

Count the Number of Complex Roots

Wenda Li

May 26, 2024

Abstract

Based on evaluating Cauchy indices through remainder sequences [1] [2, Chapter 11], this entry provides an effective procedure to count the number of complex roots (with multiplicity) of a polynomial within a rectangle box or a half-plane. Potential applications of this entry include certified complex root isolation (of a polynomial) and testing the Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion (i.e., to check whether all the roots of some characteristic polynomial have negative real parts).

1 Extra lemmas related to polynomials

```
theory CC-Polynomials-Extra imports
  Winding-Number-Eval.Missing-Algebraic
  Winding-Number-Eval.Missing-Transcendental
  Sturm-Tarski.PolyMisc
  Budan-Fourier.BF-Misc
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
begin
```

1.1 Misc

```
lemma poly-linepath-comp':
  fixes a::'a::{real-normed-vector,comm-semiring-0,real-algebra-1}
  shows poly p (linepath a b t) = poly (p o_p [:a, b-a:]) (of-real t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma path-poly-comp[intro]:
  fixes p::'a::real-normed-field poly
  shows path g ⟹ path (poly p o g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-poly-noroot:
  assumes a < b ∀ x. a < x ∧ x < b ⟹ poly p x ≠ 0
  shows cindex-poly a b q p = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

1.2 More polynomial homomorphism interpretations

interpretation *of-real-poly-hom:map-poly-inj-idom-hom of-real* $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *Re-poly-hom:map-poly-comm-monoid-add-hom Re* $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *Im-poly-hom:map-poly-comm-monoid-add-hom Im* $\langle proof \rangle$

1.3 More about order

lemma *order-normalize[simp]:order x (normalize p) = order x p* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *order-gcd:*

assumes $p \neq 0$ $q \neq 0$

shows $order x (\gcd p q) = \min (order x p) (order x q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pderiv-power: pderiv (p ^ n) = smult (of-nat n) (p ^ (n-1)) * pderiv p* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *order-pderiv:*

fixes $p :: 'a :: \{idom, semiring-char-0\}$ poly

assumes $p \neq 0$ poly $p x = 0$

shows $order x p = Suc (order x (pderiv p))$ $\langle proof \rangle$

1.4 More about rsquarefree

lemma *rsquarefree-0[simp]: not rsquarefree 0* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rsquarefree-times:*

assumes $rsquarefree (p * q)$

shows $rsquarefree q$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rsquarefree-smult-iff:*

assumes $s \neq 0$

shows $rsquarefree (smult s p) \longleftrightarrow rsquarefree p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-proots-within-rsquarefree:*

assumes $rsquarefree p$

shows $proots-count p s = card (proots-within p s)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rsquarefree-gcd-pderiv:*

fixes $p :: 'a :: \{factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize, semiring-char-0\}$ poly

assumes $p \neq 0$

```

shows rsquarefree (p div (gcd p (pderiv p)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-gcd-pderiv-iff:
  fixes p::'a::{semiring-char-0,factorial-ring-gcd,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize} poly
  shows poly (p div (gcd p (pderiv p))) x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  poly p x = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

1.5 Composition of a polynomial and a circular path

```

lemma poly-circlepath-tan-eq:
  fixes z0::complex and r::real and p::complex poly
  defines q1 ≡ fcompose p [:z0+r)*i,z0-r:] [:i,1:] and q2 ≡ [:i,1:] ^ degree p
  assumes 0 ≤ t t ≤ 1 t ≠ 1/2
  shows poly p (circlepath z0 r t) = poly q1 (tan (pi*t)) / poly q2 (tan (pi*t))
    (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

1.6 Combining two real polynomials into a complex one

```

definition cpoly-of:: real poly  $\Rightarrow$  real poly  $\Rightarrow$  complex poly where
  cpoly-of pR pI = map-poly of-real pR + smult i (map-poly of-real pI)

```

```

lemma cpoly-of-eq-0-iff[iff]:
  cpoly-of pR pI = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  pR = 0  $\wedge$  pI = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cpoly-of-decompose:
  p = cpoly-of (map-poly Re p) (map-poly Im p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cpoly-of-dist-right:
  cpoly-of (pR*g) (pI*g) = cpoly-of pR pI * (map-poly of-real g)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-cpoly-of-real:
  poly (cpoly-of pR pI) (of-real x) = Complex (poly pR x) (poly pI x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-cpoly-of-real-iff:
  shows poly (cpoly-of pR pI) (of-real t) = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  poly pR t = 0  $\wedge$  poly pI t = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma order-cpoly-gcd-eq:
  assumes pR ≠ 0 ∨ pI ≠ 0
  shows order t (cpoly-of pR pI) = order t (gcd pR pI)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cpoly-of-times:
  shows cpoly-of pR pI * cpoly-of qR qI = cpoly-of (pR * qR - pI * qI) (pI*qR+pR*qI)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma map-poly-Re-cpoly[simp]:
map-poly Re (cpoly-of pR pI) = pR
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-poly-Im-cpoly[simp]:
map-poly Im (cpoly-of pR pI) = pI
⟨proof⟩

end

```

2 An alternative Sturm sequences

```

theory Extended-Sturm imports
  Sturm-Tarski.Sturm-Tarski
  Winding-Number-Eval.Cauchy-Index-Theorem
  CC-Polynomials-Extra
begin

```

The main purpose of this theory is to provide an effective way to compute *cindexE a b f* when *f* is a rational function. The idea is similar to and based on the evaluation of *cindex-poly* through $\llbracket ?a < ?b; \text{poly } ?p ?a \neq 0; \text{poly } ?p ?b \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{cindex-poly } ?a ?b ?q ?p = \text{changes-itv-smoms } ?a ?b ?p ?q$.

This alternative version of remainder sequences is inspired by the paper "The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra made effective: an elementary real-algebraic proof via Sturm chains" by Michael Eisermann.

```
hide-const Permutations.sign
```

2.1 Misc

```

lemma path-of-real[simp]:path (of-real :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::real-normed-algebra-1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma pathfinish-of-real[simp]:pathfinish of-real = 1
⟨proof⟩
lemma pathstart-of-real[simp]:pathstart of-real = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-unit-pCons-ex-iff:
  fixes p:'a::field poly
  shows is-unit p  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists a. a \neq 0 \wedge p = [:a:]$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma eventually-poly-nz-at-within:
  fixes x :: 'a::{idom,euclidean-space}
  assumes p $\neq 0$ 
  shows eventually ( $\lambda x. \text{poly } p x \neq 0$ ) (at x within S)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma sgn-power:  
  fixes x::'a::linordered_idom  
  shows sgn (x^n) = (if n=0 then 1 else if even n then |sgn x| else sgn x)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma poly-divide-filterlim-at-top:  
  fixes p q::real poly  
  defines ll≡( if degree q < degree p then  
    at 0  
    else if degree q = degree p then  
      nhds (lead-coeff q / lead-coeff p)  
    else if sgn-pos-inf q * sgn-pos-inf p > 0 then  
      at-top  
    else  
      at-bot)  
  assumes p≠0 q≠0  
  shows filterlim (λx. poly q x / poly p x) ll at-top  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma poly-divide-filterlim-at-bot:  
  fixes p q::real poly  
  defines ll≡( if degree q < degree p then  
    at 0  
    else if degree q = degree p then  
      nhds (lead-coeff q / lead-coeff p)  
    else if sgn-neg-inf q * sgn-neg-inf p > 0 then  
      at-top  
    else  
      at-bot)  
  assumes p≠0 q≠0  
  shows filterlim (λx. poly q x / poly p x) ll at-bot  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sgnx-poly-times:  
  assumes F=at-bot ∨ F=at-top ∨ F=at-right x ∨ F=at-left x  
  shows sgnx (poly (p*q)) F = sgnx (poly p) F * sgnx (poly q) F  
  (is ?PQ = ?P * ?Q)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sgnx-poly-plus:  
  assumes poly p x=0 poly q x≠0 and F:F=at-right x ∨ F=at-left x  
  shows sgnx (poly (p+q)) F = sgnx (poly q) F (is ?L=?R)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma sign-r-pos-plus-imp:
  assumes sign-r-pos p x sign-r-pos q x
  shows sign-r-pos (p+q) x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-poly-combine:
  assumes a < b b < c
  shows cindex-poly a b q p + jump-poly q p b + cindex-poly b c q p = cindex-poly
  a c q p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-linear-comp: — TODO: need to be generalised
  fixes b c::real
  defines r0 ≡ [:b,c:]
  assumes coprime p q c ≠ 0
  shows coprime (p ∘p r0) (q ∘p r0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-ReZ-segments-poly-rectpath:
  finite-ReZ-segments (poly p ∘ rectpath a b) z
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid-path-poly-linepath:
  fixes a b::'a::real-normed-field
  shows valid-path (poly p ∘ linepath a b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid-path-poly-rectpath: valid-path (poly p ∘ rectpath a b)
  ⟨proof⟩

