

A Hoare Logic for Diverging Programs

Johannes Åman Pojhola, Magnus O. Myreen, Miki Tanaka

May 26, 2024

Abstract

This submission contains: (1) a formalisation of a small While language with support for output; (2) a standard total-correctness Hoare logic that has been proved sound and complete; and (3) a new Hoare logic for proofs about programs that diverge: this new logic has also been proved sound and complete.

Background

The theories in this submission are a port of a [similar formalisation in HOL4](#), which, in turn, is a simplified version of a program logic for nonterminating CakeML programs [1] (which was not complete).

The HOL4 version of these theories was developed by Myreen with some lemmas proved by Åman Pojhola. The Isabelle/HOL theories were developed by Tanaka with some input from Åman Pojhola.

Contents

1	Miscellaneous lemmas	2
2	The definition of the While language	5
3	A standard total correctness Hoare logic	8
4	Lemmas about the While language	9
5	Soundness of the standard Hoare logic	26
6	A Hoare logic for diverging programs	29
7	Completeness of the standard Hoare logic	30
8	Completeness of Hoare logic for diverging programs	32
9	Soundness of Hoare logic for diverging programs	41

1 Miscellaneous lemmas

theory *MiscLemmas* **imports** *HOL-Library.Sublist* **begin**

inductive *star* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **for** *r* **where**

refl[*simp,intro*]: *star* *r* *x* *x*

| *step*: *r* *x* *y* \Longrightarrow *star* *r* *y* *z* \Longrightarrow *star* *r* *x* *z*

inductive *star-n* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **for** *r* **where**

refl-n: *star-n* *r* 0 *x* *x*

| *step-n*: *r* *x* *y* \Longrightarrow *star-n* *r* *n* *y* *z* \Longrightarrow *star-n* *r* (Suc *n*) *x* *z*

declare *star-n.intros*[*simp, intro*]

lemma *star-n-decompose*:

star-n *r* *n* *x* *y* \Longrightarrow *star-n* *r* *n'* *x* *z* \Longrightarrow *n'* < *n*

\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge *x* *y* *z*. *r* *x* *y* \Longrightarrow *r* *x* *z* \Longrightarrow *y* = *z*)

\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge *n* *x* *y* *z*. *star-n* *r* *n* *x* *y* \Longrightarrow *star-n* *r* *n* *x* *z* \Longrightarrow *y* = *z*)

\Longrightarrow *star-n* *r* (*n* - *n'*) *z* *y*

apply (*induct arbitrary*: *n'* *z* *rule*: *star-n.induct, simp*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*rename-tac* *n* *z* *n'* *za*)

apply (*subgoal-tac* Suc *n* - *n'* = Suc (*n* - *n'*))

prefer 2

apply *simp*

apply *simp*

apply (*case-tac* *n'* < *n*, *simp*)

apply (*case-tac* *n'*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*erule* *star-n.cases*[*of* - 0]; *simp*)

apply (*rename-tac* *z'* *m*)

apply *simp*

apply (*drule-tac* *x=m* **and** *y=z'* **in** *meta-spec2*)

apply (*subgoal-tac* Suc (*n* - Suc *m*) = *n* - *m*)

prefer 2

apply *arith*

apply *simp*

apply (*erule* *meta-mp*)

apply (*erule-tac* ?*a1.0*=Suc *m* **in** *star-n.cases*; *simp*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*rename-tac* *y* *n* *z* *x* *y'* *n'* *z'*)

apply (*drule-tac* *x=x* **and** *y=y* **in** *meta-spec2*)

apply (*drule-tac* *x=y'* **in** *meta-spec*)

apply *simp*

apply (*subgoal-tac* *n'* = *n*)

prefer 2

apply *arith*

```

apply simp
apply (case-tac n; simp)
apply clarsimp
apply (erule star-n.cases; simp)
apply (erule star-n.cases; simp)
apply (rename-tac za m)
apply (drule-tac x=n - (Suc 0) and y=za in meta-spec2)
apply simp
apply (erule meta-mp)
apply (drule-tac x=m and y=y in meta-spec2)
apply (rotate-tac -1)
apply (drule-tac x=z and y=za in meta-spec2)
apply (erule star-n.cases; simp)
apply (erule star-n.cases; simp)
by fastforce

```

lemma star-n-add:

$star\text{-}n\ r\ n\ x\ z \longleftrightarrow (\exists n1\ n2\ y. star\text{-}n\ r\ n1\ x\ y \wedge star\text{-}n\ r\ n2\ y\ z \wedge n = n1 + n2)$

proof (rule iffI)

assume star-n r n x z

from this **show** $\exists n1\ n2\ y. star\text{-}n\ r\ n1\ x\ y \wedge star\text{-}n\ r\ n2\ y\ z \wedge n = n1 + n2$

by (induct rule: star-n.induct; fastforce)

next

assume H0: $\exists n1\ n2\ y. star\text{-}n\ r\ n1\ x\ y \wedge star\text{-}n\ r\ n2\ y\ z \wedge n = n1 + n2$

have H: star-n r n1 x y \implies

star-n r n2 y z $\implies star\text{-}n\ r\ (n1 + n2)\ x\ z$ **for** y n1 n2

by (induct rule: star-n.induct; simp)

show star-n r n x z **using** H0 H **by** blast

qed

lemma star-star-n:

$star\ r\ x\ y \implies \exists n. star\text{-}n\ r\ n\ x\ y$

by (induct rule: star.induct; fastforce)

lemma star-n-star:

$star\text{-}n\ r\ n\ x\ y \implies star\ r\ x\ y$

by (induct rule: star-n.induct; fastforce elim!: step)

lemma star-eq-star-n:

$star\ r\ x\ y = (\exists n. star\text{-}n\ r\ n\ x\ y)$

by (meson star-n-star star-star-n)

lemma star-trans:

$star\ r\ x\ y \implies star\ r\ y\ z \implies star\ r\ x\ z$

by (meson star-eq-star-n star-n-add)

lemma step-rev:

$star\ r\ x\ y \implies r\ y\ z \implies star\ r\ x\ z$

by (meson star.simps star-trans)

lemma *star-n-trans*:

$star-n\ r\ n\ x\ y \implies star-n\ r\ n'\ y\ z \implies star-n\ r\ (n + n')\ x\ z$
using *star-n-add* **by** *force*

lemma *step-n-rev*:

$star-n\ r\ n\ x\ y \implies r\ y\ z \implies star-n\ r\ (Suc\ n)\ x\ z$
by (*induct rule: star-n.induct; clarsimp*)

lemma *star-n-lastE*:

$star-n\ r\ (Suc\ n)\ x\ z \implies$
 $(\bigwedge n'\ x'\ y'\ z'.\ n = n' \implies x = x' \implies z = z'$
 $\implies star-n\ r\ n'\ x'\ y' \implies r\ y'\ z' \implies P) \implies P$

proof (*induct n arbitrary: x z*)

case 0

then show *?case*

by *cases (erule star-n.cases; force)*

next

case (*Suc n*)

then show *?case*

by *cases (erule star-n.cases; force)+*

qed

lemma

star-n-conjunct1: $star-n\ (\lambda x\ y.\ P\ x\ y \wedge Q\ x\ y)\ n\ s\ t \implies star-n\ P\ n\ s\ t$ **and**

star-n-conjunct2: $star-n\ (\lambda x\ y.\ P\ x\ y \wedge Q\ x\ y)\ n\ s\ t \implies star-n\ Q\ n\ s\ t$ **and**

star-n-commute: $star-n\ (\lambda x\ y.\ P\ x\ y \wedge Q\ x\ y)\ n\ s\ t \implies star-n\ (\lambda x\ y.\ Q\ x\ y \wedge P\ x\ y)\ n\ s\ t$

by (*induct rule: star-n.induct; clarsimp*)+

lemma *forall-swap4*:

$(\forall x\ y\ z\ w.\ P\ x\ y\ z\ w) \longleftrightarrow (\forall z\ w\ y\ x.\ P\ x\ y\ z\ w)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *prefix-drop-append*:

$prefix\ xs\ ys \implies xs\ @\ drop\ (length\ xs)\ ys = ys$

by (*metis append-eq-conv-conj prefix-def*)

lemma *min-prefix*:

$\forall i.\ prefix\ (f\ i)\ (f\ (Suc\ i)) \implies (\forall i.\ prefix\ (f\ 0)\ (f\ i))$

apply *clarsimp*

using *prefix-order.lift-Suc-mono-le* **by** *blast*

definition *wf-to-wfP* **where**

$wf-to-wfP\ r \equiv \lambda x\ y.\ (x,\ y) \in r$

end

2 The definition of the While language

theory *WhileLang* **imports** *MiscLemmas Coinductive.Coinductive-List* **begin**

type-synonym *name* = *char list*
type-synonym *val* = *nat*
type-synonym *store* = *name* \Rightarrow *val*
type-synonym *exp* = *store* \Rightarrow *val*

datatype *prog* =
 Skip
 | *Assign name exp*
 | *Print exp*
 | *Seq prog prog*
 | *If exp prog prog*
 | *While exp prog*

type-synonym *out* = *val list*
type-synonym *state* = *store* \times *out*

definition *output-of* :: *state* \Rightarrow *out* **where**
 output-of $\equiv \lambda(-, out). out$

definition *subst* :: *name* \Rightarrow *exp* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *state* **where**
 subst n e $\equiv \lambda(s, out). (s(n := e s), out)$

definition *print* :: *exp* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *state* **where**
 print e $\equiv \lambda(s, out). (s, out @ [e s])$

definition *guard* :: *exp* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 guard x $\equiv \lambda(s, -). x s \neq 0$

inductive *step* :: *prog* \times *state* \Rightarrow *prog* \times *state* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 step-skip: *step* (*Skip*, *s*) (*Skip*, *s*)
 | *step-assign*: *step* (*Assign n x*, *s*) (*Skip*, *subst n x s*)
 | *step-print*: *step* (*Print x*, *s*) (*Skip*, *print x s*)
 | *step-seq1*: *step* (*Seq Skip q*, *s*) (*q*, *s*)
 | *step-seq2*: *step* (*p0*, *s0*) (*p1*, *s1*) $\Longrightarrow p0 \neq \text{Skip} \Longrightarrow \text{step} (\text{Seq } p0 \ q, s0) (\text{Seq } p1 \ q, s1)$
 | *step-if*: *step* (*If x p q*, *s*) ((*if guard x s then p else q*), *s*)
 | *step-while*: *step* (*While x p*, *s*) (*If x (Seq p (While x p)) Skip*, *s*)

declare *step.intros*[*simp, intro*]

inductive-cases *skipE*[*elim!*]: *step* (*Skip*, *s*) *ct*

inductive-cases *assignE*[*elim!*]: *step* (*Assign* *n* *x*, *s*) *ct*
inductive-cases *printE*[*elim!*]: *step* (*Print* *x*, *s*) *ct*
inductive-cases *seqE*[*elim*]: *step* (*Seq* *c1* *c2*, *s*) *ct*
inductive-cases *ifE*[*elim!*]: *step* (*If* *x* *c1* *c2*, *s*) *ct*
inductive-cases *whileE*[*elim*]: *step* (*While* *x* *p*, *s*) *ct*

lemmas *step-induct* = *step.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

inductive *terminates* **where**

star step (*p*, *s*) (*Skip*, *t*) \implies *terminates* *s* *p* *t*

inductive *diverges* **where**

$\forall t. \neg$ *terminates* *s* *p* *t*

\wedge *out* = *lSup* { *lList-of out* | *out*. $\exists q$ *t*. *star step* (*p*, *s*) (*q*, *t*, *out*) }

\implies

diverges *s* *p* *out*

lemma *step-exists'*:

$\exists t$. *step* (*prog*, (*s*, *out*)) *t*

proof (*induct prog*)

case *Skip*

then show ?*case* **by** *fastforce*

next

case (*Assign* *x1* *x2*)

then show ?*case*

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*rule-tac* *x=Skip* **in** *exI*)

apply (*rule-tac* *x=fst* (*subst* *x1* *x2* (*s*, *out*)) **in** *exI*)

apply (*rule-tac* *x=snd* (*subst* *x1* *x2* (*s*, *out*)) **in** *exI*)

by *fastforce*

next

case (*Print* *x*)

then show ?*case*

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*rule-tac* *x=Skip* **in** *exI*)

apply (*rule-tac* *x=fst* (*print* *x* (*s*, *out*)) **in** *exI*)

apply (*rule-tac* *x=snd* (*print* *x* (*s*, *out*)) **in** *exI*)

by *fastforce*

next

case (*Seq* *prog1* *prog2*)

then show ?*case*

apply (*case-tac* *prog1* = *Skip*)

by *fastforce+*

next

case (*If* *x1* *prog1* *prog2*)

then show ?*case* **by** *fastforce*

next

```

    case (While x1 prog)
  then show ?case by fastforce
qed

```

```

theorem step-exists:
   $\forall s. \exists t. \text{step } s \ t$ 
  using step-exists' by simp

