41 viral pneumonia (740 images). We modified the Inception transfer-learning model
42 to establish the algorithm, followed by internal and external validation. The internal
43 validation achieved a total accuracy of 89.5% with specificity of 0.88 and sensitivity
44 of 0.87. The external testing dataset showed a total accuracy of 79.3% with
45 specificity of 0.83 and sensitivity of 0.67. In addition, in 54 COVID-19 images that
46 first two nucleic acid test results were negative, 46 were predicted as COVID-19
47 positive by the algorithm, with the accuracy of 85.2%.

48 **Conclusion:** These results demonstrate the proof-of-principle for using
49 artificial intelligence to extract radiological features for timely and accurate
50 COVID-19 diagnosis.

51 **Keywords:** COVID-19, Computed Tomography, Artificial Intelligence, Deep

52 Learning, Diagnosis

53 **Short Title:** AI to screen for COVID-19

54

Introduction

55 The outbreak of atypical and person-to-person transmissible pneumonia
56 caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2,
57 also known as 2019-nCov) has caused a global alarm. There have been more
58 than 500,000 confirmed cases of the Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) in the
59 world, as of March 26, 2020. According to the WHO, 16-21% of people with the
60 virus in China have become severely ill with a 2-3% mortality rate. With the
61 most recent estimated viral reproduction number (R_0), the average number of
62 other people that an infected individual will transmit the virus to in a completely
63 non-immune population, stands at about 3.77 [1] , indicating that a rapid
64 spread of the disease is imminent. It is crucial to identify infected individuals as
65 early as possible for quarantine and treatment procedures.

66 The diagnosis of COVID-19 relies on the following criteria: clinical
67 symptoms, epidemiological history and positive CT images, as well as positive
68 pathogenic testing. The clinical characteristics of COVID-19 include respiratory
69 symptoms, fever, cough, dyspnea, and pneumonia [3-6]. However, these
70 symptoms are nonspecific, as there are isolated cases where, for example, in
71 an asymptomatic infected family a chest CT scan revealed pneumonia and the
72 pathogenic test for the virus came back positive. Once someone is identified
73 as a PUI (person under investigation), lower respiratory specimens, such as
74 bronchoalveolar lavage, tracheal aspirate or sputum, will be collected for
75 pathogenic testing. This laboratory technology is based on real-time RT-PCR

76 and sequencing of nucleic acid from the virus [7,8]. Since the beginning of the
77 outbreak, the efficiency of nucleic acid testing has been dependent on several
78 rate-limiting factors, including availability and quantity of the testing kits in the
79 affected area. More importantly, the quality, stability and reproducibility of the
80 detection kits are questionable. The impact of methodology, disease stages,
81 specimen collection methods, nucleic acid extraction methods, and the
82 amplification system are all determinant factors for the accuracy of test results.
83 Conservative estimates of the detection rate of nucleic acid are low (between
84 30-50%) [7,8,9], and tests need to be repeated several times in many cases
85 before they can be confirmed.

86 Radiological imaging is also a major diagnostic tool for COVID-19. The
87 majority of COVID-19 cases have similar features on CT images including
88 ground-glass opacities in the early stage and pulmonary consolidation in the
89 late stage. There is also sometimes a rounded morphology and a peripheral
90 lung distribution [6,10]. Although typical CT images may help early screening
91 of suspected cases, the images of various viral pneumonias are similar and
92 they overlap with other infectious and inflammatory lung diseases. Therefore, it
93 is difficult for radiologists to distinguish COVID-19 from other viral pneumonias.

94 Artificial Intelligence involving medical imaging deep-learning systems has
95 been developed in image feature extraction, including shape and spatial
96 relation features. Specifically, Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) has been
97 proven in feature extraction and learning. CNN was used to enhance low-light

98 images from high-speed video endoscopy with the limited training data being
99 just 55 videos [11]. Also, CNN has been applied to identify the nature of
100 pulmonary nodules via CT images, the diagnosis of pediatric pneumonia via
101 chest X-ray images, automated precising and labeling of polyps during
102 colonoscopic videos, cystoscopic image recognition extraction from videos
103 [12-15].

104 There are a number of features for identifying viral pathogens on the basis
105 of imaging patterns, which are associated with their specific pathogenesis [16].
106 The hallmarks of COVID-19 are bilateral distribution of patchy shadows and
107 ground glass opacity in early stages. As the disease progresses, multiple
108 ground glass and infiltrates in both lungs will appear [3]. These features are
109 quite similar to typical viral pneumonia with only slight differences, which are
110 difficult to be distinguished by radiologists. Based on this, we believed that
111 CNN might help us identify unique features that might be difficult for visual
112 recognition.

