GRADIENT DAMAGE MODELS FOR HETEROGENEOUS MATERIALS

ANNIKA BACH, TERESA ESPOSITO, ROBERTA MARZIANI, AND CATERINA IDA ZEPPIERI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the asymptotic behaviour of phase-field functionals of Ambrosio and Tortorelli type allowing for small-scale oscillations both in the volume and in the diffuse surface term. The functionals under examination can be interpreted as an instance of a static gradient damage model for heterogeneous materials. Depending on the mutual vanishing rate of the approximation and of the oscillation parameters, the effective behaviour of the model is fully characterised by means of Γ -convergence.

Keywords: gradient damage model, phase-field functionals, homogenisation, Γ -convergence, elliptic approximation, free-discontinuity functionals.

MSC 2010: 49J45, 49Q20, 74Q05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Damage models for elastic materials describe the degradation of the elastic properties of a body as a consequence of some applied loads [30, 31]. The total energy of an elastic material undergoing damage then depends on two variables: the deformation $u: A \to \mathbb{R}^m$ ($A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open and bounded representing the reference configuration of the material) and an internal variable $v: A \to [0, 1]$ measuring at each point the damage state of the material (the value v = 1 corresponding to the original sound state and the value v = 0 corresponding to the totally damaged state). In a periodically heterogeneous setting and at fixed time, this energy can be described in terms of phase-field functionals of the form

$$\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u,v,A) = \int_{A} (v^{2} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla u\right) \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A} (1-v)^{2} \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \int_{A} h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla v\right) \mathrm{d}x, \qquad (1.1)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, $0 < \eta_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$, and $\delta_{\varepsilon} > 0$ is infinitesimal, as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The integrands f and h are $(0,1)^n$ -periodic in the first variable and satisfy growth and coercivity conditions of order 2 in the second variable (see Section 2 for the full list of assumptions). Correspondingly, $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ is defined for $(u,v) \in W^{1,2}(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \times W^{1,2}(A; [0,1])$.

The three terms in (1.1) can be interpreted as follows. The first term accounts for the stored elastic energy and reflects the worsening of the elastic properties of the material due to the damage process. Namely, in the regions where the damage occurs, that is, where $v \simeq 0$, the deformation gradient ∇u becomes very large in norm and hence u singular. The second term represents the energy dissipated in the damage process, hence it is maximal when the material is totally damaged. Together with the third term, which penalises the spatial variations of v, it forces the damage to localise for small ε in diffuse regions of size proportional to ε , around the set where $|\nabla u|$ blows up. Then, asymptotically, the damage-localisation gives rise to sharp cracks and the functionals in (1.1) are expected to behave, in the limit, as a fracture model.

In a homogeneous setting, choosing $f(x, \nabla u) = |\nabla u|^2$, and $h(x, \nabla v) = |\nabla v|^2$, the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ reduce to the classical Ambrosio-Tortorelli model which is indeed known to Γ -converge to the prototypical brittle fracture model given by the Mumford-Shah functional [3, 4]. If now instead $\eta_{\varepsilon} \sim \varepsilon$, then the static damage model described by $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ can be shown to Γ -converge to a fracture model of cohesive type [23] (see also [25, 26]).

Moreover, in a heterogeneous scale-free setting [24], that is, when functionals of the form

$$\int_{A} v^{2} f(x, \nabla u) \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A} (1-v)^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \int_{A} h(x, \nabla v) \,\mathrm{d}x \tag{1.2}$$

are considered, the corresponding Γ -limit is given by the nonhomogeneous, anisotropic brittle energy à la Griffith

$$\int_{A} f(x, \nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x + 2 \int_{S_u \cap A} \sqrt{h(x, \nu_u)} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

where now u belongs to $GSBV^2(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$; *i.e.*, is a generalised special function of bounded variation [2, 22]. In this functional framework ∇u denotes the approximate differential of u, S_u its discontinuity set, and ν_u the normal to S_u .

In the recent work [7] the authors analysed the limit behaviour of a general class of heterogeneous, scale-dependent phase-field functionals of the form

$$\int_{A} v^{2} f_{\varepsilon}(x, \nabla u) \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A} g_{\varepsilon}(x, v, \varepsilon \nabla v) \,\mathrm{d}x \tag{1.3}$$

where f_{ε} and g_{ε} belong to suitable classes of integrands including, in particular, the choices

$$f_{\varepsilon}(x, \nabla u) = f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla u\right), \quad g_{\varepsilon}(x, v, \nabla v) = (1-v)^2 + h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla v\right)$$

with f and h as in (1.1). The main result in [7] establishes that the functionals in (1.3) essentially behave like (1.2). That is, the (possibly sequence-dependent) Γ -limit of (1.3) is a free-discontinuity functional of the form

$$\int_{A} f_{\infty}(x, \nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{S_{u} \cap A} g_{\infty}(x, \nu_{u}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

where f_{∞} and g_{∞} can be characterised in terms of limits of suitable scaled minimisation problems. Furthermore, the minimisation problem providing f_{∞} only involves f_{ε} while the formula providing g_{∞} only involves the minimisation of g_{ε} (over pairs (u, v) along which the first term in (1.3) vanishes). In this respect, the Γ -convergence result in [7] can be seen as the phase-field analogue of the decoupling result for free-discontinuity functionals proven in [16]. In particular, we notice that in the regular elliptic setting the limit decoupling immediately implies that the Γ -limit of (1.3) does not depend on the jump opening of u and hence is a brittle energy.

Building upon the limit decoupling obtained in [7] it can be proven (*cf.* Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.5) that the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ Γ -converge to a free-discontinuity functional of the form

$$\int_{A} f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{S_u \cap A} g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu_u) \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1},\tag{1.4}$$

where f_{hom} is given by the classical formula of periodic homogenisation [10, 29]; *i.e.*,

$$f_{\text{hom}}(\xi) = \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{1}{r^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{Q_r(0)} f(x, \nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x \colon u \in W^{1,2}(Q_r(0); \mathbb{R}^m), u = u_{\xi} \text{ near } \partial Q_r(0) \right\},\$$

while the homogeneous surface energy density $g_{\rm hom}^{\ell}$ will depend on the parameter

$$\ell = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \in [0, +\infty],$$

or, in other words, on the mutual vanishing rate of the approximation and the oscillation parameters. Then, the main contribution of the present paper consists in the characterisation of g_{hom}^{ℓ} in the three limit regimes: $\ell = 0, \ \ell \in (0, +\infty)$, and $\ell = +\infty$ (*cf.* Theorem 3.1, Propositions 5.1, 6.1, and 7.2).

We notice that in the one-dimensional setting; *i.e.*, for n = m = 1, the computation of the Γ -limit of the damage model (1.1) can be carried out directly, by hands, without resorting to the

general convergence result in [7], as shown in [6]. On the other hand, we observe that the analysis to determine g_{hom}^{ℓ} shares some similarities with the homogenisation of phase-transition functionals of Modica-Mortola type as in [5]. We also mention here the work [28] where the stochastic analogue of [5] is considered, though only for $\delta_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon$. Moreover, in the papers [14, 18, 19, 27] further variants of phase-transition functionals are considered where a transition-scale and an oscillation-scale appear at the same time and interact in the Γ -limit.

We now give a brief heuristic account of the Γ -convergence result, Theorem 3.1, in the three regimes $\ell = 0, \ \ell \in (0, +\infty)$, and $\ell = +\infty$. As already observed, thanks to the general analysis developed in [7], it is not difficult to show that the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ behave like

$$\int_{A} (v^{2} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A} (1 - v)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \int_{A} h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla v\right) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(1.5)

Then, if $\ell = 0$, which corresponds to the case $\varepsilon \ll \delta_{\varepsilon}$, we can regard δ_{ε} and the variable x/δ_{ε} as fixed and let first $\varepsilon \to 0$. In this way, arguing as in the case of (1.2) we would get the δ_{ε} -dependent free-discontinuity functionals

$$\int_{A} f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x + 2 \int_{S_u \cap A} \sqrt{h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nu_u\right)} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$
(1.6)

Therefore, appealing to [13], letting $\delta_{\varepsilon} \to 0$ yields the homogeneous free-discontinuity function (1.4) with surface energy density g_{hom}^0 given by

$$\begin{split} g_{\text{hom}}^{0}(\nu) &= 2 \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \inf \left\{ \int_{S_{u} \cap Q_{r}^{\nu}(0)} \sqrt{h(x,\nu_{u})} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \colon \\ & u \in BV(Q_{r}^{\nu}(0);\{0,e_{1}\}) \,, u = u^{\nu} \text{ near } \partial Q_{r}^{\nu}(0) \right\}, \end{split}$$

where u^{ν} denotes the jump function defined as

$$u^{\nu}(x) = \begin{cases} e_1 & \text{if } x \cdot \nu \ge 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \cdot \nu < 0. \end{cases}$$

We notice that in this regime the passage from $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ (or, equivalently, from (1.5)) to the functionals (1.6) can be made rigorous by combining the Modica-Mortola trick with a classical argument of Ambrosio based on the co-area formula. These two ingredients allow us to estimate from below the surface term in (1.5) with an ε -independent heterogeneous and anisotropic perimeter functional and then to conclude (see the proof of Proposition 5.1).

If $\ell \in (0, +\infty)$, which corresponds to the case $\varepsilon \sim \delta_{\varepsilon}$, approximation and homogenisation procedure cannot be decoupled and g_{hom}^{ℓ} is given by an asymptotic cell-formula in which the whole Modica-Mortola term in (1.1) appears. More precisely, in this regime g_{hom}^{ℓ} is given by

$$g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu) = \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \inf \left\{ \int_{Q_r^{\nu}(0)} \left((1-v)^2 + h(\ell x, \nabla v) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x : \\ v \in W^{1,2}(Q_r^{\nu}(0)), 0 \le v \le 1 : \exists u \in W^{1,2}(Q_r^{\nu}(0); \mathbb{R}^m) \text{ with} \\ v \nabla u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } Q_r^{\nu}(0) \text{ and } (u,v) = (\bar{u}^{\nu}, \bar{v}^{\nu}) \text{ near } \partial Q_r^{\nu}(0) \right\},$$
(1.7)

where \bar{u}^{ν} is a suitable regularisation of u^{ν} while \bar{v}^{ν} is equal to 1 on most of the boundary of the cube $Q_r^{\nu}(0)$ and equal to 0 in a neighbourhood of the hyperplane $x \cdot \nu = 0$, and chosen in a way

such that $\bar{v}^{\nu}\nabla\bar{u}^{\nu} = 0$ a.e. in $Q_r^{\nu}(0)$ (see Section 2.1, (k) for the definition of $(\bar{u}^{\nu}, \bar{v}^{\nu})$ and cf. [7, Theorem 3.5]). We notice that in view of the growth conditions satisfied by f (see (f1)), the first term in $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ vanishes on those pairs (u, v) which are admissible in (1.7).

Eventually, if $\ell = +\infty$, which corresponds to the case $\varepsilon \gg \delta_{\varepsilon}$, heuristically, we can first let $\delta_{\varepsilon} \to 0$ thus getting the spatially homogeneous functionals

$$\int_{A} (v^{2} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A} (1 - v)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \int_{A} h_{\text{hom}}(\nabla v) \, \mathrm{d}x, \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$h_{\text{hom}}(w) = \inf\left\{\int_{(0,1)^n} h(x, \nabla v + w) \, \mathrm{d}x \colon v \in W_0^{1,2}((0,1)^n)\right\}.$$

Hence, letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ and invoking [24] give

$$\int_{A} f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x + 2 \int_{S_u \cap A} \sqrt{h_{\text{hom}}(\nu)} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

in (1.4), so that in this case

$$g_{\text{hom}}^{\infty}(\nu) = 2\sqrt{h_{\text{hom}}(\nu)}.$$

We notice that in this regime the most delicate step in the proof of the Γ -converge result is to show that $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ actually behaves like (1.8) or, in other words, that the passage to the limit as $\delta_{\varepsilon} \to 0$ can be rigorously justified. To do so we show that we can replace the *v*-variables of a sequence $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ with equi-bounded energy with a suitably averaged sequence $(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon})$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A} (1 - v_{\varepsilon})^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \int_{A} h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A} (1 - \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon})^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \int_{A} h_{\mathrm{hom}}(\nabla \widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}x + o(1).$$
(1.9)

However, in order to ensure that after this replacement the corresponding volume term

$$\int_{A} (\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d}x, \qquad (1.10)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\int_{A} (\widetilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

remains uniformly bounded, we need some additional information on the blow-up rate of $|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|$ which shall be related to the scale of oscillations δ_{ε} in the following way

$$\int_{A} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \sim \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}.$$
(1.11)

The latter is then enforced by requiring that in the regime $\varepsilon \gg \delta_{\varepsilon}$ the infinitesimal parameter η_{ε} appearing in (1.1) is exactly of order δ_{ε} so that, thanks to the growth conditions satisfied by f, the assumption in (1.11) is automatically satisfied by the *u*-variables of any sequence $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ with equi-bounded energy.

To conclude we would like to briefly comment on the additional assumption (1.11) which might be a drawback of our specific method of proof, inspired by [5] (see the proof of Proposition 7.2). In fact, on the one hand the definition of the auxiliary sequence $(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon})$ is somehow dictated by the key estimate (1.9) which, in its turn, is compatible with an analogous estimate for (1.10) only if also this term can be put in some relation with the oscillation parameter δ_{ε} . On the other hand, from a modelling point of view, an assumption on the convergence rate to zero of η_{ε} (so to enforce (1.11)) does not appear to be too restrictive. We finally observe that also in the homogenisation of the Modica-Mortola functionals [5] the regime $\ell = +\infty$ is the most delicate one and requires an additional assumption on the vanishing rate of δ_{ε} as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

1.1. Outline of the paper. In Section 2 we set some notation, define the phase-field functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$, and recall some preliminaries. Section 3 is devoted to the statement of the main Γ -convergence result, Theorem 3.1, and to the proof of a convergence result for some associated minimisation problems, Corollary 3.4. Then the proof of Theorem 3.1 is carried over in a number of intermediate steps throughout sections 4 - 7. Namely, in Section 4, Theorem 4.2 we prove that the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ Γ -converge to a spatially homogeneous free-discontinuity functional and in Proposition 4.5 we show that its volume energy density coincides with f_{hom} . In Section 5 we consider the regime $\ell = 0$ (or equivalently $\varepsilon \ll \delta_{\varepsilon}$) and determine the homogenised surface energy density g_{hom}^0 (see Proposition 5.1). Then, Section 6 is devoted to the characterisation of g_{hom}^{ℓ} in the regime $\ell \in (0, +\infty)$ (or equivalently $\varepsilon \sim \delta_{\varepsilon}$ (see Proposition 6.1). Eventually, Section 7 deals with the regime $\ell = +\infty$ (or equivalently $\varepsilon \gg \delta_{\varepsilon}$), where in this case to determine g_{hom}^{∞} we make the additional technical assumption $\eta_{\varepsilon} \sim \delta_{\varepsilon} \sim \varepsilon^{\alpha}$, for some $\alpha > 1$ (see Proposition 7.2).

2. Setting of the problem and preliminary results

In this section we introduce some useful notation, define the functionals under examination, and recall some preliminaries.

- 2.1. Notation. We start collecting the notation we are going to employ throughout.