```

```

theorem terminates-or-diverges:
   $(\exists t. \text{terminates } s \ p \ t) \vee (\exists \text{output}. \text{diverges } s \ p \ \text{output})$ 
  by (clarsimp simp: diverges.simps)

```

```

lemma step-deterministic':
   $\text{step } (\text{prog}, \text{st}, \text{out}) \ t1 \implies \text{step } (\text{prog}, \text{st}, \text{out}) \ t2 \implies t1 = t2$ 
proof (induct prog arbitrary: t1 t2)
  case Skip
  then show ?case by clarsimp
next
  case (Assign x1 x2)
  then show ?case by clarsimp
next
  case (Print x)
  then show ?case by clarsimp
next
  case (Seq prog1 prog2)
  then show ?case by (elim seqE; clarsimp)
next
  case (If x1 prog1 prog2)
  then show ?case by clarsimp
next
  case (While x1 prog)
  then show ?case by (elim whileE; clarsimp)
qed

```

```

theorem step-deterministic:
   $\text{step } s \ t1 \implies \text{step } s \ t2 \implies t1 = t2$ 
  apply (cases s)
  using step-deterministic' by clarsimp

```

```

lemma star-step-refl:
   $\text{star } \text{step } (\text{Skip}, t1) (\text{Skip}, t2) \implies t1 = t2$ 
  by (induct (Skip, t1) (Skip, t2) arbitrary: t1 t2 rule: star.induct; clarsimp)

```

```

theorem terminates-deterministic:
   $\text{terminates } s \ p \ t1 \implies \text{terminates } s \ p \ t2 \implies t1 = t2$ 
proof (simp add: terminates.simps, induct (p, s) (Skip, t1) arbitrary: p s t1 rule:
star.induct)
  case refl
  then show ?case

```

```

    by (clarsimp intro!: star-step-refl)
next
case (step y)
then show ?case
  apply (rotate-tac 3)
  apply (erule star.cases)
  apply clarsimp
  apply simp
  apply (drule (1) step-deterministic)
  apply simp
  apply (drule-tac x=fst ya and y=snd ya in meta-spec2)
  by simp
qed

```

```

theorem diverges-deterministic:
  diverges s p t1  $\implies$  diverges s p t2  $\implies$  t1 = t2
  by (simp add: diverges.simps)

end

```

3 A standard total correctness Hoare logic

```

theory StdLogic imports WhileLang begin

```

```

inductive hoare :: (state  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  prog  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  | h-skip[simp,intro!]: hoare P Skip P
  | h-assign[simp,intro!]: hoare ( $\lambda s. Q$  (subst n x s)) (Assign n x) Q
  | h-print[simp,intro!]: hoare ( $\lambda s. Q$  (print x s)) (Print x) Q
  | h-seq[intro!]: hoare P p M  $\implies$  hoare M q Q  $\implies$  hoare P (Seq p q) Q
  | h-if[intro!] : hoare ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge \text{guard } x s$ ) p Q
     $\implies$  hoare ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge \sim \text{guard } x s$ ) q Q  $\implies$  hoare P (If x p q) Q
  | h-while : ( $\bigwedge s0. \text{hoare } (\lambda s. P s \wedge \text{guard } x s \wedge s = s0) p (\lambda s. P s \wedge R s s0)$ )
     $\implies$  wfP R  $\implies$  hoare P (While x p) ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge \sim \text{guard } x s$ )
  | h-weaken: ( $\bigwedge s. P s \implies P' s$ )  $\implies$  hoare P' p Q'  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge s. Q' s \implies Q s$ )  $\implies$ 
  hoare P p Q

```

```

definition hoare-sem :: (state  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  prog  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  hoare-sem P p Q  $\equiv$ 
  ( $\forall s. P s \longrightarrow (\exists t. \text{terminates } s p t \wedge Q t)$ )

```

```

end

```


4 Lemmas about the While language

theory *WhileLangLemmas* **imports** *WhileLang Coinductive.Coinductive-List-Prefix*
begin

lemma *NRC-step-deterministic*:

$star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ x\ y \implies star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ x\ z \implies y = z$

proof (*induct rule: star-n.induct*)

case (*refl-n x*)

then show *?case*

apply $-$

by (*erule star-n.cases; simp*)

next

case (*step-n x y n z*)

then show *?case*

apply (*rotate-tac 3*)

apply (*erule star-n.cases, simp*)

apply *simp*

apply (*drule (1) step-deterministic*)

by *simp*

qed

inductive *exec where*

exec-skip: exec s Skip s

| *exec-assign: exec s (Assign n x) (subst n x s)*

| *exec-print: exec s (Print x) (print x s)*

| *exec-seq: exec s0 p s1 \implies exec s1 q s2 \implies exec s0 (Seq p q) s2*

| *exec-if: exec s (if guard x s then p else q) s1 \implies exec s (If x p q) s1*

| *exec-while1: \neg guard x s \implies exec s (While x p) s*

| *exec-while2: guard x s \implies exec s p s1 \implies exec s1 (While x p) s2
 \implies exec s (While x p) s2*

declare *exec.intros[intro!]*

lemma *NRC-step[simp]*:

$star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ (Skip, s)\ (Skip, t) \implies s = t$

by (*induct n (Skip, s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: s t rule: star-n.induct; clarsimp*)

lemma *terminates-Skip*:

$terminates\ s\ Skip\ t \iff s = t$

by (*fastforce simp: terminates.simps star-eq-star-n*)

lemma *NRC-assign[simp]*:

$star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ (Assign\ n'\ x, s)\ (Skip, t) \implies t = subst\ n'\ x\ s$

by (*induct n (Assign n' x, s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: n' x s t rule: star-n.induct*)
(fastforce dest: NRC-step)

lemma *terminates-Assign*:

$terminates\ s\ (Assign\ n\ x)\ t \iff t = subst\ n\ x\ s$

by (fastforce simp: terminates.simps star-eq-star-n)

lemma *NRC-print[simp]*:

star-n step n (Print x,s) (Skip, t) \implies t = print x s

by (induct n (Print x,s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: x s t rule: star-n.induct)
(fastforce dest: NRC-step)

lemma *terminates-Print*:

terminates s (Print x) t \longleftrightarrow t = print x s

by (fastforce simp: terminates.simps star-eq-star-n)

lemma *terminates-If*:

terminates s (If x p q) t \longleftrightarrow terminates s (if guard x s then p else q) t

proof –

have *NRC-if1*:

star-n step n (prog.If x p q, s) (Skip, t) \implies

$\exists n$. *star-n step n (if guard x s then p else q, s) (Skip, t) for n*

by (induct n (If x p q,s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: x p q s t rule: star-n.induct)
fastforce

have *NRC-if2*:

star-n step n (if guard x s then p else q, s) (Skip, t) \implies

$\exists n$. *star-n step n (prog.If x p q, s) (Skip, t) for n*

proof (induct n (if guard x s then p else q, s) (Skip, t)
arbitrary: x p q s t rule: star-n.induct)

case *refl-n*

then show ?case **by** (fastforce split: if-split-asm)

next

case (step-n y n)

then show ?case **by** (fastforce split: if-split-asm)

qed

show ?thesis

by (fastforce dest: NRC-if1 NRC-if2 simp: star-eq-star-n terminates.simps)

qed

lemma *terminates-While*:

terminates s (While f c) t \longleftrightarrow terminates s (If f (Seq c (While f c)) Skip) t

proof –

have *NRC-while1*:

star-n step n (While f c, s) (Skip, t) \implies

$\exists n$. *star-n step n (prog.If f (Seq c (While f c)) Skip, s) (Skip, t) for n*

by (induct n (While f c,s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: f c s t rule: star-n.induct)
fastforce

have *NRC-while2*:

star-n step n (prog.If f (Seq c (While f c)) Skip, s) (Skip, t) \implies

$\exists n$. *star-n step n (While f c, s) (Skip, t) for n*

by (induct n (If f (Seq c (While f c)) Skip, s) (Skip, t)
arbitrary: f c s t rule: star-n.induct)

fastforce

show *?thesis*
by (*fastforce dest: NRC-while1 NRC-while2 simp: star-eq-star-n terminates.simps*)
qed

definition *real-step where*
 $real\text{-}step \equiv \lambda(p, s) qt. p \neq Skip \wedge step(p, s) qt$

lemma *terminates:*
 $terminates\ s\ p\ t \iff star\ real\text{-}step\ (p, s)\ (Skip, t)$

proof –
have *P1: star-n step n (p, s) (Skip, t) \implies*
 $\exists n. star\text{-}n\ (\lambda(p, s) qt. p \neq Skip \wedge step(p, s) qt)\ n\ (p, s)\ (Skip, t)$ **for** *n*
proof (*induct n (p, s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: p s t rule: star-n.induct*)
case *refl-n*
then show *?case by fastforce*
next
case (*step-n y n*)
then show *?case*
apply (*drule-tac x=fst y and y=snd y in meta-spec2*)
apply *simp*
by *blast*

qed
have *P2: star-n ($\lambda(p, s) qt. p \neq Skip \wedge step(p, s) qt$) n (p, s) (Skip, t) \implies*
 $\exists n. star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ (p, s)\ (Skip, t)$ **for** *n*
by (*induct n (p, s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: p s t rule: star-n.induct fastforce+*)
show *?thesis*
by (*fastforce dest: P1 P2 simp: real-step-def star-eq-star-n terminates.simps*)
qed

lemma *NRC-real-step-Skip[simp]:*
 $star\text{-}n\ real\text{-}step\ n\ (Skip, s)\ (Skip, t) \iff n = 0 \wedge s = t$
apply (*rule iffI; simp?*)
by (*induct n (Skip, s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: s t rule: star-n.induct*)
(simp add: real-step-def)+

lemma *NRC-real-step-not-Skip:*
 $p \neq Skip \implies$
 $(star\text{-}n\ real\text{-}step\ n\ (p, s)\ (Skip, t) \iff$
 $(\exists k\ m. real\text{-}step\ (p, s)\ m \wedge star\text{-}n\ real\text{-}step\ k\ m\ (Skip, t) \wedge n = k + 1))$
apply (*rule iffI; rotate-tac*)
by (*induct n (p, s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: p s t rule: star-n.induct, simp*)
fastforce+

lemma *real-step-seqE:*
 $real\text{-}step\ (Seq\ p\ q, s)\ x \implies$
 $(x = (q, s) \implies p = Skip \implies P) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge p'\ s'. x = (Seq\ p'\ q, s') \implies real\text{-}step\ (p, s)\ (p', s') \implies p \neq Skip \implies P)$
 $\implies P$
by (*clarsimp simp: real-step-def (erule seqE; clarsimp)*)

lemma *real-steps-Seq*:

star-n real-step n (Seq p q,s) (Skip,t) \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists n1\ n2\ m.$
star-n real-step n1 (p,s) (Skip,m) \wedge
star-n real-step n2 (q,m) (Skip,t) $\wedge n = n1 + n2 + 1$)

proof

assume *H: star-n real-step n (Seq p q, s) (Skip, t)*

show $\exists n1\ n2\ m.$

star-n real-step n1 (p, s) (Skip, m) \wedge
*star-n real-step n2 (q, m) (Skip, t) $\wedge n = n1 + n2 + 1$ **using** *H**

proof (*induct n (Seq p q,s) (Skip,t) arbitrary: p q s t rule: star-n.induct*)

fix *y n p q s t*

assume *P1: real-step (Seq p q, s) y*

and *P2: star-n real-step n y (Skip, t)*

and *IH: $\bigwedge p\ q\ s. y = (Seq\ p\ q, s) \implies$*

$(\exists n1\ n2\ r. star-n\ real-step\ n1\ (p, s)\ (Skip, r) \wedge$
 $star-n\ real-step\ n2\ (q, r)\ (Skip, t) \wedge$
 $n = n1 + n2 + 1)$

then show $\exists n1\ n2\ r. star-n\ real-step\ n1\ (p, s)\ (Skip, r) \wedge$

$star-n\ real-step\ n2\ (q, r)\ (Skip, t) \wedge Suc\ n = n1 + n2 + 1$

by (*fastforce elim!: real-step-seqE*)

qed

next

assume *H: $\exists n1\ n2\ m.$*

star-n real-step n1 (p, s) (Skip, m) \wedge
star-n real-step n2 (q, m) (Skip, t) $\wedge n = n1 + n2 + 1$

show *star-n real-step n (Seq p q, s) (Skip, t)*

using *H*

apply $-$

apply (*case-tac p=Skip, clarsimp*)

apply (*rule step-n[rotated], simp*)

apply (*clarsimp simp: real-step-def*)

apply (*elim exE conjE*)