113 Hence, the purpose of our study was to evaluate the diagnostic
114 performance of a deep learning algorithm using CT images to screen for
115 COVID-19 during the influenza season. To test this notion, we retrospectively
116 enrolled 1,065 CT images of pathogen-confirmed COVID-19 cases along with
117 previously diagnosed typical viral pneumonia. Our results reported below
118 demonstrate the proof-of-principle using the deep learning method to extract
119 radiological graphical features for COVID-19 diagnosis.

120

Methods and Materials

121

Retrospective collection of datasets.

122

We retrospectively collected CT images from 259 patients, in which the

123

cohort includes 180 cases of typical viral pneumonia and the other 79 cases

124

from three hospitals with confirmed nucleic acid testing of SARS-COV-2. In

125

addition, we enrolled additional 15 COVID cases, in which first two nucleic acid

126

tests were negative at initial diagnoses. Hospitals providing the images were

127

Xi'an Jiaotong University First Affiliated Hospital (Center 1), Nanchang

128

University First Hospital (Center 2) and Xi'an No.8 Hospital of Xi'an Medical

129

College (Center 3). All CT images were de-identified before sending for

130

analysis. This study is in compliance with the Institutional Review Board of

131

each participating institutes. Informed consent was exempted by the IRB

132

because of the retrospective nature of this study.

133

Delineation of ROIs

134

To establish a binary model for distinguishing COVID-19 and typical

135

pneumonia, we drew the Region Of Interest (ROI) as input images for the

136

training cohort and validation cohorts. We sketched the ROI from CT images

137

based on features of COVID-19, such as small patchy shadows and interstitial

138

changes in the early stage, multiple ground glass and infiltrates in both lungs in

139

the progression stage, and delineated the ROIs on the CT images of other

140

typical viral pneumonia such as pseudocavity, enlarged lymphnodes and

141


multifocal GGO as the control. The ROIs were divided into three cohorts: one

142 training cohort (n=320 from Center 1), one internal validation cohort (n=455
143 from Center 1) and one external validation cohort (n=290 from Center 2 and 3).
144 For a ROI, it is sized approximately from 395*223 to 636*533 pixels.

145 **Overview of the proposed architecture**

146 Our systematic pipeline for the prediction architecture is depicted in **Figure**
147 **1**. The architecture consists of three main processes: 1) Pre-processing of
148 input images; 2) Feature extraction of ROI images and training; and 3)
149 Classification with fully connected network and prediction of multiple classifiers.
150 We built a transfer learning neural network based on the Inception network.
151 The entire neural network can be roughly divided into two parts: the first part
152 used a pre-trained inception network to convert image data into
153 one-dimensional feature vectors, and the second part used a fully connected
154 network and the main role is for classification prediction. ROI images from
155 each case were preprocessed and inputted into the model for training. The
156 number of various types of pictures in the training set is equal, with a total
157 number of 320. The remaining CT pictures of each case were used for internal
158 validation. The model training was iterated 15,000 times with a step size of
159 0.01.

160



161

162 **Figure 1.** ROI images extraction and Deep Learning (DL) algorithm
163 framework. ROI images were extracted by the CV model and then trained
164 using a modified Inception network to extract features. The full connection
165 layer then makes a classification and prediction.

166 **Image preprocessing and feature extraction.**

167 Based on the signs of characteristic of pneumonia, ROI images were
168 defined inflammatory lesions and extracted by our computer vision (CV) model
169 following the steps. 1) Convert the image to grayscale. 2) Binarize grayscale.
170 Because using the OSTU's method directly may cause the threshold selection
171 failure in the case of multi-peaks, the selection of the binarization threshold in
172 this paper was based on the statistics of all pixel frequency histograms of the
173 gray color values V_{min} (80) and V_{max} (200). The minimum frequency in the
174 selection interval is threshold, and the interval of frequency statistics is five. 3)
175 Background area filling. Using the flood filling method to expand the image by
176 1 black pixel, and fill the black pixels near the border with white. 4) Reverse
177 color, find all the contour areas of the image, and keep the two largest contour
178 areas as the two lung areas. 5) Take the smallest bounding rectangle of the
179 lung area as the ROI frame and crop the original image to obtain the ROI

180 images. The delineated ROIs were obtained for classification model building.
 181 We modified the typical Inception network, and fine-tuned the modified
 182 Inception (M-Inception) model with pre-trained weights. During the training
 183 phase, the original Inception part was not trained, and we only trained the
 184 modified part. The architecture of M-Inception is shown in **Table 1**. The
 185 difference between Inception and M-Inception in classification lies in the last
 186 fully-connected layers. We reduced the dimension of features before it was
 187 sent to the final classification layer. The training dataset made up of all those
 188 patches aforementioned. The Inception network is shown in **Table 1**.

