scheduled on December 11, 2017. The final CoC, TS, and SER can be viewed in ADAMS under Accession No. ML17338A091.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of December 2017.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Cindy K. Bladey,

Chief, Regulatory Analysis and Rulemaking Support Branch, Division of Rulemaking, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2017-26508 Filed 12-7-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND** SECURITY

**U.S. Customs and Border Protection** 

19 CFR Part 4

[CBP Dec. 17-20] RIN 1651-AB15

### **Civil Monetary Penalty Adjustments for** Inflation

AGENCY: U.S. Customs and Border

**ACTION:** Final rule.

Protection, DHS.

**SUMMARY:** This rule amends U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) regulations to adjust for inflation the amounts that CBP can assess as civil monetary penalties for the following three violations—transporting passengers between coastwise points in the United States by a non-coastwise qualified vessel; towing a vessel between coastwise points in the United States by a non-coastwise qualified vessel; and dealing in or using an empty stamped imported liquor container after it has already been used once. These adjustments are being made in in accordance with the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015 (2015 Act) which was enacted on November 2, 2015. Other CBP civil penalty amounts were adjusted pursuant to this 2015 Act in previously published rule documents published in the **Federal Register** on July 1, 2016, and January 27, 2017, but the adjustments for these three civil penalties were inadvertently left out of those documents.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on December 8, 2017. The adjusted penalty amounts will be applicable for penalties assessed after December 8, 2017 if the associated violations occurred after November 2, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Millie Gleason, Office of Field

Operations, U.S. Customs and Border Protection. Phone: (202) 325-4291.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Statutory and Regulatory Background

On November 2, 2015, the President signed into law the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015 (Pub. L. 114-74 section 701 (Nov. 2, 2015)) (2015 Act).1 The 2015 Act amended the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 (28 U.S.C. 2461 note) (1990 Inflation Adjustment Act) to improve the effectiveness of civil monetary penalties and to maintain their deterrent effect. The 2015 Act required agencies to: (1) Adjust the level of civil monetary penalties with an initial "catch-up" adjustment through issuance of an interim final rule (IFR) and (2) make subsequent annual adjustments for inflation. Through the "catch-up" adjustment, agencies were required to adjust the maximum amounts of civil monetary penalties to more accurately reflect inflation rates. The 2015 Act directed the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to issue guidance to agencies on implementing the initial "catch-up" adjustment. The 2015 Act required that agencies publish their IFRs in the Federal Register no later than July 1, 2016 and that the adjusted amounts were to take effect no later than August 1, 2016.

For the subsequent annual adjustments, the 2015 Act requires agencies to increase the penalty amounts by a cost-of-living adjustment. The 2015 Act directs OMB to provide guidance to agencies each year to assist agencies in making the annual adjustments. The 2015 Act requires agencies to make the annual adjustments no later than January 15 of each year and to publish the adjustments in the Federal Register.

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) undertook a review of the civil penalties that DHS and its components administer to determine which penalties would need adjustments. On July 1, 2016, DHS published an IFR adjusting the civil monetary penalties with an initial "catch-up" adjustment, as required by the 2015 Act. See 81 FR 42987. DHS calculated the adjusted penalties based upon nondiscretionary provisions in the 2015 Act and upon guidance issued by OMB on February 24, 2016.2 The

adjusted penalties were effective for civil penalties assessed after August 1, 2016 (the effective date of the IFR) whose associated violations occurred after November 2, 2015 (the date of enactment of the 2015 Act).3 On January 27, 2017, DHS published a final rule adopting as final the civil monetary penalty adjustment methodology from the IFR and making the 2017 annual inflation adjustment pursuant to the 2015 Act and upon guidance OMB issued to agencies on December 16, 2016.4 See 82 FR 8571.

As discussed in Section II below, three civil monetary penalties assessed by CBP and subject to the 2015 Act were inadvertently omitted from these DHS rulemakings.