 - (a) $m, n \ge 1$ are fixed positive integers; we set $\mathbb{R}_0^m := \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$; (b) $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} := \{\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nu_1^2 + \dots + \nu_n^2 = 1\}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_{\pm}^{n-1} := \{\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : \pm \nu_{i(\nu)} > 0\}$, where $i(\nu) := \max\{i \in \{1, ..., n\} : \nu_i \neq 0\};$
 - (c) \mathcal{L}^n and and \mathcal{H}^{n-1} denote, respectively, the Lebesgue measure and the (n-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure on \mathbb{R}^n ;
 - (d) \mathcal{A} denotes the collection of all open and bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary. If $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ by $A \subset \subset B$ we mean that A is relatively compact in B;
 - (e) Q denotes the open unit cube in \mathbb{R}^n with sides parallel to the coordinate axis, centred at the origin; for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and r > 0 we set $Q_r(x) := rQ + x$. If x = 0 we simply write Q_r . Moreover, Q' denotes the open unit cube in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with sides parallel to the coordinate axis, centred at the origin, for every r > 0 we set $Q'_r := rQ'$;
 - (f) for every $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ let R_{ν} denote an orthogonal $(n \times n)$ -matrix such that $R_{\nu}e_n = \nu$; we also assume that $R_{-\nu}Q = R_{\nu}Q$ for every $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $R_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ if $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$, and that the restrictions of the map $\nu \mapsto R_{\nu}$ to $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}^{n-1}_{\pm}$ are continuous. For an explicit example of a map $\nu \mapsto R_{\nu}$ satisfying all these properties we refer the reader, *e.g.*, to [16, Example A.1];
 - (g) for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, r > 0, and $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we define $Q_r^{\nu}(x) := R_{\nu}Q_r(0) + x$. If x = 0 we simply write Q_r^{ν} and we set $Q^{\nu} := Q_1^{\nu}$;
 - (h) for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we let u_{ξ} be the linear function whose gradient is equal to ξ ; *i.e.*, $u_{\xi}(x) := \xi x$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
 - (i) for $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}_0^m$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we denote with u_{ζ}^{ν} the piecewise constant function taking values $0, \zeta$ and jumping across the hyperplane $\Pi^{\nu} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \nu = 0\}; i.e.,$

$$u^{
u}_{\zeta}(x) := egin{cases} \zeta & ext{if} \ x \cdot
u \geq 0\,, \ 0 & ext{if} \ x \cdot
u < 0\,, \end{cases}$$

when $\zeta = e_1$ we simply write u^{ν} in place of $u_{e_1}^{\nu}$;

- (j) let $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, $v \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, with $0 \le v \le 1$, be one-dimensional functions satisfying the following two properties:
 - i. $vu' \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R} ;
 - ii. $(u(t), v(t)) = (\chi_{(0, +\infty)}(t), 1)$ for |t| > 1;

(k) for $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we set

$$\bar{u}^{\nu}(x) := \mathbf{u}(x \cdot \nu) e_1, \quad \bar{v}^{\nu}(x) := \mathbf{v}(x \cdot \nu);$$

(l) for $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}_0^m$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we set

$$\bar{u}_{\zeta,\varepsilon}^{\nu}(x) := \mathrm{u}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}x \cdot \nu)\zeta, \quad \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}(x) := \mathrm{v}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}x \cdot \nu).$$

When $\zeta = e_1$ we simply write $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}$ in place of $\bar{u}_{e_1,\varepsilon}^{\nu}$. We notice that in particular, $\bar{u}_1^{\nu} = \bar{u}^{\nu}$, $\bar{v}_1^{\nu} = \bar{v}^{\nu}$;

We now introduce the functional spaces relevant for our problem. Given a \mathcal{L}^n -measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we let $L^0(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$ denote the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions mapping from A to \mathbb{R}^m . On $L^0(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$ we consider the topology induced by the convergence in measure on bounded subsets of A. We recall that this topology is both metrisable and separable.

For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open we consider the functional space $SBV(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$ (resp. $GSBV(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$) of special functions of bounded variation (resp. of generalised special functions of bounded variation) on A. We refer the reader to the monograph [2] for the properties of those functional spaces; here we only recall that for any $u \in SBV(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$ the distributional derivative Du is a bounded radon measure and can be represented as

$$Du(B) = \int_{B} \nabla u \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{B \cap S_u} [u] \otimes \nu_u \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \qquad (2.1)$$

for every $B \in \mathcal{B}^n$, where \mathcal{B}^n is the Borel σ - algebra of \mathbb{R}^n . In (2.1) ∇u denotes the approximate gradient of u (which makes sense also for $u \in GSBV$), S_u the set of approximate discontinuity points of u, $[u] := u^+ - u^-$ where u^{\pm} are the one-sided approximate limit points of u at S_u , and ν_u is the measure theoretic normal to S_u .

For p > 1 we also consider the functional spaces

$$SBV^p(A; \mathbb{R}^m) := \{ u \in SBV(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \colon \nabla u \in L^p(A; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u) < +\infty \},\$$

and

$$GSBV^p(A; \mathbb{R}^m) := \{ u \in GSBV(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \colon \nabla u \in L^p(A; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u) < +\infty \}.$$

We recall that $GSBV^p(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a vector space; moreover, if $u \in GSBV^p(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$ then we have that $\phi(u) \in SBV^p(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^{\infty}(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$, for every $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\nabla \phi) \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ (see [22]).

Eventually, we say that a function $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is r-periodic for some r > 0, if $h(x+re_i) = h(x)$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Throughout the paper C denotes a strictly positive constant which may vary from line to line and within the same expression.

2.2. Setting of the problem. In this subsection we introduce the functionals we are going to analyse in this paper. To this end, let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to [0, +\infty)$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, +\infty)$ be Borel measurable functions satisfying, respectively, the following hypotheses:

(f1) (growth conditions) there exist two constants $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < +\infty$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$c_1|\xi|^2 \le f(x,\xi) \le c_2|\xi|^2;$$

(f2) (continuity in ξ) there exists $0 < L_1 < +\infty$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$|f(x,\xi_1) - f(x,\xi_2)| \le L_1 (1 + |\xi_1| + |\xi_2|) |\xi_1 - \xi_2|,$$

for every $\xi_1, \, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$;

(f3) (periodicity in x) for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $f(\cdot, \xi)$ is Q-periodic;

(h1) (growth conditions) there exist two constants $0 < c_3 \leq c_4 < +\infty$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and every $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$c_3|w|^2 \le h(x,w) \le c_4|w|^2;$$

(h2) (continuity in w) there exists $0 < L_2 < +\infty$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$|h(x,w_1) - h(x,w_2)| \le L_2 (1 + |w_1| + |w_2|) |w_1 - w_2|$$

for every $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

(h3) (homogeneity in w) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $h(x, \cdot)$ is homogeneous of degree two; i.e.,

$$h(x, sw) = s^2 h(x, w)$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$;

(h4) (Lipschitz-continuity in x) there exists $0 < L_3 < +\infty$ such that for every $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$|h(x_1, w) - h(x_2, w)| \le L_3 |x_1 - x_2|$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

(h5) (periodicity in x) for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $h(\cdot, w)$ is Q-periodic.

In all that follows $\varepsilon > 0$ varies in a family of strictly positive parameters converging to zero and $\delta_{\varepsilon} > 0$ is a strictly increasing function of ε with $\delta_{\varepsilon} \searrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. Set

$$\ell := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \in [0, +\infty].$$
(2.2)

1,

Moreover, throughout the paper we let $0 < \eta_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$.

For given Borel integrands $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to [0, +\infty)$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, +\infty)$ as above, we introduce the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon} : L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$ defined by

$$\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u,v,A) := \begin{cases} \int_{A} (v^{2} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla u\right) \mathrm{d}x & + \int_{A} \left(\frac{(1-v)^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla v\right)\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ & \text{if } (u,v) \in W^{1,2}(A; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times W^{1,2}(A) \,, \ 0 \le v \le 1 \,, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \,. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.3)$$

It is convenient to introduce a notation for the regularised surface term in $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}: L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty];$ we set

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(v,A) := \begin{cases} \int_{A} \left(\frac{(1-v)^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h \Big(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla v \Big) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x & \text{if } v \in W^{1,2}(A) \,, \ 0 \leq v \leq \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \,. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.1. The following observations are in order.

- (1) In view of hypotheses $(f_1)-(f_2)$ and $(h_1)-(h_3)$, for $\eta_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0$ the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ in (2.3) belong to the class of functionals introduced and analysed in [7]. Moreover, a one-dimensional variant of $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ has been analysed by the authors in [6].
- (2) The assumptions on f and h ensure, in particular, that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, \cdot, A)$ are continuous in the strong $W^{1,2}(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \times W^{1,2}(A)$ topology.

(3) Assumptions (f1) and (h1) imply that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and every $(u, v) \in W^{1,2}(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \times W^{1,2}(A), 0 \leq v \leq 1$ there holds

$$\min\{1, c_1, c_3\}AT_{\varepsilon}(u, v) \le \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u, v, A) \le \max\{1, c_2, c_4\}AT_{\varepsilon}(u, v), \qquad (2.4)$$

where

$$AT_{\varepsilon}(u,v) := \int_{A} (v^{2} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) |\nabla u|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{A} \left(\frac{(1-v)^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon |\nabla v|^{2} \right) \mathrm{d}x \tag{2.5}$$

is the Ambrosio-Tortorelli functional [4].

2.3. **Preliminary remarks on the Ambrosio-Tortorelli functional.** We close this first section by recalling some results on the convergence of suitable variants of the Ambrosio-Tortorelli functional above together with some properties of the so-called optimal profile problem.

Remark 2.2. By virtue of [4] we know that the functionals AT_{ε} , defined in (2.5), Γ -converge in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the Mumford-Shah functional

$$MS(u,1) = \int_{A} |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + 2\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u \cap A) \quad u \in GSBV^2(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$$

Moreover, [24, Theorem 3.1] states that for any p > 1, any a, b > 0, and any norm $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, +\infty)$ the anisotropic Ambrosio-Tortorelli functionals

$$\mathscr{E}_{\varepsilon}(u,v) := a \int_{A} (v^{p} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) |\nabla u|^{p} \,\mathrm{d}x + b \int_{A} \left(\frac{(1-v)^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \varphi^{2}(\nabla v) \right) \,\mathrm{d}x, \tag{2.6}$$

with $(u, v) \in W^{1,p}(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \times W^{1,2}(A)$ and $0 \le v \le 1$, Γ -converge in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the anisotropic free-discontinuity functional

$$\mathscr{E}(u,1) = a \int_{A} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + 2b \int_{S_u \cap A} \varphi(\nu_u) \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad u \in GSBV^p(A;\mathbb{R}^m) \,.$$
(2.7)

Although [24, Theorem 3.1] is stated in the case where in $\mathscr{E}_{\varepsilon}$ the functions ∇u and v have the same summability exponent p > 1, an inspection of the proof reveals that different exponents can be also considered (*cf.* also [17, Theorem 5.1]).

Remark 2.3. Let $\lambda > 0$; arguing as in, e.g., [9, Chapter 6], it is immediate to check that

$$\sqrt{\lambda} = \min\left\{\int_{0}^{+\infty} ((1-v)^{2} + \lambda (v')^{2}) \,\mathrm{d}x \colon v \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,2}(0,+\infty), \ 0 \le v \le 1, \ v(0) = 0, \ v(+\infty) = 1\right\}$$
(2.8)

$$= \inf_{T>0} \min\left\{ \int_0^T ((1-v)^2 + \lambda \, (v')^2) \, \mathrm{d}x \colon v \in W^{1,2}(0,T), \ 0 \le v \le 1, \ v(0) = 0, \ v(T) = 1 \right\},\tag{2.9}$$

where $v(+\infty) := \lim_{t \to +\infty} v(t)$. Indeed, a solution to the minimisation problem in (2.8) is given by the smooth function $v_{\lambda}(t) = 1 - \exp(-t/\sqrt{\lambda})$. Then, for every T > 0 a competitor for the minimisation problem in (2.9) can be obtained by linearly interpolating on (T - 1, T) between $v_{\lambda}(T - 1)$ and 1, thus approaching the value $\sqrt{\lambda}$, as $T \to +\infty$. In particular, the minimisation in (2.9) can be carried over all Lipschitz continuous functions v satisfying the same boundary conditions.

8

3. Statement of the main result

In this section we state the main result of this paper, Theorem 3.1. The latter establishes the Γ -convergence of $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the three regimes $\varepsilon \ll \delta_{\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon \sim \delta_{\varepsilon}$, and $\varepsilon \gg \delta_{\varepsilon}$. As a corollary of Theorem 3.1, we then prove the convergence of some minimisation problems associated to $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$.

Theorem 3.1 (Γ -convergence). Let $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ and ℓ be as in (2.3) and (2.2), respectively. If $\ell = +\infty$, assume moreover that $\eta_{\varepsilon} \simeq \delta_{\varepsilon} \simeq \varepsilon^{\alpha}$, for some $\alpha > 1$. Then for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, \cdot, A)$ Γ -converge in $L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ to the homogeneous functional $\mathscr{F}^{\ell}_{hom}(\cdot, \cdot, A)$, where $\mathscr{F}^{\ell}_{hom}: L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is given by

$$\mathscr{F}^{\ell}_{\text{hom}}(u,v,A) := \begin{cases} \int_{A} f_{\text{hom}}(\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{S_{u} \cap A} g^{\ell}_{\text{hom}}(\nu_{u}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} & \text{if } u \in GSBV^{2}(A;\mathbb{R}^{m}) \,, \\ v = 1 \text{ a.e. in } A \,, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \,, \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

with $f_{\text{hom}} \colon \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to [0, +\infty)$ and $g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell} \colon \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to [0, +\infty)$ Borel functions. Moreover, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ there holds

$$f_{\text{hom}}(\xi) := \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{1}{r^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{Q_r} f(x, \nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x \colon u \in W^{1,2}(Q_r; \mathbb{R}^m), u = u_\xi \text{ near } \partial Q_r \right\}.$$
(3.2)

For every $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we have:

(i) if
$$\ell = 0$$
, then
 $g_{\text{hom}}^{0}(\nu) := 2 \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \inf \left\{ \int_{S_{u} \cap Q_{r}^{\nu}} \sqrt{h(x,\nu_{u})} \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} : u \in BV(Q_{r}^{\nu}; \{0,e_{1}\}), u = u^{\nu} \text{ near } \partial Q_{r}^{\nu} \right\};$
(3.3)

$$\begin{array}{ll} (ii) \ if \ \ell \in (0, +\infty), \ then \\ g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu) := \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \inf \left\{ \int_{Q_{r}^{\nu}} \left((1-v)^{2} + h(\ell x, \nabla v) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x : \\ v \in W^{1,2}(Q_{r}^{\nu}), 0 \le v \le 1 : \ \exists u \in W^{1,2}(Q_{r}^{\nu}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \ with \\ v \nabla u = 0 \ a.e. \ in \ Q_{r}^{\nu} \ and \ (u,v) = (\bar{u}^{\nu}, \bar{v}^{\nu}) \ near \ \partial Q_{r}^{\nu} \right\}; \end{array}$$

$$(3.4)$$

(*iii*) if $\ell = +\infty$, then

$$g_{\text{hom}}^{\infty}(\nu) := 2\sqrt{h_{\text{hom}}(\nu)}, \qquad (3.5)$$

where $h_{\text{hom}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, +\infty)$ is given by

$$h_{\text{hom}}(w) := \inf\left\{\int_{Q} h(x, \nabla v + w) \,\mathrm{d}x \colon v \in W_{0}^{1,2}(Q)\right\}.$$
(3.6)

Remark 3.2 (Properties of f_{hom}). The homogenised bulk integrand f_{hom} in (3.2) coincides with the bulk integrand obtained in [10, 29, 13]. In particular, the limit in (3.2) exists and f_{hom} is quasiconvex. Moreover, f_{hom} can be rewritten as

$$f_{\text{hom}}(\xi) = \inf_{r \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{1}{r^n} \inf \left\{ \int_{Q_r} f(x, \nabla u + \xi) \, \mathrm{d}x \colon u \in W^{1,2}(Q_r; \mathbb{R}^m), u = 0 \text{ near } \partial Q_r \right\}.$$
(3.7)

Remark 3.3 (Properties of g_{hom}^{ℓ}). Some observations on the surface integrand g_{hom}^{ℓ} are in order.