189 **Table 1. The architecture of M-Inception**

	Layer	Patch size/stride or remarks
Inception part	conv	3x3/2
	conv	3x3/1
	conv padded	3x3/1
	pool	3x3/2
	conv	3x3/1
	conv	3x3/2
	conv	3x3/1
	Inception	3x, 5x, 2x
	pool	8x8
	linear	logits

	softmax	classifier
Modified part	Fc1	$\begin{bmatrix} \text{batchnorm} \\ \text{dropout}(0.5) \\ 512\text{d Linear} \end{bmatrix}$
	Fc2	$\begin{bmatrix} \text{batchnorm} \\ \text{dropout}(0.5) \\ 2\text{d Linear} \end{bmatrix}$

190

191 **Prediction.**

192 After generating the features, the final step was to classify the pneumonia
193 based on those features. Ensembling of classifiers was used to improve the
194 classification accuracy. In this study, we adopted end-to-end learning to make
195 the model convergence.

196 **Performance evaluation metrics.**

197 We compared the classification performance using Accuracy, Sensitivity,
198 Specificity, Area Under Curve (AUC), Positive predictive value (PPV), Negative
199 predictive value (NPV), F1 score and Youden Index. TP and TN represent the
200 number of true positive or true negative samples. FP and FN mean the number
201 of false positive or false negative samples. Sensitivity measures the ratio of
202 positives that are correctly discriminated. Specificity measures the ratio of
203 negatives that are correctly discriminated. AUC is an index to measure the
204 performance of the classifier. NPV was used to evaluate the algorithm for
205 screening, and PPV was the probability of getting a disease when the
206 diagnostic index is positive. Youden Index was the determining exponent of the
207 optimal bound. F1 score was a measure of the accuracy of a binary model.

208 Additionally, performance was evaluated with F-measure (F1) to compare the
209 similarity and diversity of performance. Kappa value measures the agreement
210 between the CNN model prediction and the clinical report.
211

212

Results

213

Algorithm development.

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228


229

230

231

232


In order to develop a deep learning algorithm for the identification of viral pneumonia images, we initially enrolled 259 patients, in which the cohort includes 180 cases of typical viral pneumonia that were diagnosed previously before the COVID-19 outbreak. These patients are termed COVID-19 negative in the cohort. The other 79 cases were from the three hospitals with confirmed nucleic acid testing of SARS-COV-2, therefore termed COVID-19 positive. Two radiologists were asked to review the images and sketched 1,065 representative images (740 for COVID-19 negative and 325 for COVID-19 positive) for analysis (**Figure 2** is shown as an example). These images were randomly divided into a training set and a validation set. The model training was iterated for 15,000 times with a step size of 0.01. The training loss curve is shown in **Figure 3A**. 320 images (160 images from COVID-19 negative and 160 images from COVID-19 positive) were obtained to construct the model. To test the stability and generalization of the model, 455 images (COVID-19 negative 360 images and COVID-19 positive 95 images) were obtained for internal validation from Center 1 and 290 images (COVID-19 negative 220 images and COVID-19 positive 70 images) were obtained from Center 2 and 3 for external validation. The model training was also iterated for 15,000 times with a step size of 0.01. The training loss curve is shown in **Figure 3B**.



233

234

235 **Figure 2.** An example of COVID-19 pneumonia features. The blue arrow
236 points to ground-glass opacity, and the yellow arrow points to the pleural
237 indentation sign.



