

Indicators measuring sustainability of tourism: Introduction





- ➤ In 2005 a project was launched at Statistics Austria discussing several aspects related "measuring sustainability of tourism" by indicators.¹¹ The work was done based on an OECD Document related "Indicators for the integration of environmental concerns into tourism policies", elaborated by the Working Group on Environmental Information and Outlooks.²¹
- > The **objective** of the project was ...
 - providing a critical overview of those indicators proposed in the OECD document, taking
 into account relevance and implementation aspects, pointing out the problems of integrating
 these indicators into a tourism statistical system as well as sources and data access;
 - providing a proposal on how the scope of the indicator set could cover the most important sustainability issues linked to tourism activities and policies;
 - discussing several aspects to be covered and propose indicators that would best reflect these aspects;
 - giving an overview and scenario related to the progress made in Austria in the field of sustainability indicators focusing tourism;
 - evaluating the usefulness of the proposed indicators for the operational work, taking into account in particular the policy relevance, analytical value and measurability;
 - identifying data sources necessary for the introduction of indicators;
 - describing and interpreting preliminary results.

1) "Indicators measuring the sustainability of tourism, several considerations and results from the Austrian perspective", supporting paper submitted by Statistica Austria to the Statistical Commission and Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) for the 53" plenary session, Geneva, 13-15 June 2015.
2) ENV/EPOC/SE(2001)3/REV1, Paris 2002

www.statistik.at

slide 2 | January 2016

Indicators measuring sustainability of tourism: Challenges





Three major challenge-areas are arising:

- Benchmarking with fixed quantitative target values for each indicator or criterion is not possible:
 - on the one hand the indicator itself implies a qualitative evaluation only (e.g. cultural aspects or intraregional quality of life cannot be measured in figures).
 - on the other hand some indicators dealing with important sustainability issues are closely connected with mostly biased evaluation where quantitative evaluation fails.
- ➤ Interregional comparison by means of quantitative indicators is hardly possible. As regards the regions' diverse characteristics and situations the determination of specific target values seems counterproductive.
- Most of the examined indicators are quantitative indicators, expressing economic, social and ecological states and developments in figures. This raises the question if quantitative indicators alone fulfil the requirements: Significance, reliability, practicability being at the same time little time and cost expensive.

www.statistik.at

slide 3 | January 2016

Indicators measuring sustainability of tourism: Austrian examples (1)