#### **II. CBP Penalties**

CBP assesses or enforces penalties under various titles of the Unites States Code (U.S.C.) and the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). These penalties include civil monetary penalties for certain violations of title 8 of the CFR pursuant to the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952,<sup>5</sup> as well as certain civil monetary penalties for customs violations for laws codified in title 19 of the U.S.C. and the CFR. CBP assesses many of the title 19 penalties under the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and as discussed in the IFR preamble at 81 FR 42987, the 2015 Act specifically exempts Tariff Act penalties from the inflation adjustment requirements in the 2015 Act. For that reason, DHS did not list those penalties in the tables of CBP penalty adjustments in the DHS rulemakings. There are also various other monetary penalties found throughout the U.S.C. and CFR which CBP may seek to issue or enforce but which were not included in the tables because they fall within the purview of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The 2015 Act was enacted as part of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, Public Law 114-74 (Nov. 2, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> OMB, Implementation of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015, February 24, 2016. https://obama

whitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/omb/ memoranda/2016/m-16-06.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> DHS published a correction to the IFR on August 23, 2016 to correct one amendatory instruction. See 81 FR 57442.

<sup>4</sup> OMB, Implementation of the 2017 annual adjustment pursuant to the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015, December 16, 2016. https://obama whitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/omb/ memoranda/2017/m-17-11 0.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Public Law 82-414, as amended (INA). The INA contains provisions that impose penalties on persons, including carriers and aliens, who violate specified provisions of the INA. While CBP is responsible for enforcing various provisions of the INA and assessing penalties for violations of those provisions, all the penalty amounts CBP can assess for violations of the INA are set forth in one section of title 8 of the CFR-8 CFR 280.53. For a complete list of the INA sections for which penalties are assessed, in addition to a brief description of each violation, see the IFR preamble at 81 FR 42989-

another Department or Agency for purposes of the 2015 Act.<sup>6</sup>

However, three non-Tariff Act penalties that are assessed by CBP were inadvertently omitted from the DHS rulemakings. The first is a penalty set forth at 19 U.S.C. 469, and not reflected in the CBP regulations, for dealing in or using already used empty stamped imported liquor containers. The other two penalties are set forth in title 46 of the U.S.C., 46 U.S.C. 55103 and 46 U.S.C. 55111 and reflected in the CBP regulations in 19 CFR part 4. Pursuant to 46 U.S.C. 55103(b) and 19 CFR 4.80(b)(2), CBP assesses penalties for transporting passengers between coastwise points in the United States by a non-coastwise qualified vessel. Pursuant to 46 U.S.C. 55111(c) and 19 CFR 4.92, CBP assesses penalties for towing a vessel between coastwise points in the United States by a noncoastwise qualified vessel.

This final rule adjusts these penalty amounts using the same civil monetary penalty adjustment methodology that DHS announced in the IFR (81 FR 42987) and finalized in the DHS final rule (82 FR 8571), and detailed below.

## III. Inflation Adjustment Methodology Required by 2015 Act

#### A. Overview

The 2015 Act provides a new method for calculating inflation adjustments. The new method differs substantially from the methods that agencies used in the past when conducting inflation adjustments pursuant to the 1990 Inflation Adjustment Act. The new method is intended to more accurately reflect inflation. Previously, when agencies conducted adjustments to civil penalties, they did so under rules that required significant rounding of figures. For example, an agency would round a penalty increase that was greater than \$1,000, but less than or equal to \$10,000, to the nearest multiple of \$1,000. While this allowed penalties to be kept at round numbers, it meant that agencies would often not increase

penalties at all if the inflation factor was not large enough. Furthermore, increases to penalties were capped at 10 percent, which meant that longer periods without an inflation adjustment could cause a penalty to rapidly lose value in real terms. Over time, the formula used in the 1990 Inflation Adjustment Act calculations frequently caused penalties to lose value relative to actual inflation. The 2015 Act removed these rounding rules, and instead instructs agencies to round penalties to the nearest \$1. While this creates penalty values that are no longer round numbers, it does ensure that agencies will increase penalties each year to a figure commensurate with the actual calculated inflation.

To better reflect the original impact of civil penalties, the 2015 Act "resets" the inflation calculations by excluding prior inflationary adjustments under the Inflation Adjustment Act. To do this, the 2015 Act requires agencies to identify, for each penalty, the year that Congress originally enacted the maximum penalty level/range of minimum and maximum penalty levels or the year that the agency last adjusted the penalty amount other than to pursuant to the Inflation Adjustment Act, and the corresponding penalty amount(s). The 2015 Act then requires agencies to perform an initial "catchup" adjustment, using the original amounts of civil penalties as a baseline, so that the 2016 penalty levels are equal, in real terms, to the penalty amounts as they were originally established. The 2015 Act also requires agencies to make subsequent annual adjustments to increase the penalty amounts by a costof-living adjustment.

