Additional file 1

GWENA: gene co-expression networks analysis and extended modules characterization in a single Bioconductor package

Gwenaëlle G. Lemoine, Marie-Pier Scott-Boyer, Bathilde Ambroise, Olivier Périn, Arnaud Droit

Supplementary Material and Method

1 Z summary detail and combination with NetRep

As NetRep uses a permutation test with the null hypothesis of the module being not preserved, it can only return if the module is preserved or not significant. To determine if a module is not preserved or moderately preserved, a Z summary statistic is computed using the topological metrics defined by Langfelder et al. [\[1\]](#page-7-0) and renamed by NetRep [\[2\]](#page-7-1) such as :

$$
Z_{summary} = \frac{Z_{density} + Z_{connectivity}}{2}
$$

With the NetRep notation :

$$
Z_{density} = median(Z_{cor. density}, Z_{avg. edge.wei}, Z_{mod. coh}, Z_{avg. node. contrib})
$$

$$
Z_{connectivity} = median(Z_{concor.wei. deg}, Z_{concor. nod. contrib}, Z_{concor. cor})
$$

Where :

$$
cor-density = \text{mean}(vect.Matrix(\text{sign}(r_{ij}^{[ref](q)}rij^{[test](q)}))) \qquad \text{vect.Matrix}(A) = (a_{2,1}, a_{3,1}, ..., a_{n,1}, a_{n,n-1})
$$

\n
$$
avg.deg.wei = density^{[test](q)} = \text{mean}(vect.Mat(A^{[test](q)})) \qquad r_{ij}^{[ref]} = \text{cor}(x_i^{[ref]}, x_j^{[ref]})
$$

\n
$$
mod.coh = \text{mean}_{i \in Mq}((kME_i^{[test](q)})^2) \qquad r_{ij}^{[test]} = \text{cor}(x_i^{[test]}, x_j^{[test]})
$$

\n
$$
avg.node.contrib = \text{mean}_{i \in Mq}(\text{sign}(kME_i^{[ref](q)})kME_i^{[test](q)})
$$

\n
$$
concor.wei.deg = \text{cor}(kIM)^{[ref](q)}, kIM^{[test](q)}
$$

\n
$$
concor.nod.contrib = \text{cor}_{i \in Mq}(kME_i^{[ref](q)}, kME_i^{[test](q)})
$$

\n
$$
concor.cor = \text{cor}(vect.Matrix(r^{[ref](q)}), vect.Matrix(r^{[test](q)}))
$$

This score returns :

- Preserved if the $Z_{summary}$ is above 10
- Moderately preserved if the $Z_{summary}$ is between 2 and 10
- Unpreserved if the $Z_{summary}$ is below 2

The results from both NetRep permutation test and the $Z_{summary}$ are then combined in GWENA as shown in Figure [1](#page-1-0) and return a final result on the module comparison.

Figure 1: Combination of the permutation test result ans the $Z_{summary}$ result in GWENA to return a final result on the module comparison.

2 Details on case study data

Public data were obtained from GTEx v8 version on the GTEx Portal on 09/20/2020. Access to private data was subject to a request to dbGaP on accession number phs000424.v8.p2. Data were obtained on 10/21/2020.

Table 1: Correspondence between file names and their contents

3 GTEx data normalization with PC-correction method

In order to limit batch effect and handle the maximum of other co-founding effects, we chose to use a method based on PC-correction as recommended by Parsana et al. [\[3\]](#page-7-2) for GTEx data. However age is usually included in this confounding factors, therefore is corrected. Since we're interested in gene changes we adapted the method to remove only the top n PC correlated to age and which removed the least of genes correlating with age. The n number of PC to remove was estimated by calculating the loss of correlation between phenotype and genes expression (Figure [2\)](#page-2-0) and confirmed by looking for the number of significantly correlated genes with two ageing gene databases (Figure [3\)](#page-2-1): GenAge [\[4\]](#page-7-3) and Digital Aging Atlas [\[5\]](#page-7-4).

Figure 2: Ageing genes correlation density with phenotype depending on the number of PC corrected. Left figure contains all PC correction tested. For clarity we filtered on the first 10 PC corrected on the right figure.

Figure 3: Number of genes known to be associated with ageing.

Correlation density in Figure [2](#page-2-0) suggest a similarity between the corrections from 2 to 5 PC removal. Combined with the proportion of overlapping known ageing genes in Figure [3](#page-2-1) we determined the optimal number of PC n to remove to be 4.

Supplementary Results

4 Connectivity drop on all modules

5 New enrichment terms in sub module 6 from module 7 old age range

Table 2: Enrichment table from module 7 sub module 6 in old condition. Terms are sorted along their novelty (is the enrichment new compared to the enrichments from sub modules in the young age range) and then the source. Source is the enrichment database used on the gene set $(GO:BP = Gene$ Ontology : Biological Process, $GO:CC = Gene$ Ontology Cellular Compartment, GO:MF = Gene Ontology : Molecular Function, HP : Human Phenotype Ontology, $WP = WikiPathway, KEGG = Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, REAC = Reactome, TF = Transfac).$

The distribution of the newly and previously found terms in the enrichment analysis across the the sub-modules from young and old age range (Figure [5\)](#page-7-5).

Figure 5: Overlap between the enrichments found in sub-cluster 1 young, sub-cluster 1 old, and sub-cluster 6 old.(Upset diagram)

References

- [1] Peter Langfelder, Rui Luo, Michael C. Oldham, and Steve Horvath. Is my network module preserved and reproducible? PLoS Computational Biology, 7(1), 2011.
- [2] Scott C. Ritchie, Stephen Watts, Liam G. Fearnley, Kathryn E. Holt, Gad Abraham, and Michael Inouye. A Scalable Permutation Approach Reveals Replication and Preservation Patterns of Network Modules in Large Datasets. Cell Systems, 3(1):71–82, 2016.
- [3] Princy Parsana, Claire Ruberman, Andrew E. Jaffe, Michael C. Schatz, Alexis Battle, and Jeffrey T. Leek. Addressing confounding artifacts in reconstruction of gene co-expression networks. Genome Biology, 20(1):94, 2019.
- [4] Robi Tacutu, Daniel Thornton, Emily Johnson, Arie Budovsky, DIogo Barardo, Thomas Craig, Eugene DIana, Gilad Lehmann, Dmitri Toren, Jingwei Wang, Vadim E. Fraifeld, and Joao P. De Magalhães. Human Ageing Genomic Resources: New and updated databases. Nucleic Acids Research, 46(D1):D1083–D1090, 2018.
- [5] Thomas Craig, Chris Smelick, Robi Tacutu, Daniel Wuttke, Shona H. Wood, Henry Stanley, Georges Janssens, Ekaterina Savitskaya, Alexey Moskalev, Robert Arking, and João Pedro De Magalhães. The Digital Ageing Atlas: Integrating the diversity of age-related changes into a unified resource. Nucleic Acids Research, 43(D1):D873– D878, 2015.