238

239 **Figure 3.** Training loss curves of the models on internal **(A)** and external **(B)**

240 validation. The loss curve tends to be stable after descending, indicating that

241 the training process converges

242 **Deep learning performance.**


243 The deep learning algorithm yielded an AUC of 0.93 (95% CI, 0.90 to 0.96)

244 on the internal validation and 0.81 (95% CI, 0.71 to 0.84) on the external

245 validation based on the number of CT images **(Figure 4)**. Using the maximized

246 Youden index threshold probability, the sensitivity was 0.88 and 0.83,

247 specificity 0.87 and 0.67, the accuracy 89.5% and 79.3%, the negative
248 prediction values 0.95 and 0.90, the Youden indexes 0.75 and 0.48, and the F1
249 scores were 0.77 and 0.63 for the internal and external datasets, respectively
250 (**Table 2**). The kappa values were 0.69 and 0.48 for internal and external
251 validation, indicating that prediction of COVID-19 from the CNN model is a
252 highly consistent with pathogenic testing results. We also performed an
253 external validation based on each patient's multiple images. The accuracy was
254 82.5%, with the sensitivity 0.75, the specificity 0.86, the PPV 0.69, the NPV
255 0.89, and the kappa value 0.59.



256

257 **Figure 4.** Receiver operating characteristic plots for COVID-19 identification

258 for the deep learning (Inception) algorithm. A) Internal Validation. B) External

259 Validation.

260

261

Table 2. Deep learning Algorithm Performance

Performance Metric	Internal	External
AUC (95%CI)	0.93(0.86 to 0.94)	0.81(0.71 to 0.84)
Accuracy, %	89.5	79.3
Sensitivity	0.88	0.83
Specificity	0.87	0.67
PPV	0.71	0.55
NPV	0.95	0.90
Kappa*	0.69	0.48
Yoden index	0.75	0.50
F1 score‡	0.77	0.63

262 * Measures the agreement between the CNN model prediction and the clinical
263 diagnosis. ‡Measures the accuracy of the CNN model.

264

265 **Comparison of AI with radiologist prediction.**

266 At the same time, we asked two skilled radiologists to assess the 745
267 images for a prediction. Radiologist 1 achieved the accuracy of 55.8% with
268 sensitivity of 0.71 and specificity of 0.51, and Radiologist 2 achieved a similar
269 accuracy of 55.4% with sensitivity of 0.73 and specificity of 0.50 (**Table 3**).
270 These results indicates that it is difficult for radiologists to make prediction of
271 COVID-19 with eye recognition, further showing the advantage of the
272 algorithm we developed.

273

274

275

276 **Table 3. Performance metrics for the CNN model versus skilled**
277 **radiologists.**


278

Performance Metric	Internal	External (Based on ROI)	External (Based on patients)	R1	R2
Accuracy, %	89.5	79.3	82.5	55.8	55.4
Sensitivity	0.88	0.83	0.75	0.71	0.73
Specificity	0.87	0.67	0.86	0.51	0.5
PPV	0.71	0.55	0.69	0.29	0.29
NPV	0.95	0.90	0.89	0.86	0.86
F1 score	0.77	0.63	0.72	0.41	0.42
Kappa	0.69	0.48	0.59	0.15	0.15
Yoden index	0.75	0.50	0.61	0.22	0.23

279

280 **Prediction of COVID-19 on CT images from pathogenic negative**
281 **patients.**

282 Because high false negative results were frequently reported from nucleic
283 acid testing, we aimed to test whether the algorithm could detect COVID-19
284 when the pathogenic test came negative. To achieve this goal, we enrolled
285 additional 15 COVID-19 cases, in which initial two nucleic acid tests came
286 negative and for the third test they became positive. These CT results were
287 taken on the same day of the nucleic acid tests (**Figure 5**). Interestingly, we
288 found that, 46 out of the 54 images when nucleic acid test results were
289 negative were predicted as COVID-19 positive by the algorithm, with the
290 accuracy of 85.2%. These results indicate that the algorithm has high value
291 serving as a screening method for COVID-19.



292

293 **Figure 5.** Representative images from a COVID-19 patient with two negatively
294 reported nucleic acid tests at earlier stages and one final positively reported
295 test at a later stage. On the left, only one inflammatory lesion (blue arrow) can
296 be seen near diaphragm. In the middle, lesions (yellow arrows) were found in
297 two levels of images. On the right, the images were taken on the ninth day
298 after admission. The inflammation continued to progress, extending to both
299 lungs (red arrows), and the nucleic acid test became positive.