# B. Catch-Up Adjustment

This section sets forth the initial "catch-up" adjustment for three civil monetary penalties assessed by CBP that were inadvertently omitted from the DHS rulemakings. The catch-up adjustments for these three penalties are listed in Table 1 below. This table

shows how DHS would have initially increased the penalties pursuant to the 2015 Act. The table contains the following information:

- In the first column (penalty name), we provide a description of the penalty.
- In the second column (citation), we provide the statutory cite from the United States Code (U.S.C.) and the regulatory cite from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).
- In the third column (current penalty), we list the existing penalty in effect on November 2, 2015.
- In the fourth column (baseline penalty (year)), we provide the amount and year of the penalty as enacted by Congress or as last changed through a mechanism other than pursuant to the Inflation Adjustment Act, whichever is later
- In the fifth column (2016 multiplier), we list the multiplier used to adjust the penalty pursuant to the initial OMB catch-up guidance. The multiplier is determined by the year of enactment or last adjustment of the penalty. The multiplier is based upon the Consumer Price Index (CPI–U) for the month of October 2015, not seasonally adjusted.
- In the sixth column (preliminary new penalty), we list the amount obtained by multiplying the Baseline Penalty from column 4 with the Multiplier from column 5. This amount will be the catch-up adjustment amount, if, in accordance with the 2015 Act, this level does not increase penalty levels by more than 150 percent of the corresponding levels in effect on November 2, 2015.
- In the seventh column (adjusted 2016 penalty), we provide the number for the penalty as it would have been adjusted for 2016. To derive this number, we compare the preliminary new penalty with the current penalty from column 3. The adjusted new penalty is the lesser of either the preliminary new penalty or an amount equal to 150 percent more than the current penalty.

TABLE 1—U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION CIVIL PENALTIES INITIAL CATCH-UP ADJUSTMENTS

Penalty name	Citation	Current penalty	Baseline penalty* (year)	2016 Multiplier **	Preliminary new penalty [2016 multiplier × baseline penalty]	Adjusted 2016 penalty [increase capped at 150% more than current penalty]
Penalty for dealing in or using empty stamped imported liquor containers.	19 U.S.C. 469	\$200	\$200 (1879)	23.54832	\$4,710	\$500.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>For example, CBP may enforce the Clean Diamond Trade Act penalty set forth in 19 U.S.C.

TABLE 1—U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION CIVIL PENALTIES INITIAL CATCH-UP ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

Penalty name	Citation	Current penalty	Baseline penalty* (year)	2016 Multiplier **	Preliminary new penalty [2016 multiplier × baseline penalty]	Adjusted 2016 penalty [increase capped at 150% more than current penalty]
Penalty for transporting passengers between coastwise points in the United States by a non-coastwise qualified vessel.	46 U.S.C. 55103(b) 19 CFR 4.80(b)(2).	\$300	\$200 (1898)	23.54832	\$4,710	\$750.
Penalty for towing a vessel be- tween coastwise points in the United States by a non-coast- wise qualified vessel.	46 U.S.C. 55111(c) 19 CFR 4.92.	\$350-\$1100, plus \$60 per ton.	\$250-\$1000, plus \$50 per ton (1940).	16.98843	\$4,247– \$16,988, plus \$849 per ton.	\$875–\$2,750, plus \$150 per ton.

<sup>\*</sup>The amount of the penalty and the year when the penalty was established or last adjusted in statute or regulation other than pursuant to the Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990.

#### C. 2017 Adjustments

This final rule also makes the 2017 annual inflation adjustment pursuant to the 2015 Act and the guidance OMB issued to agencies on December 16, 2016.<sup>7</sup> Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2461 note sec. 6, as amended by the 2015 Act, the penalty amounts adjusted by this final rule will be applicable for penalties assessed after December 8, 2017 where the associated violation occurred after November 2, 2015 (*i.e.*, the date the

2015 Act was signed into law). Consistent with OMB guidance, the 2015 Act does not change previously assessed penalties that the agency is actively collecting or has collected.

