



Anaerobic Contribution Determined in Free-Swimming: Sensitivity to Maturation Stages and Validity

Eduardo Zapattera Campos¹, Carlos Augusto Kalva-Filho², Maria Souza Silva², Tarine Botta Arruda², Ronaldo Bucken Gobbi², Fúlvia Barros Manchado-Gobatto³ and Marcelo Papoti^{2*}

¹ Graduate Program in Physical Education, Sports Performance Research Nucleus (NIDE), Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil, ² Study Group in Physiological Sciences and Exercise (GECIFEX), School of Physical Education and Sport of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo, EEFERP-USP, São Paulo, Brazil, ³ Laboratory of Applied Sport Physiology, School of Applied Sciences, University of Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Flávio De Souza Castro,
Federal University of Rio Grande do
Sul, Brazil

Reviewed by:

Rodrigo Zacca,
University of Porto, Portugal
Romulo Bertuzzi,
University of São Paulo, Brazil

*Correspondence:

Marcelo Papoti
mpapoti@yahoo.com.br

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Exercise Physiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Sports and Active Living

Received: 17 August 2021

Accepted: 03 January 2022

Published: 17 May 2022

Citation:

Campos EZ, Kalva-Filho CA, Silva MS, Arruda TB, Gobbi RB, Manchado-Gobatto FB and Papoti M (2022) Anaerobic Contribution Determined in Free-Swimming: Sensitivity to Maturation Stages and Validity. *Front. Sports Act. Living* 4:760296. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2022.760296

Evaluation of anaerobic contribution is important under swimming settings (training and modification through ages), therefore, it is expected to change during maturation. The accumulated oxygen deficit (AOD) method can be used to determine the contribution of nonoxidative energy during swimming; however, it requires several days of evaluation. An alternative method to estimate anaerobic contribution evaluation (AC_{ALT}), which can also be evaluated without snorkel (i.e., free-swimming, AC_{FS}), has been proposed; however, these methods have never been compared. Thus, this study (i) analyzed the effect of maturation stage on AC_{FS} during maximal 400 m swimming (*Part I*), and (ii) compared AOD with AC_{ALT} and AC_{FS} , determined in a maximal 400 m effort (*Part II*). In *Part I*, 34 swimmers were divided into three groups, according to maturation stages (early-pubertal, middle-pubertal, and pubertal), and subjected to a maximal 400 m free-swimming to determine AC_{FS} . In *Part II*, six swimmers were subjected to one 400 m maximal effort, and four submaximal constant efforts. The AOD was determined by the difference between the estimated demand and accumulated oxygen during the entire effort. The AC_{ALT} and AC_{FS} (for *Part I* as well) was assumed as the sum of lactic and alactic anaerobic contributions. AC_{FS} was higher in pubertal (3.8 ± 1.1 L) than early (2.1 ± 0.9 L) and middle pubertal group (2.4 ± 1.1 L). No difference was observed among absolute AOD (3.2 ± 1.3 L), AC_{ALT} (3.2 ± 1.5 L), and AC_{FS} (4.0 ± 0.9 L) ($F = 3.6$; $p = 0.06$). Relative AOD (51.8 ± 12.2 mL·kg⁻¹), AC_{ALT} (50.5 ± 14.3 mL·kg⁻¹), and AC_{FS} (65.2 ± 8.8 mL·kg⁻¹) presented main effect ($F = 4.49$; $p = 0.04$), without posthoc difference. The bias of AOD vs. AC_{ALT} was 0.04 L, and AOD vs. AC_{FS} was -0.74 L. The limits of agreement between AOD and AC_{ALT} were +0.9 L and -0.8 L, and between AOD and AC_{FS} were +0.7 L and -2.7 L. It can be concluded that AC_{FS} determination is a feasible tool to determine anaerobic contribution in young swimmers, and it changes during maturation stages. Also, AC_{FS} might be useful to measure anaerobic contribution in swimmers, especially because it allows greater speeds.

Keywords: anaerobic contribution, swimming, accumulated oxygen deficit, maturation, young swimmers

INTRODUCTION

Anaerobic capacity can be defined as the maximal amount of adenosine triphosphate resynthesized *via* anaerobic metabolism (by the whole organism) during a specific mode of short-duration maximal exercise (Green and Dawson, 1993). Although several methods have been proposed, there is still no gold standard method to assess anaerobic capacity (Gastin, 1994). Medbo et al. (1988) proposed the maximal accumulated oxygen deficit (MAOD) method to assess anaerobic capacity, which uses several submaximal efforts to estimate the theoretical energy demand, and one exhaustive supramaximal effort to determine the real oxygen demand. Thus, MAOD is estimated by the difference between theoretical demand and real oxygen demand during supramaximal effort (Medbo et al., 1988).

Under swimming settings, previous studies estimated MAOD values using a snorkel and valve system in a swimming flume (Ogita et al., 2003). Reis et al. (2010b) overcame limitations of swimming flume using snorkel in a traditional swimming pool, using front crawl (Reis et al., 2010b) and breaststroke styles (Reis et al., 2010a). These authors used four submaximal efforts and maximal efforts at different distances (100–400 m). As fixed-distance effort was performed to estimate the anaerobic capacity (i.e., athletes did not reach exhaustion), the nomenclature used was accumulated oxygen deficit (AOD) instead of MAOD (Reis et al., 2010b). Besides its use in swimming, AOD and/or, MAOD determination need(s) several submaximal and maximal efforts separated by a satisfactory recovery phase (Noordhof et al., 2010). Thus, the inclusion of this method in a sports training routine, particularly in swimming, becomes unfeasible.