- (1) In the regime $\ell = 0$ the surface integrand g_{hom}^0 defined in (3.3) coincides with the one obtained in the homogenisation of functionals defined on finite partitions [1]. More precisely, [1, Proposition 4.4] ensures that $g_{\text{hom}}^0(\nu)$ is well defined for every $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. In addition, [1, Theorem 4.2 and Example 2.8] ensure that the 1-homogeneous extension of g_{hom}^0 to \mathbb{R}^n is convex, and therefore continuous. Moreover, in view of [1, Theorem 3.1 and Example 2.8] the value of $g_{\text{hom}}^0(\nu)$ remains unchanged if the surface integrand \sqrt{h} in (3.3) is replaced by its convex envelope with respect to the second variable.
- (2) For $\ell = 1$, the existence of the limit defining $g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu)$ as well as the continuity of g_{hom}^{ℓ} restricted to $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_{\pm}^{n-1}$ is established in [7, Proposition 8.7] in a more general setting. The arguments as above can be used to show that the same continuity properties hold true for any $\ell \in (0, +\infty)$.
- (3) In the regime $\ell = +\infty$ the function g_{hom}^{∞} is easily seen to be continuous. Indeed it is known that the function h_{hom} satisfies the growth condition (h1) and the local Lipschitz condition (h2), albeit with a different constant L'_2 (cf. [29, Lemma 2.1]). Moreover, h_{hom} is convex.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be divided into a number of intermediate steps and will be carried out in Sections 4–7. Specifically, in Section 4 we show that there exists a subsequence (ε_k) such that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the corresponding functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon_k}(\cdot, \cdot, A)$ Γ -converge to a free-discontinuity functional which is finite on $GSBV^2(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \{1\}$ and of the form

$$\int_A f^{\ell}(\nabla u) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{S_u \cap A} g^{\ell}([u], \nu_u) \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \,.$$

At this stage the integrands f^{ℓ} and g^{ℓ} may a priori depend on the subsequence (ε_k) . The procedure followed to prove such a compactness and integral representation result is by now classical, moreover the corresponding result for $\eta_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0$ can be found in [7, Theorem 5.2]. For these reasons we will only sketch this proof here (see Theorem 4.2). Then, in view of [7, Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 3.1] we show that the volume integrand f^{ℓ} coincides with f_{hom} given by (3.2), and therefore it is independent of ℓ and (ε_k) . Eventually, in Sections 5, 6, and 7 we characterise g^{ℓ} in the three regimes $\ell = 0, \ \ell \in (0, +\infty)$, and $\ell = +\infty$, respectively. Namely, we show that $g^{\ell} = g^{\ell}_{\text{hom}}$, where we notice that the latter does not depend on the subsequence (ε_k) . Consequently, Theorem 3.1 follows by the Urysohn property of Γ -convergence [20, Proposition 8.3].

To conclude, we also observe that hypothesis (h4) will be used only in the proof of Proposition 5.1. In particular, for $\ell \in (0, +\infty]$ Theorem 3.1 holds true without assuming any continuity of h in x.

On account of Theorem 3.1 we can prove the following convergence result for a class of minimisation problems associated to $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$.

Corollary 3.4. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and assume in addition that for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the functions $f(x, \cdot)$ and $h(x, \cdot)$ are quasiconvex and convex, respectively. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}, q \geq 1$, and $g \in L^q(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then

• for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a solution $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}) \in W^{1,2}(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \times W^{1,2}(A)$ to the minimisation problem

$$M_{\varepsilon} := \min\left\{\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u, v, A) + \int_{A} |u - g|^{q} \,\mathrm{d}x \colon (u, v) \in L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n})\right\};$$
(3.8)

• $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} \to 1$ in $L^2(A)$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$;

• up to subsequences, (\bar{u}_{ε}) converges in $L^{q}(A; \mathbb{R}^{m})$ to a solution of

$$M^{\ell} := \min\left\{\mathscr{F}^{\ell}_{\hom}(u, 1, A) + \int_{A} |u - g|^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x \colon u \in GSBV^{2}(A; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \cap L^{q}(A; \mathbb{R}^{m})\right\};$$
(3.9)
• $M_{\varepsilon} \to M^{\ell}, as \varepsilon \to 0.$

Proof. For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ the existence of a minimizing pair $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}) \in W^{1,2}(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \times W^{1,2}(A)$ for (3.8) follows by a straightforward application of the direct method of the calculus of variations.

The convergence $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} \to 1$ in $L^2(A)$ readily follows by the definition of $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$. Moreover, by [24, Lemma 4.1] up to subsequences (not relabelled) $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} \to \bar{u}^{\ell}$ in $L^0(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$, for some $\bar{u}^{\ell} \in GSBV^2(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Eventually arguing as in [23, Theorem 7.1] we deduce that $M_{\varepsilon} \to M^{\ell}, \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} \to \bar{u}^{\ell}$ in $L^q(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$, and that \bar{u}^{ℓ} is a solution to (3.9).

4. An abstract Γ -convergence result

In this section we prove an abstract Γ -convergence result for the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$. We notice that if in (2.3) we choose $\eta_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0$ then the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ are a special instance of those considered in [7] for which a Γ -convergence and integral representation result was established (cf. [7, Theorem 5.2]). Since in this case we would like to allow for the presence of the infinitesimal sequence η_{ε} , with $0 < \eta_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$, we need to show that the analogue of [7, Theorem 5.2] holds true in this case as well. The proof of this result will be very close to that of [7, Theorem 5.2], for this reason we will only sketch it here, referring to [7] for the details.

Remark 4.1. A crucial step in the proof of the Γ -convergence result below is to show that the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ in (2.3) satisfy a so-called fundamental estimate, uniformly in ε . We observe that such an estimate easily follows arguing as in [7, Proposition 5.1]. Indeed, thanks to (f1), for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and every $u \in W^{1,2}(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$ the term $\eta_{\varepsilon} \int_A f(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla u) \, dx$ can be bounded (up to a multiplicative constant) from above and from below by the convex term $\eta_{\varepsilon} \int_A |\nabla u|^2 \, dx$ to which the construction in [7, Proposition 5.1] directly applies.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ be as in (2.3); then there exists a subsequence (ε_k) such that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon_k}(\cdot, \cdot, A)$ Γ -converge in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathscr{F}^{\ell}(\cdot, \cdot, A)$, where $\mathscr{F}^{\ell}: L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is given by

$$\mathscr{F}^{\ell}(u,v,A) := \begin{cases} \int_{A} f^{\ell}(\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{S_{u} \cap A} g^{\ell}([u],\nu_{u}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} & \text{if } u \in GSBV^{2}(A;\mathbb{R}^{m}) \,, \\ v = 1 \text{ a.e. in } A \,, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \,, \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

for some Borel functions $f^{\ell} \colon \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to [0, +\infty)$ and $g^{\ell} \colon \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to [0, +\infty)$.

Proof. Since $0 < \eta_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$, thanks to (2.4) and Remark 2.2 we deduce the existence of a constant C > 0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C} \Big(\int_A |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u \cap A) \Big) &\leq (\mathscr{F}^\ell)'(u, 1, A) \\ &\leq (\mathscr{F}^\ell)''(u, 1, A) \leq C \Big(\int_A |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u \cap A) \Big) \,, \end{aligned}$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in GSBV^2(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$, where $(\mathscr{F}^{\ell})'(\cdot, \cdot, A)$ and $(\mathscr{F}^{\ell})''(\cdot, \cdot, A)$ denote the Γ limit and Γ -limsup of $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, \cdot, A)$, respectively. Moreover thanks to Remark 4.1 the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ satisfy the fundamental estimate [7, Proposition 5.1]. Thus, arguing as in [7, Theorem 5.2] we can apply the localisation method of Γ -convergence (see *e.g.*, [20, Chapters 14–18]) together with the integral-representation result [8, Theorem 1] to deduce the existence of a subsequence $(\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon_k})$ and a functional $\mathscr{F}^{\ell} : L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$ with the following properties: For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon_k}(\cdot, \cdot, A)$ Γ -converge in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathscr{F}^{\ell}(\cdot, \cdot, A)$ and for every $u \in GSBV^2(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$ there holds

$$\mathscr{F}^{\ell}(u,1,A) = \int_{A} f^{\ell}(x,\nabla u) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{S_{u}\cap A} g^{\ell}(x,[u],\nu_{u}) \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

for some Borel functions $f^{\ell} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to [0, +\infty), g^{\ell} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_0^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, +\infty)$, while $\mathscr{F}^{\ell}(\cdot, \cdot, A) = +\infty$ if either $u \notin GSBV^2(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$ or $v \neq 1$. Eventually, thanks to (f3) and (h5) a well-known argument (see, *e.g.*, [13, Lemma 3.7 (ii)]) shows that \mathscr{F}^{ℓ} is invariant under translation in x. This in turn implies that f^{ℓ} and g^{ℓ} are independent of x, hence the claim follows. \Box

Remark 4.3. By the general properties of Γ -convergence, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the functional $\mathscr{F}^{\ell}(\cdot, 1, A)$ in (4.1) is lower semicontinuous with respect to the convergence in measure. In particular, the functional $u \mapsto \int_{S_u \cap A} g^{\ell}([u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ is lower semicontinuous on finite partitions. As a consequence (see [1]), we deduce that for every $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ the function $g^{\ell}(\cdot, \nu)$ is subadditive, while for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}_0^m$ the 1-homogeneous extension of $g^{\ell}(\zeta, \cdot)$ is convex. In particular, $g^{\ell}(\zeta, \cdot)$ is continuous.

By virtue of Theorem 4.2, to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 we need to characterise the integrands f^{ℓ} and g^{ℓ} in (4.1), for every $\ell \in [0, +\infty]$. To this end, we preliminarily compare them to the bulk and surface integrands obtained by applying [7, Theorem 3.1] to $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ when $\eta_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0$. We start recalling some of the notation employed in [7].

For $\varepsilon > 0$, $\rho > 2\varepsilon$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we define the two following minimisation problems

$$\mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon}^{b}(u_{\xi}, Q_{\rho}) := \inf \left\{ \int_{Q_{\rho}} f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla u\right) \mathrm{d}x \colon u \in W^{1,2}(Q_{\rho}; \mathbb{R}^{m}), u = u_{\xi} \text{ near } \partial Q_{\rho} \right\},$$

and

$$\mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}, Q_{\rho}^{\nu}) := \inf \left\{ \int_{Q_{\rho}^{\nu}} \left(\frac{(1-v)^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla v\right) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \colon v \in \mathscr{A}(\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}, Q_{\rho}^{\nu}) \right\},$$

with

$$\mathscr{A}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}, Q_{\rho}^{\nu}) := \left\{ v \in W^{1,2}(Q_{\rho}^{\nu}), \ 0 \le v \le 1 : \exists u \in W^{1,2}(Q_{\rho}^{\nu}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \text{ with } v\nabla u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } Q_{\rho}^{\nu} \\ \text{and } (u, v) = (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}) \text{ near } \partial Q_{\rho}^{\nu} \right\}$$

$$(4.2)$$

where $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu})$ is as in (l).

Remark 4.4. If $\eta_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0$, by invoking [7, Theorem 3.1] we can deduce the existence of a sequence (ε_k) such that the corresponding functionals $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon_k}(\cdot, \cdot, A)$ Γ -converge in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to

$$\hat{F}^{\ell}(u,1,A) = \int_{A} \hat{f}^{\ell}(\nabla u) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{S_{u} \cap A} \hat{g}^{\ell}(\nu_{u}) \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad u \in GSBV^{2}(A;\mathbb{R}^{m}) \,,$$

where the integrands \hat{f}^{ℓ} and \hat{g}^{ℓ} are given by

$$\hat{f}^{\ell}(\xi) = \limsup_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^n} \limsup_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{m}^b_{\varepsilon_k}(u_{\xi}, Q_{\rho}) = \limsup_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^n} \liminf_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{m}^b_{\varepsilon_k}(u_{\xi}, Q_{\rho})$$
(4.3)

and

$$\hat{g}^{\ell}(\nu) = \limsup_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \limsup_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon_k}^s(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k}^\nu, Q_{\rho}^\nu) = \limsup_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \liminf_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon_k}^s(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k}^\nu, Q_{\rho}^\nu).$$
(4.4)

For later use we observe that arguing as in [7, Proposition 2.6] one can show that $\hat{g}^{\ell}(\nu)$ in (4.4) can be equivalently written by replacing $\mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon_{k}}^{s}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_{k}}^{\nu}, Q_{\rho}^{\nu})$ with $\mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon_{k}}^{s}(\bar{u}_{\alpha_{k}}^{\nu}, Q_{\rho}^{\nu})$, with $\alpha_{k} \sim \varepsilon_{k}$. Moreover, an inspection of the proof of [7, Proposition 7.4] reveals that the *u*-variable in (4.2) can be taken such that $||u||_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1$ and

$$u(x) \in \{0, e_1\}$$
 if $v(x) \neq 0$, (4.5)

for a.e. $x \in Q_{\rho}^{\nu}$.

In view of (4.4) we know that for every $\ell \in [0, +\infty]$ the surface integrand \hat{g}^{ℓ} is independent of the jump opening [u]. We notice, however, that the methods employed in [7] to establish the independence of [u] of the surface term cannot be directly transferred to the case $\eta_{\varepsilon} > 0$ and therefore to the integrand g^{ℓ} appearing in (4.1).

Upon assuming that (ε_k) is a subsequence along which both Theorem 4.2 and [7, Theorem 3.1] hold true, we can readily deduce that

$$f^{\ell}(\xi) \ge \hat{f}^{\ell}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad g^{\ell}(\zeta, \nu) \ge \hat{g}^{\ell}(\nu) \,,$$

$$(4.6)$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, every $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_0^m \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and every $\ell \in [0, +\infty]$.

In Proposition 4.5 below we show that f^{ℓ} and \hat{f}^{ℓ} coincide and that for every $\ell \in [0, +\infty]$ they are actually equal to f_{hom} as in (3.2). Furthermore, in Propositions 5.1 and 6.1 we prove that g^{ℓ} and \hat{g}^{ℓ} coincide for every $\ell \in [0, +\infty)$; therefore, in these regimes g^{ℓ} is independent of ζ . Moreover, for $\ell = 0$ there holds $g^0 = \hat{g}^0 = g^0_{\text{hom}}$, with g^0_{hom} given by (3.3); while for $\ell \in (0, +\infty)$ there holds $g^{\ell} = \hat{g}^{\ell} = g^{\ell}_{\text{hom}}$, with g^{ℓ}_{hom} as in (3.4).

Eventually, in Proposition 7.2 we deal with the case $\ell = +\infty$ and prove that g^{ℓ} coincides g_{hom}^{∞} as in (3.5). This ensures, in particular, that g^{ℓ} is independent of ζ also when $\ell = +\infty$. We notice, however, that in this regime it is not clear whether g^{ℓ} and \hat{g}^{ℓ} coincide.