300

301

Discussion

302 Timely diagnosis and triaging of PUIs are crucial for the control of
303 emerging infectious diseases such as the current COVID-19. Due to the
304 limitation of nucleic acid -based laboratory testing, there is an urgent need to
305 look for fast alternative methods that can be used by front-line health care
306 personals for quickly and accurately diagnosing the disease {Rao, 2020 #67}.
307 In the present study, we have developed an AI program by analyzing
308 representative CT images using a deep learning method. This is a
309 retrospective, multicohort, diagnostic study using our modified Inception
310 migration neuro network, which has achieved an overall 89.5% accuracy.
311 Moreover, the high performance of the deep learning model we developed in
312 this study was tested using external samples with 79.3% accuracy. More
313 importantly, as a screening method, our model achieved a relative high
314 sensitivity, 0.88 and 0.83 on internal and external datasets, respectively.
315 Furthermore, the model achieved a performance on the patient level, with the
316 accuracy of 82.5%. During current COVID-19 global pandemics, this CNN
317 model can potentially serve as a powerful tool for COVID-19 screening.

318 It is important to note that our model aims to distinguish between
319 COVID-19 and other typical viral pneumonia, both of which have similar
320 radiologic characteristics. We compared the performance of our model with
321 that of two skilled radiologists, and our model has shown much higher
322 accuracy and sensitivity. These findings have demonstrated the

323 proof-of-principle that deep learning can extract CT image features of
324 COVID-19 for diagnostic purposes. Using the supercomputer system, the time
325 for each case takes only about 10 seconds, and it can be performed remotely
326 via a shared public platform. Therefore, further developing this system can
327 significantly shorten the diagnosis time for disease screening, especially at the
328 time when many places have nucleic acid test shortage. Our study represents
329 the first study to apply artificial intelligence technologies to CT images for
330 effectively screening for COVID-19.

331 The gold standard for COVID-19 diagnosis has been nucleic acid based
332 detection for the existence of specific sequences of the SARS-COV-2 gene.
333 While we still value the importance of nucleic acid detection in the diagnosis of
334 SARS-COV-2 infection, we must also note that the high number of false
335 negatives due to several factors such as methodological disadvantages,
336 disease stages, and methods for specimen collection might delay diagnosis
337 and disease control. Recent data have suggested that the accuracy of nucleic
338 acid testing is only about 30-50% [6,7,8]. Using CT imaging feature extraction,
339 we are able to achieve above 89.5% accuracy, significantly outplaying nucleic
340 acid testing. More interestingly, testing CT images from COVID-19 patients
341 when initial pathogenic testing came negative, our model has achieved the
342 accuracy of 85.2% for correctly predicting COVID-19. According to a study
343 authored by Xia L et al, 75% patients with negative RT-PCR results had
344 positive CT findings [17]. The study also recommended that chest CT as a

345 primary tool for the current COVID-19 detection in affected areas.

346 Deep learning methods have been used to solve data-rich biology and
347 medicine. A large number of labeled data is required for training [18]. Although
348 we are satisfied with the initial results, we believe that with more CT images
349 included in the training, we will achieve higher accuracy. Therefore, further
350 optimizing and testing this system is warranted. To achieve this, we have
351 generated a webpage that licensed healthcare personnel can access to upload
352 CT images for testing and validation. The webpage information is as following:
353 https://ai.nscg-tj.cn/thai/deploy/public/pneumonia_ct.

354 There are some limitations to our study. Although DL has been used to
355 represent and learn predictable relationships in many diverse forms of data,
356 and it holds promise for applications in precision medicine, many factors such
357 as low signal to noise and complex data integration have challenged the DL
358 efficacy [19]. CT images represent a difficult classification task due to the
359 relatively large number of variable objects, specifically the imaged areas
360 outside the lungs that are irrelevant to the diagnosis of pneumonia [12]. In
361 addition, the training data set is relatively small. The performance of this
362 system is expected to increase when the training volume is increased. It
363 should also be noted that, the features of the CT images we analyzed were
364 from patients with severe lung lesions at later stages of disease development.
365 Although we have enrolled 15 cases of COVID patients for assessing the value
366 of the algorithm for early diagnosis, larger numbers of database to associate

367 this with the disease progress and all pathologic stages of COVID-19 is
368 necessary to optimize the diagnostic system.

369 In future, we intend to link hierarchical features of CT images to features of
370 other factors such as genetic, epidemiological and clinical information for
371 multi-modeling analysis for an enhanced diagnosis. The artificial intelligence
372 system developed in our study should significantly contribute to COVID-19
373 disease control by reducing the number of PUIs for timely quarantine and
374 treatment.

375

376 **Ethics Committee Approval and Patient Consent:** This study complies with
377 the Institutional Review Board of each participating institutes. Informed
378 consent was exempted by the IRB because of the retrospective nature of this
379 study.

