Impact of whole-genome duplications on structural variant evolution in *Cochlearia*

Hämälä *et al*.



Supplementary Figure 1. PCA conducted separately on diploids (A) and tetraploids (B). Species are marked with colours. The proportion of variance explained by the PCs is shown in parentheses. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 2. Number of SVs found in long-read sequenced samples after equalising the alignments to same number of base pairs covered (mean read length × number of reads). Poisson model-based LRT for a difference between the ploidies: deviance = 13980, DF = 1, $P < 2 \times 10^{-16}$. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 3. Proportion of TE sequence found in SVs. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 4. Methylation levels across meta-genes and meta-TEs, shown for CG, CHG, and CHH contexts. Note the difference in *y*-axis scales between the panels. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 5. Methylation difference between diploids and tetraploids shown for differentially methylated TE families. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 6. Distributions of TE insertions times in diploids and tetraploids. A: All TE families. **B**: Differentially methylated TE families. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 7. Climatic index (based on combined SV and SNP outliers) predicted across the European range of our focal *Cochlearia* species. Similar colours indicate higher similarity in the genetic composition of the populations. Shown are two RDA axes used in the prediction of climatic distance between SVs and SNPs. Map data: GISCO, licensed under CC by 4.0. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 8. Genomic offset between past (1970 – 2000) and future (2061 – 2080) climatic conditions, predicted using all climate-associated variants (SVs and SNPs). Colour scale indicates the relative level of genetic change that would be required to track climate change. Map data: GISCO, licensed under CC by 4.0. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 9. BUSCO results in the newly assembled diploid and tetraploid genomes. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 10. Number and proportion of TE superfamilies annotated in three different *Cochlearia* assemblies. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 11. Geographical variation across 11 bioclimatic variables used in our GEA, summarised as the first principal component (PC) of the data. Coloured circles show 70 *Cochlearia* populations used in the analyses. Source data are provided as a Source Data file. Following bioclim variables were used (in the order of their significance in explaining genetic variation among the populations):

- bio2 = Mean diurnal range
- bio3 = Isothermality
- bio9 = Mean temperature of driest quarter
- bio15 = Precipitation seasonality
- bio18 = Precipitation of warmest quarter
- bio8 = Mean temperature of wettest quarter
- bio10 = Mean temperature of warmest quarter
- bio7 = Temperature annual range
- bio1 = Annual mean temperature
- bio5 = Max temperature of warmest month
- bio11 = Mean temperature of coldest quarter



Supplementary Figure 12. Loadings of 11 bioclimatic variables on first two RDA axes, shown for outlier SVs and SNPs. Length of the arrows indicate the load of each variable on the axes. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Figure 13. Occurrence records for the *Cochlearia* species acquired from GBIF (https://www.gbif.org) and manually curated. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

ID	Ploidy	Cochlearia species	Country	Sequencing technology	Read length N50 (kb)	Mean depth	Mapping rate (%)
C10	2	pyrenaica	OS	ONT	8.9	26.1	98
C12	2	pyrenaica	DE	ONT	5.8	24.1	99
C13*	2	pyrenaica	DE	ONT	8.7	9.0	98
C14	2	aestuaria	ES	ONT	11.8	24.6	98
C17	2	pyrenaica	FR	ONT	7.6	24.0	97
C18*	2	groenlandica	IS	ONT	4.3	9.1	97
C19	2	aestuaria	ES	ONT	10.4	28.4	99
C2	2	excelsa	OS	ONT	7.8	28.9	98
C20	2	pyrenaica	OS	ONT	6.8	14.7	99
C21	2	pyrenaica	FR	ONT	6.4	25.3	97
C23*	2	pyrenaica	UK	ONT	11.4	6.3	99
C5*	2	islandica	IS	ONT	10.3	8.2	96
LAB 22	2	pyrenaica	UK	ONT	7.0	62.5	98
NEN 30	2	pyrenaica	UK	PacBio	16.1	21.9	100
BPS 1	4	officinalis	UK	ONT	7.3	82.6	100
CUR	4	officinalis	UK	ONT	5.4	48.7	92
ELI 23	4	officinalis	UK	ONT	7.2	75.8	98
ONI 12	4	officinalis	UK	ONT	7.6	58.1	93
ROT 20	4	officinalis	UK	ONT	7.4	85.2	99
ROT 26	4	officinalis	UK	PacBio	7.4	57.3	99
TRE 13	4	officinalis	UK	ONT	8.5	69.4	95
C27	6	tatrae	SL	ONT	5.8	18.3	97
C24	8	anglica	UK	ONT	4.9	27.6	98

Supplementary Table 1. Summary of the long-read sequenced samples.

*Excluded from the main analyses due to low sequencing depth.

