CoMetGeNe: mining conserved neighborhood patterns in metabolic and genomic contexts

Alexandra Zaharia, Bernard Labedan, Christine Froidevaux, Alain Denise

Reduction of D and G to the cover set of an arc of D

In this document we prove that reducing the input graphs D and G (for MaSST and MaSSCoT) to their cover set with respect to a path P in D yields the same solution as for the versions without reduction.

Assuming D is a directed graph, the following notations will be used:

- D^* is the underlying undirected graph of D obtained by removing arc orientations.
- If G is an undirected graph such that V(D) = V(G) and P is a path in D, the notation $CCC(D^*, G, P)$ designates the common connected component of D^* and G containing all vertices in P, if such a component exists. If it does not, then $CCC(D^*, G, P) = \emptyset$.
- For a vertex v of D, S_v^+ designates the descendants of v, i.e. the set of vertices in D that are reachable by a path from vertex v.
- For a vertex v of D, S_v^- designates the ancestors of v, i.e. the set of vertices in D reaching vertex v by a path in D.

The definition of cover set of a path uses the concept of bridge, defined as follows by Fertin et al. [1]:

Definition 1 Let D = (V, A) be a directed graph, G = (V, E) an undirected graph, and P a path in D. A vertex $r \in V$ is said to be a *bridge of* P *with respect to* G if there is no common connected component of $D^*[V - \{r\}]$ and $G[V - \{r\}]$ containing all the vertices of P (i.e., $CCC(D^*[V - \{r\}], G[V - \{r\}], P) = \emptyset$).

Fertin *et al.* [1] define the cover set of a path as follows:

Definition 2 Let D = (V, A) be a directed graph, G = (V, E) an undirected graph, and $P = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k)$ a path in D. The cover set of path P in D with respect to G is the set X satisfying:

- 1. $V(P) \subseteq X \subseteq S_{v_1}^- \cup S_{v_k}^+ \cup V(P).$
- 2. $D^*[X]$ and G[X] are connected.
- 3. If r is a bridge of P in D[X] with respect to G[X] then $X \subseteq S_r^- \cup S_r^+ \cup \{r\}$.
- 4. X is maximal (with respect to the inclusion order).

Both MaSST and MaSSCoT take as input a directed graph D = (V, A), an undirected graph G = (V, E), and an arc (u, v) in D. Let S be the cover set of arc (u, v) in D with respect to G. We prove that D and G can respectively be replaced with D[S] and G[S], yielding the same solutions.

Following is a lemma for which the proof is omitted because it is trivial.

Lemma 1 Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph and let A, B and C be three subsets of V. If $G[A \cup B]$ and $G[B \cup C]$ are connected, then $G[A \cup B \cup C]$ is connected.

Next, we introduce a definition that will serve as a shorthand notation for the remainder of this document.

Definition 3 Let D = (V, A) be a directed graph, G = (V, E) be an undirected graph and P be a path in D. If a trail T in D exists such that (i) $T \supseteq P$, (ii) G[V(T)] is connected and (iii) $\nexists T'$ trail in D such that $T' \supseteq P$, G[V(T')] is connected and span(T') > span(T), then T is said to be a *trail of maximum* span in D for P and G with respect to (i) and (ii), and T is said to verify $\mathscr{S}_P(D, G)$.

Lemma 2 Let D = (V, A) be a directed graph, G = (V, E) an undirected graph, P a path in D, and S the cover set of P in graphs D and G. If a trail T in D exists such that T verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D, G)$, then $V(T) \subseteq S$.

Proof. $T \supseteq P$ by hypothesis (i) of definition 3 and $S \supseteq V(P)$ by definition of the cover set. It follows that $V(T) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Let $I = V(T) \cap S$ be the vertices shared by T and S. Let $\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} = V(T) - I$ be the vertices of T not shared with S.

G[V(T)] is connected by hypothesis (ii) of definition 3, therefore $G[\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \cup I]$ is connected. Since G[S] is connected (by definition of the cover set), it means that $G[\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \cup S] = G[\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \cup I] = G[\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \cup I]$ is also connected (by lemma 1). Also, $D^*[V(T)]$ is connected because T is a trail in D, which means that $D^*[\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \cup I]$ is connected. Since $D^*[S]$ is connected (by definition of the cover set), it means that $D^*[\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \cup I]$ is connected. Since $D^*[S]$ is connected (by definition of the cover set), it means that $D^*[\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \cup S] = D^*[\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \cup I \cup (S - I)]$ is also connected (by lemma 1).