proof $-$

have *H: star-n real-step n1 (p, s) (Skip, m) \implies*

star-n real-step n2 (q, m) (Skip, t) \implies

p \neq Skip \implies

*star-n real-step (n1 + n2 + 1) (Seq p q, s) (Skip, t) **for** n1 n2 m*

apply (*induct n1 (p, s) (Skip, m) arbitrary: s p m rule: star-n.induct*)

apply (*clarsimp simp: real-step-def*)

apply (*rename-tac y n s p m*)

apply (*subgoal-tac real-step (Seq p q, s) (Seq (fst y) q, snd y)*)

prefer 2

apply (*clarsimp simp: real-step-def*)

apply (*case-tac fst y = Skip*)

apply (*subgoal-tac $\bigwedge a\ b. fst\ a = b \implies (\exists c. a = (b, c) \wedge c = snd\ a)$*)

prefer 2

apply *clarsimp*

```

apply (drule-tac  $x=y$  and  $y=Skip$  in meta-spec2)
apply (drule (1) meta-mp)
apply (elim exE conjE)
apply (rename-tac  $c$ )
apply (thin-tac  $c = snd\ y$ )
apply simp
apply (erule step-n)
apply (rule step-n[rotated])
  apply simp
apply (clarsimp simp: real-step-def)
apply clarsimp
done
thus  $\wedge n1\ n2\ m.$ 
   $p \neq Skip \implies$ 
   $star-n\ real-step\ n1\ (p, s)\ (Skip, m) \implies$ 
   $star-n\ real-step\ n2\ (q, m)\ (Skip, t) \implies$ 
   $n = n1 + n2 + 1 \implies$ 
   $star-n\ real-step\ n\ (Seq\ p\ q, s)\ (Skip, t)$  by blast
qed
qed

lemma terminates-Seq:
   $terminates\ s\ (Seq\ p\ q)\ t \iff (\exists m. terminates\ s\ p\ m \wedge terminates\ m\ q\ t)$ 
by (fastforce simp: terminates star-eq-star-n real-steps-Seq)

lemma terminates-eq-exec:
   $terminates\ s\ p\ t \iff exec\ s\ p\ t$ 
proof –
have  $P1: star-n\ real-step\ n\ (p, s)\ (Skip, t) \implies exec\ s\ p\ t$  for  $n$ 
proof (induct  $n$  arbitrary: p s t rule: nat-less-induct)
  case (1  $n$ )
  then show ?case
  apply –
  apply (case-tac  $p$ ; clarsimp)
  apply (erule star-n.cases;
    clarsimp simp: real-step-def NRC-real-step-Skip[simplified real-step-def])
  apply (drule sym[where  $s=subst\ -\ -$ ], clarsimp)
  apply (erule star-n.cases;
    clarsimp simp: real-step-def NRC-real-step-Skip[simplified real-step-def])
  apply (drule sym[where  $s=print\ -\ -$ ], clarsimp)
  apply (clarsimp simp: real-steps-Seq)
  apply (metis 1.hyps exec-seq less-add-Suc1 less-add-Suc2)
apply (erule star-n.cases;
  clarsimp simp: real-step-def NRC-real-step-Skip[simplified real-step-def]
  split: if-split-asm)

  apply fastforce
  apply fastforce
apply (erule star-n.cases; clarsimp simp: real-step-def)
apply (erule whileE, clarsimp)

```

```

apply (erule star-n.cases;
        clarsimp split: if-split-asm simp: NRC-real-step-Skip[simplified real-step-def])
defer
apply fastforce
apply (clarsimp simp: real-steps-Seq[simplified real-step-def])
by (meson exec-while2 less-SucI less-add-Suc1 less-add-Suc2)
qed
then have  $P1'$ : terminates s p t  $\implies$  exec s p t
  by (clarsimp simp: terminates star-eq-star-n)
have  $P2$ : exec s p t  $\implies$  terminates s p t
proof (induct s p t rule: exec.induct; clarsimp)
  case (exec-skip s)
    then show ?case by (clarsimp simp: terminates-Skip)
  next
    case (exec-assign s n x)
      then show ?case by (clarsimp simp: terminates-Assign)
    next
      case (exec-print s x)
        then show ?case by (clarsimp simp: terminates-Print)
      next
        case (exec-seq s0 p s1 q s2)
          then show ?case by (cases s1; fastforce simp: terminates-Seq)
        next
          case (exec-if s x p q s1)
            then show ?case by (cases s1; fastforce simp: terminates-If)
          next
            case (exec-while1 x s p)
              then show ?case by (clarsimp simp: terminates-While terminates-If termi-
nates-Skip)
            next
              case (exec-while2 x s p s1 s2)
                then show ?case
                  apply (simp (no-asm) add: terminates-While terminates-If terminates-Skip)
                  by (cases s1; fastforce simp: terminates-Seq)
            qed
show ?thesis using  $P1'$   $P2$  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma terminates-While-NRC:
  assumes terminates m p t
  assumes  $p = \text{While } f \ c$ 
  shows  $\exists n. \text{star-n } (\lambda s \ t. \text{guard } f \ s \wedge \text{terminates } s \ c \ t) \ n \ m \ t \wedge \neg \text{guard } f \ t$ 
  using assms
  apply (simp add: terminates-eq-exec)
  by (induct m While f c t arbitrary: f c rule: exec.induct; clarsimp; fastforce)

```

```

lemma not-diverges[simp]:
   $\sim \text{diverges } s \ \text{Skip } l$ 
   $\sim \text{diverges } s \ (\text{Assign } n \ x) \ l$ 

```

```

~diverges s (Print x) l
by (clarsimp simp: diverges.simps terminates-Skip terminates-Assign terminates-Print;
    metis surj-pair)+

lemma star-n-Skip:
  star-n step n (Skip, s) (c', t)  $\implies$  c' = Skip  $\wedge$  t = s
  apply (induct n)
  apply (erule star-n.cases; clarsimp)+
  done

lemma star-n-Seq:
  star-n step n (c, s) (c', t)  $\implies$ 
     $\exists$  n. star-n step n (Seq c p, s) (Seq c' p, t)
  apply (case-tac c=Skip)
  apply (fastforce dest!: star-n-Skip)
  apply (induct n arbitrary: c s)
  apply (erule star-n.cases; simp; rename-tac x; case-tac x; rule-tac x=0 in exI,
    clarsimp)
  apply (erule star-n.cases, simp)
  apply (rename-tac y na z)
  apply (drule-tac x=fst y and y=snd y in meta-spec2)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rename-tac ac ad bb na af bc)
  apply (case-tac ac=Skip, simp)
  apply (fastforce dest!: star-n-Skip)
  apply clarsimp
  apply fastforce
  done

lemma RTC-Seq:
  star step (c,s) (c',t)  $\implies$ 
  star step (Seq c p, s) (Seq c' p,t)
  apply (clarsimp simp: star-eq-star-n star-n-Seq)
  done

lemma step-output-mono:
  step s t  $\implies$  prefix (output-of (snd s)) (output-of (snd t))
  by (induct rule: step.induct; simp add: subst-def print-def split-def output-of-def)

lemma NRC-step-output-mono:
  star-n step k (c,s) (c',s')  $\implies$  prefix (output-of s) (output-of s')
  apply (induct k arbitrary: c s c' s')
  apply (erule star-n.cases; clarsimp)
  apply (erule star-n.cases; clarsimp)
  apply (drule step-output-mono)
  apply fastforce
  done

lemma lprefix-chain-RTC-step':

```

Complete-Partial-Order.chain *lprefix* {*llist-of out* | *out*. ($\exists q t$. *step* $x (q, t, out)$)}
apply (*rule chainI*)
apply *simp*
apply (*elim exE impE conjE*)
by (*metis lprefix-down-linear lprefix-llist-ofI prod.inject step-deterministic*)

lemma *star-n-step-decompose*:

$star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ x\ y \implies star\text{-}n\ step\ n'\ x\ z \implies n' < n$
 $\implies star\text{-}n\ step\ (n - n')\ z\ y$
by (*fastforce elim!: star-n-decompose step-deterministic NRC-step-deterministic*)

lemma *lprefix-chain-NRC-step'*:

$star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ x\ (q, t, out) \implies$
 $star\text{-}n\ step\ n'\ x\ (q', t', out') \implies$
 $lprefix\ (l\text{list-of}\ out)\ (l\text{list-of}\ out') \vee lprefix\ (l\text{list-of}\ out')\ (l\text{list-of}\ out)$
apply (*insert less-linear[of n n']*)
apply (*elim disjE*)
defer 2
apply (*drule* (2) *star-n-step-decompose*,
metis NRC-step-output-mono internal-case-prod-conv internal-case-prod-def
lprefix-llist-of output-of-def)
apply *simp*
apply (*drule* (1) *NRC-step-deterministic*)
by *fastforce*

lemma *lprefix-chain-NRC-step*:

Complete-Partial-Order.chain *lprefix* {*llist-of out* | *out*. ($\exists q t$. *star-n step* $n\ x$
 (q, t, out))}
by (*rule chainI*) (*force dest: lprefix-chain-NRC-step'*)

lemma *lprefix-chain-RTC-step*:

Complete-Partial-Order.chain *lprefix* {*llist-of out* | *out*. ($\exists q t$. *star step* $x (q, t, out)$)}
by (*rule chainI*) (*force dest: lprefix-chain-NRC-step' star-star-n*)

lemma *lprefix-chain-NRC-step-ex*:

Complete-Partial-Order.chain *lprefix* {*llist-of out* | *out*. ($\exists q t n$. *star-n step* $n\ x$
 (q, t, out))}
by (*subst star-eq-star-n[symmetric]*) (*rule lprefix-chain-RTC-step*)

definition *lprefix-rel* **where**

$lprefix\text{-}rel\ ls\ ls' \equiv \forall l \in ls. \exists l' \in ls'. lprefix\ l\ l'$

lemma *diverges-unique*:

$diverges\ s\ p\ l \implies \forall l'. diverges\ s\ p\ l' \longrightarrow l' = l$
using *diverges-deterministic* **by** *blast*

lemma *terminates-unique*:

$terminates\ s\ p\ t \implies \forall t'. terminates\ s\ p\ t' \longrightarrow t' = t$
using *terminates-deterministic* **by** *blast*

lemma *star-n-real-step-Seq-exact*:

star-n real-step n (c, s) (Skip, t) \implies star-n step n (Seq c c', s) (Seq Skip c', t)

by (*induct (c, s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: c s t rule: star-n.induct*) (*auto simp: real-step-def*)

lemma *star-n-real-step-Seq-exact'*:

star-n real-step n (c, s) (Skip, t) \implies star-n real-step n (Seq c c', s) (Seq Skip c', t)

by (*induct (c, s) (Skip, t) arbitrary: c s t rule: star-n.induct*) (*auto simp: real-step-def*)

lemma *div-Seq1-always-Seq*:

\llbracket *star-n step n (Seq c c', s) (q, s'); c \neq Skip;*

$\forall a b n. \neg$ *star-n step n (c, s) (Skip, a, b)* \rrbracket

$\implies \exists u. q = \text{Seq } u \text{ c}' \wedge u \neq \text{Skip}$

proof (*induct (Seq c c', s) (q, s') arbitrary: c c' q s s' rule: star-n.induct*)

case (*step-n y n*)

then show *?case*

by *cases fastforce+*

qed *clarsimp*

lemma *div-Seq1-steps*:

\llbracket *star-n step n (Seq c c', s) (Seq u c', s'); c \neq Skip;*

$\forall a b n. \neg$ *star-n step n (c, s) (Skip, a, b)* \rrbracket

\implies *star-n step n (c, s) (u, s')*

proof (*induct (Seq c c', s) (Seq u c', s') arbitrary: c c' u s s' rule: star-n.induct*)

case (*step-n y n*)

then show *?case by cases fastforce+*

qed *fastforce*

lemma *div-Seq1-lSup-eq*:

$\forall t. \neg$ *terminates s c t*

\implies *lSup {lList-of out | out. $\exists q t. \text{star step (c, s) (q, t, out)}$ }* =

lSup {lList-of out | out. $\exists q t. \text{star step (Seq c c', s) (q, t, out)}$ }

apply (*rule lprefix-antisym*)

apply (*rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step]*)

apply (*rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step]*)

apply (*clarsimp simp: terminates star-eq-star-n*)

apply (*drule star-n-Seq*)

apply *fastforce*

apply (*rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step]*)

apply (*rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step]*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*clarsimp simp: terminates.simps star-eq-star-n*)

apply (*frule div-Seq1-always-Seq*)

apply (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) star-n.simps surj-pair*)

apply *simp*
apply (*erule star-n.cases, fastforce*)
by (*metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) div-Seq1-steps prod.collapse refl-n step-n*)

lemma *star-n-real-step-step*:

$star-n\ real-step\ n\ x\ y \implies star-n\ step\ n\ x\ y$
by (*induct rule: star-n.induct; clarsimp*)
(metis (no-types, lifting) prod.simps(2) real-step-def star-n.simps)

lemma *div-Seq2-steps*:

$\llbracket star-n\ real-step\ n'\ (c,\ s)\ (Skip,\ s');\ n' < n;$
 $\forall t'\ n.\ \neg\ star-n\ real-step\ n\ (c',\ s')\ (Skip,\ t');$
 $star-n\ step\ n\ (Seq\ c\ c',\ s)\ (q,\ t,\ out)\rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists m\ q\ t'.\ star-n\ step\ m\ (c',\ s')\ (q,\ t',\ out)$
apply (*drule star-n-real-step-Seq-exact[where c'=c']*)
apply (*drule (2) star-n-step-decompose*)
apply (*erule-tac ?a1.0=n - n' in star-n.cases*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*erule step.cases; clarsimp*)
apply *fastforce*
done

lemma *div-Seq2-lSub-eq*:

$\llbracket terminates\ s\ c\ s';\ \forall t.\ \neg\ terminates\ s'\ c'\ t\rrbracket$
 $\implies lSup\ \{\llbracket list-of\ out\ |out.\ \exists q\ t.\ star\ step\ (Seq\ c\ c',\ s)\ (q,\ t,\ out)\rrbracket =$
 $lSup\ \{\llbracket list-of\ out\ |out.\ \exists q\ t.\ star\ step\ (c',\ s')\ (q,\ t,\ out)\rrbracket\}$
apply (*rule lprefix-antisym*)
apply (*rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step]*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*clarsimp simp: terminates star-eq-star-n*)
apply (*rename-tac n na*)
apply (*cases s; cases s'; simp*)
apply (*case-tac n < na*)
apply (*rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-NRC-step-ex]*)
apply (*drule (1) div-Seq2-steps; fastforce*)
apply (*clarsimp simp: not-less*)
apply (*drule star-n-real-step-Seq-exact[where c'=c']*)
apply (*rename-tac aa ba*)
apply (*subgoal-tac lprefix (lList-of ba)*
 $(lSup\ \{\llbracket list-of\ out\ |out.\ \exists q\ t\ n.\ star-n\ step\ n\ (c',\ aa,\ ba)\ (q,\ t,$
 $out)\rrbracket\})$)
prefer 2
apply (*rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-NRC-step-ex]*)
apply *fastforce*
apply (*case-tac n=na; simp?*)
apply (*drule (1) NRC-step-deterministic*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*drule le-neq-trans, clarsimp, simp*)

```

apply (frule-tac  $n=n$  and  $n'=na$  in star-n-step-decompose; simp?)
apply (drule-tac  $k=n-na$  in NRC-step-output-mono)
apply (clarsimp simp: output-of-def)
apply (meson lprefix-llist-of lprefix-trans)
apply (rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply (rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply (clarsimp simp: terminates star-eq-star-n)
apply (drule star-n-real-step-Seq-exact[where  $c'=c'$ ])
apply (subgoal-tac step (Seq Skip  $c', s'$ ) ( $c', s'$ ))
apply (drule (1) step-n-rev)
apply (clarsimp dest!: star-n-star simp: star-eq-star-n[symmetric])
apply (drule (1) star-trans)
apply fastforce
apply clarsimp
done

```

lemma *diverges-Seq*:

```

diverges s (Seq  $c c'$ )  $l \longleftrightarrow \text{diverges } s \ c \ l \vee (\exists t. \text{terminates } s \ c \ t \wedge \text{diverges } t \ c' \ l)$ 
apply (rule iffI)
apply (case-tac  $\exists t. \text{terminates } s \ c \ t$ ; simp)
  prefer 2
  apply (erule diverges.cases)
  apply (rule diverges.intros)
  apply (clarsimp simp: terminates.simps star-eq-star-n)
  apply (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) div-Seq1-steps div-Seq1-always-Seq refl-n
star-n-Seq)
  apply (rule disjI2, clarsimp)
  apply (rename-tac  $a \ b$ )
  apply (rule-tac  $x=a$  in exI, rule-tac  $x=b$  in exI, clarsimp)
  apply (clarsimp simp: diverges.simps terminates-Seq)
  apply (simp add: terminates-Seq forall-swap4)
  apply (drule-tac  $x=a$  and  $y=b$  in spec2)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (drule div-Seq2-lSub-eq; fastforce)
apply (erule disjE)
  apply (rule diverges.intros, clarsimp)
  apply (rule conjI; clarsimp?)
  apply (erule diverges.cases, clarsimp)
  apply (simp add: terminates-Seq)
  apply (fastforce simp: div-Seq1-lSup-eq diverges.simps)
apply clarsimp
apply (erule diverges.cases, clarsimp)
apply (rule diverges.intros, clarsimp)
apply (rule conjI; clarsimp?)
using terminates-Seq terminates-deterministic apply blast
apply (drule div-Seq2-lSub-eq)
by simp+

```

lemma *div-true-If-lSup-eq*:

```

[[ $\forall t. \neg \text{terminates } s \ p \ t; \text{ guard } f \ s$ ]]
   $\implies$   $\text{lSup} \{ \text{lList-of out} \mid \text{out}. \exists qa \ t. \text{star step } (\text{prog.If } f \ p \ q, \ s) \ (qa, \ t, \ \text{out}) \} =$ 
     $\text{lSup} \{ \text{lList-of out} \mid \text{out}. \exists q \ t. \text{star step } (p, \ s) \ (q, \ t, \ \text{out}) \}$ 
apply (rule lprefix-antisym)
apply (rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply (rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply clarsimp
apply (erule star.cases; fastforce)
apply (rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply (rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply clarsimp
apply (subgoal-tac step (If f p q, s) (if guard f s then p else q, s))
apply simp
apply (drule (1) step[where r=step])
apply fastforce
apply (rule step-if)
done

```

lemma *div-false-If-lSup-Eq*:

```

[[ $\forall t. \neg \text{terminates } s \ q \ t; \neg \text{guard } f \ s$ ]]
   $\implies$   $\text{lSup}$ 
     $\{ \text{lList-of out} \mid \text{out}. \exists qa \ t. \text{star step } (\text{prog.If } f \ p \ q, \ s) \ (qa, \ t, \ \text{out}) \} =$ 
     $\text{lSup} \{ \text{lList-of out} \mid \text{out}. \exists q' \ t. \text{star step } (q, \ s) \ (q', \ t, \ \text{out}) \}$ 
apply (rule lprefix-antisym)
apply (rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply (rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply clarsimp
apply (erule star.cases; fastforce)
apply (rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply (rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply clarsimp
apply (subgoal-tac step (If f p q, s) (if guard f s then p else q, s))
apply simp
apply (drule (1) step[where r=step])
apply fastforce
apply (rule step-if)
done

```

lemma *diverges-If*:

```

diverges s (If f p q) l = diverges s (if guard f s then p else q) l
by (fastforce simp: diverges.simps terminates-If
    div-true-If-lSup-eq div-false-If-lSup-Eq)

```

lemma *While-body-add3real-step*:

```

[[star-n real-step n (c, s) (Skip, s'); guard g s]]
   $\implies$  star-n real-step (n + 3) (While g c, s) (While g c, s')
apply (drule star-n-real-step-Seq-exact[where c'=While g c])
apply (subgoal-tac real-step (Seq Skip (While g c), s') (While g c, s'))
apply (drule (1) step-n-rev)

```

```

apply (thin-tac real-step - -)
apply (subgoal-tac real-step (If g (Seq c (While g c)) Skip, s)
      (if guard g s then Seq c (While g c) else Skip, s))
apply (simp only: if-True)
apply (drule (1) step-n[rotated])
apply (thin-tac real-step - -)
apply (subgoal-tac real-step (While g c, s) (If g (Seq c (While g c)) Skip, s))
apply (drule (1) step-n[rotated])
apply (thin-tac real-step - -)
apply (simp add: numeral-3-eq-3)
apply (fastforce simp: real-step-def)+
apply (simp (no-asm) add: real-step-def)
apply (fastforce simp: real-step-def)
done

```

lemmas *While-body-add3step = While-body-add3real-step[THEN star-n-real-step-step]*

lemma *div-body-While-lSup-eq:*

```

[[guard g s;  $\forall t. \neg \text{terminates } s \ c \ t$ ]
   $\implies \text{lSup } \{\text{lList-of out} \mid \text{out}. \exists q \ t. \text{star step } (c, s) \ (q, t, \text{out})\} =$ 
   $\text{lSup } \{\text{lList-of out} \mid \text{out}. \exists q \ t. \text{star step } (While \ g \ c, s) \ (q, t, \text{out})\}$ 
apply (rule lprefix-antisym)
apply (rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply clarsimp
apply (rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply (clarsimp simp: star-eq-star-n)
apply (subgoal-tac step (If g (Seq c (While g c)) Skip, s)
      (if guard g s then (Seq c (While g c)) else Skip, s))

  prefer 2
  apply (rule step-if)
apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac step (While g c, s) (If g (Seq c (While g c)) Skip, s))
  prefer 2
  apply (rule step-while)
apply (drule star-n-Seq[where p=While g c])
apply (elim exE)
apply fastforce
apply (frule div-Seq1-lSup-eq[where c'=While g c])
apply (frule div-true-If-lSup-eq[where p=Seq c (While g c) and q=Skip, rotated,
THEN sym])
  apply (clarsimp simp: terminates-Seq)
apply simp
apply (rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply (rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply (clarsimp simp: star-eq-star-n)
apply (erule star-n.cases)
  apply fastforce
apply clarsimp
apply (erule step.cases; simp)

```

apply *clarsimp*
apply *fastforce*
done

lemma *inf-loop-While-steps*:

$\llbracket \text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n' (c, s) (\text{Skip}, s'); n' + 3 < n; \text{guard } g \text{ } s;$
 $\text{star-}n \text{ step } n (\text{While } g \text{ } c, s) (q, t, \text{out}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists q' t' n. \text{star-}n \text{ step } n (\text{While } g \text{ } c, s') (q', t', \text{out})$
by(*blast dest: While-body-add3step star-n-step-decompose*)

lemma *inf-loop-While-lSup-eq*:

$\llbracket \text{guard } g \text{ } s; \text{terminates } s \text{ } c (a, b); \forall aa \text{ } ba. \neg \text{terminates } (a, b) (\text{While } g \text{ } c) (aa,$
 $ba) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{lSup } \{\text{lList-of out } | \text{out}. \exists q t. \text{star step } (\text{While } g \text{ } c, a, b) (q, t, \text{out})\} =$
 $\text{lSup } \{\text{lList-of out } | \text{out}. \exists q t. \text{star step } (\text{While } g \text{ } c, s) (q, t, \text{out})\}$

apply (*rule lprefix-antisym*)
apply (*rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step]*)
apply (*rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step]*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*clarsimp simp: terminates star-eq-star-n*)
apply (*frule (1) While-body-add3step*)
apply (*drule (1) star-n-trans, fastforce*)
apply (*rule chain-lSup-lprefix[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step]*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*clarsimp simp: terminates star-eq-star-n*)
apply (*rename-tac n na*)
apply (*case-tac n + 3 < na*)
apply (*rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-NRC-step-ex]*)
apply (*fastforce dest!: inf-loop-While-steps*)
apply (*clarsimp simp: not-less*)
apply (*drule (1) While-body-add3step*)
apply (*rule lprefix-trans[of - lList-of b]*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*case-tac na = n + 3; simp?*)
apply (*drule (1) NRC-step-deterministic*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*drule le-neq-trans, clarsimp, simp*)
apply (*frule-tac n=n+3 and n'=na in star-n-step-decompose; simp?*)
apply (*drule-tac k=n+3-na in NRC-step-output-mono*)
apply (*clarsimp simp: output-of-def*)
apply (*rule chain-lprefix-lSup[OF lprefix-chain-NRC-step-ex]*)
apply *fastforce*
done

lemma *diverges-While*:

$\text{diverges } s (\text{While } g \text{ } c) l \iff \text{diverges } s (\text{If } g (\text{Seq } c (\text{While } g \text{ } c)) \text{Skip}) l$
apply (*rule iffI; clarsimp simp: diverges-If diverges-Seq*)
defer
apply (*case-tac guard g s; simp*)

```

apply (clarsimp simp: diverges-Seq)
apply (erule disjE; clarsimp?)
  apply (clarsimp simp: diverges.simps terminates-While terminates-If terminates-Seq)
    apply (fastforce simp: div-body-While-lSup-eq)
    apply (simp (no-asm) add: diverges.simps terminates-While terminates-If)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (simp only: terminates-Seq del: )
    apply (rule conjI)
    apply (clarsimp, drule (1) terminates-deterministic, clarsimp simp: diverges.simps)
    apply (clarsimp simp: diverges.simps)
    apply (fastforce simp: inf-loop-While-lSup-eq)
apply (case-tac guard g s; simp)
apply (subgoal-tac diverges s (While g c) l  $\implies$  guard g s
   $\implies$  ( $\forall a b. \neg$  terminates s (Seq c (While g c)) (a, b)))
  prefer 2
  apply (clarsimp simp: diverges.simps terminates-While terminates-If)
apply (clarsimp simp: terminates-Seq diverges.simps)
apply (rule context-conjI)
  prefer 2
  apply (fastforce simp: div-body-While-lSup-eq)
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac a b)
apply (simp add: forall-swap4)
apply (drule-tac x=a and y=b in spec2)
apply clarsimp
apply (rotate-tac 2)
apply (drule-tac x=a and y=b in spec2)
apply clarsimp
apply (erule notE)
apply (fastforce simp: inf-loop-While-lSup-eq)
apply (clarsimp simp: diverges.simps terminates-While terminates-If)
apply (clarsimp simp: terminates.simps)
by (metis star.refl surj-pair)

```

lemma *NRC-terminates*:

```

assumes star-n ( $\lambda x y. \text{terminates } x \ c \ y$ ) i s t
shows  $\forall t1. \text{star-n } (\lambda x y. \text{terminates } x \ c \ y) \ i \ s \ t1 \longleftrightarrow (t = t1)$ 
proof -
have  $\bigwedge a \ b. \text{star-n } (\lambda x. \text{terminates } x \ c) \ i \ s \ (a, b) \implies t = (a, b)$  using assms
proof (induct i arbitrary: s t)
  case 0
  show ?case using 0
  by cases (erule star-n.cases; simp)
next
case (Suc i)
show ?case using Suc.prems
  apply cases
  apply (erule star-n.cases; simp)

```

```

    by(blast dest: terminates-deterministic Suc.hyps)
  qed
  thus ?thesis using assms by fastforce
  qed

```

lemma *step-output-append*:

```

  step (c, a, b) (c', a', b')  $\implies \exists new. b' = b @ new$ 
  by (induct c arbitrary: c' a a' b; fastforce simp: subst-def print-def dest!: seqE
  whileE)

```

lemma *step-output-extend'*:

```

  step (c, a, b) (c', a', b @ new)  $\implies \forall xs. step (c, a, xs) (c', a', xs @ new)$ 

```

```

  apply (induct c arbitrary: c' a a' b)
    apply clarsimp
    apply clarsimp
    apply (rename-tac x1 x2 a a' b xs)
    apply (cases new; simp)
    apply (subgoal-tac (a', xs) = subst x1 x2 (a, xs))
      apply clarsimp
      apply (clarsimp simp: subst-def)
      apply (clarsimp simp: subst-def)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (rename-tac x a a' b xs)
    apply (subgoal-tac (a', xs @ new) = print x (a, xs))
      apply clarsimp
      apply (clarsimp simp: print-def)
    apply (erule seqE)
    apply fastforce
    apply fastforce
    apply (erule ifE)
    apply (erule Pair-inject)
    apply (erule Pair-inject)
    apply (simp only: append-self-conv append.right-neutral)
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (rename-tac x1 c1 c2 c' a a' b xs)
    apply (subgoal-tac guard x1 (a, b) = guard x1 (a, xs))
      prefer 2
      apply (simp add: guard-def)
    apply force
    apply (erule whileE)
    apply (erule Pair-inject)
    apply (erule Pair-inject)
    apply (simp only: append-self-conv append.right-neutral)
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (rename-tac x1 c c' a a' b xs)
    apply (subgoal-tac guard x1 (a, b) = guard x1 (a, xs))
      prefer 2
      apply (simp add: guard-def)
    apply force

```


done

lemma *step-output-extend*:

$step (c, a, b) (c', a', b') \implies \exists new. b' = b @ new \wedge (\forall xs. step (c, a, xs) (c', a', xs @ new))$

by (*metis step-output-append step-output-extend'*)

lemma *star-n-real-step-output-extend*:

$star-n \text{ real-step } n (c, s) (Skip, t) \implies$
 $\exists new. snd t = snd s @ new \wedge$
 $(\forall xs. \exists n. star-n \text{ real-step } n (c, fst s, xs)$
 $(Skip, fst t, xs @ new))$

apply (*induct n arbitrary: c s t*)

apply (*erule star-n.cases; fastforce*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*erule star-n.cases; simp*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*rename-tac c a b c' a0 b0 n a' b'*)

apply (*drule-tac x=c' in meta-spec*)

apply (*drule-tac x=a0 and y=b0 in meta-spec2*)

apply (*drule-tac x=a' and y=b' in meta-spec2*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*subgoal-tac c \neq Skip \wedge step (c, a, b) (c', a0, b0)*)

prefer 2

apply (*clarsimp simp: real-step-def*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*drule step-output-extend*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*rotate-tac -1*)

apply (*rename-tac new newa xs*)

apply (*drule-tac x=xs in spec*)

apply (*drule-tac x=xs@newa in spec*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*thin-tac real-step - -*)

apply (*subgoal-tac real-step (c, a, xs) (c', a0, xs @ newa)*)

prefer 2

apply (*clarsimp simp: real-step-def*)

apply (*rename-tac na*)

apply (*rule-tac x=Suc na in exI*)

apply *fastforce*

done

lemma *terminates-history*:

$terminates s c t \implies$

$\exists new. snd t = snd s @ new \wedge$
 $(\forall xs. terminates (fst s, xs) c (fst t, xs @ new))$

apply (*clarsimp simp: terminates star-eq-star-n*)

using *star-n-real-step-output-extend by auto*

```

lemma terminates-ignores-history:
  terminates (s, out1) c (t, out2)  $\implies$ 
  terminates (s, []) c (t, drop (length out1) out2)
  by (metis append.simps(1) append-eq-conv-conj prod.sel(1) prod.sel(2) terminates-history)

end

```

5 Soundness of the standard Hoare logic

```

theory StdLogicSoundness imports StdLogic WhileLangLemmas begin

```

```

theorem Hoare-soundness:
  hoare P c Q  $\implies$  hoare-sem P c Q
proof (induct P c Q rule: hoare.induct)
  case (h-while P x p R)
  then show ?case
    apply (clarsimp simp only: hoare-sem-def)
    apply (rename-tac a b)
    apply (erule-tac P=P (a, b) in rev-mp)
    apply (erule wfP-induct)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (simp (no-asm) add: terminates-While)
    apply (simp (no-asm) add: terminates-If terminates-Seq terminates-Skip)
    by blast
  next
  case (h-weaken P P' p Q' Q)
  then show ?case
    apply (clarsimp simp: hoare-sem-def)
    apply (rename-tac a b)
    apply (drule-tac x=a and y=b in meta-spec2)
    by fastforce
qed (fastforce simp: hoare-sem-def terminates-Skip terminates-Assign
      terminates-Print terminates-Seq terminates-If)+

```

```

end
theory CoinductiveLemmas imports Coinductive.Coinductive-List begin

```

```

lemma lSup-lappend:
   $\llbracket$  Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix A; A  $\neq$  {}  $\rrbracket$ 
   $\implies$  lSup (lappend xs ' A) = lappend xs (lSup A)
apply (induct xs; clarsimp)
  defer
  apply (subst image-image[symmetric, where f= $\lambda x. LCons - x$ ])
  apply (clarsimp simp: lSup-LCons)
  apply (clarsimp simp: ccpo.admissible-def)
  apply (rename-tac B)
  apply (case-tac lfinite (lSup B))
  defer

```

```

apply (rule lprefix-antisym)
apply (rule chain-lSup-lprefix)
apply (rule chainI)
apply (fastforce simp: lappend-inf)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule chain-lprefix-lSup)
apply (rule chainI)
apply (fastforce simp: lappend-inf)
apply (fastforce simp: lappend-inf)
apply (erule lfinite-rev-induct)
apply clarsimp
by (metis mcont-contD mcont-lappend2)

```

```

lemma lSup-lmap:
  [[Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix A; A ≠ {}]]
  ⇒ lSup ((lmap f) ‘ A) = lmap f (lSup A)
by (metis mcont-contD mcont-lmap)

```

```

lemma lSup-lconcat:
  [[Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix A; A ≠ {}]]
  ⇒ lSup (lconcat ‘ A) = lconcat (lSup A)
by (metis mcont-contD mcont-lconcat)

```

```

lemma cpo-llist-of-upt:
  Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix {x. ∃ i. x = llist-of [0..]}
apply (rule chainI)
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac i i')
apply (case-tac i ≤ i')
apply (simp (no-asm) add: prefix-def)
apply (rule-tac x=[i..] in exI)
using le-Suc-ex upt-add-eq-append apply blast
apply (simp add: not-le)
apply (erule notE)
apply (drule less-imp-le)
apply (simp (no-asm) add: prefix-def)
apply (rule-tac x=[i'..] in exI)
using le-Suc-ex upt-add-eq-append by blast

```

```

lemma iterates-Suc-is-lSup-upt:
  iterates Suc 0 = lSup {x. ∃ i. x = llist-of [0..]}
proof (coinduct rule : llist.coinduct
  [where R=λx y. ltl x = lmap Suc x ∧ ltl y = lmap Suc y ∧ lnull x = lnull y
    ∧ lhd x = lhd y])
case Eq-llist
then show ?case
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add: lmap-iterates)
apply (subst lSup-lmap[symmetric, OF cpo-llist-of-upt])

```

```

  apply fastforce
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (rule arg-cong[where f=lSup])
  apply (rule equalityI; clarsimp simp: subset-iff image-def)
    apply (metis Suc-pred lmap-llist-of map-Suc-upt)
  apply (rename-tac i)
  apply (rule-tac x=llist-of [0..<Suc i] in bexI)
  apply (metis ltl-llist-of map-Suc-upt tl-upt)
  apply fastforce
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply fastforce
  apply (simp add: lSup.code)
  apply (rule conjI; clarsimp)
    apply (metis lnull-llist-of neq0-conv not-less upt-eq-Nil-conv)
  apply (rename-tac i)
  apply (rule the-equality; clarsimp simp: image-def)
  apply (rule-tac x=llist-of [0..<i] in bexI, clarsimp)
  apply fastforce
done
qed simp

```

abbreviation (*input*) *flat* :: 'a list llist \Rightarrow 'a llist **where**
flat *xs* \equiv *lconcat* (*lmap* *llist-of* *xs*)

lemma *flat-inf-llist-lSup*:

flat (*inf-llist* *f*) = *lSup* {*x*. $\exists i$. *x* = *llist-of* (*concat* (*map* *f* [0..<*i*]))}

```

  apply (clarsimp simp: Coinductive-List.inf-llist-def)
  apply (subst iterates-Suc-is-lSup-upt)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst lSup-lmap[symmetric, OF cpo-llist-of-upt])
  apply fastforce
  apply (clarsimp simp: image-def)
  apply (subst lSup-lmap[symmetric])
    apply (rule chainI)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) chain-def cpo-llist-of-upt lprefix-llist-of map-mono-prefix
    mem-Collect-eq)
  apply fastforce
  apply (clarsimp simp add: lconcat-llist-of[symmetric])
  apply (subst lmap-llist-of[symmetric])
  apply (subst llist.map-comp[symmetric])
  apply (subst lSup-lconcat[symmetric])
    apply (rule chainI)
  apply clarsimp
  using cpo-llist-of-upt
  apply (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) le-Suc-ex less-imp-add-positive map-mono-prefix
    not-less prefix-def upt-add-eq-append zero-le)
  apply fastforce

```

```

apply (rule arg-cong[of - - lSup])
apply (fastforce simp: image-def)
done

```

```

lemma upper-subset-lSup-eq:
  [[Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix B; A ⊆ B;
    ∀ x∈B. ∃ y∈A. lprefix x y]] ⇒ lSup B = lSup A
apply (rule lprefix-antisym)
apply (rule chain-lSup-lprefix, simp)
apply (rename-tac xs)
apply (drule-tac x=xs in bspec, simp)
apply (meson chain-subset less-imp-le llist.lub-upper lprefix-trans)
apply (rule chain-lSup-lprefix)
using chain-subset apply blast
using llist.lub-upper by blast

```

```

lemma lmap-iterates-id:
  lmap (λz. x) (iterates Suc 0) = iterates id x
apply coinduction
apply (simp add: lmap-iterates[symmetric] llist.map-comp)
done

```

end

6 A Hoare logic for diverging programs

```

theory DivLogic
  imports WhileLang StdLogic CoinductiveLemmas
begin

```

```

definition ignores-output :: (val ⇒ state ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool where
  ignores-output H ≡ ∀ i s out1 out2. H i (s,out1) = H i (s,out2)