In Table 2 below, we show: (1) The civil penalty (or penalties) name, (2) the penalty statutory and/or regulatory citation, (3) the penalty amount as it would have been adjusted in 2016 (see Table 1), (4) the cost-of-living adjustment multiplier for 2017 that OMB provided in its December 16, 2016

guidance, and (5) the new 2017 adjusted penalty.

Additionally, we have made conforming edits to the regulatory text for the new adjusted penalty amounts in 19 CFR 4.80(b)(2) and 19 CFR 4.92. Because the 19 U.S.C. 469 penalty is not included in the CFR, there are no conforming edits to be made to the regulatory text. However, this penalty is listed in Table 2 for informational purposes.

TABLE 2-U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION CIVIL PENALTIES 2017 ADJUSTMENTS

Penalty name	Citation	Adjusted 2016 penalty (see Table 1)	2017 Multiplier*	New penalty as adjusted by this final rule
Penalty for dealing in or using empty stamped imported liquor containers.	19 U.S.C. 469	\$500	1.01636	\$508.**
Penalty for transporting passengers between coastwise points in the United States by a non-coastwise qualified vessel.	46 U.S.C. 55103(b) 19 CFR 4.80(b)(2).	\$750	1.01636	\$762.
Penalty for towing a vessel between coastwise points in the United States by a non-coastwise qualified vessel.	46 U.S.C. 55111(c) 19 CFR 4.92.	\$875–\$2,750, plus \$150 per ton.	1.01636	\$889–\$2,795, plus \$152 per ton.

<sup>\*</sup>OMB, Implementation of the 2017 annual adjustment pursuant to the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015, December 16, 2016. https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/omb/memoranda/2017/m-17-11\_0.pdf.

\*\*No applicable conforming edit to regulatory text.

#### IV. Administrative Procedure Act

The Administrative Procedure Act (APA) generally requires agencies to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** (5 U.S.C. 553(b)) and to provide interested persons with the opportunity to submit comments (5 U.S.C. 553(c)). The APA also requires agencies to provide a delayed effective date (of not less than 30 days) for substantive rules. 5 U.S.C. 553(d). The 2015 Act, however, specifically

instructed that agencies are to make the required annual adjustments notwithstanding section 553 of title 5, United States Code.

DHS is promulgating this final rule to ensure that the amount of civil penalties that CBP assesses or enforces that was inadvertently omitted from the DHS rulemakings reflects the statutorily mandated ranges as adjusted for inflation. The 2015 Act provides a clear nondiscretionary formula for adjustment of the civil penalties; DHS and CBP

have been charged only with performing ministerial computations to determine the amounts of adjustments for inflation to civil monetary penalties.

Additionally, although the 2015 Act requires publication of an IFR to take effect not later than August 1, 2016, that date has passed and publishing a separate IFR to account for these inadvertently omitted penalty adjustments would cause unnecessary delay. Further, this final rule merely applies the adjustment methodology

<sup>\*\*</sup>OMB, Implementation of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015, Table A: 2016 Civil Monetary Penalty Catch-Up Adjustment Multiplier by Calendar Year, February 24, 2016. https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/omb/memoranda/2016/m-16-06.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See footnote 4.

that DHS provided for public comment in the 2016 IFR and finalized in the 2017 final rule. DHS finds that it is unnecessary to seek further public comment regarding the application of the finalized methodology to these three penalties. For these reasons, and as specified in the 2015 Act, DHS finds good cause to promulgate these CBP civil monetary penalty adjustments as a final rule and finds that the prior public notice-and-comment procedures and delayed effective date requirements of the APA are unnecessary and do not apply to this rule.

As described in Section I above, the 2015 Act requires agencies to make annual adjustments to civil monetary penalties no later than January 15 of each year and to publish the adjustments in the Federal Register. DHS will make future annual inflation adjustments required pursuant to the 2015 Act by final rule notwithstanding the notice-and-comment and delayed effective date requirements of the APA, as required by the 2015 Act. For future annual adjustments, DHS will update the penalty amounts by applying a costof-living adjustment multiplier pursuant to OMB guidance. DHS will publish a final rule that provides a table with the adjusted penalty amounts and that updates the numbers in the regulatory text accordingly. DHS will incorporate the three CBP penalties adjusted in this final rule into such future annual adjustment final rules.