Therefore, Bertuzzi et al. (2010) showed that an alternative method in cycling was effective to estimate MAOD (MAOD_{ALT}) through a single supramaximal effort, which increases its applicability in practical settings. This method considers the sum of the fast component of excess oxygen consumption postexercise [i.e., alactic anaerobic metabolism contribution (Ana_{ALA}; Margaria et al., 1933; Di Prampero and Margaria, 1968)], and the net lactate accumulation during the effort [i.e., lactic contribution (Ana_{LA}); (di Prampero and Ferretti, 1999)]. Subsequently, several other experiments were conducted, demonstrating its reproducibility (Zagatto et al., 2016; Miyagi et al., 2017), capacity of discriminating athletes with different training levels (Zagatto et al., 2017), and responses to different supplementation strategies (Brisola et al., 2015; Milioni et al., 2016; de Poli et al., 2019), becoming, in fact, an alternative method to estimate MAOD (Valenzuela et al., 2020).

Since a single supramaximal effort is used, MAOD_{ALT} is particularly attractive in a training routine. However, unlike sports where the use of face masks does not compromise the results, as in the case of cycling and running, the use of a snorkel during swimming results in some inconveniences. In this context, the use of a snorkel for swimming (i) makes it impossible to perform specific breathing and the turn in front crawl, (ii) limits breathing in breaststroke and butterfly, and (iii) limits performance of the undulatory underwater swimming. Considering these limitations, AOD determined that the use of the snorkel may be underestimated, especially when determined in a traditional swimming pool. Alternatively, the rapid phase of

excessive oxygen consumption (i.e., Ana_{ALA}) may be determined in a way similar to the backward extrapolation technique (Montpetit et al., 1981; Monteiro et al., 2020), reducing any influence in swimming patterns. For this, immediately after the effort, swimmers breathe in a face mask connected to the gas analyzer. Using this method, together with net lactate accumulation (Ana_{LA})—it is possible to determine anaerobic contribution in free swimming (AC_{FS}), as demonstrated previously (Campos et al., 2017a; Andrade et al., 2021).

Despite this important advance regarding the use of AC_{FS}, the validity of this method should be tested to estimate the anaerobic contribution. Considering that changes arising from the maturation process, such as the increase in muscle mass (Boisseau and Delamarche, 2000), and the amount and activity of enzymes related to the glycolytic pathway (Inbar and Bar-Or, 1986; Kaczor et al., 2005) that result in an increase of anaerobic fitness (Inbar and Bar-Or, 1986; Falgairette et al., 1991), an increase in AC_{FS} is expected. Moreover, even though AC_{FS} presents a relation to swimming performance (Campos et al., 2017a), it is important to compare these values with previously validated methods (MAOD_{ALT} and MAOD, or AC_{ALT} and AOD, snorkel when estimated in swimming, respectively (Reis et al., 2010b).

Therefore, the present study: (i) analyzed the effect of maturation stage on AC_{FS} during maximal 400 m swimming, and (ii) compared AOD, AC_{ALT}, and AC_{FS} determined in maximal swimming effort. The hypothesis was that AC_{FS} would increase through maturation stages, and that AC_{FS} would be higher than AOD and AC_{ALT} due to a greater swimming speed.

METHODS

Study Design

In order to determine (i) the modifications of AC_{FS} during maturation stages, and (ii) whether AC_{ALT} and AC_{FS} both determined in a single maximal swimming effort were similar to AOD, the present study was divided into two parts. **Figure 1** presents the experimental design of the present study. In *Part I*, swimmers were subjected one maximum front crawl (without snorkel) 400 m effort to determine AC_{FS}; and, on the other day, body composition was analyzed by the Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA, General Electric Medical Systems, Fairfield, USA) explained elsewhere (Campos et al., 2012). All tests were performed in a 25-m swimming pool with water temperature of $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and were preceded by a warm-up of $\sim 1,000$ m freestyle swimming of low to moderate intensity determined subjectively by the swimmers. Additionally, swimmers were instructed not to engage in strenuous activity the day before exercise tests and to maintain a consistent routine of training, sleeping, and diet throughout the study.

In *Part II*, swimmers were subjected to three experimental sessions, interspersed by at least 24 h. On the first visit, subjects performed four submaximal efforts aiming to establish VO₂-speed relationship. On the second day, the subjects were subjected to a submaximal exercise, and a maximal front crawl 400 m effort with snorkel. No warm-up was performed before the tests and the subjects started each trial when their VO₂ values exhibited two consecutive values within $2 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ of that




FIGURE 1 | Experimental design for Part I and Part II. Arrows represent blood sample to determine lactate concentration.

recorded before the first submaximal exercise (observed on the first day; Reis et al., 2010b). This first maximal front crawl 400 m effort (second day trial) was used to evaluate AOD and AC_{ALT} (Figure 1) and the swimmers used snorkel during the effort. After at least 48 h, the swimmers were subjected to another 400 m maximal effort without snorkel (AC_{FS}).

Data Collection and Peak Oxygen Uptake Analysis

Expired gases were collected breath-by-breath using either a gas analyzer (Quark PFT, Cosmed[®], Rome, Italy) in Part I, and a portable gas analyzer (K4b², Cosmed[®], Rome, Italy)

connected to an Aquatrainer snorkel (Cosmed[®], Rome, Italy) in Part II. The gas analyzers were calibrated immediately before and verified after each test using a certified gravimetrically determined gas mixture, while the ventilometer was calibrated preexercise and verified postexercise using a 3-L syringe, in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Following the removal of outliers, breath-by-breath data were interpolated to give 1s values (OriginPro 8.0, OriginLab Corporation, Microcal, Massachusetts, USA) to enhance response characteristics of excess postoxyggen consumption (EPOC) (Zagatto et al., 2011). Before the maximal 400 m and after 3, 5, and 7 min of recovery, blood samples were collected to determine [La⁻] using a

blood lactate analyzer YSI-2300 (Yellow Springs Instruments[®], OH, USA).