```

```

inductive pohjola where
  p-seq-d: pohjola P p (D::val llist ⇒ bool) ⇒ pohjola P (Seq p q) D
| p-seq-h: hoare P p M ⇒ pohjola M q D ⇒ pohjola P (Seq p q) D
| p-if: pohjola (λs. P s ∧ guard x s) p D ⇒
  pohjola (λs. P s ∧ ~guard x s) q D ⇒ pohjola P (If x p q) D
|
  p-while1: (∧ s. P s ⇒
    (∃ H ev.
      guard x s ∧ H 0 s ∧ ignores-output H ∧
      D (flat (LCons (output-of s) (inf-llist ev)))) ∧
    (∀ i. hoare (λs. H i s ∧ output-of s = []) p
      (λs. H (i+1) s ∧ output-of s = ev i ∧ guard x s)))) ⇒
  pohjola P (While x p) D
|
  p-while2: (∀ s. P s → guard x s) ⇒ wfP R ⇒
  (∀ s0. hoare (λs. P s ∧ b s ∧ s = s0) p (λs. P s ∧ R s s0)) ⇒

```

```

    pohjola ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge \sim b s$ )  $p D \implies$  pohjola  $P (While\ x\ p) D$ 
| p-const: pohjola ( $\lambda s. False$ )  $p D$ 
| p-case: pohjola ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge b s$ )  $p D \implies$  pohjola ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge \sim b s$ )  $p D \implies$  pohjola
 $P\ p\ D$ 
| p-weaken: ( $\forall s. P s \longrightarrow P' s$ )  $\implies$  pohjola  $P' p D' \implies$  ( $\forall s. D' s \longrightarrow D s$ )  $\implies$ 
pohjola  $P\ p\ D$ 
print-theorems

```

definition *pohjola-sem* **where**

```

pohjola-sem  $P\ p\ D \equiv$ 
 $\forall s. P s \longrightarrow (\exists l. \text{diverges } s\ p\ l \wedge D l)$ 

```

end

7 Completeness of the standard Hoare logic

theory *StdLogicCompleteness* **imports** *StdLogic WhileLangLemmas* **begin**

lemma *Hoare-strengthen*:

```

( $\bigwedge s. P s \implies P' s$ )  $\implies$  hoare  $P' p Q \implies$  hoare  $P p Q$  using h-weaken by blast

```

lemma *Hoare-strengthen-post*:

```

( $\bigwedge s. Q' s \implies Q s$ )  $\implies$  hoare  $P p Q' \implies$  hoare  $P p Q$  using h-weaken by blast

```

theorem *Hoare-While*:

```

assumes h1: ( $\bigwedge s. P s \implies R s$ )
assumes h2: ( $\bigwedge s. R s \wedge \neg \text{guard } x\ s \implies Q s$ )
assumes h3:  $\bigwedge s0. \text{hoare } (\lambda s. R s \wedge \text{guard } x\ s \wedge s = s0) p (\lambda s. R s \wedge m\ s <$ 
 $((m\ s0)::nat))$ 
shows hoare  $P (While\ x\ p) Q$ 
apply (rule-tac  $P'=R$  and  $Q'=\lambda s. R s \wedge \neg \text{guard } x\ s$  in h-weaken; (simp add: h1
 $h2$ )?)
apply (rule h-while[OF h3])
apply (clarsimp simp: wfP-def)
using wf-measure[where  $f=m$ , simplified measure-def inv-image-def]
by auto

```

lemma *NRC-lemma*:

```

 $\text{star-n } (\lambda s\ t. \text{guard } f\ s \wedge \text{terminates } s\ c\ t) k0\ m\ t0 \implies$ 
 $\text{star-n } (\lambda s\ t. \text{guard } f\ s \wedge \text{terminates } s\ c\ t) k1\ m\ t1 \implies$ 
 $\neg \text{guard } f\ t0 \wedge \neg \text{guard } f\ t1 \implies$ 
 $t0 = t1 \wedge k0 = k1$ 

```

```

apply (induct  $k0$  arbitrary:  $k1\ m$ ; clarsimp)

```

```

apply (case-tac  $k1$ ; clarsimp)

```

```

apply (erule star-n.cases)+

```

```

apply clarsimp+

```

```

apply (erule star-n.cases)+

```

```

  apply clarsimp+
apply (case-tac k1; clarsimp)
  apply (erule star-n.cases)+
    apply clarsimp+
  apply (erule star-n.cases)+
    apply clarsimp+
  apply (rename-tac k1)
  apply (drule-tac x=k1 in meta-spec)
  apply (erule star-n.cases)
    apply clarsimp+
  apply (erule star-n.cases)
    apply clarsimp+
  apply (drule (1) terminates-deterministic)
  apply clarsimp
done

```

lemma *Hoare-terminates:*

hoare ($\lambda s. \exists t. \text{terminates } s \ c \ t \wedge Q \ t$) $c \ Q$

proof (*induct* c *arbitrary:* Q)

case (*Seq* $c1 \ c2$)

then show *?case*

apply (*clarsimp simp: terminates-Seq*)

apply (*rule-tac* $M=\lambda s. \exists s'. \text{terminates } s \ c2 \ s' \wedge Q \ s'$ **in** *h-seq*)

apply (*drule-tac* $x=\lambda s. \exists s'. \text{terminates } s \ c2 \ s' \wedge Q \ s'$ **in** *meta-spec*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*rule Hoare-strengthen[rotated], simp, fastforce*)

by *fastforce*

next

case (*If* $x1 \ c1 \ c2$)

then show *?case*

apply (*clarsimp simp: terminates-If*)

apply (*rule h-if*)

by (*rule Hoare-strengthen[rotated], fastforce+*)

next

case (*While* $x1 \ c$)

then show *?case*

apply (*rule-tac* $m=\lambda s. \text{THE } n. \exists t. (\text{star-n } (\lambda s \ t. \text{guard } x1 \ s \wedge \text{terminates } s \ c \ t) \ n \ s \ t)$

$\wedge \neg \text{guard } x1 \ t$

in *Hoare-While*)

apply *assumption*

apply (*clarsimp simp: terminates-While terminates-If terminates-Skip*)

apply (*rename-tac s0*)

apply (*rule-tac* $Q'=\lambda s. \text{terminates } s0 \ c \ s \wedge (\exists a \ b. \text{terminates } s \ (\text{While } x1 \ c) \ (a, b)$

$\wedge \text{guard } x1 \ s0 \wedge Q \ (a, b)$)

in *Hoare-strengthen-post[rotated]*)

apply (*drule-tac* $x=\lambda s. \text{terminates } s0 \ c \ s \wedge (\exists a \ b. \text{terminates } s \ (\text{While } x1 \ c) \ (a, b)$

```

∧ guard x1 s0 ∧ Q (a, b))
  in meta-spec)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule Hoare-strengthen[rotated])
  apply assumption
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst (asm) (β) terminates-While)
  apply (clarsimp simp: terminates-If terminates-Seq)
  apply fastforce
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply fastforce
  apply clarsimp
  apply (drule-tac p=While x1 c and f=x1 and c=c in terminates-While-NRC)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (erule exE)
  apply (subst the-equality)
  apply (rename-tac ab bb n)
  apply (rule-tac x=ab in exI, rule-tac x=bb in exI)
  apply (fastforce dest: NRC-lemma)+
  apply clarsimp
  apply (drule step-n[rotated], simp)
  apply (subst the-equality)
  apply (fastforce dest: NRC-lemma)+
  done
qed (clarsimp simp: terminates-Skip terminates-Assign terminates-Print)+

```

```

theorem Hoare-completeness:
  hoare-sem P c Q  $\implies$  hoare P c Q
  apply (unfold hoare-sem-def)
  using h-weaken[OF - Hoare-terminates] by blast

```

```

lemma hoare-pre-False:
  hoare (λ-. False) prog Q
  apply (rule Hoare-completeness)
  apply (simp add: hoare-sem-def)
  done

```

end

8 Completeness of Hoare logic for diverging programs

```

theory DivLogicCompleteness
  imports DivLogic StdLogicCompleteness StdLogicSoundness
  begin

```



```

declare pohjola.intros[intro, simp]
declare pohjola.intros(7)[simp del]
declare pohjola.intros(7)[rule del]
declare pohjola.intros(6)[rule del]

```

theorem *pohjola-strengthen*:

```

[[pohjola P' p D; ∀ s. P s → P' s]] ⇒ pohjola P p D
by blast

```

inductive *div-at-iteration* **where**

```

  guard f s ⇒ diverges s c l ⇒ D l ⇒ div-at-iteration 0 s f c D
| guard f s ⇒ terminates s c t ⇒ div-at-iteration n t f c D ⇒
  div-at-iteration (Suc n) s f c D

```

print-theorems

inductive-cases

```

div-at-0 [elim!]: div-at-iteration 0 s f c D and
div-at-S [elim!]: div-at-iteration (Suc n) s f c D

```

print-theorems

theorem *div-at-iteration-11*:

```

div-at-iteration i s f c D ⇒
  div-at-iteration j s f c D ⇒ i = j
apply (induct arbitrary: j rule: div-at-iteration.induct)
apply (erule div-at-iteration.cases; simp)
apply (erule diverges.cases; clarsimp)
apply (rotate-tac -1)
apply (erule div-at-iteration.cases)
apply (erule diverges.cases, blast)
apply safe
apply (drule (1) terminates-deterministic)
apply clarsimp
done

```

lemma *star-n-While-flatten*:

```

star-n (λ s t. star step (While x p, s) (While x p, t)
  ∧ terminates s p t ∧ guard x s) i s t
  ⇒ ∃ n'. star-n step n' (While x p, s) (While x p, t) ∧ n' ≥ i
apply (induct arbitrary: s t rule: nat-less-induct)
apply (rename-tac n s t)
apply (clarsimp simp: star-eq-star-n)
apply (case-tac n; simp)
apply clarsimp
apply (erule star-n.cases; clarsimp)
apply fastforce
apply clarsimp
apply (erule star-n.cases; clarsimp)
apply (rename-tac ac bc n ad bd na)
apply (drule-tac x=n in spec)

```

```

apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac  $x=ac$  and  $y=bc$  in spec2)
apply (drule-tac  $x=ad$  and  $y=bd$  in spec2)
apply simp
apply clarsimp
apply (clarsimp simp: terminates star-eq-star-n)
apply (rename-tac  $n'$   $nb$ )
apply (drule (1) While-body-add3step)
apply (thin-tac  $star-n - na -$ )
apply (frule (1) star-n-trans)
apply (rule-tac  $x=nb + 3 + n'$  in exI)
apply fastforce
done

```

lemma *diverges-init-state*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{terminates } s \ c \ t; \text{diverges } t \ (While \ g \ c) \ l; \text{guard } g \ s \rrbracket \implies \text{diverges } s \ (While \ g \ c) \ l$ 
apply (simp (no-asm) add: diverges-While diverges-If)
apply clarsimp
apply (simp (no-asm) add: diverges-Seq)
apply (rule disjI2)
apply (rule-tac  $x=fst \ t$  in exI, rule-tac  $x=snd \ t$  in exI)
by fastforce

```

lemma *diverges-init-state-n*:

```

 $\llbracket star-n \ (\lambda s \ t. \text{terminates } s \ c \ t \wedge \text{guard } g \ s) \ n \ s \ t; \text{diverges } t \ (While \ g \ c) \ l; \text{guard } g \ t \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{diverges } s \ (While \ g \ c) \ l$ 
apply (induct  $n$  arbitrary: s t)
apply (erule star-n.cases, clarsimp)
apply (clarsimp simp: terminates)
apply (erule star-n.cases; clarsimp)
apply (rename-tac  $a \ b \ a' \ b' \ n \ a'' \ b''$ )
apply (drule-tac  $x=a'$  and  $y=b'$  in meta-spec2)
apply (drule-tac  $x=a''$  and  $y=b''$  in meta-spec2)
apply clarsimp
apply (frule diverges-init-state; simp?)
done

```

lemma *div-at-i-unwind*:

```

div-at-iteration  $i \ s \ g \ c \ D$ 
 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists t. \text{star-n } (\lambda s \ t. \text{terminates } s \ c \ t \wedge \text{guard } g \ s) \ i \ s \ t \wedge \text{guard } g \ t$ 
 $\wedge (\exists l. \text{diverges } t \ c \ l \wedge D \ l))$ 

```

```

apply (rule iffI)
apply (induct  $i$  arbitrary: s)
apply fastforce
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac  $a' \ b'$ )
apply (drule-tac  $x=a'$  and  $y=b'$  in meta-spec2)
apply fastforce

```