380 **Funding Source:** None

381 **Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interest
382 exists

383 **Abbreviations:**

384 COVID-19, Corona Virus Disease

385 CT, Computed Tomography

386 SARS-COV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2Convolutional

387 CNN, Neural Network

388 ROI, region of interest

389 M-Inception, modified Inception

390 AUC, Area Under Curve

391 PPV, Positive predictive value

392 NPV, Negative predictive value

393 CV, computer vision

394

395

Reference

396

- 397 1. Yang Y, Lu Q, Liu M, Wang Y, Zhang A, Jalali N, et al. Epidemiological and
398 clinical features of the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in China. medRxiv
399 [Preprint]. 2020 medRxiv [posted February 21], Available
400 from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.02.10.20021675v2> doi:10
401 .1101/2020.02.10.20021675
- 402 2. Rao ASRS, Vazquez JA. Identification of COVID-19 Can be Quicker through
403 Artificial Intelligence framework using a Mobile Phone-Based Survey in the
404 Populations when Cities/Towns Are Under. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.*
405 2020;1–18.
- 406 3. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of
407 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia
408 in Wuhan, China. *Jama.* 2020
- 409 4. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and
410 clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in
411 Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet.* 2020.
- 412 5. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission
413 Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. *The*
414 *New England journal of medicine* . 2020.
- 415 6. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of
416 patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet.* 2020.
- 417 7. Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, et al.

- 418 Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro
419 surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European
420 communicable disease bulletin. 2020; 25(3).
- 421 8. Chu DKW, Pan Y, Cheng SMS, Hui KPY, Krishnan P, Liu Y, et al. Molecular
422 Diagnosis of a Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Causing an Outbreak of
423 Pneumonia. *Clinical chemistry*. 2020.
- 424 9. Zhang N, Wang L, Deng X, Liang R, Su M, He C, et al. Recent advances in
425 the detection of respiratory virus infection in humans. *J Med Virol*. 2020.
- 426 10. Chung M, Bernheim A, Mei X, Zhang N, Huang M, Zeng X, et al. CT
427 Imaging Features of 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV). *Radiology*. 2020:
428 200230.
- 429 11. Gomez P, Semmler M, Schutzenberger A, Bohr C, Dollinger M. Low-light
430 image enhancement of high-speed endoscopic videos using a convolutional
431 neural network. *Med Biol Eng Comput*. 2019; 57(7): 1451-63.
- 432 12. Choe J, Lee SM, Do KH, Lee G, Lee JG, Lee SM, et al. Deep
433 Learning-based Image Conversion of CT Reconstruction Kernels Improves
434 Radiomics Reproducibility for Pulmonary Nodules or Masses. *Radiology*. 2019;
435 292(2): 365-73.
- 436 13. Kermany DS, Goldbaum M, Cai W, Valentim CCS, Liang H, Baxter SL, et al.
437 Identifying Medical Diagnoses and Treatable Diseases by Image-Based Deep
438 Learning. *Cell* 2018; 172(5): 1122-31.
- 439 14. Negassi M, Suarez-Ibarrola R, Hein S, Miernik A, Reiterer A. Application of

440 artificial neural networks for automated analysis of cystoscopic images: a
441 review of the current status and future prospects. *World J Urol.* 2020.

442 15. Wang P, Xiao X, Glissen Brown JR, Berzin TM, Tu M, Xiong F, et al.
443 Development and validation of a deep-learning algorithm for the detection of
444 polyps during colonoscopy. *Nat Biomed Eng.* 2018; 2(10): 741-8.

445 16. Koo HJ, Lim S, Choe J, Choi SH, Sung H, Do KH. Radiographic and CT
446 Features of Viral Pneumonia. *Radiographics.* 2018; 38(3): 719-39.

447 17. Ai T, Yang Z, Hou H, Zhan C, Chen C, Lv W, et al. Correlation of Chest CT
448 and RT-PCR Testing in Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: A
449 Report of 1014 Cases [published online ahead of print, 2020 Feb 26].
450 *Radiology.* 2020;200642.

451 18. Ching T, Himmelstein DS, Beaulieu-Jones BK, Kalinin AA, Do BT, Way GP, et
452 al. Opportunities and obstacles for deep learning in biology and medicine. *J R
453 Soc Interface.* 2018;15(141):20170387. doi:10.1098/rsif.2017.0387

454 19. Grapov D, Fahrmann J, Wanichthanarak K, Khoomrung S. Rise of Deep
455 Learning for Genomic, Proteomic, and Metabolomic Data Integration in
456 Precision Medicine. *OMICS.* 2018;22(10):630–636.

457