4.1. Characterisation of the volume integrand. In this subsection we characterise the volume integrand f^{ℓ} in (4.1). Namely, we prove the following result.

Proposition 4.5. Let f_{hom} and \hat{f}^{ℓ} be as in (3.2) and (4.3), respectively. Let moreover f^{ℓ} be the volume integrand in (4.1). Then for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and every $\ell \in [0, +\infty]$ there holds

$$f^{\ell}(\xi) = \hat{f}^{\ell}(\xi) = f_{\text{hom}}(\xi).$$
(4.7)

Proof. To not to overburden notation the Γ -converging subsequence provided by Theorem 4.2 is still denoted by $(\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon})$.

We establish the two equalities in (4.7) separately.

Step 1: $\hat{f}^{\ell}(\xi) = f_{\text{hom}}(\xi)$, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and every $\ell \in [0, +\infty]$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\rho > 2\varepsilon$, and $u \in W^{1,2}(Q_{\rho}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ be fixed and define $u_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,2}(Q_{\rho/\delta_{\varepsilon}}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ by setting $u_{\varepsilon}(x) := \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} u(\delta_{\varepsilon} x)$, for every $x \in Q_{\rho/\delta_{\varepsilon}}$. Then clearly $u = u_{\xi}$ near ∂Q_{ρ} if and only if $u_{\varepsilon} = u_{\xi}$ near $\partial Q_{\rho/\delta_{\varepsilon}}$. Moreover, setting $r_{\varepsilon} := \rho/\delta_{\varepsilon}$ a change of variables gives

$$\frac{1}{\rho^n} \int_{Q_\rho} f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_\varepsilon}, \nabla u\right) \mathrm{d}x = \frac{\delta_\varepsilon^n}{\rho^n} \int_{Q_{\rho/\delta_\varepsilon}} f\left(x, \nabla u_\varepsilon\right) \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{r_\varepsilon^n} \int_{Q_{r_\varepsilon}} f\left(x, \nabla u_\varepsilon\right) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Passing to the infimum in u and appealing to Remark 3.2 we thus deduce that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^n} \mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon}^b(u_{\xi}, Q_{\rho}) = f_{\text{hom}}(\xi),$$

where the limit above exists independently of $\rho > 0$ and of the Γ -converging subsequence. Then (4.3) yields the claim.

Step 2:
$$f^{\ell}(\xi) = f_{\text{hom}}(\xi)$$
, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and every $\ell \in [0, +\infty]$.

By combining (4.6) and Step 1 we immediately deduce that

$$f^{\ell}(\xi) \ge f_{\text{hom}}(\xi) \,, \tag{4.8}$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and every $\ell \in [0, +\infty]$.

The proof of the opposite inequality follows by constructing a recovery sequence similarly as in the case of classical homogenisation in Sobolev spaces (see [29, Lemma 2.1(a)]). For the readers' convenience we repeat this construction in our setting. Let $\sigma > 0$ be fixed, thanks to (3.7) we can find $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $u \in W^{1,2}(Q_r; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with u = 0 near ∂Q_r such that

$$\frac{1}{r^n} \int_{Q_r} f(x, \nabla u + \xi) \,\mathrm{d}x \le f_{\mathrm{hom}}(\xi) + \sigma \,. \tag{4.9}$$

We then extend u r-periodically to \mathbb{R}^n and define $(u_{\varepsilon}) \subset W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^m)$ as

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) := u_{\xi}(x) + \delta_{\varepsilon} u\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right).$$

Clearly $(u_{\varepsilon}) \subset W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$; moreover, by definition of u_{ε} we have that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_{\xi}$ weakly in $W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{\xi}$ in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$, therefore $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{\xi}$ in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Hence Theorem 4.2 implies that

$$f^{\ell}(\xi) = \mathscr{F}^{\ell}(u_{\xi}, 1, Q) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, 1, Q) = \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{Q} (1 + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d}x.$$
(4.10)

Set

$$f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) = g\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) \text{ with } g(y) := f(y, \xi + \nabla u(y)).$$

Since g is r-periodic, by the Riemann-Lebesgue Theorem we get that

$$g\left(\frac{\cdot}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) \rightharpoonup \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{Q_r} g(y) \,\mathrm{d}y \;\; \text{weakly in } L^1(Q),$$

so that in particular

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_Q f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_\varepsilon}, \nabla u_\varepsilon\right) \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{Q_r} f(y, \xi + \nabla u) \,\mathrm{d}y \,.$$

Thus, since ∇u_{ε} is uniformly bounded in $L^2(Q; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$, using (f1) and combining (4.9) and (4.10) we obtain

$$f^{\ell}(\xi) \le f_{\text{hom}}(\xi) + \sigma. \tag{4.11}$$

Eventually, we conclude gathering (4.8) and (4.11), and by the arbitrariness of $\sigma > 0$.

5. Oscillations on a larger scale than the singular perturbation

In this section we characterise \hat{g}^{ℓ} and g^{ℓ} in the regime $\ell = 0$; the latter corresponds to the case where the scale of the oscillations δ_{ε} is much larger than the scale of the singular perturbation ε .

Proposition 5.1. Assume that $\ell = 0$. Let g_{hom}^0 and \hat{g}^0 be as in (3.3) and (4.4), respectively. Let g^0 be the surface integrand in (4.1). Then for every $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_0^m \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ there holds

$$g^{0}(\zeta,\nu) = \hat{g}^{0}(\nu) = g^{0}_{\text{hom}}(\nu)$$

Proof. Not to overburden notation we still denote by $(\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon})$ the Γ -converging subsequence given by Theorem 4.2.

We introduce the function $\Psi:\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\to[0,+\infty)$ given by

$$\Psi(x,w) := \sqrt{h(x,w)}$$

14

and observe that by (h1) we have

$$\sqrt{c_3}|w| \le \Psi(x,w) \le \sqrt{c_4}|w|, \qquad (5.1)$$

for every $x, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, by $(h3) \Psi$ is positively 1-homogeneous and symmetric in w. For $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and r > 0 it is also convenient to introduce the following notation

$$\mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{pc}}(u^{\nu}, Q_{r}^{\nu}) := \inf\left\{\int_{S_{u} \cap Q_{r}^{\nu}} \Psi^{**}(x, \nu_{u}) \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \colon u \in BV(Q_{r}^{\nu}; \{0, e_{1}\}), u = u^{\nu} \text{ near } \partial Q_{r}^{\nu}\right\}, \quad (5.2)$$

where Ψ^{**} denotes the convex envelope of Ψ in the second variable. In view of Remark 3.3 (1) g_{hom}^0 can be rewritten as

$$g_{\text{hom}}^{0}(\nu) = \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{2}{r^{n-1}} \mathbf{m}^{\text{pc}}(u^{\nu}, Q_{r}^{\nu}).$$
 (5.3)

By (4.6), it suffices to show that

$$\hat{g}^{0}(\nu) \ge g_{\text{hom}}^{0}(\nu) \ge g^{0}(\zeta, \nu),$$
(5.4)

for every $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_0^m \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The proof of (5.4) will be carried out in two separate steps.

Step 1: $\hat{g}^0(\nu) \ge g^0_{\text{hom}}(\nu)$, for every $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Let $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\rho > 2\varepsilon$ and $v \in \mathscr{A}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}, Q_{\rho}^{\nu})$ be arbitrary. Then there exists $u \in W^{1,2}(Q_{\rho}^{\nu}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$v\nabla u = 0$$
 a.e. in Q^{ν}_{ρ} and $(u, v) = (\bar{u}^{\nu}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}^{\nu}_{\varepsilon})$ near ∂Q^{ν}_{ρ} . (5.5)

Starting from the pair (u, v) we now construct suitable competitors for the minimisation problem defining \mathbf{m}^{pc} in (5.2). To this end, we define the increasing function $\Phi: [0, 1] \to [0, 1/2]$ as

$$\Phi(t) := \int_0^t (1-z) \, \mathrm{d}z = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(1-t)^2}{2} \, .$$

Then, from the Young Inequality together with the homogeneity of Ψ we deduce

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(v,Q^{\nu}_{\rho}) = \int_{Q^{\nu}_{\rho}} \left(\frac{(1-v)^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},\nabla v\right) \right) \mathrm{d}x \ge 2 \int_{Q^{\nu}_{\rho}} \Psi\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},(1-v)\nabla v\right) \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\ge 2 \int_{Q^{\nu}_{\rho}} \Psi^{**}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},(1-v)\nabla v\right) \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= 2 \int_{Q^{\nu}_{\rho}} \Psi^{**}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},\nabla \Phi(v)\right) \mathrm{d}x. \tag{5.6}$$

For $s \in [0, 1/2)$ we define the sets

$$E^s := \left\{ x \in Q^{\nu}_{\rho} \colon \Phi(v(x)) > s \right\},\$$

which have finite perimeter for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $s \in (0, 1/2)$. In view of (5.6), by a generalised Coarea Formula (see e.g. [21, Lemma 2.4]) and the Mean Value Theorem, we find $t \in (0, 1/2)$ such that

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(v, Q^{\nu}_{\rho}) \geq 2 \int_{Q^{\nu}_{\rho}} \Psi^{**}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla\Phi(v)\right) \mathrm{d}x = 2 \int_{0}^{1/2} \left(\int_{\partial^{*}E^{s}} \Psi^{**}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nu_{E^{s}}\right) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\right) \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\geq \int_{\partial^{*}E^{t}} \Psi^{**}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nu_{E^{t}}\right) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$
(5.7)

where $\partial^* E^s$ and ν_{E^s} denote the reduced boundary of E^s and the measure theoretic inner normal to E^s , respectively.

A direct computation shows that $v(x) > 1 - \sqrt{1 - 2t} > 0$ for $x \in E^t$. As a consequence, from (4.5) in Remark 4.4 we obtain

$$u(x) \in \{0, e_1\}$$
 for a.e. $x \in E^t$. (5.8)

Since in addition E^t has finite perimeter in Q^{ν}_{ρ} , the two functions

$$u^0 := u\chi_{E^t}, \qquad u^1 := u\chi_{E^t} + e_1(1 - \chi_{E^t})$$

belong to $BV(Q_{\rho}^{\nu}; \{0, e_1\})$. Moreover, up to an \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -negligible set, $\partial^* E^t$ is the disjoint union of S_{u^0} and S_{u^1} . Indeed, from (5.8) we readily deduce that $\partial^* E^t \setminus S_u$ is the disjoint union J_{u^0} and J_{u^1} , where J_{u^0} and J_{u^1} are the set of approximate jump points of u^0 and u^1 . Since $u \in W^{1,2}(Q_{\rho}^{\nu}; \mathbb{R}^m)$, we have $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u) = 0$, while $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_{u^0} \setminus J_{u^0}) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_{u^1} \setminus J_{u^1}) = 0$ by the properties of BV-functions. Hence, the claim follows. Since moreover $\nu_{u^0} = \pm \nu_{E^t} = \nu_{u^1} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. on $\partial^* E^t$, from (5.7) together with the symmetry of Ψ we deduce that

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(v,Q^{\nu}_{\rho}) \geq \int_{S^{0}_{u}\cap Q^{\nu}_{\rho}} \Psi^{**}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},\nu_{u^{0}}\right) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{S^{1}_{u}\cap Q^{\nu}_{\rho}} \Psi^{**}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},\nu_{u^{1}}\right) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$
(5.9)

We extend u^0, u^1 by u^{ν} to $Q^{\nu}_{(1+\delta_{\varepsilon})\rho}$ without renaming them. In this way, thanks to (5.5) we have

$$S_{u^k} \cap \left(Q^{\nu}_{(1+\delta_{\varepsilon})\rho} \setminus Q^{\nu}_{\rho}\right) \subset \left(\Pi^{\nu} \cap \left(Q^{\nu}_{(1+\delta_{\varepsilon})\rho} \setminus Q^{\nu}_{\rho}\right) \cup \left(\partial Q^{\nu}_{\rho} \cap \{|x \cdot \nu| \le \varepsilon\}\right)\right) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1.$$
(5.10)

Finally, for $r_{\varepsilon} := (1 + \delta_{\varepsilon})\rho/\delta_{\varepsilon}$ and k = 0, 1 let $u_{\varepsilon}^k \in BV(Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}; \{0, e_1\})$ be given by $u_{\varepsilon}^k(x) := u^k(\delta_{\varepsilon}x)$. Then $u_{\varepsilon}^k = u^{\nu}$ near $\partial Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}$ and $S_{u_{\varepsilon}^k} = \frac{1}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}S_{u^k}$. Thus, by the change of variables $y = x/\delta_{\varepsilon}$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \int_{S_{u}^{k} \cap Q_{(1+\delta_{\varepsilon})\rho}^{\nu}} \Psi^{**}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nu_{u^{k}}\right) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq \frac{1}{r_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \cap Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}} \Psi^{**}(x, \nu_{u_{\varepsilon}^{k}}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq \frac{1}{r_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{pc}}(u^{\nu}, Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}) \,,$$

which together with (5.9) and (5.10) gives

$$\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}}\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(v,Q^{\nu}_{\rho}) \geq \frac{2}{r_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}}\mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{pc}}(u^{\nu},Q^{\nu}_{r_{\varepsilon}}) - 2\sqrt{c_{3}}\left((1+\delta_{\varepsilon})^{n-1} - 1 + 2(n-1)\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right).$$
(5.11)

Since $v \in \mathscr{A}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}, Q_{\rho}^{\nu})$ was arbitrarily chosen, we can pass to the infimum on the left-hand side of (5.11) and let $\varepsilon \to 0$ to deduce that

$$\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}, Q_{\rho}^{\nu}) \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{2}{r_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{pc}}(u^{\nu}, Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}) = g_{\mathrm{hom}}^{0}(\nu) \,,$$

where the last equality follows from (5.3). By the very definition of $\hat{g}^0(\nu)$ in (4.4) we conclude the proof of Step 1 by letting $\rho \to 0$.

Step 2: $g^0(\zeta, \nu) \leq g^0_{\text{hom}}(\nu)$, for every $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^m_0 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Let $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^m_0 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$; by Theorem 4.2 we have that

$$\widetilde{g}^0(\zeta,\nu) = \mathscr{F}^0(u_{\zeta}^{\nu},1,Q^{\nu}) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon},v_{\varepsilon},Q^{\nu})\,,$$

for any sequence $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ converging to $(u_{\zeta}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$. Then to conclude it is sufficient to construct a sequence $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon})$ converging to $(u_{\zeta}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ and such that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \le g_{\text{hom}}^{0}(\nu) \,.$$
(5.12)

Moreover, since g_{hom}^0 and $g^0(\zeta, \cdot)$ are continuous (*cf.* Remark 3.3 (1) and Remark 4.3, respectively), it suffices to consider $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$, then the general case follows by density.