```

apply clarsimp
apply (induct i arbitrary: s)
apply (erule star-n.cases; simp)
apply (rule div-at-iteration.intros(1); simp)
apply (erule star-n.cases; simp)
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac l a b a' b' n a'' b')
apply (rule div-at-iteration.intros(2); simp?)
apply (drule-tac x=a'' and y=b'' in meta-spec2)
apply (drule-tac x=l in meta-spec)
apply (drule-tac x=a' and y=b' in meta-spec2)
apply clarsimp
done

```

lemma *diverging-body-diverges*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{diverges } s \ c \ l; \text{ guard } g \ s \rrbracket \implies \text{diverges } s \ (\text{While } g \ c) \ l$ 
apply (simp add: diverges-While diverges-If)
apply (simp add: diverges-Seq)
done

```

lemma *non-diverging-inf-loop*:

```

 $\llbracket \forall i. \neg \text{div-at-iteration } i \ s \ g \ c \ D; \text{ diverges } s \ (\text{While } g \ c) \ l; \ D \ l \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \forall i. \exists t. \text{star-n } (\lambda s \ t. (\exists k. \text{star-n step } k \ (\text{While } g \ c, \ s) \ (\text{While } g \ c, \ t))$ 
 $\quad \wedge \text{terminates } s \ c \ t \wedge \text{guard } g \ s) \ i \ s \ t$ 

```

```

apply clarsimp
apply (simp add: diverges-While diverges-If)
apply (case-tac guard g s; simp)
apply (simp add: diverges-Seq)
apply (erule disjE)
apply (fastforce intro!: div-at-iteration.intros)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule nat.induct)
apply (cases s; blast)
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac a b n a' b')
apply (subgoal-tac guard g (a', b'))
prefer 2
apply (rule ccontr)
apply (frule star-n-While-flatten[simplified star-eq-star-n])
apply clarsimp
apply (subgoal-tac star-n step (Suc (Suc 0)) (While g c, a', b'))
 $(\text{if guard } g \ (a', \ b') \ \text{then Seq } c \ (\text{While } g \ c) \ \text{else Skip, } a', \ b')$ 
prefer 2
apply fastforce
apply (drule (1) star-n-trans)
apply (drule (2) diverges-init-state)
apply (clarsimp simp: diverges.simps terminates.simps star-eq-star-n)
apply (insert terminates-or-diverges)
apply (drule-tac x=(a', b') and y=c in meta-spec2)

```

apply (*erule disjE*)

apply *clarsimp*
apply (*rename-tac aa ba*)
apply (*rule-tac x=aa in exI*)
apply (*rule-tac x=ba in exI*)
apply (*rule step-n-rev, simp*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*clarsimp simp: terminates star-eq-star-n*)
apply (*rename-tac nb*)
apply (*drule-tac n=nb in While-body-add3step, simp, fastforce*)

apply *clarsimp*
apply (*frule (1) diverging-body-diverges*)
apply (*frule star-n-conjunct2*)
apply (*drule (2) diverges-init-state-n*)
apply (*drule (2) diverges-init-state*)
apply (*drule (1) diverges-deterministic, simp*)
apply (*simp add: div-at-i-unwind*)
apply (*drule star-n-conjunct2*)
by *blast*

lemma *While-lemma:*

$$\llbracket \forall i. \neg \text{div-at-iteration } i \text{ } s \text{ } g \text{ } c \text{ } D; \text{ diverges } s \text{ } (\text{While } g \text{ } c) \text{ } l; D \text{ } l \rrbracket$$
$$\implies \exists ts. ts \ 0 = s \wedge (\forall i. \text{guard } g \text{ } (ts \ i) \wedge \text{terminates } (ts \ i) \text{ } c \text{ } (ts \ (\text{Suc } i)))$$
$$\wedge (\exists k. \text{star-n step } (i+k) \text{ } (\text{While } g \text{ } c, ts \ 0) \text{ } (\text{While } g \text{ } c, ts \ i)))$$

apply (*rule-tac x= λi . SOME t. star-n ($\lambda s \ t$. terminates s c t) i s t in exI*)
apply (*rule context-conjI*)
apply (*rule some-equality; clarsimp?*)
apply (*erule star-n.cases; simp*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*rename-tac i*)
apply (*drule (2) non-diverging-inf-loop*)
apply (*rule someI2-ex*)
apply (*drule-tac x=i in spec, clarsimp*)
apply (*drule star-n-conjunct2[THEN star-n-conjunct1]*)
apply *fastforce*
apply (*frule-tac x=i in spec*)
apply (*elim exE conjE*)
apply (*frule star-n-conjunct2[THEN star-n-conjunct1]*)
apply (*rename-tac t*)
apply (*drule NRC-terminates, drule-tac x=t in spec, simp*)
apply (*drule-tac x=Suc i in spec*)
apply (*elim exE conjE*)
apply (*rename-tac a b*)
apply (*frule-tac t=(a, b) in star-n-conjunct2[THEN star-n-conjunct1]*)
apply (*erule star-n-lastE, clarsimp*)
apply (*rename-tac ad bd ae be k*)
apply (*frule-tac t=(ad, bd) in star-n-conjunct2[THEN star-n-conjunct1]*)


```

apply (rule equalityI; clarsimp simp: star-eq-star-n)
apply (drule star-n-step-output-extend)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac x=Nil in spec)
apply clarsimp
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) lappend-llist-of-llist-of mem-Collect-eq rev-image-eqI)
apply (drule star-n-step-output-extend)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac x=b in spec)
apply (rename-tac out q t n)
apply (rule-tac x=b@out in exI)
using lappend-llist-of-llist-of by blast

```

lemma *ts-accum*:

```

 $\forall i. \text{prefix } (\text{output-of } (ts\ i)) (\text{output-of } (ts\ (Suc\ i))) \implies$ 
 $\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda i. \text{drop } (\text{length } (\text{output-of } (ts\ i))) (\text{output-of } (ts\ (Suc\ i)))) [0..<i])$ 
 $= \text{drop } (\text{length } (\text{output-of } (ts\ 0))) (\text{output-of } (ts\ i))$ 
apply (induct i)
apply clarsimp
apply clarsimp
apply (rule injD[where f= $\lambda x. \text{output-of } (ts\ 0) @ x$ ])
apply (metis append-eq-append-conv injI)
apply (frule min-prefix)
apply (rotate-tac -1)
apply (rename-tac i)
apply (frule-tac x=i in spec)
apply (drule prefix-drop-append)
apply (drule-tac x=Suc i in spec)
apply (drule prefix-drop-append)
apply (simp only: append-assoc[symmetric])
apply (frule-tac x=i in spec)
apply (drule prefix-drop-append)
apply clarsimp
done

```

theorem *Pohjola-diverges*:

```

pohjola ( $\lambda s. \exists l. \text{diverges } s\ c\ l \wedge D\ l$ ) c D
proof (induct c)
case (Seq c1 c2)
then show ?case
apply (clarsimp simp: diverges-Seq)
apply (rule-tac b= $\lambda s. \exists l. \text{diverges } s\ c1\ l \wedge D\ l$  in p-case)
apply (rule pohjola-strengthen[where P= $\lambda s. P\ s \wedge Q\ s$  and P'=Q for P Q];
clarsimp)
apply (rule-tac P'= $\lambda s. \exists l. (\exists t. \text{terminates } s\ c1\ t \wedge \text{diverges } t\ c2\ l) \wedge D\ l$ 
in pohjola-strengthen[rotated])
apply fastforce

```

```

  apply (rule-tac  $M = \lambda s. \exists l. \text{diverges } s \ c2 \ l \wedge D \ l$  in p-seq-h; clarsimp)
  by (rule-tac  $Q' = \lambda s. \exists l. \text{diverges } s \ c2 \ l \wedge D \ l$  in h-weaken[OF - Hoare-terminates];
fastforce)
next
case (If  $x1 \ c1 \ c2$ )
then show ?case
  apply (clarsimp simp: diverges-If)
  apply (rule p-if)
  apply (erule pohjola-strengthen, clarsimp)
  by (erule pohjola-strengthen, clarsimp)
next
case (While  $x1 \ c$ )
then show ?case
  apply (rule-tac  $b = \lambda s. \exists i. \text{div-at-iteration } i \ s \ x1 \ c \ D$  in p-case)
  apply (rule-tac  $P' = \lambda s. \exists i. \text{div-at-iteration } i \ s \ x1 \ c \ D$  in pohjola-strengthen[rotated])
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule-tac  $R = \text{wf-to-wfP}$  (measure ( $\lambda s. \text{SOME } i. \text{div-at-iteration } i \ s \ x1 \ c$ 
D)))
    and  $b = \lambda s. \neg \text{div-at-iteration } 0 \ s \ x1 \ c \ D$ 
    in p-while2)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (erule div-at-iteration.cases; clarsimp)
  apply (simp only: wf-to-wfP-def wfP-def)
  using wf-measure
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) case-prodE mem-Collect-eq subsetI wf-subset)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule Hoare-completeness)
  apply (clarsimp simp: hoare-sem-def wf-to-wfP-def)
  apply (case-tac i; clarsimp)
  apply (rename-tac  $a \ b \ n \ a' \ b'$ )
  apply (rule-tac  $x = a'$  in exI, rule-tac  $x = b'$  in exI, clarsimp)
  apply (frule (2) div-at-iteration.intros(2))
  apply (rule conjI, fastforce)
  apply (rule someI2-ex, fastforce)
  apply (drule (1) div-at-iteration-11)
  apply (rule someI2, simp)
  apply (drule (1) div-at-iteration-11, clarsimp)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule pohjola-strengthen, simp)
  apply fastforce
  apply (rule p-while1, clarsimp)
  apply (drule (2) While-lemma)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule context-conjI)
  apply (drule-tac  $x = 0$  in spec, clarsimp)
  apply (rename-tac ts)
  apply (rule-tac  $x = \lambda i \ s. \text{fst } s = \text{fst } (ts \ i)$  in exI)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule context-conjI)

```

```

    apply (clarsimp simp: ignores-output-def)
  apply (rule-tac x= $\lambda i$ . drop (length (output-of (ts i))) (output-of (ts (Suc i))))
in exI)
  apply (rule conjI; clarsimp?)
  defer
  apply (rule Hoare-completeness)
  apply (clarsimp simp: hoare-sem-def)
  apply (rename-tac i)
  apply (frule-tac x=i in spec)
  apply (drule-tac x=Suc i in spec, clarsimp)
  apply (intro conjI; (clarsimp simp: output-of-def guard-def; fail)?)
  apply (drule terminates-history, clarsimp)
  apply (drule-tac x=Nil in spec)
  apply (clarsimp simp: split-def output-of-def)
  apply (thin-tac pohjola - - -)
  apply (clarsimp simp: diverges.simps)
  apply (erule back-subst[of D])
  apply (simp only: lappend-initial-output[THEN arg-cong[where f=lSup]])
  apply (subst lSup-lappend)
    apply (rule chainI)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (meson lprefix-chain-NRC-step' lprefix-llist-of star-eq-star-n)
  apply blast
  apply (rename-tac a b ts)
  apply (rule-tac f=lappend (llist-of b) in arg-cong)
  apply (subst lmap-inf-llist[symmetric])
  apply (simp only: flat-inf-llist-lSup)
  apply (subst ts-accum)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (rename-tac i)
    apply (drule-tac x=i in spec)
    apply safe
    apply (meson NRC-step-output-mono star-star-n terminates.cases)
  apply (rename-tac a b ts)
  apply (rule upper-subset-lSup-eq[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
    apply clarsimp
    apply (rename-tac i)
    apply (drule-tac x=i in spec)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (drule-tac star-n-step-output-extend, clarsimp simp: star-eq-star-n)
    apply (drule-tac x=Nil in spec)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) append-eq-conv-conj output-of-simp
prod.exhaust-sel)
    apply (clarsimp simp: star-eq-star-n)
    apply (rename-tac n)
    apply (subgoal-tac  $\exists i k$ . star-n step (i + k) (While x1 c, a, b) (While x1 c, ts
i)
 $\wedge n < i + k$ )

```



```

apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac i k)
apply (drule-tac x=i in spec)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac n=i + k in star-n-step-output-extend)
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac new)
apply (drule-tac x=Nil in spec, clarsimp)
apply (rule-tac x=llist-of new in exI)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule conjI)
apply (metis append-eq-conv-conj output-of-def snd-def)
apply (metis (no-types, lifting) NRC-step-output-mono output-of-simp star-n-step-decompose)
by (meson not-less-less-Suc-eq trans-less-add1)
qed clarsimp+

```

```

theorem Pohjola-completeness:
  pohjola-sem P c D  $\implies$  pohjola P c D
apply (clarsimp simp: pohjola-sem-def)
using pohjola-strengthen[OF Pohjola-diverges] by simp

```

end

9 Soundness of Hoare logic for diverging programs

```

theory DivLogicSoundness imports StdLogicSoundness DivLogicCompleteness begin