Peak oxygen consumption (VO_{2Peak}) was estimated by the backward extrapolation technique, after a maximum front crawl effort of 400 m freestyle, that is, without snorkel. For this, the subjects were instructed to immediately breathe on a face mask (Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, MO, USA) connected to a breath-by-breath gas analyzer system. The equipment was calibrated immediately before the test according to the instruction of the manufacturer. The VO_{2Peak} was obtained using a 30 s backward extrapolation technique (Campos et al., 2017b; Monteiro et al., 2020); for this, VO_2 values were transformed in $\log VO_2$, and plotted against time. Through a linear regression the y -intercept was considered as VO_{2Peak} .

Subjects

Part I

Thirty-four swimmers (19 men, and 15 women) participated in the present study (14.9 ± 2.6 yrs, 58.19 ± 11.88 kg, 161.90 ± 10.98 cm and $VO_{2Peak} = 3.30 \pm 0.94$ L·min⁻¹). All the swimmers had at least two years of competitive swimming experience and, had been training an average daily volume of 4,000 m (11–12 yrs), 6,000 m (13–14 yrs), and 8,000 m (>15 yrs), with six trainings·week⁻¹ (except 11–12 yrs, that trained 5 times·week⁻¹).

Part II

Six swimmers (three men and three women) with mean age, height, total body mass, and VO_{2Peak} of 15.1 ± 1.9 yrs, 165.76 ± 8.62 cm, 59.53 ± 11.75 kg, and 3.07 ± 0.57 L·min⁻¹ respectively, volunteered to participate in the investigation. All subjects had been swimming training for at least 2 years (average training volume of 7,000 m·day⁻¹ and frequency of 5 days·week⁻¹).

All procedures were approved by the University's Institutional Review Board for Human Subjects (Human Research Ethics Committee - UNESP - Rio Claro/SP; Ethics Committee Number: 1413/2013), and were conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The athletes and their parents were informed about the experimental procedures and risks and signed an informed consent prior to their participation in the study.

Procedures

Part I

Biological Age

Swimmers identified the closest stage representing their body characteristics, using picture boards. Evaluation of pubic hair was done for both genders. Athletes were grouped according to the biological age through the self-assessment method of evaluation of pubic hair proposed by Tanner (1962). This self-rating procedure was previously validated for breast development (B1, B2, B3, B4, and B5) for girls and genitalia (G1, G2, G3, G4, and G5) for boys. Due to the small number of subjects on stages two ($n = 4$) and three ($n = 6$) of this secondary characteristic, the athletes were aggregated into one group. The final groupings were early-pubertal (M2–M3 and G2–G3, $n = 10$), middle-pubertal (M4 and G4, $n = 14$), and pubertal (M5 and G5, $n = 10$).

Free-Swimming Anaerobic Contribution Determination (AC_{FS})

Free-swimming anaerobic contribution was determined by the sum of Ana_{ALA} and Ana_{LAC} (Bertuzzi et al., 2010; Zagatto et al., 2011; Kalva-Filho et al., 2015). Swimmers were instructed to immediately breathe on a face mask (Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, MO, USA) connected to a breath-by-breath gas analyzer system (Quark PFT, Cosmed[®], Rome, Italy) for 5 min (Campos et al., 2017a). The AC_{FS} was calculated in Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, USA) and Origin (OriginPro 8.0, OriginLab Corporation, Microcal, Massachusetts, USA). Ana_{ALA} was assumed as the fast component of EPOC. For this EPOC, breath-by-breath measurements obtained during 5 min of recovery were adjusted as a function of time using a bi-exponential model (Equation 1) (Ozyener et al., 2001). The product between amplitude (A_1) and the fast component time constant (f_1) was assumed as Ana_{ALA} (Equation 2) (Knuttgen, 1970; Bertuzzi et al., 2010). Ana_{LAC} was obtained by net lactate accumulation (i.e., difference between [La-] peak and baseline values; $\Delta[La-]$), considering a metabolic equivalent of 3 mL·O₂·kg⁻¹ for each unit of lactate elevated with maximal effort (di Prampero and Ferretti, 1999). Thus, AC_{FS} was assumed as the sum of Ana_{ALA} and Ana_{LAC} (Equation 3). AC_{FS} values were presented as absolute (L), and relative to body mass (mL·kg⁻¹).

$$VO_{2(t)} = VO_{2BASE} + A_1 [e^{-((t-\delta_1)/f_1)}] + A_2 [e^{-((t-\delta_2)/f_2)}] \quad (1)$$

$$Ana_{ALA} = A_1 \cdot f_1 \quad (2)$$


$$AC_{FS} = Ana_{ALA} + Ana_{LAC} \quad (3)$$

where in Equation 1, $VO_{2(t)}$ is the oxygen uptake at time t in recovery time, VO_{2BASE} was the oxygen uptake of at baseline measured before swimming, A is the amplitude, δ is the time delay, f_1 is the time constant (tau) and 1 and 2 denote the fast and slow components, respectively. In Equation 2, Ana_{ALA} is the alactic anaerobic contribution and in Equation 3 AC_{FS} is the alternative method to determine anaerobic contribution in a single effort without snorkel and Ana_{LAC} is the lactic contribution. Data of one subject are presented in **Figure 2**.