Let $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ and let $R_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ be an orthogonal matrix as in (f) so that $R_{\nu}e_n = \nu$. Then, for $\eta > 0$ fixed we find $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $rR_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ and $u \in BV(Q_r^{\nu}; \{0, e_1\})$ with $u = u^{\nu}$ on ∂Q_r^{ν} satisfying

$$\frac{2}{r^{n-1}} \int_{Q_r^{\nu} \cap S_u} \Psi(x, \nu_u) \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \le g_{\mathrm{hom}}^0(\nu) + \eta \,.$$
(5.13)

By Reshetnyak's continuity Theorem [2, Theorem 2.39], the continuity of Ψ , and [2, Theorem 3.42] we can assume without loss of generality that S_u is of class C^2 . Moreover, since the approximation of the set $\{u = e_1\}$ with smooth sets is a local procedure (cf. [2, Remark 3.43]) and $u = u^{\nu}$ in a neighbourhood of ∂Q_r^{ν} , the boundary conditions satisfied by u are not affected by the smoothing procedure. We then extend u to \mathbb{R}^n (without renaming it) in a way so that it is r periodic in the directions $R_{\nu}e_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $u = u^{\nu}$ in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x \cdot \nu| > r/2\}$. In this way we have that

$$S_u \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon |x \cdot \nu| < r/2\}.$$
(5.14)

Since S_u is of class C^2 , denoting by $d_{S_u}(x) := \text{dist}(x, S_u)$ the distance from S_u , for $\alpha > 0$ suitably small there is a unique projection $\pi_{\alpha} : \{x : d_{S_u}(x) < \alpha\} \to S_u$ of class C^2 . We then set

$$\overline{\nu}(x) := \begin{cases} \nu_u((\pi_\alpha(x)) & \text{if } \mathrm{d}_{S_u}(x) < \alpha \,, \\ \nu & \text{otherwise} \,. \end{cases}$$

By Remark 2.3 we can find $T_{\eta} > 0$ and $v_{\eta} \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, v_{η} Lipschitz continuous, with $v_{\eta}(0) = 0$, $v_{\eta}(t) = 1$ for $t \geq T_{\eta}$, $0 \leq v_{\eta} \leq 1$, and

$$C_{\eta} := \int_{0}^{+\infty} \left((1 - v_{\eta})^{2} + (v_{\eta}')^{2} \right) \, \mathrm{d}t \le 1 + \eta \,.$$
(5.15)

Next we choose $\xi_{\varepsilon} := \sqrt{\varepsilon \eta_{\varepsilon}}$, so that $\xi_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$, and consider the pair $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon})$ given by

$$(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x), \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x)) := (u_{\varepsilon}(x/\delta_{\varepsilon}), v_{\varepsilon}(x/\delta_{\varepsilon}))$$

where

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) := \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, \{u = e_1\})\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\xi_{\varepsilon}}\right) \zeta & \text{ if } \operatorname{dist}(x, \{u = e_1\}) < \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \\ 0 & \text{ otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_{\varepsilon}(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathrm{d}_{S_u}(x) \leq \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \\ v_{\eta} \left(\frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d}_{S_u}(x) - \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}}{\Psi(x, \overline{\nu}(x))} \right) & \text{if } \mathrm{d}_{S_u}(x) > \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \end{cases}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly, $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Moreover, by (h1) and (5.1)

$$(u_{\varepsilon}(x), v_{\varepsilon}(x)) = (u_{\zeta}^{\nu}(x), 1) \quad \text{if} \quad d_{S_u}(x) \ge \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\sqrt{c_4}T_{\eta}.$$
(5.16)

As a consequence, since $\xi_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon \ll \delta_{\varepsilon}$, for ε sufficiently small we have that that $d_{S_u}(x) < \alpha$ whenever $v_{\varepsilon}(x) \neq 1$. In particular, both the mappings $x \mapsto d_{S_u}(x)$ and $x \mapsto \bar{\nu}(x)$ are Lipschitz continuous in the region where $v_{\varepsilon} \neq 1$. Thus, in view of (5.1), the regularity of Ψ and v_{η} ensure that $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, thanks to (5.14) we have that $d_{S_u}(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}) \geq \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\sqrt{c_4}T_{\eta}$ if $|x \cdot \nu| \geq r$, for ε sufficiently small. Thus, (5.16) implies that

$$(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x), \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x)) = \left(u_{\zeta}^{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right), 1\right) = \left(u_{\zeta}^{\nu}(x), 1\right) \quad \text{if} \quad |x \cdot \nu| > r\delta_{\varepsilon} \,,$$

and thus $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon})$ converges to $(u_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now it remains to estimate $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}$ along the sequence $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon})$. To this end we start observing that $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} \nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} = 0$ a.e. in $\in \mathbb{R}^n$,

hence from (f1) we deduce that

$$\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \le c_2 \eta_{\varepsilon} \int_{Q^{\nu}} |\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \mathscr{F}^s_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \,.$$
(5.17)

In order to estimate the right-hand side of (5.17) it is convenient to define the sets

$$A_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ x \in Q^{\nu} \colon \mathrm{d}_{S_{u}}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) \leq \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \right\},$$
$$B_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ x \in Q^{\nu} \colon \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} < \mathrm{d}_{S_{u}}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) < \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\sqrt{c_{4}}T_{\eta} \right\}.$$

By definition of \bar{u}_{ε} we have

$$\eta_{\varepsilon} \int_{Q^{\nu}} |\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{C\eta_{\varepsilon}}{\xi_{\varepsilon}^2} \mathcal{L}^n(A_{\varepsilon}) = C \frac{\mathcal{L}^n(A_{\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon} \,.$$
(5.18)

Moreover, (h1) implies that $h(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x)) = 0$, if $x \in A_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu} \setminus (\overline{A}_{\varepsilon} \cup \overline{B}_{\varepsilon})) = 0$. Therefore, we infer

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) = \frac{\mathcal{L}^{n}(A_{\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon} + \mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}).$$
(5.19)

We now show that $\mathcal{L}^n(A_{\varepsilon})/\varepsilon$ vanishes as ε tends to zero. Since

$$A_{\varepsilon} = \delta_{\varepsilon} \left\{ x \in Q_{1/\delta_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu} \colon \mathrm{d}_{S_{u}}\left(x\right) \leq \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \right\}$$

by (5.14) and the periodicity of u, if we cover $\{x \in Q_{1/\delta_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu} : d_{S_u}(x) \leq \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\}$ with $(\lfloor 1/(\delta_{\varepsilon}r) \rfloor + 1)^{n-1}$ copies of $\{x \in Q_r^{\nu} : d_{S_u}(x) \leq \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\}$ we get

$$\mathcal{L}^{n}(A_{\varepsilon}) \leq \delta_{\varepsilon} \frac{(1+\delta_{\varepsilon}r)^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}} \mathcal{L}^{n}\left(\left\{x \in Q_{r}^{\nu} \colon \mathrm{d}_{S_{u}}(x) \leq \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right\}\right).$$

Since $S_u \cap Q_r^{\nu}$ is of class C^2 , the (n-1)-dimensional Minkowski content of $S_u \cap Q_r^{\nu}$ coincides with $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u \cap Q_r^{\nu})$, therefore

$$\mathcal{L}^n\left(\left\{x \in Q_r^{\nu} \colon \mathrm{d}_{S_u}(x) \leq \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right\}\right) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u \cap Q_r^{\nu})\frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} + O\left(\frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right);$$

then, since $\xi_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$, we have that in particular

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}^n(A_{\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon} \le \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u \cap Q_r^{\nu})\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \frac{(1+\delta_{\varepsilon}r)^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}} + o(1) = o(1), \qquad (5.20)$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0.$ Hence, gathering (5.17)-(5.20) implies

$$\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \le \mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}) + o(1), \qquad (5.21)$$

so that it only remains to estimate $\mathscr{F}^s_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon})$. To do so set

$$g_{\varepsilon}(x) := \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d}_{S_u}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) - \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}}{\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right)},$$

thus $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x) = v_{\eta}(g_{\varepsilon}(x))$. Therefore (h3) implies that

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}) = \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \frac{(1 - v_{\eta}(g_{\varepsilon}(x)))^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon (v_{\eta}'(g_{\varepsilon}(x))^{2}h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla g_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) \, \mathrm{d}x \,.$$
(5.22)

18

Moreover, by using that $\nabla d_{S_u}(x/\delta_{\varepsilon}) = \delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \overline{\nu}(x/\delta_{\varepsilon})$ we have

$$\nabla g_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{G_{\varepsilon}(x)}{\varepsilon \Psi\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right)},$$
(5.23)

where

$$G_{\varepsilon}(x) := \left(\bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) - \frac{\left(\mathrm{d}_{S_{u}}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) - \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\left(\nabla_{y}\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right) + \nabla\bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\nabla_{w}\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right)\right)}{\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right)}\right).$$

Notice that for $x \in B_{\varepsilon}$ we have that $\nabla \overline{\nu}(x/\delta_{\varepsilon}) = \nabla(\nu_u(\pi_{\alpha}(x/\delta_{\varepsilon})))$ for ε small enough, moreover (5.1),(h2), and (h4) yield

$$\left|\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},\bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right)\right|^{-1} \leq c, \quad \left|\nabla_{y}\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},\bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right)\right| \leq c, \quad \left|\nabla_{w}\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}},\bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right)\right| \leq c, \quad (5.24)$$

for some $c = c(n, L_2, L_3, c_3) > 0$. Hence (5.24) in particular implies that

$$G_{\varepsilon}(x) = \bar{\nu}\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in B_{\varepsilon},$$

which together with (5.23), (h3), and (h2) give

$$h\Big(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla g_{\varepsilon}(x)\Big) = \frac{h\Big(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, G_{\varepsilon}(x)\Big)}{\varepsilon^2 \Psi^2\Big(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \bar{\nu}(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}})\Big)} = \frac{h\Big(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \bar{\nu}(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}})\Big) + O\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\Big)}{\varepsilon^2 \Psi^2\Big(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \bar{\nu}(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}})\Big)}.$$

Thus, using that $\Psi^2 = h$, from (5.22) we deduce that

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}) \leq \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right) \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{(1 - v_{\eta}(g_{\varepsilon}(x)))^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \frac{(v_{\eta}'(g_{\varepsilon}(x)))^{2}}{\varepsilon}\right) dx.$$
(5.25)

In order to estimate the right-hand side of (5.25), it is convenient to write B_{ε} as the disjoint union of the sets B_{ε}^+ and B_{ε}^- defined as $B_{\varepsilon}^+ := B_{\varepsilon} \cap \{x : u(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}) = e_1\}, B_{\varepsilon}^- := B_{\varepsilon} \cap \{x : u(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}) = 0\}$. On B_{ε}^+ we use the change of variables $x = \delta_{\varepsilon}(y + t\nu_u(y))$ for $y \in S_u \cap Q_{\rho}^{\nu}$ and $t := \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} (d_{S_u}(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}) - \frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}})$. Note that in this way we have $\overline{\nu}(x/\delta_{\varepsilon}) = \nu_u(y)$. Since also $|\delta_{\varepsilon} \nabla d_{S_u}(x/\delta_{\varepsilon})| = 1$, using the Coarea Formula on the right hand-side of (5.25) restricted to B_{ε}^+ , we get

$$\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}^{+}) \leq \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right) \delta_{\varepsilon}^{n-1} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{c_{4}T_{\eta}}} \int_{Q_{1/\delta_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu} \cap S_{u}} \left(1 - v_{\eta}\left(\frac{t}{\Psi\left(y + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} t\nu_{u}(y), \nu_{u}(y)\right)}\right)\right)^{2} + \left(v_{\eta}'\left(\frac{t}{\Psi\left(y + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} t\nu_{u}(y), \nu_{u}(y)\right)}\right)\right)^{2} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \mathrm{d}t.$$

$$(5.26)$$

Now let

$$s := \frac{t}{\Psi\left(y + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} t \nu_u(y), \nu_u(y)\right)}, \qquad (5.27)$$

so that by (5.24) there holds

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{\Psi\Big(y + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} t\nu_u(y), \nu_u(y)\Big) - t\Psi_x\Big(y + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} t\nu_u(y), \nu_u(y)\Big)\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\nu_u(y)}{\Psi^2\Big(y + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} t\nu_u(y), \nu_u(y)\Big)} = \frac{1 + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)}{\Psi\Big(y + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} t\nu_u(y), \nu_u(y)\Big)}.$$

Moreover, by (h4) we deduce that for every $t \in (0, \sqrt{c_4}T_\eta)$ we have

$$\Psi\left(y + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} t \nu_u(y), \nu_u(y)\right) = \Psi(y, \nu_u(y)) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right) \,.$$

Hence using the change of variables in (5.27) and applying Fubini's Theorem in (5.26) we infer

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, B^{+}_{\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{1 + O(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}})}{1 + O(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}})} \delta^{n-1}_{\varepsilon} C_{\eta} \int_{Q^{\nu}_{1/\delta_{\varepsilon}} \cap S_{u}} \left(\Psi(y, \nu_{u}(y)) + O(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}})\right) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \,, \tag{5.28}$$

where C_{η} is defined in (5.15). Moreover, a similar estimate can be obtained on B_{ε}^{-} using the change of variables $x = \delta_{\varepsilon}(y - t\nu_u(y))$ for $y \in S_u \cap Q_{\rho}^{\nu}$. Thus, by the periodicity of u and using (5.14), from (5.28) together with the periodicity of Ψ and the fact that $rR_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ we deduce

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{2 + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)}{1 + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)} \frac{\left(1 + \delta_{\varepsilon}r\right)^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}} C_{\eta} \int_{Q^{\nu}_{r} \cap S_{u}} \left(\Psi(y, \nu_{u}(y)) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \,. \tag{5.29}$$

Thus, thanks to (5.15), (5.21), and (5.29) we obtain

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \le (1+\eta)(g_{\text{hom}}^{0}(\nu)+\eta)$$

Eventually, (5.12) follows by the arbitrariness of $\eta > 0$, using a diagonal argument.

Remark 5.2. We notice that Step 1 in the proof of Proposition 5.1 could have been established also without using the asymptotic minimisation formula for \hat{g}^0 in (4.4) and instead using a similar argument as in [11, Theorem 17] now appealing to the homogenisation result [33, Theorem 1]. Moreover, Step 1 holds true also when $\delta_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$ and $\delta_{\varepsilon} \sim \varepsilon$. In particular, we have $g_{\text{hom}}^0 \leq g_{\text{hom}}^\ell$ for any $\ell \in (0, +\infty]$.

6. OSCILLATIONS ON THE SAME SCALE AS THE SINGULAR PERTURBATION

In this section we characterise \hat{g}^{ℓ} and g^{ℓ} in the regime $\ell \in (0, +\infty)$; the latter corresponds to the case where the scale of the oscillations δ_{ε} is comparable to the scale of the singular perturbation ε .

Proposition 6.1. Assume that $\ell \in (0, +\infty)$. Let g_{hom}^{ℓ} and \hat{g}^{ℓ} be as in (3.4) and (4.4), respectively. Let g^{ℓ} be the surface integrand in (4.1). Then for every $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_0^m \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we have

$$g^{\ell}(\zeta,\nu) = \hat{g}^{\ell}(\nu) = g^{\ell}_{\text{hom}}(\nu) \,.$$

Proof. Not to overburden notation, in all that follows $(\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon})$ denotes the Γ -converging subsequence given by Theorem 4.2.

We recall that in view of Remark 4.4 we have

$$\hat{g}^{\ell}(\nu) = \limsup_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbf{m}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}^{\nu}_{\ell\delta_{\varepsilon}}, Q^{\nu}_{\rho}) = \limsup_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \liminf_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{m}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}^{\nu}_{\ell\delta_{\varepsilon}}, Q^{\nu}_{\rho}), \quad (6.1)$$

for every $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. It is also convenient to introduce the notation

$$\mathbf{m}^{\ell,s}(\bar{u}^{\nu},Q_r^{\nu}) := \inf\left\{\int_{Q_r^{\nu}} \left((1-v)^2 + h(\ell x,\nabla v)\right) \mathrm{d}x \colon v \in \mathscr{A}(\bar{u}^{\nu},Q_r^{\nu})\right\}$$

where $\mathscr{A}(\bar{u}^{\nu}, Q_r^{\nu})$ is defined according to (4.2). Therefore g_{hom}^{ℓ} can be rewritten as

$$g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu) = \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \mathbf{m}^{\ell,s}(\bar{u}^{\nu}, Q_r^{\nu}).$$
(6.2)

 \Box

We notice that in view of (4.6), to prove the claim it suffices to show that

$$\hat{g}^{\ell}(\nu) \ge g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu) \ge g^{\ell}(\zeta,\nu), \quad \forall \ (\zeta,\nu) \in \mathbb{R}_0^m \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$
(6.3)

The proof of (6.3) will be split into two steps.