2.2 Sign difference

definition psign-diff :: real poly ⇒ real poly ⇒ real ⇒ int where
  psign-diff p q x = (if poly p x = 0 ∧ poly q x = 0 then
    1 else |sign (poly p x) - sign (poly q x)|)

lemma psign-diff-alt:
  assumes coprime p q
  shows psign-diff p q x = |sign (poly p x) - sign (poly q x)|
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma psign-diff-0[simp]:
  psign-diff 0 q x = 1
  psign-diff p 0 x = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma psign-diff-poly-commute:
  psign-diff p q x = psign-diff q p x

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *normalize-real-poly*:
normalize $p = smult (1/lead-coeff p) (p::real poly)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *psign-diff-cancel*:
assumes $poly r \neq 0$
shows $psign-diff (r*p) (r*q) x = psign-diff p q x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *psign-diff-clear*: $psign-diff p q x = psign-diff 1 (p * q) x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *psign-diff-linear-comp*:
fixes $b c::real$
defines $h \equiv (\lambda p. pcompose p [:b,c:])$
shows $psign-diff (h p) (h q) x = psign-diff p q (c * x + b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3 Alternative definition of cross

definition *cross-alt* :: $real poly \Rightarrow real poly \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow int$ **where**
 $cross-alt p q a b = psign-diff p q a - psign-diff p q b$

lemma *cross-alt-0[simp]*:
 $cross-alt 0 q a b = 0$
 $cross-alt p 0 a b = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cross-alt-poly-commute*:
 $cross-alt p q a b = cross-alt q p a b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cross-alt-clear*:
 $cross-alt p q a b = cross-alt 1 (p*q) a b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cross-alt-alt*:
 $cross-alt p q a b = sign (poly (p*q) b) - sign (poly (p*q) a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cross-alt-coprime-0*:
assumes $coprime p q p=0 \vee q=0$
shows $cross-alt p q a b = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cross-alt-cancel*:
assumes $poly q a \neq 0$ $poly q b \neq 0$

```

shows cross-alt (q * r) (q * s) a b = cross-alt r s a b
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cross-alt-noroot:
  assumes a < b and ∀ x. a ≤ x ∧ x ≤ b → poly (p*q) x ≠ 0
  shows cross-alt p q a b = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cross-alt-linear-comp:
  fixes b c::real
  defines h ≡ (λp. pcompose p [:b,c:])
  shows cross-alt (h p) (h q) lb ub = cross-alt p q (c * lb + b) (c * ub + b)
⟨proof⟩

```

2.4 Alternative sign variation sequencse

```

fun changes-alt:: ('a ::linordered-idom) list ⇒ int where
  changes-alt [] = 0 |
  changes-alt [ ] = 0 |
  changes-alt (x1 # x2 # xs) = abs(sign x1 - sign x2) + changes-alt (x2 # xs)

definition changes-alt-poly-at::('a ::linordered-idom) poly list ⇒ 'a ⇒ int where
  changes-alt-poly-at ps a = changes-alt (map (λp. poly p a) ps)

definition changes-alt-itv-smods:: real ⇒ real ⇒ real poly ⇒ real poly ⇒ int
where
  changes-alt-itv-smods a b p q = (let ps = smods p q
    in changes-alt-poly-at ps a - changes-alt-poly-at ps b)

lemma changes-alt-itv-smods-rec:
  assumes a < b coprime p q
  shows changes-alt-itv-smods a b p q = cross-alt p q a b + changes-alt-itv-smods
  a b q (-(p mod q))
⟨proof⟩

```

2.5 jumpF on polynomials

```

definition jumpF-polyR:: real poly ⇒ real poly ⇒ real ⇒ real where
  jumpF-polyR q p a = jumpF (λx. poly q x / poly p x) (at-right a)

definition jumpF-polyL:: real poly ⇒ real poly ⇒ real ⇒ real where
  jumpF-polyL q p a = jumpF (λx. poly q x / poly p x) (at-left a)

definition jumpF-poly-top:: real poly ⇒ real poly ⇒ real where
  jumpF-poly-top q p = jumpF (λx. poly q x / poly p x) at-top

definition jumpF-poly-bot:: real poly ⇒ real poly ⇒ real where
  jumpF-poly-bot q p = jumpF (λx. poly q x / poly p x) at-bot