```

```

lemma p-loop-deterministic:
  star-n ( $\lambda s t. \text{guard } x s \wedge \text{terminates } s p t$ ) n s t  $\implies$ 
  star-n ( $\lambda s t. \text{guard } x s \wedge \text{terminates } s p t$ ) n s t'  $\implies t = t'$ 
proof(induct rule: star-n.induct)
  case (refl-n x) thus ?case by cases simp
next
  case (step-n x y n z)
  show ?case using step-n.premis step-n.hyps
  by cases (force dest: terminates-deterministic)
qed

```

```

lemma loop-accum:
   $\llbracket \forall i. \text{hoare } (\lambda s. H i s \wedge \text{output-of } s = []) p$ 
   $(\lambda s. H (\text{Suc } i) s \wedge \text{output-of } s = \text{ev } i \wedge \text{guard } x s);$ 
   $\text{guard } x (a, b); H 0 (a, b); \text{ignores-output } H \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \forall i. \exists s. \text{star-n } (\lambda s t. \text{guard } x s \wedge \text{terminates } s p t) i (a, b) s \wedge$ 
   $\text{guard } x s \wedge H i s$ 
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule nat.induct)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac x=a in exI)

```

```

apply (rule-tac  $x=b$  in  $exI$ )
apply clarsimp
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac  $n$   $a'$   $b'$ )
apply (drule-tac  $x=n$  in spec)
apply (drule Hoare-soundness)
apply (clarsimp simp: hoare-sem-def)
apply (drule-tac  $x=a'$  in spec)
apply (frule-tac  $i=n$  in H-for-Nil-output[rotated], simp)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac  $s=(a', [])$  in terminates-history)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac  $x=b'$  in spec)
apply (drule step-n-rev)
apply fastforce
apply (fastforce simp: guard-def ignores-output-def)
done

```

lemma *output-accum*:

```

[[star-n ( $\lambda s t.$  guard  $x$   $s \wedge$  terminates  $s$   $p$   $t$ )  $i$  ( $a, b$ )  $s$ ;
  guard  $x$  ( $a, b$ );  $H$   $0$  ( $a, b$ ); ignores-output  $H$ ;
   $\forall i.$  hoare ( $\lambda s.$   $H$   $i$   $s \wedge$  output-of  $s = []$ )  $p$ 
    ( $\lambda s.$   $H$  (Suc  $i$ )  $s \wedge$  output-of  $s = ev$   $i \wedge$  guard  $x$   $s$ )]
   $\implies$  output-of  $s = b$  @ (concat (map ev  $[0..<i]$ ))

```

proof(*induct* i *arbitrary: s*)

case 0 **thus** ?*case* **by** *cases* *clarsimp*

next

```

case (Suc  $n$ ) thus ?case
apply clarsimp
apply (erule star-n-lastE)
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac  $a'$   $b'$   $a''$   $b''$ )
apply (frule  $(3)$  loop-accum)
apply (rotate-tac  $-1$ )
apply (drule-tac  $x=n$  in spec)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule  $(1)$  p-loop-deterministic, clarsimp)
apply (rename-tac  $a'$ )
apply (drule-tac  $x=n$  in spec)
apply (drule Hoare-soundness)
apply (clarsimp simp: hoare-sem-def)
apply (drule-tac  $x=a'$  in spec)
apply (subgoal-tac  $H$   $n$  ( $a', []$ ))
prefer  $2$ 
apply (fastforce simp: ignores-output-def)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac  $x=a'$  and  $y=b'$  in meta-spec2)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac  $s=(a', [])$  in terminates-history)

```

apply *clarsimp*
apply (*drule-tac* $x=b$ @ *concat* (*map ev* $[0..<n]$) **in** *spec*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*drule* (1) *terminates-deterministic, clarsimp*)
done
qed

lemma *helper-lemma:*

$\llbracket \text{guard } x (a, b); H \ 0 (a, b); \text{ignores-output } H;$
 $\forall i. \text{hoare } (\lambda s. H \ i \ s \wedge \text{output-of } s = []) \ p$
 $(\lambda s. H \ (\text{Suc } i) \ s \wedge \text{output-of } s = \text{ev } i \wedge \text{guard } x \ s) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall i. \exists s. \text{star-n } (\lambda s \ t. \text{guard } x \ s \wedge \text{terminates } s \ p \ t) \ i \ (a, b) \ s \wedge$
 $\text{guard } x \ s \wedge H \ i \ s \wedge \text{output-of } s = b \ @ \ (\text{concat } (\text{map ev } [0..< i]))$

apply *clarsimp*
apply (*frule* (3) *loop-accum*)
apply (*rotate-tac* -1)
apply (*rename-tac* i)
apply (*drule-tac* $x=i$ **in** *spec*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*frule* (4) *output-accum*)
apply (*rename-tac* $a' \ b'$)
apply (*rule-tac* $x=a'$ **in** *exI*)
apply (*clarsimp simp: output-of-def*)
done

lemma *add-While-loops:*

$\llbracket \text{star-n } (\lambda s \ t. \text{guard } x \ s \wedge \text{terminates } s \ p \ t) \ i \ (a, b) \ s \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{star-n } (\lambda s \ t. \text{star step } (\text{While } x \ p, s) \ (\text{While } x \ p, t) \wedge \text{terminates } s \ p \ t \wedge$
 $\text{guard } x \ s)$
 $i \ (a, b) \ s$

proof(*induct i arbitrary: a b s*)

case 0

then show *?case by cases simp*

next

case (*Suc n a b s*)

thus *?case*

by(*force dest: While-body-add3real-step star-n-real-step-step*
simp: terminates star-eq-star-n
elim: step-n-rev star-n-lastE)

qed

lemma *loop-upper-bound:*

$\llbracket \forall i. \exists s. \text{star-n } (\lambda s \ t. \text{guard } x \ s \wedge \text{terminates } s \ p \ t) \ i \ (a, b) \ s \wedge \text{guard } x \ s \wedge H \ i \ s;$
 $\text{star-n step } n \ (\text{While } x \ p, a, []) \ (q, t, \text{out}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists i \ t' \ n'. \text{star-n } (\lambda s \ t. \text{star step } (\text{While } x \ p, s) \ (\text{While } x \ p, t)$
 $\wedge \text{terminates } s \ p \ t \wedge \text{guard } x \ s) \ i \ (a, b) \ t' \wedge$
 $\text{star-n step } n' \ (\text{While } x \ p, a, b) \ (\text{While } x \ p, t') \wedge n \leq n'$

apply (*insert add-While-loops[of x p]*)

apply (*subgoal-tac* $\exists i > n. \exists s. \text{star-n}$)

```

      ( $\lambda s t. \text{star step } (While\ x\ p, s) (While\ x\ p, t) \wedge$ 
         $\text{terminates } s\ p\ t \wedge \text{guard } x\ s)$ 
       $i\ (a, b)\ s)$ 
prefer 2
apply (meson lessI)
apply safe
apply (rename-tac i a' b')
apply (rule-tac x=i in exI)
apply (drule-tac x=i in spec)+
apply (rule-tac x=(a', b') in exI)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule star-n-While-flatten)
apply fastforce
done

theorem Pohjola-soundness:
  pohjola P c Q  $\implies$  pohjola-sem P c Q
unfolding pohjola-sem-def
proof (induct rule: pohjola.induct)
  case (p-seq-d P p D q)
  then show ?case
    using diverges-Seq by blast
next
  case (p-seq-h P p M q D)
  then show ?case
    apply clarsimp
    apply (frule Hoare-soundness)
    apply (clarsimp simp: hoare-sem-def)
    apply (rotate-tac -1)
    apply (rename-tac a b)
    apply (drule-tac x=a and y=b in spec2)
    by clarsimp (meson diverges-Seq)
next
  case (p-if P x p D q)
  then show ?case
    apply clarsimp
    apply (rename-tac a b)
    apply (drule-tac x=a and y=b in spec2)+
    using diverges-If by fastforce
next
  case (p-while1 P x D p)
  then show ?case
    apply clarsimp
    apply (rename-tac a b)
    apply (drule-tac x=a and y=b in meta-spec2)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (frule (3) loop-accum)
    apply (rename-tac ev)
    apply (rule-tac x=flat (LCons (output-of (a, b)) (inf-llist ev)) in exI)

```

```

apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: diverges.simps)
apply (rule context-conjI)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule terminates-While-NRC, simp)
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac n)
apply (drule-tac x=n in spec)+
apply clarsimp
apply (drule (1) p-loop-deterministic, clarsimp)
apply (subst lappend-initial-output)
apply (subst lSup-lappend)
  apply (rule chainI)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (meson lprefix-chain-NRC-step' lprefix-llist-of star-eq-star-n)
apply blast
apply (rule-tac f=lappend (llist-of b) in arg-cong)
apply (subst lmap-inf-llist[symmetric])
apply (subst flat-inf-llist-lSup)
apply (rule sym)
apply (rule upper-subset-lSup-eq[OF lprefix-chain-RTC-step])
apply safe
apply (simp (no-asm-simp))
apply (rotate-tac -2)
apply (rename-tac i)
apply (drule-tac x=i in spec)
apply safe
apply (frule (4) output-accum)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule add-While-loops[THEN star-n-While-flatten])
apply clarsimp
apply (drule star-n-step-output-extend, clarsimp)
apply (drule-tac x=Nil in spec, clarsimp simp: star-eq-star-n)
apply fastforce
apply (simp only: star-eq-star-n, erule exE)
apply (rename-tac n)
apply (drule (1) loop-upper-bound, elim exE conjE)
apply (frule star-n-conjunct2[THEN star-n-commute])
apply (frule (4) output-accum)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac n=n in star-n-step-output-extend)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac x=b in spec)
apply (simp add: le-eq-less-or-eq)
apply (erule disjE)
  apply (drule (2) star-n-step-decompose)
using NRC-step-output-mono apply fastforce
apply simp
apply (drule (1) NRC-step-deterministic, simp)
apply fastforce

```

```

done
next
case (p-while2 P x R b p D)
then show ?case
  apply –
  apply rotate-tac
  apply (intro allI)
  apply (erule wfP-induct)
  apply (intro impI allI)
  apply (rename-tac xa)
  apply (case-tac b xa)
  apply (drule-tac x=xa in spec)
  apply (drule Hoare-soundness)+
  apply (clarsimp simp: hoare-sem-def)
  apply (rotate-tac -6)
  apply (rename-tac aa bb)
  apply (drule-tac x=aa and y=bb in spec2)
  apply simp
  apply (elim exE)
  apply (simp (no-asm) add: diverges-While diverges-If)
  apply (simp add: diverges-Seq)
  apply (rename-tac l)
  apply (rule-tac x=l in exI)
  apply (rule conjI; simp?)
  apply fastforce
  apply (simp (no-asm) add: diverges-While diverges-If)
  apply (simp add: diverges-Seq)
  by fastforce
qed fastforce+

end

```

10 Examples

```
theory Examples imports DivLogicSoundness
```

```
begin
```

```
definition pure-loop :: prog where
```

```
  pure-loop = While (λ-. 1) Skip
```

```
lemma pure-loop-correct:
```

```
  pohjola (λs. output-of s = []) pure-loop (λl. l = LNil)
```

```
  unfolding pure-loop-def
```

```
  apply (rule p-while1)
```

```
  apply (rule-tac x=λi s. True in exI)
```

```
  apply (simp add: ignores-output-def guard-def)
```

```
  apply (rule-tac x=λ-. [] in exI, simp)
```

```
done
```

definition *zero* :: prog where
zero = While (λ -. 1) (Print (λ -. 0))

definition *zero-llist* :: nat llist where
zero-llist = iterates id 0

lemma *zero-correct*:
pohjola (λ s. output-of s = []) *zero* (λ l. l = *zero-llist*)
unfolding *zero-def*
apply (rule *p-while1*)
apply (rule-tac x= λ i s. True in *exI*)
apply (simp add: ignores-output-def guard-def)
apply (rule-tac x= λ -. [0] in *exI*)
apply (rule *conjI*; *clarsimp*)
apply (simp add: Coinductive-List.inf-llist-def *zero-llist-def*)
apply (simp add: *lmap-iterates-id*)
apply (rule *h-weaken*)
prefer 2
apply (rule *h-print*)
by (auto simp: *print-def*)

definition *ex2* where
ex2 = While (λ -. 1) *zero*

lemma *ex2-correct*:
pohjola (λ s. output-of s = []) *ex2* (λ l. l = *zero-llist*)
unfolding *ex2-def*
apply (rule *p-while2*[where R= λ x y. (x, y) \in (measure (λ x. 0)) and b= λ -.
False])
apply (simp-all add: *guard-def*)
apply (rule *hoare-pre-False*)
apply (rule *zero-correct*)
done

end

References

- [1] J. A. Pohjola, H. Rostedt, and M. O. Myreen. Characteristic formulae for liveness properties of non-terminating CakeML programs. In *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP)*. LIPIcs, 2019.