Part II

Conventional Accumulated Oxygen Deficit

Submaximal exercises were performed according to the best 400 m performance of the individual achieved 1 week before the tests (Sousa et al., 2015). The swimmers were instructed to maintain a constant speed during the four submaximal efforts by accompanying sonorous stimuli with markers placed at the bottom of the pool. The distance swam in the submaximal exercises varied from 250 to 400 m. These distances were chosen to ensure a minimal of 5 min of effort, which was related to the VO_2 plateau attained at 2–3 min (Grassi, 2000). Thus, the mean VO_2 observed during the final 30 s of the submaximal effort was assumed as the steady-state VO_2 for the corresponding speed. The linear VO_2 -speed relationship was constructed with the five efforts (four submaximal, and 400 m maximal effort). The mean speed and VO_2 related to the 400 m maximal effort was also used



in the linear regression since this speed is lower than the speed associated with maximal oxygen consumption ($\approx 96\%$; Reis et al., 2010b).

The accumulated oxygen deficit was assumed as the difference between the estimated demand obtained by VO_2 -speed linear regression extrapolation and the measurement of the VO_2 during the maximal effort (Medbo et al., 1988). As the swimmers did not use continuous pacing during maximal swimming effort, the estimated demand was calculated for each 25 m (Figure 3). For this, the speed of each 25 m was inserted in the VO_2 -speed linear regression extrapolation, enabling a different estimated demand (i.e., theoretical demand) for each 25 m to be stratified by swimming VO_2 . The difference of the demand for each 25 m and the VO_2 during the effort was assumed as AOD. AOD was presented in absolute (L), and relative values to body mass ($\text{mL}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$). The AOD calculation was done in Excel (Microsoft Corporation®, Redmond, Washington, USA).

Alternative Anaerobic Contribution (AC_{ALT})

The AC_{ALT} was determined as presented for AC_{FS} . The main differences between AC_{ALT} and AC_{FS} are due to the fact that at AC_{FS} the swimmers perform the effort without the snorkel and the fast component of values of EPOC, used to estimate the alactic anaerobic contribution, was obtained immediately after swimming (≈ 2 seg), while the swimmers swam with snorkel for AC_{ALT} .

Statistical Analyses

Data normality was tested and confirmed by Shapiro–Wilk’s test, which permitted the use of parametric tests. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Significance level was set at 5%. The minimal sample size to provide a statistical power of 80% was

estimated using G*Power software, version 3.1.9.4 (Franz Faul, Christian-Albrechts-Universität Kiel, Kiel, Germany).

Part I

The minimal sample size was five participants, considering that the lactic contributions was different between maturation stages during high-intensity efforts, presenting the effect size of 1.798 (Beneke et al., 2007). The comparison between physiological parameters in different biological ages was obtained by one-way ANOVA, and Tukey’s posthoc when necessary.

Part II

The minimal sample size was six participants, considering that the AOD and AC_{ALT} presented correlations greater than 0.78 (Bertuzzi et al., 2010). ANOVA was used for comparisons between AOD, AC_{ALT} , and AC_{FS} repeated measurements. Sphericity was evaluated by Maucly’s test, and corrected by Greenhouse–Geisser, when necessary, prior to ANOVA analyses. The Bonferroni’s *post-hoc* test was used, when necessary. Moreover, possible correlations and agreements between the methodologies were tested using the Pearson’s correlation test, and Bland and Altman (1986) analysis, respectively. Pearson’s correlation was also used to test the heteroscedasticity. Correlation coefficients were classified as very small (0.0 – 0.2), small (0.2 – 0.4), moderate (0.4 – 0.7), strong (0.7 – 0.9), and very strong (0.9 – 1.0) (Rowntree, 1981).

For both parts the effect size and confidence interval (90%) of ES was calculated as proposed by Smithson (2001).

RESULTS

Part I

The subject’s characteristics are presented on Table 1.




Figure 4 presents the anaerobic contribution (i.e., AC_{FS}) of early-pubertal, middle-pubertal, and pubertal groups determined after the 400 m effort. Absolute Ana_{ALA} only tended to be different among groups [early-pubertal: 1.42 ± 0.84 L; middle-pubertal: 1.47 ± 0.69 L; pubertal: 2.11 ± 0.66 L; $F = 2.86$; $p = 0.07$; Power = 0.52; $\eta^2 = 0.15$; 90% CI (0; 0.30)], without differences in relative Ana_{ALA} [early-pubertal: 30.27 ± 20.70 mL·kg⁻¹; middle-pubertal: 24.28 ± 10.13 mL·kg⁻¹; and pubertal: 31.63 ± 10.82 mL·kg⁻¹; $F = 0.93$; $p = 0.40$; Power = 0.19; $\eta^2 = 0.05$; 90% CI (0; 0.17)]. Pubertal group presented greater absolute Ana_{LAC} than the other groups [early-pubertal: 0.64 ± 0.44 L; middle-pubertal: 1.01 ± 0.51 L; pubertal: 1.75 ± 0.83 L; $F = 8.72$; $p = 0.001$; Power = 0.95; $\eta^2 = 0.36$; 90% CI (0.11; 0.49)], while no differences were found between early-pubertal and middle-pubertal.