```

```

lemma jumpF-polyR-0[simp]: jumpF-polyR 0 p a = 0 jumpF-polyR q 0 a = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jumpF-polyL-0[simp]: jumpF-polyL 0 p a = 0 jumpF-polyL q 0 a = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jumpF-polyR-mult-cancel:
  assumes p'≠0
  shows jumpF-polyR (p' * q) (p' * p) a = jumpF-polyR q p a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jumpF-polyL-mult-cancel:
  assumes p'≠0
  shows jumpF-polyL (p' * q) (p' * p) a = jumpF-polyL q p a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jumpF-poly-noroot:
  assumes poly p a≠0
  shows jumpF-polyL q p a = 0 jumpF-polyR q p a = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jumpF-polyR-coprime':
  assumes poly p x≠0 ∨ poly q x≠0
  shows jumpF-polyR q p x = (if p ≠ 0 ∧ q ≠ 0 ∧ poly p x=0 then
    if sign-r-pos p x ↔ poly q x>0 then 1/2 else - 1/2
  else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jumpF-polyR-coprime:
  assumes coprime p q
  shows jumpF-polyR q p x = (if p ≠ 0 ∧ q ≠ 0 ∧ poly p x=0 then
    if sign-r-pos p x ↔ poly q x>0 then 1/2 else - 1/2
  else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jumpF-polyL-coprime':
  assumes poly p x≠0 ∨ poly q x≠0
  shows jumpF-polyL q p x = (if p ≠ 0 ∧ q ≠ 0 ∧ poly p x=0 then
    if even (order x p) ↔ sign-r-pos p x ↔ poly q x>0 then 1/2 else
    - 1/2 else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jumpF-polyL-coprime:
  assumes coprime p q
  shows jumpF-polyL q p x = (if p ≠ 0 ∧ q ≠ 0 ∧ poly p x=0 then
    if even (order x p) ↔ sign-r-pos p x ↔ poly q x>0 then 1/2 else
    - 1/2 else 0)
  
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $jumpF\text{-times}$:

assumes $tendsto:(f \longrightarrow c) F$ and $c \neq 0 F \neq bot$

shows $jumpF(\lambda x. f x * g x) F = sgn c * jumpF g F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $jumpF\text{-polyR-inverse-add}$:

assumes coprime $p q$

shows $jumpF\text{-polyR } q p x + jumpF\text{-polyR } p q x = jumpF\text{-polyR } 1 (q*p) x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $jumpF\text{-polyL-inverse-add}$:

assumes coprime $p q$

shows $jumpF\text{-polyL } q p x + jumpF\text{-polyL } p q x = jumpF\text{-polyL } 1 (q*p) x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $jumpF\text{-polyL-smult-1}$:

$jumpF\text{-polyL } (smult c q) p x = sgn c * jumpF\text{-polyL } q p x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $jumpF\text{-polyR-smult-1}$:

$jumpF\text{-polyR } (smult c q) p x = sgn c * jumpF\text{-polyR } q p x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

shows $jumpF\text{-polyR-mod}; jumpF\text{-polyR } q p x = jumpF\text{-polyR } (q \bmod p) p x$ and

$jumpF\text{-polyL-mod}; jumpF\text{-polyL } q p x = jumpF\text{-polyL } (q \bmod p) p x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

assumes $order x p \leq order x r$

shows $jumpF\text{-polyR-order-leq}; jumpF\text{-polyR } (r+q) p x = jumpF\text{-polyR } q p x$

and $jumpF\text{-polyL-order-leq}; jumpF\text{-polyL } (r+q) p x = jumpF\text{-polyL } q p x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

assumes $order x q < order x r q \neq 0$

shows $jumpF\text{-polyR-order-le}; jumpF\text{-polyR } (r+q) p x = jumpF\text{-polyR } q p x$

and $jumpF\text{-polyL-order-le}; jumpF\text{-polyL } (r+q) p x = jumpF\text{-polyL } q p x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $jumpF\text{-poly-top-0}[simp]$: $jumpF\text{-poly-top } 0 p = 0$ $jumpF\text{-poly-top } q 0 = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $jumpF\text{-poly-bot-0}[simp]$: $jumpF\text{-poly-bot } 0 p = 0$ $jumpF\text{-poly-bot } q 0 = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *jumpF-poly-top-code*:
*jumpF-poly-top q p = (if p≠0 ∧ q≠0 ∧ degree q > degree p then
if sgn-pos-inf q * sgn-pos-inf p > 0 then 1/2 else -1/2 else 0)*
{proof}

lemma *jumpF-poly-bot-code*:
*jumpF-poly-bot q p = (if p≠0 ∧ q≠0 ∧ degree q > degree p then
if sgn-neg-inf q * sgn-neg-inf p > 0 then 1/2 else -1/2 else 0)*
{proof}

lemma *jump-poly-jumpF-poly*:
shows *jump-poly q p x = jumpF-polyR q p x - jumpF-polyL q p x*
{proof}

2.6 The extended Cauchy index on polynomials

definition *cindex-polyE:: real ⇒ real ⇒ real poly ⇒ real poly ⇒ real* **where**
cindex-polyE a b q p = jumpF-polyR q p a + cindex-poly a b q p - jumpF-polyL q p b

definition *cindex-poly-ubd::real poly ⇒ real poly ⇒ int* **where**
cindex-poly-ubd q p = (THE l. (∀ F r in at-top. cindexE (-r) r (λx. poly q x / poly p x) = of-int l))

lemma *cindex-polyE-0[simp]: cindex-polyE a b 0 p = 0 cindex-polyE a b q 0 = 0*
{proof}

lemma *cindex-polyE-mult-cancel*:
fixes *p q p'::real poly*
assumes *p' ≠ 0*
shows *cindex-polyE a b (p' * q) (p' * p) = cindex-polyE a b q p*
{proof}

lemma *cindexE-eq-cindex-polyE*:
assumes *a < b*
shows *cindexE a b (λx. poly q x / poly p x) = cindex-polyE a b q p*
{proof}

lemma *cindex-polyE-cross*:
fixes *p::real poly and a b::real*
assumes *a < b*
shows *cindex-polyE a b 1 p = cross-alt 1 p a b / 2*
{proof}

lemma *cindex-polyE-inverse-add*:
fixes *p q::real poly*
assumes *cp:coprime p q*
shows *cindex-polyE a b q p + cindex-polyE a b p q = cindex-polyE a b 1 (q*p)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma cindex-polyE-inverse-add-cross:
  fixes p q::real poly
  assumes a < b coprime p q
  shows cindex-polyE a b q p + cindex-polyE a b p q = cross-alt p q a b / 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-inverse-add-cross':
  fixes p q::real poly
  assumes a < b poly p a≠0 ∨ poly q a≠0 poly p b≠0 ∨ poly q b≠0
  shows cindex-polyE a b q p + cindex-polyE a b p q = cross-alt p q a b / 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-smult-1:
  fixes p q::real poly and c::real
  shows cindex-polyE a b (smult c q) p = (sgn c) * cindex-polyE a b q p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-smult-2:
  fixes p q::real poly and c::real
  shows cindex-polyE a b q (smult c p) = (sgn c) * cindex-polyE a b q p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-mod:
  fixes p q::real poly
  shows cindex-polyE a b q p = cindex-polyE a b (q mod p) p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-rec:
  fixes p q::real poly
  assumes a < b coprime p q
  shows cindex-polyE a b q p = cross-alt q p a b/2 + cindex-polyE a b (-(p mod q)) q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-changes-alt-itv-mods:
  assumes a<b coprime p q
  shows cindex-polyE a b q p = changes-alt-itv-smods a b p q / 2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-poly-ubd-eventually:
  shows ∀ F r in at-top. cindexE (-r) r (λx. poly q x/poly p x) = of-int (cindex-poly-ubd q p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-poly-ubd-0:
  assumes p=0 ∨ q=0
  shows cindex-poly-ubd q p = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma cindex-poly-ubd-code:
  shows cindex-poly-ubd q p = changes-R-smoms p q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindexE-ubd-poly: cindexE-ubd (λx. poly q x/poly p x) = cindex-poly-ubd q
p
⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-noroot:
  assumes a<b ∀x. a≤x ∧ x≤b → poly p x ≠ 0
  shows cindex-polyE a b q p = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-combine:
  assumes a<b b<c
  shows cindex-polyE a b q p + cindex-polyE b c q p = cindex-polyE a c q p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-linear-comp:
  fixes b c::real
  defines h ≡ (λp. pcompose p [:b,c:])
  assumes lb<ub c≠0
  shows cindex-polyE lb ub (h q) (h p) =
    (if 0 < c then cindex-polyE (c * lb + b) (c * ub + b) q p
     else - cindex-polyE (c * ub + b) (c * lb + b) q p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-product':
  fixes p r q s::real poly and a b ::real
  assumes a<b coprime q p coprime s r
  shows cindex-polyE a b (p * r - q * s) (p * s + q * r)
    = cindex-polyE a b p q + cindex-polyE a b r s
    - cross-alt (p * s + q * r) (q * s) a b / 2 (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindex-polyE-product:
  fixes p r q s::real poly and a b ::real
  assumes a<b
  and poly p a≠0 ∨ poly q a≠0 poly p b≠0 ∨ poly q b≠0
  and poly r a≠0 ∨ poly s a≠0 poly r b≠0 ∨ poly s b≠0
  shows cindex-polyE a b (p * r - q * s) (p * s + q * r)
    = cindex-polyE a b p q + cindex-polyE a b r s
    - cross-alt (p * s + q * r) (q * s) a b / 2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cindex-pathE-linepath-on:
  assumes z ∈ closed-segment a b
  shows cindex-pathE (linepath a b) z = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.7 More Cauchy indices on polynomials

```

definition cindexP-pathE::complex poly ⇒ (real ⇒ complex) ⇒ real where
  cindexP-pathE p g = cindex-pathE (poly p o g) 0

```

```

definition cindexP-lineE :: complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ real where
  cindexP-lineE p a b = cindexP-pathE p (linepath a b)

```

```

lemma cindexP-pathE-const:cindexP-pathE [:c:] g = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cindex-poly-pathE-joinpaths:
  assumes finite-ReZ-segments (poly p o g1) 0
    and finite-ReZ-segments (poly p o g2) 0
    and path g1 and path g2
    and pathfinish g1 = pathstart g2
  shows cindexP-pathE p (g1 +++ g2)
    = cindexP-pathE p g1 + cindexP-pathE p g2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cindexP-lineE-polyE:
  fixes p::complex poly and a b::complex
  defines pp ≡ pcompose p [:a, b-a:]
  defines pR ≡ map-poly Re pp
    and pI ≡ map-poly Im pp
  shows cindexP-lineE p a b = cindex-polyE 0 1 pI pR
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition psign-aux :: complex poly ⇒ complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ int where
  psign-aux p q b =
    sign (Im (poly p b * poly q b) * (Im (poly p b) * Im (poly q b)))
    + sign (Re (poly p b * poly q b) * Im (poly p b * poly q b))
    - sign (Re (poly p b) * Im (poly p b))
    - sign (Re (poly q b) * Im (poly q b))

```

```

definition cdiff-aux :: complex poly ⇒ complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ int
where
  cdiff-aux p q a b = psign-aux p q b - psign-aux p q a

```

```

lemma cindexP-lineE-times:
  fixes p q::complex poly and a b::complex
  assumes poly p a≠0 poly p b≠0 poly q a≠0 poly q b≠0
  shows cindexP-lineE (p*q) a b = cindexP-lineE p a b + cindexP-lineE q a
    b + cdiff-aux p q a b/2