### V. Regulatory Analyses

A. Executive Orders 12866, 13563, and 13771

Executive Orders 12866 ("Regulatory Planning and Review") and 13563 ("Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review") direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). Executive Order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. Executive Order 13771 ("Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs") directs agencies to reduce regulation and control regulatory costs and provides that "for every one new regulation issued, at least two prior regulations be identified for elimination, and that the cost of planned regulations be prudently managed and controlled through a budgeting process."

OMB has not designated this rule a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, OMB has not reviewed it. As this rule is not a significant regulatory action it is not subject to the requirements of Executive Order 13771. See OMB's Memorandum, "Guidance Implementing Executive Order 13771, Titled 'Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs'" (April 5, 2017) at Q2.

This final rule makes nondiscretionary adjustments to existing civil monetary penalties in accordance with the 2015 Act and OMB guidance.<sup>8</sup> DHS therefore did not consider alternatives and does not have the flexibility to alter the adjustments of the civil monetary penalty amounts as provided in this rule. To the extent this final rule increases civil monetary penalties, it would result in an increase in transfers from persons or entities assessed a civil monetary penalty to the government.

## B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act applies only to rules for which an agency publishes a notice of proposed rulemaking pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b). See 5 U.S.C. 601–612. The Regulatory Flexibility Act does not apply to this final rule because a notice of proposed rulemaking was not required for the reasons stated above.

# C. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, 2 U.S.C. 1531–1538, requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or Tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. This final rule will not result in such an expenditure.

#### D. Paperwork Reduction Act

The provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35, and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, do not apply to this final rule, because this final rule does not trigger any new or revised recordkeeping or reporting.

### **VI. Signing Authority**

The signing authority for this document falls under 19 CFR 0.2(a). Accordingly, this document is signed by the Secretary of Homeland Security.

### List of Subjects in 19 CFR Part 4

Customs duties and inspection, Exports, Freight, Harbors, Maritime carriers, Oil pollution, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Vessels.

#### Amendments to the Regulations

For the reasons stated in the preamble, CBP amends 19 CFR part 4 as follows:

# PART 4—VESSELS IN FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC TRADES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 4 continues to read in part as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 301; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1431, 1433, 1434, 1624, 2071 note; 46 U.S.C. 501, 60105.

Sections 4.80, 4.80a, and 4.80b also issued under 19 U.S.C. 1706a; 28 U.S.C. 2461 note; 46 U.S.C. 12112, 12117, 12118, 50501–55106, 55107, 55108, 55110, 55114, 55115, 55116, 55117, 55119, 56101, 55121, 56101, 57109; Pub. L. 108–7, Division B, Title II,  $\S$  211;

Section 4.92 also issued under 28 U.S.C. 2461 note; 46 U.S.C. 55111;

 $\blacksquare$  2. Revise § 4.80(b)(2) to read as follows:

# § 4.80 Vessels entitled to engage in coastwise trade.

\* \* \* \* \* (b) \* \* \*

(2) The penalty imposed for the unlawful transportation of passengers between coastwise points is \$300 for each passenger so transported and landed on or before November 2, 2015, and \$762 for each passenger so transported and landed after November 2, 2015 (46 U.S.C. 55103, as adjusted by the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015).

■ 3. Revise § 4.92 to read as follows:

## § 4.92 Towing.

No vessel other than a vessel documented for the coastwise trade, or which would be entitled to be so documented except for its tonnage (see § 4.80), may tow a vessel other than a vessel in distress between points in the U.S. embraced within the coastwise laws, or for any part of such towing (46 U.S.C. 55111). The penalties for violation of this provision occurring on or before November 2, 2015, are a fine of from \$350 to \$1100 against the owner or master of the towing vessel and a further penalty against the towing vessel of \$60 per ton of the towed vessel. The penalties for violation of this provision occurring after November 2, 2015, are a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See footnotes 2 and 4.

fine of from \$889 to \$2,795 against the owner or master of the towing vessel and a further penalty against the towing vessel of \$152 per ton of the towed vessel (46 U.S.C. 55111, as adjusted by the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015).