Step 1: $\hat{g}^{\ell}(\nu) \ge g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu)$, for every $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Let $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\rho > 2\varepsilon$, and let $v \in \mathscr{A}(\bar{u}_{\ell\delta_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}, Q_{\rho}^{\nu})$ be arbitrary. Then, there exists $u \in W^{1,2}(Q_{\rho}^{\nu}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$v\nabla u = 0$$
 a.e. in Q^{ν}_{ρ} and $(u, v) = (\bar{u}^{\nu}_{\ell\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \bar{v}^{\nu}_{\ell\delta_{\varepsilon}})$ near ∂Q^{ν}_{ρ} . (6.4)

Set $r_{\varepsilon} := \frac{\rho}{\ell \delta_{\varepsilon}}$ and define $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \subset W^{1,2}(Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}; \mathbb{R}^m) \times W^{1,2}(Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu})$ by setting

$$(u_{\varepsilon}(x), v_{\varepsilon}(x)) := (u(\ell \delta_{\varepsilon} x), v(\ell \delta_{\varepsilon} x)).$$

Then (6.4) implies that

 $v_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} = 0$ a.e. in $Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}$ and $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = (\bar{u}^{\nu}, \bar{v}^{\nu})$ near $\partial Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}$,

that is, $v_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{A}(\bar{u}^{\nu}, Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu})$. Thus, a change of variables gives

$$\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \int_{Q_{\rho}^{\nu}} \left(\frac{(1-v)^2}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \nabla v\right) \right) \mathrm{d}x = \frac{(\ell \delta_{\varepsilon})^{n-1}}{\rho^{n-1}} \int_{Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}} \left(\frac{\ell \delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} (1-v_{\varepsilon})^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\ell \delta_{\varepsilon}} h\left(\ell x, \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right) \right) \mathrm{d}x \\ \geq \frac{\gamma_{\varepsilon}}{r_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}} \mathbf{m}^{\ell,s} \left(\bar{u}^{\nu}, Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu} \right),$$
(6.5)

where

$$\gamma_{\varepsilon} := \min\left\{\frac{\ell\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\varepsilon}{\ell\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right\} \to 1 \quad \text{as} \ \varepsilon \to 0$$

Hence, since $v \in \mathscr{A}(\bar{u}^{\nu}_{\ell\delta_{\varepsilon}}, Q^{\nu}_{\rho})$ was arbitrarily chosen, we can pass to the infimum on the left-hand side of (6.5) and let $\varepsilon \to 0$ to deduce that

$$\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbf{m}_{\varepsilon}^{s} \left(\bar{u}_{\ell \delta_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}, Q_{\rho}^{\nu} \right) \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{r_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}} \mathbf{m}^{\ell,s} \left(\bar{u}^{\nu}, Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu} \right) = g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu) \,,$$

where the last equality follows from (6.2). In view of (6.1) we then conclude the proof of Step 1 by letting $\rho \to 0$.

Step 2:
$$g^{\ell}(\zeta, \nu) \leq g^{\ell}_{\text{hom}}(\nu)$$
, for every $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{m} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.
Let $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{m} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$; by Theorem 4.2 we have that
 $g^{\ell}(\zeta, \nu) = \mathscr{F}^{\ell}(u^{\nu}_{\zeta}, 1, Q^{\nu}) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu})$, (6.6)

for any sequence $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ converging to $(u_{\zeta}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$.

Let $\eta > 0$ be fixed, in what follows we construct a sequence $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon})$ converging to $(u_{\zeta}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ and such that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \le g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu) + \eta.$$
(6.7)

Then, we can conclude by combining (6.6) and (6.7) and by the arbitrariness of $\eta > 0$.

We notice that since both g_{hom}^{ℓ} and $g^{\ell}(\zeta, \cdot)$ are continuous (*cf.* Remark 3.3 (2) and Remark 4.3) we can prove the desired inequality only for $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ and then conclude by density. Let $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ and let $R_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ be an orthogonal matrix as in (f) with $R_{\nu}e_n = \nu$, and let $m_{\nu} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be such that $m_{\nu}R_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$.

By (6.2) we find $r \in \frac{m_{\nu}}{\ell} \mathbb{N}^*$ and $v \in \mathscr{A}(\bar{u}^{\nu}, Q_r^{\nu})$ such that

$$\frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \int_{Q_r^{\nu}} \left((1-v)^2 + h(\ell x, \nabla v) \right) \mathrm{d}x \le g_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu) + \eta \,. \tag{6.8}$$

By definition of $\mathscr{A}(\bar{u}^{\nu}, Q_r^{\nu})$, there exists $u \in W^{1,2}(Q_r^{\nu}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$v\nabla u = 0$$
 a.e. in Q_r^{ν} and $(u, v) = (\bar{u}^{\nu}, \bar{v}^{\nu})$ near ∂Q_r^{ν} . (6.9)

For $\lambda > 0$ we introduce

$$S_{\lambda}^{\nu} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon |x \cdot \nu| < \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\}$$

the strip of width λ around the hyperplane Π^{ν} . We then extend (u, v) r-periodically inside the strip S_r^{ν} by setting

$$(u(x), v(x)) := (u(x - R_{\nu}(rz, 0)), v(x - R_{\nu}(rz, 0))) \text{ if } x \in Q_r^{\nu}(R_{\nu}(rz, 0)), \ z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}, \quad (6.10)$$

and we set $(u, v) := (u^{\nu}, 1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S_r^{\nu}$. Then the second condition in (6.9) ensures that $(u, v) \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Eventually, we define $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}) \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by setting

$$\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) := \left(u \Big(\frac{x}{\ell \delta_{\varepsilon}} \Big) \cdot e_1 \Big) \zeta \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x) := v \Big(\frac{x}{\ell \delta_{\varepsilon}} \Big) \,,$$

and we set $r_{\varepsilon} := \ell \delta_{\varepsilon} r \in \delta_{\varepsilon} m_{\nu} \mathbb{N}^*$. In this way, \bar{u}_{ε} and \bar{v}_{ε} are r_{ε} -periodic inside $S_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}$ and for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}$ we have $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) = (u^{\nu}(\frac{x}{\ell \delta_{\varepsilon}}) \cdot e_1)\zeta = u_{\zeta}^{\nu}(x)$ and $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x) = 1$. Thus, $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}) \to (u_{\zeta}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To estimate $F_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu})$ we note that $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} \nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} = 0$ a.e. in Q^{ν} thanks to (6.9). Moreover, $\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}$ and $|\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\ell \delta_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \bar{u}(\frac{x}{\ell \delta_{\varepsilon}})| |\zeta|$ in $S_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}$. Thus, (f1) together with a change of variables give

$$\int_{Q^{\nu}} (\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d}x \leq c_{2} \eta_{\varepsilon} \int_{Q^{\nu} \cap S_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}} |\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \leq c_{2} |\zeta|^{2} (\ell \delta_{\varepsilon})^{n-2} \eta_{\varepsilon} \int_{Q_{1/(\ell \delta_{\varepsilon})}^{\nu} \cap S_{r}^{\nu}} |\nabla u|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

$$(6.11)$$

By setting $J_{\varepsilon}^{\nu} := \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \colon Q_r^{\nu}(R_{\nu}(rz,0)) \cap Q_{1/(\ell\delta_{\varepsilon})}^{\nu} \neq \emptyset\}$ and using (6.10) we obtain

$$\int_{Q_{1/(\ell\delta_{\varepsilon})}^{\nu}\cap S_{r}^{\nu}} |\nabla u|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \sum_{z\in J_{r}^{\nu}} \int_{Q_{r}^{\nu}(R_{\nu}(rz,0))} |\nabla u|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x = \#(J_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}) \int_{Q_{r}^{\nu}} |\nabla u|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Thus, since

$$#(J_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}) \le (\lfloor 1/r_{\varepsilon} \rfloor + 1)^{n-1} \le \left(\frac{1+r_{\varepsilon}}{r_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{n-1}, \tag{6.12}$$

from (6.11) we infer

$$\int_{Q^{\nu}} (\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}^2 + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d}x \le c_2 |\zeta|^2 \frac{(1+r_{\varepsilon})^{n-1} \eta_{\varepsilon}}{\ell \delta_{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \int_{Q_r^{\nu}} |\nabla u|^2 \mathrm{d}x \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0 \,, \quad (6.13)$$

where the convergence to zero follows from the fact that $\eta_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$ and $\delta_{\varepsilon} \sim \varepsilon$.

To conclude it only remains to estimate $\mathscr{F}^s_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu})$. Since $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} \equiv 1$ on $Q^{\nu} \setminus S^{\nu}_{r_{\varepsilon}}$, from (h1) and a change of variables we deduce that

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) = \mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu} \cap S^{\nu}_{r_{\varepsilon}}) = (\ell \delta_{\varepsilon})^{n} \int_{Q^{\nu}_{1/(\ell \delta_{\varepsilon})} \cap S^{\nu}_{r}} \left(\frac{(1-v)^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon}{(\ell \delta_{\varepsilon})^{2}} h(\ell x, \nabla v)\right) \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\leq \widetilde{\gamma}_{\varepsilon}(\ell \delta_{\varepsilon})^{n-1} \int_{Q^{\nu}_{1/(\ell \delta_{\varepsilon})} \cap S^{\nu}_{r}} \left((1-v)^{2} + h(\ell x, \nabla v)\right) \mathrm{d}x,$$
(6.14)

where

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_{\varepsilon} := \max\left\{\frac{\ell\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\varepsilon}{\ell\delta_{\varepsilon}}\right\} \to 1 \quad \text{as} \ \varepsilon \to 0.$$
(6.15)

Eventually, in view of (6.10) and (h5) we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{Q_{1/(\ell\delta_{\varepsilon})}^{\nu}\cap S_{r}^{\nu}} \left((1-v)^{2} + h(\ell x, \nabla v)\right) \mathrm{d}x &\leq \sum_{z \in J_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}} \int_{Q_{r}^{\nu}(R_{\nu}(rz,0))} \left((1-v)^{2} + h(\ell x, \nabla v)\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \sum_{z \in J_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}} \int_{Q_{r}^{\nu}} \left((1-v)^{2} + h(\ell x + \ell rR_{\nu}(z,0), \nabla v)\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \#(J_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}) \int_{Q_{r}^{\nu}} \left((1-v)^{2} + h(\ell x, \nabla v)\right) \mathrm{d}x \,, \end{split}$$

where in the last step we also used that $\ell r \in m_{\nu} \mathbb{N}^*$, hence $\ell r R_{\nu}(z,0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ by the choice of m_{ν} . Thus, using again the estimate on $\#(J_{\varepsilon}^{\nu})$ in (6.12), from (6.14) we deduce that

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \leq \widetilde{\gamma_{\varepsilon}}(1+r_{\varepsilon})^{n-1} \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \int_{Q^{\nu}_{r}} \left((1-v)^{2} + h(\ell x, \nabla v) \right) \mathrm{d}x \tag{6.16}$$

Eventually, by combining (6.8), (6.13), (6.15), and (6.16) we obtain

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \le g_{\text{hom}}^{\ell}(\nu) + \eta \,,$$

hence (6.7) is proven and thus the claim.

7. Oscillations on a finer scale than the singular perturbation

In this section we characterise g^{ℓ} in the regime $\ell = +\infty$; the latter corresponds to the case where the scale of the oscillations δ_{ε} is much smaller than the scale of the singular perturbation ε .

The following Lemma is a consequence of some analogous results established in [5] in the context of the homogenisation of Modica-Mortola functionals and will be used in the proof of Proposition 7.2 below.

Lemma 7.1. Let $\sigma > 0$ be fixed and let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists $K = K(\sigma) \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant c > 0 (depending only on the space dimension) such that for any sequence $(v_{\varepsilon}) \subset W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\sup_{\varepsilon} \mathscr{F}^s_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}, A) < +\infty$ the functions $v^{\sigma}_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined as

$$v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}(x) := \frac{1}{(K\delta_{\varepsilon})^n} \int_{Q_{K\delta_{\varepsilon}}(x)} v_{\varepsilon}(y) \,\mathrm{d}y \tag{7.1}$$

satisfy the following estimates

$$\int_{A} h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{A} h_{\mathrm{hom}}(\nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}) \,\mathrm{d}x - \sigma \int_{A_{K\delta_{\varepsilon}}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \,, \tag{7.2}$$

$$\int_{A} |v_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \le c (K\delta_{\varepsilon})^{2} \int_{A_{K\delta_{\varepsilon}}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \,, \tag{7.3}$$

where h_{hom} is as in (3.6) and $A_{K\delta_{\varepsilon}} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \operatorname{dist}(x, A) < \frac{(2+\sqrt{n})\sqrt{n}}{2} K\delta_{\varepsilon} \right\}.$

Proof. Estimate (7.2) follows by [5, Propositions 4.7–4.9], while estimate (7.3) is an L^2 -version of the L^1 -estimate obtained in [5, Proposition 4.10] and is a direct consequence of Lemma A.1 in the appendix.

Proposition 7.2. Assume that $\ell = +\infty$; moreover, assume that $\eta_{\varepsilon} \simeq \delta_{\varepsilon} \simeq \varepsilon^{\alpha}$, for some $\alpha > 1$. Let g_{hom}^{∞} be as in (3.5) and let g^{∞} be the surface integrand in (4.1). Then for every $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{m} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ there holds

$$g^{\infty}(\zeta,\nu) = g^{\infty}_{\mathrm{hom}}(\nu)$$
.

Proof. Not to overburden notation we still denote by $(\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon})$ the Γ -converging subsequence given by Theorem 4.2.

We prove the claim in two steps.

Step 1: $g^{\infty}(\zeta, \nu) \ge g_{\text{hom}}^{\infty}(\nu)$, for every $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_0^m \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Let $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^m_0 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be fixed; by Γ -convergence we find a sequence $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \subset W^{1,2}(Q^{\nu}; \mathbb{R}^m) \times W^{1,2}(Q^{\nu})$ converging to $(u_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfying

$$g^{\infty}(\zeta,\nu) = \mathscr{F}^{\infty}(u^{\nu}_{\zeta},1,Q^{\nu}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon},v_{\varepsilon},Q^{\nu}).$$
(7.4)

By a vectorial truncation argument it is not restrictive to assume that $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}(Q^{\nu};\mathbb{R}^{m})}$ is uniformly bounded and such that $u_{\varepsilon} \to u_{\zeta}^{\nu}$ also in $L^{2}(Q^{\nu};\mathbb{R}^{m})$. Moreover, thanks to Remark 4.1 we can apply the fundamental estimate [7, Proposition 5.1] to modify $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ in such a way that $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = (\bar{u}_{\zeta,\varepsilon}^{\nu}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu})$ near ∂Q^{ν} without essentially increasing the energy. Summarising, without loss of generality we can assume to be in the following situation: $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \to (u_{\zeta}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^{2}(Q^{\nu};\mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{2}(Q^{\nu}), (u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = (\bar{u}_{\zeta,\varepsilon}^{\nu}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu})$ near ∂Q^{ν} , and $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ satisfy (7.4). We then extend $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ by $(\bar{u}_{\zeta,\varepsilon}^{\nu}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu})$ outside Q^{ν} .