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma cindexP-lineE-changes:
  fixes p::complex poly and a b ::complex
  assumes p≠0 a≠b
  shows cindexP-lineE p a b =
    (let p1 = pcompose p [:a, b-a];
     pR1 = map-poly Re p1;
     pI1 = map-poly Im p1;
     gc1 = gcd pR1 pI1
    in
      real-of-int (changes-alt-itv-smods 0 1
                   (pR1 div gc1) (pI1 div gc1)) / 2)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma cindexP-lineE-code[code]:
  cindexP-lineE p a b = (if p≠0 ∧ a≠b then
    (let p1 = pcompose p [:a, b-a];
     pR1 = map-poly Re p1;
     pI1 = map-poly Im p1;
     gc1 = gcd pR1 pI1
    in
      real-of-int (changes-alt-itv-smods 0 1
                   (pR1 div gc1) (pI1 div gc1)) / 2)
   else
     Code.abort (STR "cindexP-lineE fails for now")
     (λ-. cindexP-lineE p a b))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

```

theory Count-Line imports
  CC-Polynomials-Extra
  Winding-Number-Eval.Winding-Number-Eval
  Extended-Sturm
  Budan-Fourier.Sturm-Multiple-Roots
begin

```

2.8 Misc

```

lemma closed-segment-imp-Re-Im:
  fixes x::complex
  assumes x∈closed-segment lb ub
  shows Re lb ≤ Re ub  $\implies$  Re lb ≤ Re x ∧ Re x ≤ Re ub
           Im lb ≤ Im ub  $\implies$  Im lb ≤ Im x ∧ Im x ≤ Im ub

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closed-segment-degen-complex*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{Re } lb = \text{Re } ub; \text{Im } lb \leq \text{Im } ub \rrbracket \\ & \implies x \in \text{closed-segment } lb \text{ } ub \longleftrightarrow \text{Re } x = \text{Re } lb \wedge \text{Im } lb \leq \text{Im } x \wedge \text{Im } x \leq \text{Im } ub \\ & \llbracket \text{Im } lb = \text{Im } ub; \text{Re } lb \leq \text{Re } ub \rrbracket \\ & \implies x \in \text{closed-segment } lb \text{ } ub \longleftrightarrow \text{Im } x = \text{Im } lb \wedge \text{Re } lb \leq \text{Re } x \wedge \text{Re } x \leq \text{Re } ub \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *path-image-part-circlepath-subset*:

assumes $r \geq 0$
shows $\text{path-image}(\text{part-circlepath } z \ r \ st \ tt) \subseteq \text{sphere } z \ r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

proposition *in-path-image-part-circlepath*:

assumes $w \in \text{path-image}(\text{part-circlepath } z \ r \ st \ tt)$ $0 \leq r$
shows $\text{norm}(w - z) = r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *infinite-ball*:

fixes $a :: \text{'a::euclidean-space}$
assumes $r > 0$
shows $\text{infinite}(\text{ball } a \ r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *infinite-cball*:

fixes $a :: \text{'a::euclidean-space}$
assumes $r > 0$
shows $\text{infinite}(\text{cball } a \ r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *infinite-sphere*:

fixes $a :: \text{complex}$
assumes $r > 0$
shows $\text{infinite}(\text{sphere } a \ r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *infinite-halfspace-Im-gt*: $\text{infinite } \{x. \text{Im } x > b\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *ring-1*) *Ints-minus2*: $-a \in \mathbb{Z} \implies a \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dvd-divide-Ints-iff*:

$b \text{ dvd } a \vee b=0 \longleftrightarrow \text{of-int } a / \text{of-int } b \in (\mathbb{Z} :: \text{'a :: \{field,ring-char-0\} set})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma of-int-div-field:
  assumes d dvd n
  shows (of-int::-'a::field-char-0) (n div d) = of-int n / of-int d
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma powr-eq-1-iff:
  assumes a>0
  shows (a::real) powr b =1  $\longleftrightarrow$  a=1  $\vee$  b=0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tan-inj-pi:
   $-(\pi/2) < x \implies x < \pi/2 \implies -(pi/2) < y \implies y < \pi/2 \implies \tan x = \tan y$ 
   $\implies x = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma finite-ReZ-segments-poly-circlepath:
  finite-ReZ-segments (poly p o circlepath z0 r) 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma changes-itv-smods-ext-geq-0:
  assumes a<b poly p a≠0 poly p b ≠0
  shows changes-itv-smods-ext a b p (pderiv p) ≥0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.9 Some useful conformal/bij-betw properties

```

lemma bij-betw-plane-ball:bij-betw (λx. (i-x)/(i+x)) {x. Im x>0} (ball 0 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bij-betw-axis-sphere:bij-betw (λx. (i-x)/(i+x)) {x. Im x=0} (sphere 0 1 - {-1})
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bij-betw-ball-uball:
  assumes r>0
  shows bij-betw (λx. complex-of-real r*x + z0) (ball 0 1) (ball z0 r)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bij-betw-sphere-usphere:
  assumes r>0
  shows bij-betw (λx. complex-of-real r*x + z0) (sphere 0 1) (sphere z0 r)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma proots-ball-plane-eq:
  defines q1≡[:i,-1:] and q2≡[:i,1:]
  assumes p≠0
  shows proots-count p (ball 0 1) = proots-count (fcompose p q1 q2) {x. 0 < Im

```

$x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma proots-sphere-axis-eq:
  defines q1 $\equiv[:i,-1:]$  and q2 $\equiv[:i,1:]$ 
  assumes p $\neq 0$ 
  shows proots-count p (sphere 0 1 - {- 1}) = proots-count (fcompose p q1 q2)
  {x. 0 = Im x}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma proots-card-ball-plane-eq:
  defines q1 $\equiv[:i,-1:]$  and q2 $\equiv[:i,1:]$ 
  assumes p $\neq 0$ 
  shows card (proots-within p (ball 0 1)) = card (proots-within (fcompose p q1 q2))
  {x. 0 < Im x}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma proots-card-sphere-axis-eq:
  defines q1 $\equiv[:i,-1:]$  and q2 $\equiv[:i,1:]$ 
  assumes p $\neq 0$ 
  shows card (proots-within p (sphere 0 1 - {- 1})) =
    = card (proots-within (fcompose p q1 q2) {x. 0 = Im x})
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma proots-uball-eq:
  fixes z0::complex and r::real
  defines q $\equiv[:z0, of-real r:]$ 
  assumes p $\neq 0$  and r $> 0$ 
  shows proots-count p (ball z0 r) = proots-count (p op q) (ball 0 1)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma proots-card-uball-eq:
  fixes z0::complex and r::real
  defines q $\equiv[:z0, of-real r:]$ 
  assumes r $> 0$ 
  shows card (proots-within p (ball z0 r)) = card (proots-within (p op q) (ball 0 1))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma proots-card-usphere-eq:
  fixes z0::complex and r::real
  defines q $\equiv[:z0, of-real r:]$ 
  assumes r $> 0$ 
  shows card (proots-within p (sphere z0 r)) = card (proots-within (p op q) (sphere 0 1))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.10 Number of roots on a (bounded or unbounded) segment