Pubertal showed greater relative Ana_{LAC} than early-pubertal [early-pubertal: 12.77 ± 8.42 mL·kg⁻¹; middle-pubertal: 16.60 ± 7.24 mL·kg⁻¹; and pubertal: 25.44 ± 11.01 mL·kg⁻¹; $F = 5.49$; $p < 0.01$; Power = 0.81; $\eta^2 = 0.26$; 90% CI (0.04; 0.41)]. AC_{FS} were greater in pubertal group than the other groups [early-pubertal: 2.10 ± 0.90 L; middle-pubertal: 2.48 ± 1.12 L; pubertal:

3.87 ± 1.12 L; $F = 7.79$; $p = 0.002$; Power = 0.93; $\eta^2 = 0.33$; 90% CI (0.09; 0.47)], and no differences were found between early-pubertal and middle-pubertal (**Figure 4**). No differences were found for relative AC_{FS} between groups [early-pubertal: 44.82 ± 19.75 mL·kg⁻¹; middle-pubertal: 40.88 ± 15.55 mL·kg⁻¹; and pubertal: 57.08 ± 16.49 mL·kg⁻¹; $F = 2.70$; $p = 0.08$; Power = 0.49; $\eta^2 = 0.14$; 90% CI (0; 0.29)].

Part II

Speed ranged between 64.42 ± 0.93 and $80.30 \pm 6.85\%$ of 400 m performance in submaximal efforts. The mean time for 400 m was 330.59 ± 13.20 s (mean speed = 1.20 ± 0.04 m·s⁻¹) and VO_{2Peak} was 3.07 L·min⁻¹. The VO_2 -speed relationship presented values of angular, linear, and determination coefficients of 4.00 ± 1.22 (L·min⁻¹)·(m·s⁻¹)⁻¹, 1.82 ± 1.06 L·min⁻¹, and 0.94 ± 0.02 , respectively. **Figure 3** demonstrates the pacing used by swimmers during the maximal 400 m effort. **Table 2** summarizes all parameters related to AOD, AC_{ALT} , and AC_{FS} .

No differences were found between absolute AOD (3.2 ± 1.3 LO₂) and AC_{ALT} (3.2 ± 1.5 LO₂), and AC_{FS} (4.0 ± 0.9 LO₂) determined in the 400 m maximal effort [$F = 3.69$; $p = 0.06$;

TABLE 1 | Mean and standard deviation of age, height, weight, total muscle mass (TMM), total body fat (TBF), peak oxygen consumption (VO_{2Peak}), baseline lactate concentration ($[La^-]$), amplitude of primary component (A_1), and time constant of primary component (t_1).

	Groups		
	Early-pubertal (n = 10)	Middle-pubertal (n = 14)	Pubertal (n = 10)
Age (years)	13 ± 2	15 ± 1	18 ± 3
Height (cm)	154.7 ± 10.0	160.6 ± 10.1	170.9 ± 6.9 ^{ab}
Weight (kg)	46.5 ± 9.4	59.5 ± 7.4 ^a	68.0 ± 9.5 ^a
TMM (kg)	36.9 ± 7.3	46.1 ± 7.6 ^a	53.1 ± 8.1 ^a
TBF (kg)	9.5 ± 4.6	11.5 ± 5.4	12.1 ± 6.9
VO_{2Peak} (L·min ⁻¹)	2.7 ± 0.6	3.3 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 1.1 ^a
Baseline $[La^-]$ (mM)	1.0 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.7 ^a	1.0 ± 0.4 ^b
$[La^-]$ Peak (mM)	5.5 ± 1.5	7.1 ± 2.4	9.5 ± 3.8 ^a
A_1 (L·min ⁻¹)	2.2 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 1.0 ^a
t_1 (sec)	0.6 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.2

^aSignificantly different from early-pubertal group.

^bSignificantly different from middle-pubertal group.




FIGURE 4 | Mean and standard deviation of AC_{FS} (anaerobic contribution) determined in free-swimming in different maturation stages. *Significantly higher than early-pubertal and middle-pubertal.

Power = 0.54; $\eta^2 = 0.42$; 90% CI (0; 0.60)]. The relative AOD ($51.8 \pm 12.2 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$), AC_{ALT} ($50.5 \pm 14.3 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$), and AC_{FS} ($65.2 \pm 8.8 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$) values presented main effect [$F = 4.49$; $p = 0.04$; Power = 0.62; $\eta^2 = 0.47$; 90% CI (0.01; 0.64)]; however, *post-hoc* analysis did not indicate any differences among values (Figure 5).

The agreement analysis between methods are shown in Figure 6. The mean error between AOD and AC_{ALT} was 0.04 L,

TABLE 2 | Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of accumulated oxygen deficit (AOD), alternative anaerobic contribution (AC_{ALT}), and free-swimming anaerobic contribution (AC_{FS}) parameters (n = 6).

	Mean	SD
AOD		
Estimated demand (L)	13.60	2.79
Accumulated VO_2 (L)	10.31	1.48
AOD error (L)	1.54	1.25
AC_{ALT}		
Ana_{ALA} (L)	1.36	0.61
Ana_{LAC} (L)	1.87	1.07
Baseline $[La^-]$ (mM)	1.30	0.27
$[La^-]$ peak (mM)	10.98	4.07
AC_{FS}		
Ana_{ALA} (L)	1.82	0.30
Ana_{LAC} (L)	2.21	0.79
Baseline $[La^-]$ (mM)	0.97	0.25
$[La^-]$ Peak (mM)	12.68	2.29

Ana_{ALA} , alactic anaerobic contribution; Ana_{LAC} , lactic anaerobic contribution.

and between AOD and AC_{FS} was -0.74 L . However, the limits of agreement of AOD and AC_{ALT} were 0.96 and 0.87 L for upper and lower limits of agreement, while between AOD and AC_{FS} were 0.77 L for upper limit and 2.26 L for lower limit (four out of six presented greater AC_{FS} than AOD). AOD was very strongly correlated with AC_{ALT} ($r = 0.95$; $p = 0.002$), and strongly correlated with AC_{FS} ($r = 0.82$; $p = 0.04$).