Let $0 < \sigma < c_1$ be fixed and v_{ε}^{σ} be given, accordingly, by (7.1) in Lemma 7.1. Then the desired inequality can be proven if we show the following: There exist $1 (depending on the exponent <math>\alpha$) and a constant C > 0 (independent of ε and σ) such that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \ge \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}) - C\sigma , \qquad (7.5)$$

where $\mathscr{E}_{\varepsilon}$ is defined as in (2.6) with $a = 2^{1-p}\sigma$, $b = 1 - \sigma$, $A = Q^{\nu}$, and $\varphi = \sqrt{h_{\text{hom}}}$. Indeed, suppose for a moment that (7.5) holds. Then that thanks to (h3) it is immediate to check that h_{hom} is 2-homogeneous. Since in addition h_{hom} is convex and satisfies the growth condition (h1) (cf. Remark 3.3 (3)), $\sqrt{h_{\text{hom}}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, +\infty)$ is a norm (see, e.g., [32, Corollary 15.3.1]). Then Remark 2.2 together with the fact that $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}) \to (u_{\zeta}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ yield

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \ge (1 - \sigma) \sqrt{h_{\text{hom}}(\nu)} - C\sigma \,.$$

Thus, gathering (7.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$g^{\infty}(\zeta,\nu) \ge (1-\sigma)g^{\infty}_{\text{hom}}(\nu) - C\sigma$$

from which we conclude by letting $\sigma \to 0$.

We are now left to prove (7.5). To this end we notice that thanks to Lemma 7.1 there exists $K = K(\sigma) \in \mathbb{N}$ and c > 0 such that setting $r = r(\sigma) := (2 + \sqrt{n})\sqrt{n}K$ we have

$$\int_{Q^{\nu}} h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{Q^{\nu}} h_{\mathrm{hom}}(\nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}) \,\mathrm{d}x - \sigma \int_{Q_{1+r\delta_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \tag{7.6}$$

and

$$\int_{Q^{\nu}} |v_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le c (K\delta_{\varepsilon})^2 \int_{Q_{1+r\delta_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \,.$$
(7.7)

Moreover, (7.4) together with (h1) ensure that there exists M > 0 such that

$$\int_{Q_{1+r\delta_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{Q^{\nu}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{Q_{1+r\delta_{\varepsilon}}^{\nu} \setminus Q^{\nu}} |\nabla \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\nu}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{M}{\varepsilon} \,, \tag{7.8}$$

for every ε . Therefore, a convexity argument together with (7.6)–(7.8) yield

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \geq (1 - \sigma) \int_{Q^{\nu}} \frac{(1 - v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})^{2}}{\varepsilon} dx - \frac{(1 - \sigma)}{\sigma} \int_{Q^{\nu}} \frac{(v_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})^{2}}{\varepsilon} dx + \varepsilon \int_{Q^{\nu}} h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right) dx$$
$$\geq (1 - \sigma) \int_{Q^{\nu}} \left(\frac{(1 - v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h_{\text{hom}}(\nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})\right) dx - M\sigma - M \frac{(1 - \sigma)}{\sigma} \frac{K^{2} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}.$$
(7.9)

We now turn to estimate the bulk term in $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu})$. Since $\sigma < c_1$, by (f1) and the Hölder Inequality with exponents $q = \frac{2}{p} > 1$ and $q' = \frac{2}{2-p} > 2$ we immediately obtain

$$\int_{Q^{\nu}} (v_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d}x \ge \sigma \int_{Q^{\nu}} (v_{\varepsilon}^{p} + \eta_{\varepsilon}) |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \,\mathrm{d}x - \sigma(1 + \eta_{\varepsilon}), \qquad (7.10)$$

for any $1 . Moreover, the convexity inequality <math>2^{1-p}(a+b)^p \le a^p + b^p$ gives

$$\sigma \int_{Q^{\nu}} \left(v_{\varepsilon}^{p} + \eta_{\varepsilon} \right) |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \frac{\sigma}{2^{p-1}} \int_{Q^{\nu}} \left((v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})^{p} + \eta_{\varepsilon} \right) |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x - \sigma \int_{Q^{\nu}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} - v_{\varepsilon}|^{p} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \,. \tag{7.11}$$

Then, thanks to (7.9)–(7.11) the claim follows if we show that the last term in (7.11) vanishes for some suitably chosen p > 1.

In view of (7.4) and (f1) it is not restrictive to assume that

$$\eta_{\varepsilon} \int_{Q^{\nu}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \le M,\tag{7.12}$$

uniformly in ε . In this way, again by the Hölder Inequality, we have

$$\int_{Q^{\nu}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} - v_{\varepsilon}|^{p} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \leq \left(\int_{Q^{\nu}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} - v_{\varepsilon}|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left(\int_{Q^{\nu}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
\leq M^{\frac{p}{2}} \eta_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{Q^{\nu}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} - v_{\varepsilon}|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}.$$
(7.13)

Note that $0 \le v_{\varepsilon} \le 1$, so that by construction we have $0 \le v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} \le 1$, hence $|v_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}| \le 2$. Since $\frac{2p}{2-p} > 2$, this implies that $\left|\frac{v_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}}{2}\right|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \le \left|\frac{v_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}}{2}\right|^{2}$. Thus, from (7.7) and (7.8) we deduce that

$$\int_{Q^{\nu}} |v_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \,\mathrm{d}x \le 2^{\frac{2p}{2-p}-2} \int_{Q^{\nu}} |v_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \le 2^{\frac{4p-4}{2-p}} c(K\delta_{\varepsilon})^2 \varepsilon^{-1} M \,.$$

Hence, the estimate in (7.13) gives

$$\int_{Q^{\nu}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} - v_{\varepsilon}|^{p} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \,\mathrm{d}x \le M 2^{2(p-1)} c^{\frac{2-p}{2}} K^{2-p} \eta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p}{2}} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2-p} \varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}}.$$
(7.14)

Since by assumption $\eta_{\varepsilon} \simeq \delta_{\varepsilon} \simeq \varepsilon^{\alpha}$, for some $\alpha > 1$, (7.14) becomes

$$\int_{Q^{\nu}} |v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} - v_{\varepsilon}|^{p} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} \,\mathrm{d}x \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2-3p\alpha}{2}+2\alpha}.$$
(7.15)

We now observe that $\frac{p-2-3p\alpha}{2} + 2\alpha > 0$, if $p < \frac{4\alpha-2}{3\alpha-1}$; furthermore, the latter can be always fulfilled, since $\frac{4\alpha-2}{3\alpha-1} > 1$, for $\alpha > 1$. Eventually, with this choice of the exponent p the right hand

side of (7.15) becomes infinitesimal as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Therefore, gathering (7.10), (7.11), and (7.15) we get

$$\int_{Q^{\nu}} (v_{\varepsilon}^2 + \eta_{\varepsilon}) f\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d}x \ge \frac{\sigma}{2^{p-1}} \int_{Q^{\nu}} \left((v_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})^p + \eta_{\varepsilon}) |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p \, \mathrm{d}x - \sigma + o(1) \, ,$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Together with (7.9) this gives (7.5) with C = M + 1 and thus the desired inequality.

Step 2: $g^{\infty}(\zeta, \nu) \leq g^{\infty}_{\text{hom}}(\nu)$, for every $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^m_0 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Let $(\zeta, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_0^m \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be fixed; by Theorem 4.2 we have that

$$g^{\infty}(\zeta,\nu) = \mathscr{F}^{\infty}(u^{\nu}_{\zeta},1,Q^{\nu}) \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon},v_{\varepsilon},Q^{\nu}), \qquad (7.16)$$

for every sequence $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ converging to $(u_{\zeta}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$.

Let $\eta > 0$ be fixed, in what follows we construct a sequence $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon})$ converging to $(u_{\zeta}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ and such that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \le g_{\text{hom}}^{\infty}(\nu) + \eta.$$
(7.17)

Then, we can conclude by combining (7.16) and (7.17) and by the arbitrariness of $\eta > 0$.

We observe that since $g^{\infty}(\zeta, \cdot)$ and g_{hom}^{∞} are both continuous (*cf.* Remarks 3.3 (3) and 4.3), by a standard density argument it is enough to consider $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\nu}$. Let then $R_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ be an orthogonal matrix as in (f) such that $R_{\nu}e_n = \nu$. Then there is $m_{\nu} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $m_{\nu}R_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$. In this way, we have

$$m_{\nu}R_{\nu}(z,0) \in \Pi^{\nu} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$$
 for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ and $m_{\nu}\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. (7.18)

We now define the sequence $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon})$. To this end, let $\xi_{\varepsilon} := \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon \eta_{\varepsilon}}}{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \rfloor \delta_{\varepsilon}$, and define $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$ by setting

$$\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{x \cdot \nu}{\xi_{\varepsilon}} u_{\zeta}^{\nu} & \text{if } |x \cdot \nu| \le \xi_{\varepsilon} \\ u_{\zeta}^{\nu} & \text{otherwise} \,, \end{cases}$$

so that in particular $\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} = 0$ outside $\{|x \cdot \nu| \leq \xi_{\varepsilon}\}$. We now define $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in such a way that $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} = 0$ in the region where $\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$. To this end, note that by Remark 2.3 for a fixed $\eta > 0$ there exist $T_{\eta} > 0$ and $v_{\eta} \in W^{1,2}(0, T_{\eta})$ with $v_{\eta}(0) = 0$, $v_{\eta}(T_{\eta}) = 1$ such that

$$\int_{0}^{T_{\eta}} \left((1 - v_{\eta})^{2} + h_{\text{hom}}(\nu)(v_{\eta}')^{2} \right) \mathrm{d}t \le \sqrt{h_{\text{hom}}(\nu)} + \frac{\eta}{2} \,, \tag{7.19}$$

where h_{hom} is defined in (3.6). Let us extend v_{η} to $(0, +\infty)$ by setting $v_{\eta}(t) := 1$ for $t \ge T_{\eta}$ and let us define $v_{\eta}^{\nu} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by setting $v_{\eta}^{\nu}(x) := v_{\eta}(|x \cdot \nu|)$.

By appealing to the classical homogenization result (see, e.g., [12, Proposition 11.7 and Theorem 14.5]), for any positive sequence $\sigma \to 0$ we deduce the existence of a sequence $(w_{\sigma}^+) \subset W^{1,2}(R_{\nu}(Q' \times (0,T_{\eta})))$ such that $(w_{\sigma}^+ - v_{\eta}^{\nu}) \in W_0^{1,2}(R_{\nu}(Q' \times (0,T_{\eta})))$, $w_{\sigma}^+ \to v_{\eta}^{\nu}$ in $L^2(R_{\nu}(Q' \times (0,T_{\eta})))$ as $\sigma \to 0$ and

$$\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \int_{R_{\nu}(Q' \times (0,T_{\eta}))} \left((1-w_{\sigma}^{+})^{2} + h\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}, \nabla w_{\sigma}^{+}\right) \right) \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \int_{R_{\nu}(Q' \times (0,T_{\eta}))} \left((1-v_{\eta}^{\nu})^{2} + h_{\mathrm{hom}}(\nabla v_{\eta}^{\nu}) \right) \mathrm{d}x \leq \sqrt{h_{\mathrm{hom}}(\nu)} + \frac{\eta}{2},$$
(7.20)

where the last inequality follows from (7.19) and the definition of v_{η}^{ν} . Similarly, we find $(w_{\sigma}^{-}) \subset W^{1,2}(R_{\nu}(Q' \times (-T_{\eta}, 0)))$ satisfying the analogous properties on $R_{\nu}(Q' \times (-T_{\eta}, 0))$.

Now set $\sigma_{\varepsilon} := \frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \to 0$, $w_{\varepsilon}^+ := w_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}^+$, and $w_{\varepsilon}^- := w_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}^-$. By a truncation argument it is not restrictive to assume that $0 \le w_{\varepsilon}^-, w_{\varepsilon}^+ \le 1$. Moreover, let

$$r_{\varepsilon} := \left(\left\lfloor \frac{\varepsilon}{m_{\nu} \delta_{\varepsilon}} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) m_{\nu} \delta_{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon + m_{\nu} \delta_{\varepsilon})$$
(7.21)

and consider the open intervals

$$I_{\varepsilon}^{-} := \left(-m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon T_{\eta}, -m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad I_{\varepsilon}^{+} := \left(m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon}, m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon T_{\eta} \right),$$

as well as $I_{\varepsilon} := I_{\varepsilon}^{-} \cup I_{\varepsilon}^{+}$. We start defining \bar{v}_{ε} on $R_{\nu}(Q'_{r_{\varepsilon}} \times I_{\varepsilon})$ by setting

$$\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x) := \begin{cases} w_{\varepsilon}^{-} \left(\frac{x + m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon}\nu}{\varepsilon} \right) & \text{in } R_{\nu}(Q_{\varepsilon}' \times I_{\varepsilon}^{-}) \,, \\ w_{\varepsilon}^{+} \left(\frac{x - m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon}\nu}{\varepsilon} \right) & \text{in } R_{\nu}(Q_{\varepsilon}' \times I_{\varepsilon}^{+}) \,, \\ v_{\eta} \left(\frac{|x \cdot \nu| - m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \right) & \text{in } R_{\nu} \left((Q_{r_{\varepsilon}}' \setminus Q_{\varepsilon}') \times I_{\varepsilon} \right) \end{cases}$$

We then extend $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} r_{\varepsilon}$ -periodically in directions $R_{\nu}e_i, i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ by setting

$$\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x) := \bar{v}_{\varepsilon} \left(x - R_{\nu}(r_{\varepsilon}z, 0) \right) \text{ if } x \in R_{\nu} \left(\left(Q'_{r_{\varepsilon}} + r_{\varepsilon}z \right) \times I_{\varepsilon} \right), \ z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}.$$

$$(7.22)$$

In this way, \bar{v}_{ε} is defined on the set

$$R_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times I_{\varepsilon}) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon} < |x \cdot \nu| < m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon T_{\eta} \}$$

and eventually we extend \bar{v}_{ε} by setting $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x) := 1$ if $|x \cdot \nu| \geq \varepsilon T_{\eta} + m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon}$ and $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x) := 0$ if $|x \cdot \nu| \leq m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon}$. Note that thanks to the boundary conditions satisfied by w_{ε}^+ and w_{ε}^- the functions \bar{v}_{ε} belong to $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, by construction we have

$$(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x), \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}(x)) = (u_{\zeta}^{\nu}(x), 1) \quad \text{if } |x \cdot \nu| \ge m_{\nu} \xi_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon T_{\eta},$$

and thus $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon})$ converges to $(u_{\zeta}^{\nu}, 1)$ in $L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$.