```

definition unbounded-line::'a::real-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  unbounded-line a b = ({x.  $\exists u::real. x = (1 - u) *_R a + u *_R b\}$ }

definition proots-line-card:: complex poly  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  proots-line-card p st tt = card (proots-within p (open-segment st tt))

definition proots-unbounded-line-card:: complex poly  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  proots-unbounded-line-card p st tt = card (proots-within p (unbounded-line st tt))

definition proots-unbounded-line :: complex poly  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  proots-unbounded-line p st tt = proots-count p (unbounded-line st tt)

lemma card-proots-open-segments:
  assumes poly p st  $\neq 0$  poly p tt  $\neq 0$ 
  shows card (proots-within p (open-segment st tt)) =
    (let pc = pcompose p [:st, tt - st:];
     pR = map-poly Re pc;
     pI = map-poly Im pc;
     g = gcd pR pI
     in changes-itv-smoms 0 1 g (pderiv g)) (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unbounded-line-closed-segment: closed-segment a b  $\subseteq$  unbounded-line a b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-proots-unbounded-line:
  assumes st  $\neq$  tt
  shows card (proots-within p (unbounded-line st tt)) =
    (let pc = pcompose p [:st, tt - st:];
     pR = map-poly Re pc;
     pI = map-poly Im pc;
     g = gcd pR pI
     in nat (changes-R-smoms g (pderiv g))) (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-count-gcd-eq:
  fixes p::complex poly and st tt::complex
  and g::real poly
  defines pc  $\equiv$  pcompose p [:st, tt - st:]
  defines pR  $\equiv$  map-poly Re pc and pI  $\equiv$  map-poly Im pc
  defines g  $\equiv$  gcd pR pI
  assumes st  $\neq$  tt p  $\neq 0$ 
  and s1-def:s1 = ( $\lambda x. poly [:st, tt - st:] (of-real x)$ ) ' s2
  shows proots-count p s1 = proots-count g s2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma proots-unbounded-line:
  assumes st≠tt p≠0
  shows (proots-count p (unbounded-line st tt)) =
    (let pc = pcompose p [:st, tt - st:];
     pR = map-poly Re pc;
     pI = map-poly Im pc;
     g = gcd pR pI
     in nat (changes-R-smods-ext g (pderiv g))) (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-unbounded-line-card-code[code]:
  proots-unbounded-line-card p st tt =
    (if st≠tt then
      (let pc = pcompose p [:st, tt - st:];
       pR = map-poly Re pc;
       pI = map-poly Im pc;
       g = gcd pR pI
       in nat (changes-R-smods g (pderiv g)))
    else
      Code.abort (STR "proots-unbounded-line-card fails due to invalid
hyperplanes.'")
      (λ-. proots-unbounded-line-card p st tt))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-unbounded-line-code[code]:
  proots-unbounded-line p st tt =
    ( if st≠tt then
      if p≠0 then
        (let pc = pcompose p [:st, tt - st:];
         pR = map-poly Re pc;
         pI = map-poly Im pc;
         g = gcd pR pI
         in nat (changes-R-smods-ext g (pderiv g)))
      else
        Code.abort (STR "proots-unbounded-line fails due to p=0")
        (λ-. proots-unbounded-line p st tt)
      else
        Code.abort (STR "proots-unbounded-line fails due to invalid
hyperplanes.'")
        (λ-. proots-unbounded-line p st tt) )
    ⟨proof⟩

```

2.11 Checking if there a polynomial root on a closed segment

definition no-proots-line::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ bool **where**
 no-proots-line p st tt = (proots-within p (closed-segment st tt)) = {}

lemma no-proots-line-code[code]: no-proots-line p st tt = (if poly p st ≠0 ∧ poly p

```

 $tt \neq 0$  then
  (let  $pc = pcompose p [:st, tt - st:]$ ;
    $pR = map\text{-}poly Re pc$ ;
    $pI = map\text{-}poly Im pc$ ;
    $g = gcd pR pI$ 
   in if changes-itv-smods 0 1 g (pderiv g) = 0 then True else False)
else False)
  (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

2.12 Number of roots on a bounded open segment

definition proots-line:: complex poly \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow nat where
 $proots\text{-line } p st tt = proots\text{-count } p (open\text{-segment } st tt)$

lemma proots-line-commute:
 $proots\text{-line } p st tt = proots\text{-line } p tt st$
⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-line-smods:
assumes $poly p st \neq 0$ $poly p tt \neq 0$ $st \neq tt$
shows $proots\text{-line } p st tt =$
 (let $pc = pcompose p [:st, tt - st:]$;
 $pR = map\text{-}poly Re pc$;
 $pI = map\text{-}poly Im pc$;
 $g = gcd pR pI$
 in nat (changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 g (pderiv g)))
 (is $= ?R$)
⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-line-code[code]:
 $proots\text{-line } p st tt =$
 (if $poly p st \neq 0 \wedge poly p tt \neq 0$ then
 (if $st \neq tt$ then
 (let $pc = pcompose p [:st, tt - st:]$;
 $pR = map\text{-}poly Re pc$;
 $pI = map\text{-}poly Im pc$;
 $g = gcd pR pI$
 in nat (changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 g (pderiv g)))
 else 0)
 else Code.abort (STR "prootsline does not handle vanishing endpoints for now'")
 ($\lambda\text{-}. proots\text{-line } p st tt$) (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

end

theory Count-Half-Plane imports

Count-Line
begin

2.13 Polynomial roots on the upper half-plane

definition proots-upper ::complex poly \Rightarrow nat **where**

$$\text{proots-upper } p = \text{proots-count } p \{z. \text{Im } z > 0\}$$

— Roots counted WITHOUT multiplicity

definition proots-upper-card::complex poly \Rightarrow nat **where**

$$\text{proots-upper-card } p = \text{card } (\text{proots-within } p \{x. \text{Im } x > 0\})$$

lemma Im-Ln-tendsto-at-top: $((\lambda x. \text{Im } (\text{Ln} (\text{Complex } a x))) \longrightarrow \pi/2) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Im-Ln-tendsto-at-bot: $((\lambda x. \text{Im } (\text{Ln} (\text{Complex } a x))) \longrightarrow -\pi/2) \text{ at-bot}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Re-winding-number-tendsto-part-circlepath:
shows $((\lambda r. \text{Re } (\text{winding-number } (\text{part-circlepath } z0 r 0 \pi) a)) \longrightarrow 1/2) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma not-image-at-top-poly-part-circlepath:
assumes degree $p > 0$
shows $\forall r \text{ in at-top}. b \notin \text{path-image } (\text{poly } p o \text{ part-circlepath } z0 r st tt)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma not-image-poly-part-circlepath:
assumes degree $p > 0$
shows $\exists r > 0. b \notin \text{path-image } (\text{poly } p o \text{ part-circlepath } z0 r st tt)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Re-winding-number-poly-part-circlepath:
assumes degree $p > 0$
shows $((\lambda r. \text{Re } (\text{winding-number } (\text{poly } p o \text{ part-circlepath } z0 r 0 \pi) 0)) \longrightarrow \text{degree } p/2) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Re-winding-number-poly-linepath:
fixes pp::complex poly
defines g $\equiv (\lambda r. \text{poly } pp o \text{ linepath } (-r) (\text{of-real } r))$
assumes lead-coeff pp=1 **and** no-real-zero: $\forall x \in \text{proots } pp. \text{Im } x \neq 0$
shows $((\lambda r. 2 * \text{Re } (\text{winding-number } (g r) 0) + \text{cindex-pathE } (g r) 0) \longrightarrow 0) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma proots-upper-cindex-eq:

```

assumes lead-coeff p=1 and no-real-roots: $\forall x \in \text{proots } p. \text{Im } x \neq 0$ 
shows proots-upper p =
  (degree p - cindex-poly-ubd (map-poly Im p) (map-poly Re p)) / 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cindexE-roots-on-horizontal-border:
  fixes a::complex and s::real
  defines g≡linepath a (a + of-real s)
  assumes pqr:p = q * r and r-monic:lead-coeff r=1 and r-proots: $\forall x \in \text{proots } r. \text{Im } x = \text{Im } a$ 
  shows cindexE lb ub ( $\lambda t. \text{Im } ((\text{poly } p \circ g) t) / \text{Re } ((\text{poly } p \circ g) t)$ ) =
    cindexE lb ub ( $\lambda t. \text{Im } ((\text{poly } q \circ g) t) / \text{Re } ((\text{poly } q \circ g) t)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-decompose-by-proots:
  fixes p ::'a::idom poly
  assumes p≠0
  shows  $\exists q r. p = q * r \wedge \text{lead-coeff } q=1 \wedge (\forall x \in \text{proots } q. P x) \wedge (\forall x \in \text{proots } r. \neg P x)$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-upper-cindex-eq':
  assumes lead-coeff p=1
  shows proots-upper p = (degree p - proots-count p {x. Im x=0} - cindex-poly-ubd (map-poly Im p) (map-poly Re p)) / 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-within-upper-squarefree:
  assumes rsquarefree p
  shows card (proots-within p {x. Im x>0}) = (let
    pp = smult (inverse (lead-coeff p)) p;
    pI = map-poly Im pp;
    pR = map-poly Re pp;
    g = gcd pR pI
    in
    nat ((degree p - changes-R-smoms g (pderiv g) - changes-R-smoms pR pI) div 2)
  )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-upper-code1[code]:
  proots-upper p =
  (if p ≠ 0 then
    (let pp=smult (inverse (lead-coeff p)) p;
     pI=map-poly Im pp;
     pR=map-poly Re pp;
     g = gcd pI pR