| Austrian province | Area in km² | Residents | nights/ month | tourists/ day | tourists+ residents | residents per km² | tourists+ residents/km² | tourists/km² | tour/km² in % of tour +res/km² | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Burgenland | 3,965 | 569, 277 | 86,459 | 3.088 | 280,657 | 70 | 70.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | | |
| Carinthia | 9,536 | 559,404 | 820,670 | 29.31 | 588,714 | 58.7 | 61.7 | 3.1 | 5.0 | | |
| Lower Austria | 19,178 | 1 545,804 | 320,023 | 11.429 | 1 557,233 | 80.6 | 81.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | | |
| Upper Austria | 11,982 | 1 376 797 | 434,379 | 15.514 | 1 392,311 | 114.9 | 116.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | | |
| Salzburg | 7,154 | 515,327 | 3 733,302 | 133.332 | 648,659 | 72 | 90.7 | 18.6 | 20.5 | | |
| Styria | 16,392 | 1 183,303 | 1 076,812 | 38.458 | 1 221,761 | 72.2 | 74.5 | 2.3 | 3.1 | | |
| Tyrol | 12,648 | 673,504 | 6 901,512 | 246.483 | 919,987 | 53.3 | 72.7 | 19.5 | 26.8 | | |
| Vorarlberg | 2,601 | 351,095 | 1 289,820 | 46.065 | 397,160 | 135 | 152.7 | 17.7 | 11.6 | | |
| Vienna | 415 | 1 550,123 | 369,396 | 13.193 | 1 563,316 | 3,738.3 | 3,770.1 | 31.8 | 0.8 | | |
| AUSTRIA | 83,871 | 8 032,926 | 15 032,373 | 536.87 | 8 569,796 | 95.8 | 102.2 | 6.4 | 6.3 | | |
| July 2002 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Austrian province | Area in km² | Residents | nights/mon th | tourists/day | tour+res. | residents per km² | tour+res./km² | tour/km² | tour/km² in % of tour +res/km² | | |
| | Area in km² | Residents 277,569 | th | tourists/day 12,836 | tour+res. 290,405 | | tour+res./km² 73.2 | tour/km² | of tour | | |
| province | | Residents | th | | | per km² | | | of tour +res/km² 4.4 | | |
| province Burgenland | 3,995 | Residents 277,569 | th 397,927 | 12,836 | 290,405 | per km² | 73.2 | 3.2 | of tour +res/km² 4.4 | | |
| province Burgenland Carinthia | 3,995 9,536 | 277,569 559,404 | 397,927 3 105,239 | 12,836 100,169 | 290,405 659,573 | per km² 70 58.7 | 73.2 69.2 | 3.2 10.5 | of tour +res/km² 4.4 15.2 | | |
| province Burgenland Carinthia L. Austria | 3,995 9,536 19,178 | 277,569 559,404 1 545,804 | 397,927 3 105,239 674,027 | 12,836 100,169 21,743 | 290,405 659,573 1 567,547 | per km ² 70 58.7 80.6 | 73.2 69.2 81.7 | 3.2 10.5 1.1 | of tour +res/km² 4.4 15.2 1.3 | | |
| province Burgenland Carinthia L. Austria U. Austria | 3,995 9,536 19,178 11,982 | 277,569 559,404 1 545,804 1 376,797 | 397,927 3 105,239 674,027 1 001,484 | 12,836 100,169 21,743 32,306 | 290,405 659,573 1 567,547 1 409,103 | 70 58.7 80.6 114.9 | 73.2 69.2 81.7 117.6 | 3.2 10.5 1.1 2.7 | of tour +res/km² 4.4 15.2 1.3 2.3 | | |
| province Burgenland Carinthia L. Austria U. Austria Salzburg | 3,995 9,536 19,178 11,982 7,154 | 277,569 559,404 1 545,804 1 376,797 515,327 | 397,927 3 105,239 674,027 1 001,484 2 378,265 | 12,836 100,169 21,743 32,306 76,718 | 290,405 659,573 1,567,547 1,409,103 592,045 | 70 58.7 80.6 114.9 72.0 | 73.2 69.2 81.7 117.6 82.8 | 3.2 10.5 1.1 2.7 10.7 | of tour +res/km² 4.4 15.2 1.3 2.3 12.9 | | |
| province Burgenland Carinthia L. Austria U. Austria Salzburg Styria | 3,995 9,536 19,178 11,982 7,154 16,392 | 277,569 559,404 1 545,804 1 376,797 515,327 1 183,303 | 397,927 3 105,239 674,027 1 001,484 2 378,265 1 145,164 | 12,836 100,169 21,743 32,306 76,718 36,941 | 290,405 659,573 1,567,547 1,409,103 592,045 1,220,244 | 70 58.7 80.6 114.9 72.0 | 73.2 69.2 81.7 117.6 82.8 74.4 | 3.2 10.5 1.1 2.7 10.7 2.3 | of tour +res/km² 4.4 15.2 1.3 2.3 12.9 3.1 | | |
| province Burgenland Carinthia L. Austria U. Austria Salzburg Styria Tyrol | 3,995 9,536 19,178 11,982 7,154 16,392 12,648 | 277,569 559,404 1 545,804 1 376,797 515,327 1 183,303 673,504 | 397,927 3 105,239 674,027 1 001,484 2 378,265 1 145,164 4 427,618 | 12,836 100,169 21,743 32,306 76,718 36,941 142,826 | 290,405 659,573 1,567,547 1,409,103 592,045 1,220,244 816,330 | 70 58.7 80.6 114.9 72.0 72.2 53.3 | 73.2 69.2 81.7 117.6 82.8 74.4 64.5 | 3.2 10.5 1.1 2.7 10.7 2.3 11.3 | of tour +res/km² 4.4 15.2 1.3 2.3 12.9 3.1 17.5 6.5 1.5 | | |
| province Burgenland Carinthia L. Austria U. Austria Salzburg Styria Tyrol Vorarlberg | 3,995 9,536 19,178 11,982 7,154 16,392 12,648 2,601 | 277,569 559,404 1 545,804 1 376,797 515,327 1 183,303 673,504 351,095 1 550,123 | th 397,927 3 105,239 674,027 1 001,484 2 378,265 1 145,164 4 427,618 760,065 | 12,836 100,169 21,743 32,306 76,718 36,941 142,826 24,518 | 290,405 659,573 1 567,547 1 409,103 592,045 1 220,244 816,330 375,613 | 70 58.7 80.6 114.9 72.0 72.2 53.3 135 | 73.2 69.2 81.7 117.6 82.8 74.4 64.5 | 3.2 10.5 1.1 2.7 10.7 2.3 11.3 9.4 | of tour +res/km² 4.4 15.2 1.3 2.3 12.9 3.1 17.5 6.6 1.5 | | |

February 2002

www.statistik.at

slide 4 | January 2016

Indicators measuring sustainability of tourism: Austrian examples (2)





| Austrian Province | Enterprises | Beds | Beds per enterprise | Residents | Area in km² | Beds per km² | Residents per enterprise | Residents per bed |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Burgenland | 1,251 | 33,045 | 26 | 277,569 | 3,965 | 8 | 222 | 8 |
| Carinthia | 11,898 | 218,873 | 18 | 559,404 | 9,536 | 23 | 47 | 3 |
| Lower-Austria | 3,232 | 72,249 | 22 | 1 545,804 | 19,178 | 4 | 478 | 21 |
| Upper-Austria | 4,339 | 86,370 | 20 | 1 376,797 | 11,982 | 7 | 317 | 16 |
| Salzburg | 13,174 | 213,680 | 16 | 515,327 | 7,154 | 30 | 39 | 2 |
| Styria | 7,161 | 118,833 | 17 | 1 183,303 | 16,392 | 7 | 165 | 10 |
| Tyrol | 25,173 | 388,094 | 15 | 673,504 | 12,648 | 31 | 27 | 2 |
| Vorarlberg | 5,893 | 82,204 | 14 | 351,095 | 2,601 | 32 | 60 | 4 |
| Vienna | 431 | 43,722 | 101 | 1 550,123 | 415 | 105 | 3,597 | 35 |
| Austria | 72,552 | 1 257,070 | 17 | 8 032,926 | 83,971 | 15 | 111 | 6 |

Source: Statistics Austria



www.statistik.at

slide 5 | January 2016

Indicators measuring sustainability of tourism: Conclusions





- In order to measure the sustainability in tourism, comprehensive indicators are not available so far which provide a scala between 0 and 100, basis for an objective evaluation of sustainability or non-sustainability of tourism.
- > This means that **quantitative indicators have to be supported by qualitative information** as well (i.e. interviews with local experts); through its combination comprehensive statements may become possible.
- > The **evaluation of sustainability is always region-based**, since a sustainable enterprise (hotel, etc.) is part of a region which may support "sustainability", but it does not determine "sustainability" for a whole region.
- Therefore, sustainability and its measurement is a regional phenomenon; an evaluation of sustainability on higher aggregated (national) level is not feasible since in general the regions are too heterogeneous.

www.statistik.at

slide 6 | January 2016