Dated: December 5, 2017.

#### Elaine C. Duke,

Acting Secretary of Homeland Security. [FR Doc. 2017–26506 Filed 12–7–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-14-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Office of the Secretary

32 CFR Parts 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17

[Docket ID: DOD-2017-OS-0062]

RIN 0790-AJ58

### **Military Commissions**

**AGENCY:** Office of the General Counsel,

DoD.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense is removing its regulations regarding procedures for the conduct of military commissions to try certain terror suspects for war crimes because the subchapter, which contains eleven

parts, is outdated and no longer in force.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on December 8, 2017.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gerald Dziecichowicz at 703-693-9958.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On

November 13, 2001, President George W. Bush issued the Military Order titled "Detention, Treatment, and Trial of Certain Non-Citizens in the War Against Terrorism," which authorized the use of military commissions to try certain terror suspects for war crimes. Pursuant to section 4 of that order, the Secretary of Defense issued policies and procedures for the conduct of those proceedings, which were codified at 32 CFR chapter I, subchapter B. In 2006, the Supreme Court essentially invalidated that military commissions process. Congress subsequently passed several laws reshaping and reauthorizing the use of military commissions, which required the Secretary of Defense to issue new policies and procedures. These updated directives are publicly available and posted to a department Web site. Accordingly, this subchapter, which contains eleven parts, is outdated, no

longer in force, and should be removed from the Code of Federal Regulations.

It has been determined that publication of this CFR subchapter removal for public comment is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest because it is based on removing outdated policies and procedures.

As this repeal removes information that is now obsolete from the CFR, there is no cost savings to the public for the repeal of this subchapter.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Parts 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17

Military law.

# SUBCHAPTER B—[REMOVED AND RESERVED]

■ Accordingly, by the authority of 5 U.S.C. 301, title 32, subtitle A, chapter I of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by removing and reserving subchapter B, consisting of parts 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, and 20.

Dated: December 4, 2017.

#### Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2017-26433 Filed 12-7-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

**Coast Guard** 

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG-2017-1069]

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Middle River, Between Bacon Island and Lower Jones Tract, CA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Notice of deviation from drawbridge regulation.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard has issued a temporary deviation from the operating schedule that governs the San Joaquin County (Bacon Island Road) highway Drawbridge across the Middle River, mile 8.6, between Bacon Island and Lower Jones Tract, CA. The deviation is necessary to allow the bridge owner to make emergency structural repairs. This deviation allows the bridge to remain in the closed-to-navigation position during the deviation period.

**DATES:** This deviation is effective from 6 a.m. on December 18, 2017 through 6 p.m. on December 22, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this deviation, USCG—2017—1069, is available at http://www.regulations.gov.

Type the docket number in the "SEARCH" box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this deviation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary deviation, call or email Carl T. Hausner, Chief, Bridge Section, Eleventh Coast Guard District; telephone 510–437–3516; email Carl.T.Hausner@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: San Joaquin County Department of Public Works has requested a temporary change to the operation of the San Joaquin County (Bacon Island Road) highway Drawbridge over the Middle River, mile 8.6, between Bacon Island and Lower Jones Tract, CA. The drawbridge navigation span provides a vertical clearance of 8 feet above Mean High Water in the closed-to-navigation position. The draw operates as required by 33 CFR 117.171(a). Navigation on the waterway is commercial and recreational.

The drawspan will be secured in the closed-to-navigation position from 6 a.m. on December 18, 2017 through 6 p.m. on December 22, 2017, to allow the bridge owner to make emergency structural repairs. This temporary deviation has been coordinated with the waterway users. No objections to the proposed temporary deviation were raised.

Vessels able to pass through the bridge in the closed position may do so at anytime. In the event of an emergency the draw can open if at least 12 hours advance notice is given to the bridge operator. Old River can be used as an alternate route for vessels unable to pass through the bridge in the closed position. The Coast Guard will also inform the users of the waterway through our Local and Broadcast Notices to Mariners of the change in operating schedule for the bridge so that vessel operators can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: December 4, 2017.

## Carl T. Hausner,

District Bridge Chief, Eleventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2017–26463 Filed 12–7–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P