```

```

in
  nat ((degree p - nat (changes-R-smoms-ext g (pderiv g)) - changes-R-smoms
pR pI) div 2)
  )
else
  Code.abort (STR "proots-upper fails when p=0.") (λ-. proots-upper p))
⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-upper-card-code[code]:
  proots-upper-card p = (if p=0 then 0 else
    (let
      pf = p div (gcd p (pderiv p));
      pp = smult (inverse (lead-coeff pf)) pf;
      pI = map-poly Im pp;
      pR = map-poly Re pp;
      g = gcd pR pI
    in
      nat ((degree pf - changes-R-smoms g (pderiv g) - changes-R-smoms pR
pI) div 2)
    )))
⟨proof⟩

```

2.14 Polynomial roots on a general half-plane

the number of roots of polynomial p , counted with multiplicity, on the left half plane of the vector $b - a$.

```
definition proots-half ::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ nat where
  proots-half p a b = proots-count p {w. Im ((w-a) / (b-a)) > 0}
```

```
lemma proots-half-empty:
  assumes a=b
  shows proots-half p a b = 0
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma proots-half-proots-upper:
  assumes a≠b p≠0
  shows proots-half p a b = proots-upper (pcompose p [:a, (b-a):])
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma proots-half-code1[code]:
  proots-half p a b = (if a≠b then
    if p≠0 then proots-upper (p op [:a, b - a:])
    else Code.abort (STR "proots-half fails when p=0.")
    (λ-. proots-half p a b)
    else 0)
⟨proof⟩
```

end

```

theory Count-Circle imports
  Count-Half-Plane
begin

2.15 Polynomial roots within a circle (open ball)

definition proots-ball::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ real ⇒ nat where
  proots-ball p z0 r = proots-count p (ball z0 r)

— Roots counted WITHOUT multiplicity
definition proots-ball-card ::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ real ⇒ nat where
  proots-ball-card p z0 r = card (proots-within p (ball z0 r))

lemma proots-ball-code1[code]:
  proots-ball p z0 r = ( if r ≤ 0 then
    0
    else if p ≠ 0 then
      proots-upper (fcompose (p op [:z0, of-real r:]) [:i,-1:] [:i,1:])
    else
      Code.abort (STR "proots-ball fails when p=0.")
      (λ-. proots-ball p z0 r)
  )
⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-ball-card-code1[code]:
  proots-ball-card p z0 r =
  ( if r ≤ 0 ∨ p=0 then
    0
    else
      proots-upper-card (fcompose (p op [:z0, of-real r:]) [:i,-1:] [:i,1:])
  )
⟨proof⟩

```

2.16 Polynomial roots on a circle (sphere)

```

definition proots-sphere::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ real ⇒ nat where
  proots-sphere p z0 r = proots-count p (sphere z0 r)

— Roots counted WITHOUT multiplicity

```

```

definition proots-sphere-card ::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ real ⇒ nat where
  proots-sphere-card p z0 r = card (proots-within p (sphere z0 r))

```

```

lemma proots-sphere-card-code1[code]:
  proots-sphere-card p z0 r =
  ( if r=0 then
    (if poly p z0=0 then 1 else 0)
    else if r < 0 ∨ p=0 then
      0
    else

```

```

(if poly p (z0-r) =0 then 1 else 0) +
proots-unbounded-line-card (fcompose (p op [:z0, of-real r:]) [:i,-1:]
[:i,1:])
          0 1
)
⟨proof⟩

```

2.17 Polynomial roots on a closed ball

```
definition proots-cball::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ real ⇒ nat where
  proots-cball p z0 r = proots-count p (cball z0 r)
```

— Roots counted WITHOUT multiplicity

```
definition proots-cball-card ::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ real ⇒ nat where
  proots-cball-card p z0 r = card (proots-within p (cball z0 r))
```

```
lemma proots-cball-card-code1[code]:
  proots-cball-card p z0 r =
    ( if r=0 then
      (if poly p z0=0 then 1 else 0)
    else if r < 0 ∨ p=0 then
      0
    else
      ( let pp=fcompose (p op [:z0, of-real r:]) [:i,-1:] [:i,1:]
        in
        (if poly p (z0-r) =0 then 1 else 0)
        + proots-unbounded-line-card pp 0 1
        + proots-upper-card pp
      )
    )
⟨proof⟩
```

end

```
theory Count-Rectangle imports Count-Line
begin
```

Counting roots in a rectangular area can be in a purely algebraic approach without introducing (analytic) winding number (*winding-number*) nor the argument principle ([open ?S; connected ?S; ?f holomorphic-on ?S – ?poles; ?h holomorphic-on ?S; valid-path ?g; pathfinish ?g = pathstart ?g; path-image ?g ⊆ ?S – {w ∈ ?S. ?f w = 0 ∨ w ∈ ?poles}; ∀ z. z ∉ ?S → winding-number ?g z = 0; finite {w ∈ ?S. ?f w = 0 ∨ w ∈ ?poles}; ∀ p ∈ ?S ∩ ?poles. is-pole ?f p] ⇒ contour-integral ?g (λx. deriv ?f x * ?h x / ?f x) = complex-of-real (2 * pi) * i * (∑ p ∈ {w ∈ ?S. ?f w = 0 ∨ w ∈ ?poles}. winding-number ?g p * ?h p * complex-of-int (zorder ?f p))). This has been illustrated by Michael Eisermann [1]. We lightly make use of *winding-number* here only to shorten the proof of one of the technical

lemmas.

2.18 Misc

```

lemma proots-count-const:
  assumes c≠0
  shows proots-count [:c:] s = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-count-nzero:
  assumes ⋀x. x∈s ==> poly p x≠0
  shows proots-count p s = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma complex-box-ne-empty:
  fixes a b::complex
  shows
    cbox a b ≠ {} <=> (Re a ≤ Re b ∧ Im a ≤ Im b)
    box a b ≠ {} <=> (Re a < Re b ∧ Im a < Im b)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.19 Counting roots in a rectangle

```

definition proots-rect ::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ nat where
  proots-rect p lb ub = proots-count p (box lb ub)

definition proots-crect ::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ nat where
  proots-crect p lb ub = proots-count p (cbox lb ub)

definition proots-rect-lr ::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ nat where
  proots-rect-lr p lb ub = proots-count p (box lb ub ∪ {lb})
    ∪ open-segment lb (Complex (Re ub) (Im lb))
    ∪ open-segment lb (Complex (Re lb) (Im ub)))

definition proots-rect-border::complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ nat where
  proots-rect-border p a b = proots-count p (path-image (rectpath a b))

definition not-rect-vertex::complex ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ bool where
  not-rect-vertex r a b = (r≠a ∧ r ≠ Complex (Re b) (Im a) ∧ r≠b ∧ r≠Complex (Re a) (Im b))

definition not-rect-vanishing :: complex poly ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ bool where
  not-rect-vanishing p a b = (poly p a≠0 ∧ poly p (Complex (Re b) (Im a)) ≠ 0
    ∧ poly p b ≠ 0 ∧ poly p (Complex (Re a) (Im b)) ≠ 0)

lemma cindexP-rectpath-edge-base:
  assumes Re a < Re b Im a < Im b
  and not-rect-vertex r a b
  and r∈path-image (rectpath a b)

```