DISCUSSION

The aims of the present study were (i) to confirm whether AC_{FS} changes within maturation stages, and (ii) to compare conventional AOD with an alternative method to estimate anaerobic contribution using a single effort with and without snorkel (AC_{ALT} and AC_{FS} , respectively). The main findings were that AC_{FS} modifies within maturation stages, and the preliminary validation study did not show differences among AOD, AC_{ALT} , and AC_{FS} , and that they were strongly correlated (AOD with AC_{ALT} : $r = 0.95$; AOD with AC_{FS} : $r = 0.82$); however, agreement analysis between AOD and AC_{FS} showed greater lower limits (-2.26 L).

Part I

In accordance with our hypothesis, AC_{FS} was sensitive to maturation stages in swimmers, with the pubertal group presenting significantly higher absolute AC_{FS} than middle-pubertal and early-pubertal groups. The pubertal and middle-pubertal groups presented greater muscle mass than early-pubertal; however, the difference between middle-pubertal and pubertal was of $\approx 7 \text{ kg}$ on average, which can have practical influence on performance, besides the absence of statistical differences. Thus, expressing AC_{FS} values relative to total body mass and muscle mass is extremely important when comparing the anaerobic indices of swimmers of different biological ages.

These results agree with the findings of Kaczor et al. (2005), which have demonstrated that the quantity and activity of




FIGURE 5 | Mean and standard deviation of absolute (white bar) and relative (gray bar) of AOD, AC_{ALT}, and AC_{FS}.

glycolytic enzymes are greater in more mature subjects. The study of Lätt et al. (2009) has also confirmed that net lactate accumulation was significantly greater when swimmers were on Tanner stages 3 and 4 than on stage 2, while no differences were found between stage 3 and 4; however, the authors did not take into account the alactic metabolism. When considering Ana_{LAC} and Ana_{ALA}, the latter only tended to be greater ($p = 0.07$) in pubertal than in the other groups. Thus, for swimmers, Ana_{LAC} is the main variable differing between maturation stages. Therefore, the difference in absolute AC_{FS} may be related to Ana_{LAC} since no differences were found in Ana_{ALA} between maturation stages. Furthermore, no differences were detected in relative AC_{FS} between maturation stages, indicating a possible influence of muscle mass on AC_{FS}.


Due to its importance in swimming context, a feasible tool to evaluate anaerobic contribution would be important, and AC_{FS} is practical because it enable swimmers to swim freely; however, it was important to compare it with currently used anaerobic contribution determination methods (i.e., AC_{ALT} and AOD).

Part II

The measurement of energy cost in swimming has received great attention on swimming, since it is important for performance (Zamparo et al., 2000). When calculating the net metabolic power expenditure, both aerobic and anaerobic contribution must be accounted (Barbosa et al., 2006; Figueiredo et al., 2011). Faina et al. (1997) observed that the time to exhaustion at maximal aerobic speed is closely associated with anaerobic contribution in

swimming, highlighting the importance of anaerobic metabolism for maximal efforts. To overcome AOD problems of excessive testing, an alternative method of AOD determination has been proposed using net lactate accumulation and off-transient oxygen consumption (Bertuzzi et al., 2010). As the oxygen consumption can be measured after swimming (Kalva-Filho et al., 2015; Campos et al., 2017a), AC_{FS} would be an even more interesting and applicable tool to evaluate the anaerobic contribution of swimmers without interfering on technique and speed.

The values of AOD observed in the present study were similar to those observed in exhaustive efforts (Ogita et al., 1996), but greater than other investigations that used fixed distance maximal efforts (Reis et al., 2010a,b). Ogita et al. (2003) investigated the possible influence of exercise duration on AOD values obtained in a swimming flume. Those authors observed that anaerobic contribution was similar when exhaustion occurred between one (≈ 2.8 L) and 5 min (≈ 2.9 L), with maximal values attained in 2–3 min (≈ 3.2 L). Thus, maximal AOD values (i.e., anaerobic capacity) can be obtained in a 200 m effort (2–3 min to exhaustion), with no significant differences in relation to a 400 m maximal effort (4–5 min to exhaustion) (Ogita et al., 2003). However, Reis et al. (2010b) observed lower values of AOD in a 400 m than in a 200 or 100 m maximal effort performed in front crawl (≈ 11.9 mL·kg⁻¹, ≈ 17.5 mL·kg⁻¹, and ≈ 21.0 mL·kg⁻¹, respectively). These results were confirmed in breaststroke for 200 and 100 m (≈ 23.1 mL·kg⁻¹ and 22.2 mL·kg⁻¹, respectively) (Reis et al., 2010b).



It has been suggested that combining sub and supraanaerobic threshold intensities (i.e., 30–90% of VO_{2Max}) affects the precision and validity of the AOD model (Buck and McNaughton, 1999). We did not analyze the anaerobic threshold of swimmers but ensured intensities greater than this physiological index by using the 400 m mean speed as well as a submaximal intensity (i.e., 95% of VO_{2PEAK} ; unpublished data). Thus, although linear regression is the major concern for AOD calculation, this method is still considered the most acceptable for anaerobic evaluation (Noordhof et al., 2010; Reis et al., 2010b). Different from the present study, the AOD calculation performed in those above-mentioned studies used the effort mean speed to estimate demand, respecting the pace strategy of each swimmer. Thus, we calculated the estimated demand for each 25 m during the maximal effort (Figure 3), increasing the precision of these measurements. This approach together with the five points in the VO_2 -speed relationship, indicate that AOD values were determined in a robust way during the present study, allowing its use to validate AC_{ALT} and AC_{FS}.