Comornio	Allelic dosage	Simulated depth									
Genomic		5×		10×		20×		40×		80×	
Teature		R	Р	R	Р	R	Р	R	Р	R	Р
	1	0.25	0.99	0.50	0.99	0.76	0.98	0.89	0.98	0.97	0.98
A 11	2	0.48	0.98	0.75	0.98	0.91	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.98	0.94
All	3	0.64	0.98	0.85	0.97	0.95	0.94	0.97	0.93	0.98	0.92
	4	0.70	0.98	0.86	0.96	0.93	0.92	0.96	0.90	0.98	0.89
	1	0.26	1.00	0.52	1.00	0.79	0.99	0.92	1.00	0.98	0.99
Evon	2	0.51	1.00	0.79	0.99	0.93	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99
LX0II	3	0.67	0.99	0.88	0.99	0.97	0.99	0.99	0.99	1.00	0.99
	4	0.72	0.99	0.89	0.99	0.95	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.98
	1	0.26	1.00	0.52	1.00	0.79	0.99	0.91	0.99	0.98	0.99
Introp	2	0.51	1.00	0.78	0.99	0.93	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99
muon	3	0.67	0.99	0.87	0.99	0.97	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.98
	4	0.72	1.00	0.88	0.99	0.95	0.99	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.98
1 1-1-1-	1	0.26	1.00	0.52	1.00	0.79	0.99	0.92	0.99	0.98	0.99
I KU	2	0.51	0.99	0.79	0.99	0.94	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99
downstream	3	0.67	0.99	0.88	0.99	0.97	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.98
downstream	4	0.73	1.00	0.89	0.99	0.95	0.99	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.98
Intergonic	1	0.18	0.59	0.38	0.63	0.64	0.63	0.80	0.61	0.82	0.60
(> 1 kb away)	2	0.37	0.64	0.62	0.60	0.81	0.60	0.87	0.68	0.92	0.57
(> I KU away	3	0.51	0.64	0.74	0.61	0.88	0.57	0.91	0.55	0.94	0.54
from genes)	4	0.59	0.66	0.76	0.61	0.86	0.55	0.90	0.51	0.93	0.50

Supplementary Table 2. Validation of Sniffles2 (v2.2) using simulated autotetraploids.

Shown are recall (R) and precision (P) estimates for different levels of read depth over simulated insertions and deletions found overlapping different genomic features.

Allelic	Simulated depth										
	5×		10×		20×		40×		80×		
uosage	R	Р	R	Р	R	Р	R	Р	R	Р	
1	0.42	1.00	0.67	1.00	0.81	0.99	0.85	0.99	0.86	0.99	
2	0.67	1.00	0.81	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.86	0.99	0.86	1.00	
3	0.76	1.00	0.84	1.00	0.86	1.00	0.86	1.00	0.86	1.00	
4	0.79	1.00	0.85	0.99	0.86	1.00	0.86	1.00	0.86	1.00	

Supplementary Table 3. Validation of vg (v1.53.0) using simulated autotetraploids.

Shown are recall (R) and precision (P) estimates for different levels of read depth over simulated insertions and deletions.

GO term	Dataset	Fold enrichment	<i>P</i> -value
Maintenance of seed dormancy	Diploid	33	0.0015
Cell surface receptor signaling pathway	Diploid	18	0.0054
Plant-type hypersensitive response	Tetraploid	29	0.0022
Starch catabolic process	Tetraploid	22	0.0031
Formaldehyde catabolic process	Tetraploid	22	0.0031
Defense response to bacterium	Tetraploid	14	0.0078
Oligopeptide transport	All	21	0.0003
Leaf development	All	3	0.0014
Xanthophyll metabolic process	All	25	0.0024
Formaldehyde catabolic process	All	22	0.0033
Ethylene biosynthetic process	All	13	0.0099

Supplementary Table 4. Results from GO enrichment analyses conducted on GEA outliers.

Shown are GO terms with P < 0.01, one-sided Fisher's exact test.

Species	Source
Aethionema arabicum	https://plantcode.cup.uni-freiburg.de/aetar_db/
Arabidopsis thaliana	Ensembl Plants
Arabis alpina	http://www.arabis-alpina.org/refseq.html
Boechera stricta	Phytozome
Brassica rapa	Ensembl Plants
Camelina sativa	Ensembl Plants
Capsella rubella	Phytozome
Cardamine hirsuta	http://chi.mpipz.mpg.de/assembly.html
Carica papaya	Phytozome
Citrus clementina	Ensembl Plants
Cucumis sativus	Ensembl Plants
Draba nivalis	https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pg4f4qrm4
Eucalyptus grandis	Ensembl Plants
Eutrema salsugineum	Phytozome
Fragaria vesca	Phytozome
Glycine max	Ensembl Plants
Gossypium raimondii	Ensembl Plants
Juglans regia	Ensembl Plants
Lobularia maritima	https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gwh/Assembly/9796/show
Malus domestica	Ensembl Plants
Manihot esculenta	Ensembl Plants
Medicago truncatula	Ensembl Plants
Pistacia vera	Ensembl Plants
Populus trichocarpa	Ensembl Plants
Quercus lobata	Ensembl Plants
Raphanus sativus	https://plantgarden.jp/
Schrenkiella parvula	Phytozome
Theobroma cacao	Ensembl Plants
Vitis vinifera	Ensembl Plants

Supplementary Table 5. Species used in estimating coding sequence conservation with GERP++.