```

shows cindexP-pathE [:−r,1:] (rectpath a b) = −1
⟨proof⟩

lemma cindexP-rectpath-vertex-base:
assumes Re a < Re b Im a < Im b
and  $\neg$  not-rect-vertex r a b
shows cindexP-pathE [:−r,1:] (rectpath a b) = −1/2
⟨proof⟩

lemma cindexP-rectpath-interior-base:
assumes r ∈ box a b
shows cindexP-pathE [:−r,1:] (rectpath a b) = −2
⟨proof⟩

lemma cindexP-rectpath-outside-base:
assumes Re a < Re b Im a < Im b
and r ∉ cbox a b
shows cindexP-pathE [:−r,1:] (rectpath a b) = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma cindexP-rectpath-add-one-root:
assumes Re a < Re b Im a < Im b
and not-rect-vertex r a b
and not-rect-vanishing p a b
shows cindexP-pathE ([:−r,1:] * p) (rectpath a b) =
    cindexP-pathE p (rectpath a b)
    + (if r ∈ box a b then −2 else if r ∈ path-image (rectpath a b) then −1 else
0)
⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-rect-cindexP-pathE:
assumes Re a < Re b Im a < Im b
and not-rect-vanishing p a b
shows proots-rect p a b = −(proots-rect-border p a b + cindexP-pathE p (rectpath
a b)) / 2
⟨proof⟩

```

2.20 Code generation

lemmas Complex-minus-eq = minus-complex.code

```

lemma cindexP-pathE-rect-smods:
fixes p::complex poly and lb ub::complex
assumes ab-le:Re lb < Re ub Im lb < Im ub
and not-rect-vanishing p lb ub
shows cindexP-pathE p (rectpath lb ub) =
    (let p1 = pcompose p [:lb, Complex (Re ub − Re lb) 0:];
     pR1 = map-poly Re p1; pI1 = map-poly Im p1; gc1 = gcd pR1 pI1;

```

```

 $p2 = pcompose p [:\text{Complex}(\text{Re } ub) (\text{Im } lb), \text{Complex } 0 (\text{Im } ub - \text{Im } lb);]$ 
 $pR2 = \text{map-poly } \text{Re } p2; pI2 = \text{map-poly } \text{Im } p2; gc2 = \text{gcd } pR2 pI2;$ 
 $p3 = pcompose p [:ub, \text{Complex}(\text{Re } lb - \text{Re } ub) 0];$ 
 $pR3 = \text{map-poly } \text{Re } p3; pI3 = \text{map-poly } \text{Im } p3; gc3 = \text{gcd } pR3 pI3;$ 
 $p4 = pcompose p [:\text{Complex}(\text{Re } lb) (\text{Im } ub), \text{Complex } 0 (\text{Im } lb - \text{Im } ub);]$ 
 $pR4 = \text{map-poly } \text{Re } p4; pI4 = \text{map-poly } \text{Im } p4; gc4 = \text{gcd } pR4 pI4$ 
in
 $(\text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 1 (pR1 \text{ div } gc1) (pI1 \text{ div } gc1)$ 
 $+ \text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 1 (pR2 \text{ div } gc2) (pI2 \text{ div } gc2)$ 
 $+ \text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 1 (pR3 \text{ div } gc3) (pI3 \text{ div } gc3)$ 
 $+ \text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 1 (pR4 \text{ div } gc4) (pI4 \text{ div } gc4)$ 
 $) / 2) (\text{is } ?L=?R)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma open-segment-Im-equal:
assumes Re  $x \neq \text{Re } y$  Im  $x = \text{Im } y$ 
shows open-segment  $x y = \{z. \text{Im } z = \text{Im } x \wedge \text{Re } z \in \text{open-segment}(\text{Re } x) (\text{Re } y)\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma open-segment-Re-equal:
assumes Re  $x = \text{Re } y$  Im  $x \neq \text{Im } y$ 
shows open-segment  $x y = \{z. \text{Re } z = \text{Re } x \wedge \text{Im } z \in \text{open-segment}(\text{Im } x) (\text{Im } y)\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Complex-eq-iff:
 $x = \text{Complex } y \iff \text{Re } x = y \wedge \text{Im } x = z$ 
 $\text{Complex } y z = x \iff \text{Re } x = y \wedge \text{Im } x = z$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-rect-border-eq-lines:
fixes  $p::\text{complex poly}$  and  $lb ub::\text{complex}$ 
assumes ab-le:  $\text{Re } lb < \text{Re } ub$   $\text{Im } lb < \text{Im } ub$ 
and not-van:  $\text{not-rect-vanishing } p lb ub$ 
shows proots-rect-border  $p lb ub =$ 
 $\text{proots-line } p lb (\text{Complex}(\text{Re } ub) (\text{Im } lb))$ 
 $+ \text{proots-line } p (\text{Complex}(\text{Re } ub) (\text{Im } lb)) ub$ 
 $+ \text{proots-line } p ub (\text{Complex}(\text{Re } lb) (\text{Im } ub))$ 
 $+ \text{proots-line } p (\text{Complex}(\text{Re } lb) (\text{Im } ub)) lb$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-rect-border-smods:
fixes  $p::\text{complex poly}$  and  $lb ub::\text{complex}$ 
assumes ab-le:  $\text{Re } lb < \text{Re } ub$   $\text{Im } lb < \text{Im } ub$ 
and not-van:  $\text{not-rect-vanishing } p lb ub$ 
shows proots-rect-border  $p lb ub =$ 

```

```

(let p1 = pcompose p [:lb, Complex (Re ub - Re lb) 0:];
 pR1 = map-poly Re p1; pI1 = map-poly Im p1; gc1 = gcd pR1 pI1;
 p2 = pcompose p [:Complex (Re ub) (Im lb), Complex 0 (Im ub - Im
 lb):];
 pR2 = map-poly Re p2; pI2 = map-poly Im p2; gc2 = gcd pR2 pI2;
 p3 = pcompose p [:ub, Complex (Re lb - Re ub) 0:];
 pR3 = map-poly Re p3; pI3 = map-poly Im p3; gc3 = gcd pR3 pI3;
 p4 = pcompose p [:Complex (Re lb) (Im ub), Complex 0 (Im lb - Im
 ub):];
 pR4 = map-poly Re p4; pI4 = map-poly Im p4; gc4 = gcd pR4 pI4
 in
 nat (changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc1 (pderiv gc1)
 + changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc2 (pderiv gc2)
 + changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc3 (pderiv gc3)
 + changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc4 (pderiv gc4)
 ) ) (is ?L=?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-rect-smods:
assumes Re lb < Re ub Im lb < Im ub
and not-van:not-rect-vanishing p lb ub
shows proots-rect p lb ub =
let p1 = pcompose p [:lb, Complex (Re ub - Re lb) 0:];
 pR1 = map-poly Re p1; pI1 = map-poly Im p1; gc1 = gcd pR1 pI1;
 p2 = pcompose p [:Complex (Re ub) (Im lb), Complex 0 (Im ub - Im
 lb):];
 pR2 = map-poly Re p2; pI2 = map-poly Im p2; gc2 = gcd pR2 pI2;
 p3 = pcompose p [:ub, Complex (Re lb - Re ub) 0:];
 pR3 = map-poly Re p3; pI3 = map-poly Im p3; gc3 = gcd pR3 pI3;
 p4 = pcompose p [:Complex (Re lb) (Im ub), Complex 0 (Im lb - Im
 ub):];
 pR4 = map-poly Re p4; pI4 = map-poly Im p4; gc4 = gcd pR4 pI4
in
nat (-(changes-alt-itv-smods 0 1 (pR1 div gc1) (pI1 div gc1)
+ changes-alt-itv-smods 0 1 (pR2 div gc2) (pI2 div gc2)
+ changes-alt-itv-smods 0 1 (pR3 div gc3) (pI3 div gc3)
+ changes-alt-itv-smods 0 1 (pR4 div gc4) (pI4 div gc4)
+ 2*changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc1 (pderiv gc1)
+ 2*changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc2 (pderiv gc2)
+ 2*changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc3 (pderiv gc3)
+ 2*changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc4 (pderiv gc4)) div 4)
)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma proots-rect-code[code]:
proots-rect p lb ub =
(if Re lb < Re ub ∧ Im lb < Im ub then
 if not-rect-vanishing p lb ub then

```