To conclude it is then left to show that $(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon})$ also satisfies (7.17). Since $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} \nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n , from (f1) we deduce that

$$\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \le c_2 \eta_{\varepsilon} \int_{Q^{\nu}} |\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \mathscr{F}^s_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \le c_2 |\zeta|^2 \frac{\eta_{\varepsilon}}{\xi_{\varepsilon}} + \mathscr{F}^s_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \,, \tag{7.23}$$

where to establish (7.23) we also used that $|\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}| \leq \frac{|\zeta|}{\xi_{\varepsilon}}$ in $\{|x \cdot \nu| \leq \xi_{\varepsilon}\}$ and $\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} = 0$ outside. By the choice of ξ_{ε} the first term on the right-hand side of (7.23) vanishes as $\varepsilon \to 0$; hence it only remains to estimate $\mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu})$. Setting $S_{\varepsilon} := R_{\nu}(Q' \times I_{\varepsilon})$ we have

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \leq \frac{2m_{\nu}\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} + \int_{S_{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{(1-\bar{v}_{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \mathrm{d}x \,.$$
(7.24)

To estimate the second term on the right-hand side of (7.24) define

$$J_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \colon (Q'_{r_{\varepsilon}} + r_{\varepsilon}z) \cap Q' \neq \emptyset \right\};$$

then, in view of (7.22), the periodicity assumption (h5) together with (7.18) and the choice of r_{ε} imply that

$$\int_{S_{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{(1-\bar{v}_{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}\right) \right) dx \leq \sum_{z \in J_{\varepsilon}} \int_{R_{\nu}} \left((Q'_{r_{\varepsilon}} + r_{\varepsilon}z) \times I_{\varepsilon} \right) \left(\frac{(1-\bar{v}_{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}\right) \right) dx \\
= \#(J_{\varepsilon}) \int_{R_{\nu}(Q'_{r_{\varepsilon}} \times I_{\varepsilon})} \left(\frac{(1-\bar{v}_{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}\right) \right) dx.$$
(7.25)

We now estime the integral on the right-hand side of (7.25) on the set $R_{\nu}(Q_{\varepsilon}' \times I_{\varepsilon}^+)$ by applying the change of variables $y = \varepsilon x + m_{\nu} \xi_{\varepsilon} \nu$. Since $\xi_{\varepsilon} \in \delta_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}$, recalling (7.18) and (h5) we obtain

$$\int_{R_{\nu}(Q_{\varepsilon}' \times I_{\varepsilon}^{+})} \left(\frac{(1 - \bar{v}_{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}\right) \right) \mathrm{d}x = \varepsilon^{n-1} \int_{R_{\nu}(Q' \times (0, T_{\eta}))} \left((1 - w_{\varepsilon}^{+})^{2} + h\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right) \right) \mathrm{d}x.$$

$$\tag{7.26}$$

Moreover, a similar estimate holds on $R_{\nu}(Q'_{\varepsilon} \times I_{\varepsilon}^{-})$. Instead, on the remaining part of $R_{\nu}(Q'_{r_{\varepsilon}} \times I_{\varepsilon})$ the definition of \bar{v}_{ε} together with a change of variables, Fubini's Theorem, and (h1) give

$$\int_{R_{\nu}((Q'_{r_{\varepsilon}}\setminus Q'_{\varepsilon})\times I_{\varepsilon})} \left(\frac{(1-\bar{v}_{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon h\left(\frac{x}{\delta_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \mathrm{d}x \leq \int_{R_{\nu}((Q'_{r_{\varepsilon}}\setminus Q'_{\varepsilon})\times I_{\varepsilon})} \left(\frac{(1-\bar{v}_{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\varepsilon} + c_{4}\varepsilon |\nabla \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d}x \\
= 2 \int_{Q'_{r_{\varepsilon}}\setminus Q'_{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{T_{\eta}} \left((1-v_{\eta})^{2} + c_{4}(v'_{\eta})^{2}\right) \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}x' \leq 2 \left(r_{\varepsilon}^{n-1} - \varepsilon^{n-1}\right) \frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}} C_{\eta} \,. \tag{7.27}$$

We finally observe that $\#(J_{\varepsilon}) \leq (\lfloor 1/r_{\varepsilon} \rfloor + 1)^{n-1}$ and that thanks to (7.21) we have $\frac{r_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} = 1 + O(\frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon})$. Thus, gathering (7.24)–(7.27) we deduce that

$$\mathscr{F}^{s}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \leq (1+r_{\varepsilon})^{n-1} \int_{R_{\nu}(Q' \times (0, T_{\eta}))} \left((1-w_{\varepsilon}^{+})^{2} + h\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right) \right) \mathrm{d}x + (1+r_{\varepsilon})^{n-1} \int_{R_{\nu}(Q' \times (-T_{\eta}, 0))} \left((1-w_{\varepsilon}^{-})^{2} + h\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}, \nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{-}\right) \right) \mathrm{d}x + o(1) ,$$

$$(7.28)$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Eventually, by combining (7.23), (7.28), and (7.20) we get

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}, \bar{v}_{\varepsilon}, Q^{\nu}) \le 2\sqrt{h_{\text{hom}}(\nu)} + \eta \,,$$

hence (7.17) is proven and thus the claim.

Appendix

In this short section we state and prove Lemma A.1, from which estimate (7.3) in Lemma 7.1 follows. A similar estimate has been obtained in the proof of [5, Proposition 4.10] for the L^1 -norm.

Lemma A.1. There exists c = c(n) > 0 such that for every open sets $A, A' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with $A' \subset \subset A$, every $v \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and every r satisfying

$$0 < r < \frac{2\operatorname{dist}(A', \partial A)}{(2 + \sqrt{n})\sqrt{n}},$$

there holds

$$\int_{A'} |v - v_r|^2 \,\mathrm{d} x \leq c \, r^2 \int_A |\nabla v|^2 \,\mathrm{d} x \,,$$

28

where

$$v_r(x) := \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{Q_r(x)} v(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

Proof. Let $A, A' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and such that $A' \subset \subset A$; for any r > 0 set

$$J_r := \{ z \in \mathbb{Z}^n \colon Q_r(rz) \cap A' \neq \emptyset \} \,.$$

For any $v \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\int_{A'} |v(x) - v_r(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le \sum_{z \in J_r} \int_{Q_r(rz)} |v(x) - v_r(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\le 2 \sum_{z \in J_r} \left(\int_{Q_r(rz)} |v(x) - v_r(rz)|^2 + \int_{Q_r(rz)} |v_r(rz) - v_r(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \right).$$
(A.1)

We estimate the two terms on the right-hand side of (A.1) using the Poincaré Inequality and the continuity of the translation operator.

By a scaling argument, for every $z \in J_r$ we have

$$\|v - v_r(rz)\|_{L^2(Q_r(rz))} \le c_P r \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(Q_r(rz);\mathbb{R}^n)},$$
(A.2)

where $c_P > 0$ is the constant for the Poincaré inequality in the unit cube. Also note that for any $z \in J_r$ and $x \in Q_r(rz)$ there exists $x_0 \in A' \cap Q_r(rz)$ with $|x - x_0| < \sqrt{nr}$, hence

$$Q_r(rz) \subset A$$
 as long as $r < \frac{\operatorname{dist}(A', \partial A)}{\sqrt{n}}$. (A.3)

Moreover, the cubes $Q_r(rz)$, $z \in J_r$ are pairwise disjoint. Thus, summing up (A.2), for r > 0 satisfying (A.3) we obtain

$$\sum_{z \in J_r} \int_{Q_r(rz)} |v(x) - v_r(rz)|^2 \le c_P^2 r^2 \int_A |\nabla v|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{A.4}$$

Then, it remains to estimate the second term in (A.1). An application of Jensen's Inequality yields for any $z \in J_r$

$$\int_{Q_r(rz)} |v_r(rz) - v_r(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{Q_r(rz)} \left| \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{Q_r(rz)} v(y) - v(y + x - rz) \right| \mathrm{d}y \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{Q_r(rz)} \int_{Q_r(rz)} |v(y) - v(y + x - rz)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x \,.$$
(A.5)

Since $|x - rz| \leq \sqrt{nr/2}$ for any $x \in Q_r(rz)$, the continuity of the shift operator in Sobolev spaces (see, *e.g.*, [15, Proposition 9.3]) yields

$$\left\| v - v \left(\cdot + (x - rz) \right) \right\|_{L^2(Q_r(rz))} \le \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} r \| \nabla v \|_{L^2(Q_{(1 + \sqrt{n})r}(rz);\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \text{for every } x \in Q_r(rz)$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{r^n} \int_{Q_r(rz)} \int_{Q_r(rz)} |v(y) - v(y + x - rz)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{n}{4} r^2 \int_{Q_{(1+\sqrt{n})r}(rz)} |\nabla v(y)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}y \,. \tag{A.6}$$

Moreover, for any $z \in J_r$ and $x \in Q_{(1+\sqrt{n})r}(rz)$ there exists $x_0 \in Q_r(rz) \cap A'$ with $|x - x_0| < (2 + \sqrt{n})\sqrt{nr/2}$, hence

$$Q_{(1+\sqrt{n})r}(rz) \subset A \quad \text{if} \quad r < \frac{2\operatorname{dist}(A',\partial A)}{(2+\sqrt{n})\sqrt{n}}.$$
(A.7)

We observe that the cubes $Q_{(1+\sqrt{n})r}(rz)$, $z \in J_r$ are not pairwise disjoint. Nevertheless, since for any $z_1, z_2 \in J_r$ with $Q_{(1+\sqrt{n})r}(rz_1) \cap Q_{(1+\sqrt{n})r}(rz_2) \neq \emptyset$ we have $|z_1 - z_2| \leq (1+\sqrt{n})\sqrt{n}$, each cube $Q_{(1+\sqrt{n})r}(rz)$ intersects only N cubes, with N independent of r. Thus, summing up the estimates in (A.5) and (A.6), for r > 0 as in (A.7) we obtain

$$\sum_{z \in J_r} \int_{Q_r(rz)} |v_r(rz) - v_r(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le N \frac{n}{4} r^2 \int_A |\nabla v|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \,. \tag{A.8}$$

Eventually the claim follows by combining (A.1), (A.4), and (A.8).

Acknowledgments

The work of R. Marziani and C. I. Zeppieri was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) project 3160408400 and under the Germany Excellence Strategy EXC 2044-390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure. The work of A. Bach was supported by the National Research Project PRIN 2017 CUP B88D19000330001.

References

- L. AMBROSIO AND A. BRAIDES, Functionals defined on partitions of sets of finite perimeter, II: Semicontinuity, relaxation and Γ-convergence, J. Math. Pures Appl. 69 (1990) 307–333.
- [2] L. AMBROSIO, N. FUSCO, AND D. PALLARA, Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

[3] L. AMBROSIO AND V. M. TORTORELLI, Approximation of functionals depending on jumps by elliptic functionals via Γ-convergence, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **43** (1990) no.8, 999–1036.

- [4] L. AMBROSIO AND V. M. TORTORELLI, On the approximation of free-discontinuity problems, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.
 (7) 6-B (1992) no.1, 105–123.
- [5] N. ANSINI, A. BRAIDES, AND V. CHIADÒ PIAT, Gradient theory of phase transitions in composite media, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 133 (2003), 265–296.
- [6] A. BACH, T. ESPOSITO, R. MARZIANI, AND C. I. ZEPPIERI, Interaction between oscillations and singular perturbations in a one-dimensional phase-field model, AWM Volume - Research in the Mathematics of Materials Science, in press.
- [7] A. BACH, R. MARZIANI, AND C. I. ZEPPIERI, Γ-convergence and stochastic homogenisation of singularlyperturbed elliptic functionals, ArXiv preprint: 2102.09872 (2021).
- [8] G. BOUCHITTÈ, I. FONSECA, G. LEONI, AND L. MASCARENHAS, A global method for relaxation in $W^{1,p}$ and SBV_p , Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 165 (2002), 187–242.
- [9] A. BRAIDES, Γ-convergence for Beginners, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [10] A. BRAIDES, Homogenization of some almost periodic coercive functional, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. (5) 9, no. 1 (1985), 313–321.
- [11] A. BRAIDES, A. CHAMBOLLE, AND M. SOLCI, A relaxation result for energies defined on pairs set-function and applications, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 13 (2007), no. 4, 717–734.
- [12] A. BRAIDES AND A. DEFRANCESCHI, Homogenization of Multiple Integrals, Oxford University Press, New York, (1998)
- [13] A. BRAIDES, A. DEFRANCESCHI, AND E. VITALI, Homogenization of free-discontinuity problems, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 135 (1996), 297–356.
- [14] A. BRAIDES AND C. I. ZEPPIERI, Multiscale analysis of a prototypical model for the interaction between microstructure and surface energy, *Interfaces and Free Boundaries* **11** (2009), 61–118.
- [15] H. BREZIS, Functional analysis, sobolev spaces and partial differential equations, Springer Science and Buisiness media, New York, 2011.
- [16] F. CAGNETTI, G. DAL MASO, L. SCARDIA, AND C. I. ZEPPIERI, Γ-convergence of free-discontinuity problems, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire. 36 (2019), 1035–1079.
- [17] A. CHAMBOLLE AND V. CRISMALE A density result in $GSBD^p$ with applications to the approximation of brittle fracture energies Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 232 (2019), no. 3, 1329–1378.
- [18] R. CRISTOFERI, I. FONSECA, L. GANEDI Homogenization and phase separation with space dependent wells the subcritical case. Arxiv preprint: 2205.12893 (2022).
- [19] R. CRISTOFERI, I. FONSECA, A. HAGERTY, AND C. POPOVICI A homogenization result in the gradient theory of phase transitions. *Interfaces and Free Boundaries* **21** (2019), 367–408.

- [20] G. DAL MASO, An introduction to Γ-convergence, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, vol. 8, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [21] G. DAL MASO, Integral representation on $BV(\Omega)$ of Γ -limits of variational integrals, Manuscripta Math. **30** (1980), 347–416.
- [22] G. DAL MASO, G. FRANCFORT, AND R. TOADER, Quasistatic crack growth in nonlinear elasticity, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 176 (2005), 165–225.
- [23] G. DAL MASO AND F. IURLANO Fracture models as Γ-limits of damage models. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 12 (2013), 1657–1686.
- [24] M. FOCARDI, On the variational approximation of free-discontinuity problems in the vectorial case, Math. Models Methods App. Sci. 11 (2001), 663–684.
- [25] M. FOCARDI AND F. IURLANO, On the variational approximation of free-discontinuity problems in the vectorial case, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46 (2014), 2936–2955.
- [26] F. IURLANO, Fracture and plastic models as Γ-limits of damage models under different regimes, Adv. Calc. Var. 6 (2013), 165–189.
- [27] R. MARZIANI, Γ-convergence and stochastic homogenisation of phase-transition functionals, preprint.
- [28] P. S. MORFE, Surface Tension and Γ-Convergence of Van der Waals-Cahn-Hilliard Phase Transitions in Stationary Ergodic Media, J. Stat. Phys. 181 (2020), no. 6, 2225–2256.
- [29] S. MÜLLER, Homogenization of nonconvex integral functionals and cellular elastic materials, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 99 (1987), no. 3, 189–212.
- [30] K. PHAM, H. AMOR, J.-J. MARIGO, C. MAURINI, Gradient damage models and their use to approximate Brittle Fracture, *International Journal of Damage Mechanics* **20** (2011), no. 4 618–652.
- [31] K. PHAM AND J.-J. MARIGO, Approche variationnelle de l'endommagement: II. Les modèles à gradient, Comptes Rendus Mécanique 338 (2010), 199–206.
- [32] R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, Convex Analysis Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970).
- [33] M. SOLCI, Homogenization of energies defined on pairs set-function, Commun. Contemp. Math. 11 (2009), no. 3, 459–479.

(A. Bach) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA "GUIDO CASTELNUOVO", SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA, ITALY *Email address*: annika.bach@uniroma1.it

(T. Esposito) Email address: teres.esposito@gmail.com

(R. Marziani) ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, WWU MÜNSTER, GERMANY Email address, Roberta Marziani: roberta.marziani@uni-muenster.de

(C. I. Zeppieri) ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, WWU MÜNSTER, GERMANY Email address, Caterina Zeppieri: caterina.zeppieri@uni-muenster.de