This is the first study to compare conventional AOD with AC_{ALT} in a maximal swimming effort in swimmers. Bertuzzi et al. (2010) compared a conventional and alternative method, in cicloergometer, to determine anaerobic contribution during an exhaustive cycling effort. Those authors observed similar values, positive significant correlation ($r = 0.78$) and a mean error very close to zero, which agrees with the present findings. Therefore, the difficulties implemented by the need for submaximal exercises to estimate VO_2 -speed relationship are overcome in the alternative method. Finally, determination of AC_{ALT} allows the calculation of Ana_{LAT} and Ana_{ALA} separately, enabling the investigation of different training models on these two metabolisms.

Even though AC_{ALT} decreases the number of evaluations and allows the evaluation of Ana_{LAT} and Ana_{ALA}, it was still calculated with swimmers using snorkel during swimming. Besides changes in mechanics during swimming, the apparatus reduces the speed of the swimmers (330.5 ± 13.2 s vs. 303.6 ± 10.8 s), which might limit anaerobic contribution. Another important limitation refers to the impossibility of swimmers

performing the turns and the underwater dolphin kick, a technique that has been commonly observed in swimming events. The use of snorkel also limits the use of “flipina” during breaststroke swimming, in addition to being uncomfortable for swimmers, limiting its use in practical settings.

We have shown no differences between AC_{FS} with AC_{ALT} and AOD; however, a tendency was detected in absolute values and an effect was found for relative anaerobic contribution (without detection in posthoc analysis). This might have occurred due to the reduced sample size. It is important to note that the limits of agreement between AOD and AC_{FS} highlighted a lower limit of 2.26 L. Four out of six presented significantly greater AC_{FS} than AOD (mean difference of 1.24 L). Thus, even though no statistical differences were observed, free swimming anaerobic contribution evaluation (AC_{FS}) might be recommended because it allows the athletes to perform in greater intensity, which is especially important since swimmers did not reach exhaustion during swimming.

The limitations of the present study were that athletes (both men and women) were evaluated in *Part I* which might have influenced the comparison between maturation stages, and the small sample size in *Part II*. It would be desirable to confirm these results with a larger sample size. Finally, for the Ana_{ALA} determination, 5 min of recovery was used. Bertuzzi et al. (2016) have observed that a minimum of 6 min is required for Ana_{ALA} evaluation; however, 5 min of recovery have been used in other studies (Kalva-Filho et al., 2015; Campos et al., 2017a; Andrade et al., 2021), and the fast component happens in the 1st min of recovery. Moreover, studies could also use bi-exponential decay equation as proposed by Scheuermann et al. (2011)—since it does not assume that athletes will reach baseline values at the end of recovery—and compare Ana_{ALA} using both Scheuermann et al. (2011) and Ozyener et al. (2001) equations.

REFERENCES

- Andrade, V. L., Kalva-Filho, C. A., Ribeiro, N. X., Gobbi, R. B., de Arruda, T. B., and Papoti, M. (2021). Determination of maximum accumulated oxygen deficit using backward extrapolation. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 42, 161–168. doi: 10.1055/a-1082-1372
- Barbosa, T. M., Fernandes, R., Keskinen, K. L., Colaco, P., Cardoso, C., Silva, J., et al. (2006). Evaluation of the energy expenditure in competitive swimming strokes. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 27, 894–899. doi: 10.1055/s-2006-923776
- Beneke, R., Hütler, M., and Leithäuser, R. M. (2007). Anaerobic performance and metabolism in boys and male adolescents. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 101, 671–677. doi: 10.1007/s00421-007-0546-0
- Bertuzzi, R., Melegati, J., Bueno, S., Ghiarone, T., Pasqua, L. A., Gáspari, A. F., et al. (2016). GEDAE-LaB: a free software to calculate the energy system contributions during exercise. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0145733. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0145733
- Bertuzzi, R. C., Franchini, E., Ugrinowitsch, C., Kokubun, E., Lima-Silva, A. E., Pires, F. O., et al. (2010). Predicting MAOD using only a supramaximal exhaustive test. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 31, 477–481. doi: 10.1055/s-0030-1253375
- Bland, J. M., and Altman, D. G. (1986). Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet* 8, 307–310. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(86)90837-8
- Boisseau, N., and Delamarche, P. (2000). Metabolic and hormonal responses to exercise in children and adolescents. *Sports Med.* 30, 405–422. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200030060-00003
- Brisola, G. M. P., Miyagi, W. E., da Silva, H. S., and Zagatto, A. M. (2015). Sodium bicarbonate supplementation improved MAOD but is not correlated

CONCLUSION

Collectively, it can be concluded that the AC_{FS} is sensitive to maturation stages, and no differences were detected with AOD and AC_{ALT} . Therefore, AC_{FS} might be useful to estimate anaerobic contribution in swimmers, facilitating its determination in practical settings, because swimmers are able to swim freely, which increases the speed of swimming.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Human Research Ethics Committee - UNESP - Rio Claro/SP; Ethics Committee Number: 1413/2013. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EC, MS, TA, CK-F, and RG collected the data. EC, CK-F, FM-G, and MP wrote the manuscript and delineated the study. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was supported by Grant 2013/15322-31, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP).