But if $G[\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \cup S]$ and $D^*[\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \cup S]$ are connected, then property 4 of definition 2 is contradicted, therefore S cannot be maximal unless $\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} = \emptyset$. Hence $V(T) \subseteq S$.

Proposition 1 Let D = (V, A) be a directed graph, G = (V, E) an undirected graph, P a path in D, and S the cover set of P in graphs D and G. If a trail T in D exists such that T verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D, G)$, then T is also a trail in D[S] verifying $\mathscr{S}_P(D[S], G[S])$, that is, (i) $T \supseteq P$, (ii) $G[S \cap V(T)]$ is connected and (iii) T has maximum span in D[S] with respect to (i) and (ii).

Proof. Let T be a trail in D such that T verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D, G)$. By lemma 2, $V(T) \subseteq S$, therefore T is also a trail in D[S]. We will now prove that T verifies properties (i)-(iii) for graphs D[S] and G[S].

- (i) By hypothesis (i) of definition 3, $T \supseteq P$.
- (ii) Since $V(T) \subseteq S$, $G[S \cap V(T)] = G[V(T)]$. Since G[V(T)] is connected by hypothesis (ii) of definition 3, it follows that $G[S \cap V(T)]$ is connected.
- (iii) Suppose there exists a trail T' in D[S] verifying $\mathscr{S}_P(D[S], G[S])$, that is, $T' \supseteq P, G[S \cap V(T')]$ is connected and span(T') > span(T). Because T' is a trail in $D[S], V(T') \subseteq S$, which implies that $S \cap V(T') = V(T')$. Since $G[S \cap V(T')]$ is connected, it follows that G[V(T')] is also connected. Moreover, $S \subseteq V$ by definition 2, therefore T' is also a trail in D. Hypotheses (i)-(iii) of definition 3 are thus fulfilled for T' in D, meaning that T' verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D, G)$, which contradicts the fact that T verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D, G)$. Hence, no trail T' can exist in D[S] that includes P such that $G[S \cap V(T')]$ is connected and such that T' has greater span than T. T has therefore maximum span in D[S]with respect to properties (i) and (ii).

We have proved that, if a trail T in D exists such that T verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D, G)$, then T is also a trail in D[S] that verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D[S], G[S])$.

Proposition 2 Let D = (V, A) be a directed graph, G = (V, E) an undirected graph, P a path in D, and S the cover set of P in graphs D and G. If a trail T in D[S] exists that verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D[S], G[S])$, then T is also a trail in D verifying $\mathscr{S}_P(D, G)$, that is, (i) $T \supseteq P$, (ii) G[V(T)] is connected and (iii) Thas maximum span in D with respect to (i) and (ii).

Proof. This proposition is the converse of proposition 1. Let T be a trail in D[S] such that T verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D[S], G[S])$. By definition 2, $S \subseteq V$, therefore T is also a trail in D. We will now prove that T verifies properties (i)-(iii) for graphs D and G.

- (i) By hypothesis (i) of definition $3, T \supseteq P$.
- (ii) Since T is a trail in D[S], $V(T) \subseteq S$. Then $S \cap V(T) = V(T)$, and since $G[S \cap V(T)]$ is connected by hypothesis (ii) of definition 3, it follows that G[V(T)] is connected.
- (iii) Suppose there exists a trail T' in D verifying $\mathscr{S}_P(D, G)$, that is, $T' \supseteq P$, G[V(T')] is connected and span(T') > span(T). By lemma 2, $V(T') \subseteq S$ and therefore $S \cap V(T') = V(T')$. Since G[V(T')] is connected, it follows that $G[S \cap V(T')]$ is also connected. Moreover, T' is also a trail in D[S]

(because $V(T') \subseteq S$). Hypotheses (i)-(iii) of definition 3 are thus fulfilled for T' in D[S], meaning that T' verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D[S], G[S])$, which contradicts the fact that T verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D[S], G[S])$. Hence, no trail T' can exist in D that includes P such that G[V(T')] is connected and such that T' has greater span than T. T has therefore maximum span in D with respect to properties (i) and (ii).

We have proved that, if a trail T in D[S] exists such that T verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D[S], G[S])$, then T is also a trail in D that verifies $\mathscr{S}_P(D, G)$.

References

 Fertin G, Mohamed-Babou H, Rusu I. Algorithms for subnetwork mining in heterogeneous networks. In: International Symposium on Experimental Algorithms. Springer; 2012. p. 184–194.