```

(
let p1 = pcompose p [:lb, Complex (Re ub - Re lb) 0:];
pR1 = map-poly Re p1; pI1 = map-poly Im p1; gc1 = gcd pR1 pI1;
p2 = pcompose p [:Complex (Re ub) (Im lb), Complex 0 (Im ub - Im
lb):];
pR2 = map-poly Re p2; pI2 = map-poly Im p2; gc2 = gcd pR2 pI2;
p3 = pcompose p [:ub, Complex (Re lb - Re ub) 0:];
pR3 = map-poly Re p3; pI3 = map-poly Im p3; gc3 = gcd pR3 pI3;
p4 = pcompose p [:Complex (Re lb) (Im ub), Complex 0 (Im lb - Im
ub):];
pR4 = map-poly Re p4; pI4 = map-poly Im p4; gc4 = gcd pR4 pI4
in
nat (-
  (changes-alt-itv-smods 0 1 (pR1 div gc1) (pI1 div gc1)
   + changes-alt-itv-smods 0 1 (pR2 div gc2) (pI2 div gc2)
   + changes-alt-itv-smods 0 1 (pR3 div gc3) (pI3 div gc3)
   + changes-alt-itv-smods 0 1 (pR4 div gc4) (pI4 div gc4)
   + 2*changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc1 (pderiv gc1)
   + 2*changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc2 (pderiv gc2)
   + 2*changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc3 (pderiv gc3)
   + 2*changes-itv-smods-ext 0 1 gc4 (pderiv gc4)) div 4)
)
else Code.abort (STR "proots-rect: the polynomial should not vanish
at the four vertices for now") ( $\lambda$ . proots-rect p lb ub)
else 0
)
⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-rect-ll-rect:
assumes Re lb < Re ub Im lb < Im ub
and not-van:not-rect-vanishing p lb ub
shows proots-rect-ll p lb ub = proots-rect p lb ub
+ proots-line p lb (Complex (Re ub) (Im lb))
+ proots-line p lb (Complex (Re lb) (Im ub))

⟨proof⟩

lemma proots-rect-ll-smods:
assumes Re lb < Re ub Im lb < Im ub
and not-van:not-rect-vanishing p lb ub
shows proots-rect-ll p lb ub =
let p1 = pcompose p [:lb, Complex (Re ub - Re lb) 0:];
pR1 = map-poly Re p1; pI1 = map-poly Im p1; gc1 = gcd pR1 pI1;
p2 = pcompose p [:Complex (Re ub) (Im lb), Complex 0 (Im ub - Im
lb):];
pR2 = map-poly Re p2; pI2 = map-poly Im p2; gc2 = gcd pR2 pI2;
p3 = pcompose p [:ub, Complex (Re lb - Re ub) 0:];
pR3 = map-poly Re p3; pI3 = map-poly Im p3; gc3 = gcd pR3 pI3;
p4 = pcompose p [:Complex (Re lb) (Im ub), Complex 0 (Im lb - Im
ub):];
pR4 = map-poly Re p4; pI4 = map-poly Im p4; gc4 = gcd pR4 pI4

```

in

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{nat} \left(- \left(\text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 \ 1 \ (pR1 \ \text{div} \ gc1) \ (pI1 \ \text{div} \ gc1) \right. \right. \\ & + \text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 \ 1 \ (pR2 \ \text{div} \ gc2) \ (pI2 \ \text{div} \ gc2) \\ & + \text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 \ 1 \ (pR3 \ \text{div} \ gc3) \ (pI3 \ \text{div} \ gc3) \\ & + \text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 \ 1 \ (pR4 \ \text{div} \ gc4) \ (pI4 \ \text{div} \ gc4) \\ & \left. \left. - 2 * \text{changes-itv-smods-ext } 0 \ 1 \ gc1 \ (\text{pderiv} \ gc1) \right. \right. \\ & + 2 * \text{changes-itv-smods-ext } 0 \ 1 \ gc2 \ (\text{pderiv} \ gc2) \\ & + 2 * \text{changes-itv-smods-ext } 0 \ 1 \ gc3 \ (\text{pderiv} \ gc3) \\ & \left. \left. - 2 * \text{changes-itv-smods-ext } 0 \ 1 \ gc4 \ (\text{pderiv} \ gc4) \right) \ \text{div} \ 4 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma proots-rect-ll-code[code]:

proots-rect-ll p lb ub =

(if $\text{Re } lb < \text{Re } ub \wedge \text{Im } lb < \text{Im } ub$ then

 if not-rect-vanishing p lb ub then

 (

 let $p1 = \text{pcompose } p [:\text{lb}, \ \text{Complex} (\text{Re } ub - \text{Re } lb) \ 0:]$;

$pR1 = \text{map-poly } \text{Re } p1; pI1 = \text{map-poly } \text{Im } p1; gc1 = \text{gcd } pR1 \ pI1$;

$p2 = \text{pcompose } p [: \text{Complex} (\text{Re } ub) (\text{Im } lb), \ \text{Complex} 0 (\text{Im } ub - \text{Im } lb):];$

$pR2 = \text{map-poly } \text{Re } p2; pI2 = \text{map-poly } \text{Im } p2; gc2 = \text{gcd } pR2 \ pI2$;

$p3 = \text{pcompose } p [: \text{ub}, \ \text{Complex} (\text{Re } lb - \text{Re } ub) \ 0:]$;

$pR3 = \text{map-poly } \text{Re } p3; pI3 = \text{map-poly } \text{Im } p3; gc3 = \text{gcd } pR3 \ pI3$;

$p4 = \text{pcompose } p [: \text{Complex} (\text{Re } lb) (\text{Im } ub), \ \text{Complex} 0 (\text{Im } lb - \text{Im } ub):];$

$pR4 = \text{map-poly } \text{Re } p4; pI4 = \text{map-poly } \text{Im } p4; gc4 = \text{gcd } pR4 \ pI4$

 in

 nat $\left(- \left(\text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 \ 1 \ (pR1 \ \text{div} \ gc1) \ (pI1 \ \text{div} \ gc1) \right. \right. \right.$

$+ \text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 \ 1 \ (pR2 \ \text{div} \ gc2) \ (pI2 \ \text{div} \ gc2)$

$+ \text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 \ 1 \ (pR3 \ \text{div} \ gc3) \ (pI3 \ \text{div} \ gc3)$

$+ \text{changes-alt-itv-smods } 0 \ 1 \ (pR4 \ \text{div} \ gc4) \ (pI4 \ \text{div} \ gc4)$

$- 2 * \text{changes-itv-smods-ext } 0 \ 1 \ gc1 \ (\text{pderiv} \ gc1)$

$+ 2 * \text{changes-itv-smods-ext } 0 \ 1 \ gc2 \ (\text{pderiv} \ gc2)$

$+ 2 * \text{changes-itv-smods-ext } 0 \ 1 \ gc3 \ (\text{pderiv} \ gc3)$

$- 2 * \text{changes-itv-smods-ext } 0 \ 1 \ gc4 \ (\text{pderiv} \ gc4) \ \text{div} \ 4 \right) \ \text{div} \ 4 \right) \ \text{div} \ 4 \right)$

)

 else $\text{Code.abort } (\text{STR } "proots-rect-ll: the polynomial should not vanish at the four vertices for now") (\lambda. \text{ proots-rect-ll } p \ lb \ ub)$

 else $\text{Code.abort } (\text{STR } "proots-rect-ll: the box is improper") (\lambda. \text{ proots-rect-ll } p \ lb \ ub)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

3 Procedures to count the number of complex roots in various areas

theory Count-Complex-Roots imports

```

Count-Half-Plane
Count-Line
Count-Circle
Count-Rectangle
begin
end

```

4 Some examples for complex root counting

```

theory Count-Complex-Roots-Examples
  imports Count-Complex-Roots
begin

  value proots-rect [:2*i,0,i:] (Complex (-1) 0) (Complex 2 2)

  value proots-rect [:-1,-2*i,1:]
    (Complex (-1) 0) (Complex 2 2)

  value proots-rect-ll [:-1,1:]
    (Complex (-1) 0) (Complex 2 2)

  value proots-half [:1-i,2-i,1:]
    0 (Complex 0 1)

  value proots-half [:1-i,2-i,1:] (Complex 0 1) 0

  value [code] proots-ball ([:-2,1]*[:-2,1]*[:-3,1]) 0 4
end

```

5 Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178), funded by the European Research Council and led by Professor Lawrence Paulson at the University of Cambridge, UK.

References

- [1] M. Eisermann. The fundamental theorem of algebra made effective: An elementary real-algebraic proof via Sturm chains. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 115(9):807–815, 2008.

ical Monthly, 119(9):715–752, 2012.

- [2] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser. *Analytic theory of polynomials*. Number 26. Oxford University Press, 2002.