- with 200- and 400-m running performances: a double-blind, crossover, and placebo-controlled study. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 40, 931–937. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2015-0036
- Buck, D., and McNaughton, L. R. (1999). Changing the number of submaximal exercise bouts effects calculation of MAOD. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 20, 28–33. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-971087
- Campos, E. Z., Bastos, F. N., Papoti, M., Freitas-Junior, I. F., Gobatto, C. A., and Balikian-Junior, P. (2012). The effects of physical fitness and body composition on oxygen consumption and heart rate recovery after high-intensity exercise. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 33, 621–626. doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1295442
- Campos, E. Z., Kalva-Filho, C. A., Gobbi, R. B., Barbieri, R. A., Almeida, N. P., and Papoti, M. (2017a). Anaerobic contribution determined in swimming distances: relation with performance. *Front. Physiol.* 8:755. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00755
- Campos, E. Z., Kalva-Filho, C. A., Loures, J. P., Machado-Gobatto, F. B., Zagatto, A. M., and Papoti, M. (2017b). Comparison between peak oxygen consumption and its associated speed determined through an incremental test and a 400-m effort: implication for swimming training prescription. *Sci. Sports* 32, e37–e41. doi: 10.1016/j.scispo.2016.06.007
- de Poli, R. D. A. B., Roncada, L. H., Malta, E. D. S., Artioli, G. G., Bertuzzi, R., and Zagatto, A. M. (2019). Creatine supplementation improves phosphagen energy pathway during supramaximal effort, but does not improve anaerobic capacity or performance. *Front. Physiol.* 10:352. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2019.00352
- Di Prampero, P., and Margaria, R. (1968). Relationship between O_2 consumption, high energy phosphates and the kinetics of the O_2 debt in exercise. *Pflügers Arch.* 304, 11–19. doi: 10.1007/BF00586714

- di Prampero, P. E., and Ferretti, G. (1999). The energetics of anaerobic muscle metabolism: a reappraisal of older and recent concepts. *Respir. Physiol.* 118, 103–115. doi: 10.1016/S0034-5687(99)00083-3
- Faina, M., Billat, V., Squadrone, R., De Angelis, M., Koralsztein, J. P., and Dal Monte, A. (1997). Anaerobic contribution to the time to exhaustion at the minimal exercise intensity at which maximal oxygen uptake occurs in elite cyclists, kayakers and swimmers. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. Occup. Physiol.* 76, 13–20. doi: 10.1007/s004210050207
- Falgoutte, G., Bedu, M., Fellmann, N., Van-Praagh, E., and Coudert, J. (1991). Bio-energetic profile in 144 boys aged from 6 to 15 years with special reference to sexual maturation. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. Occup. Physiol.* 62, 151–156. doi: 10.1007/BF00643734
- Figueiredo, P., Zamparo, P., Sousa, A., Vilas-Boas, J. P., and Fernandes, R. J. (2011). An energy balance of the 200 m front crawl race. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 111, 767–777. doi: 10.1007/s00421-010-1696-z
- Gastin, P. B. (1994). Quantification of anaerobic capacity. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 4, 91–112. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.1994.tb00411.x
- Grassi, B. (2000). Skeletal muscle VO_2 on-kinetics: set by O_2 delivery or by O_2 utilization? New insights into an old issue. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 32, 108–116. doi: 10.1097/00005768-200001000-00017
- Green, S., and Dawson, B. (1993). Measurement of anaerobic capacities in humans. *Sports Med.* 15, 312–327. doi: 10.2165/00007256-199315050-00003
- Inbar, O. M. R. I., and Bar-Or, O. D. E. D. (1986). Anaerobic characteristics in male children and adolescents. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 18, 264–269. doi: 10.1249/00005768-198606000-00002
- Kaczor, J. J., Ziolkowski, W., Popinigis, J., and Tarnopolsky, M. A. (2005). Anaerobic and aerobic enzyme activities in human skeletal muscle from children and adults. *Pediatr. Res.* 57, 331–335. doi: 10.1203/01.PDR.0000150799.77094.DE
- Kalva-Filho, C. A., Campos, E. Z., Andrade, V. L., Silva, A., Zagatto, A. M., Lima, M., et al. (2015). Relationship of aerobic and anaerobic parameters with 400 m front crawl swimming performance. *Biol. Sport.* 32, 333–337. doi: 10.5604/20831862.1188611
- Knuttgén, H. G. (1970). Oxygen debt after submaximal physical exercise. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 29, 651–657. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1970.29.5.651
- Lätt, E., Jürimäe, J., Haljaste, K., Cicchella, A., Purge, P., and Jürimäe, T. (2009). Physical development and swimming performance during biological maturation in young female swimmers. *Coll. Antropol.* 33, 117–122. doi: 10.2466/pms.108.1.297-307
- Margarita, R., Edwards, H. T., and Dill, D. B. (1933). The possible mechanisms of contracting and paying the oxygen debt and the role of lactic acid in muscular contraction. *Am. J. Physiol.* 106, 689–715. doi: 10.1152/ajplegacy.1933.106.3.689
- Medbo, J. I., Mohn, A. C., Tabata, I., Bahr, R., Vaage, O., and Sejersted, O. M. (1988). Anaerobic capacity determined by maximal accumulated O_2 deficit. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 64, 50–60. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1988.64.1.50
- Milioni, F., Malta, E. D. S., Rocha, L. G. S. D. A., Mesquita, C. A. A., de Freitas, E. C., and Zagatto, A. M. (2016). Acute administration of high doses of taurine does not substantially improve high-intensity running performance and the effect on maximal accumulated oxygen deficit is unclear. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 41, 498–503. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2015-0435
- Miyagi, W. E., De Poli, R. D. A. B., Papoti, M., Bertuzzi, R., and Zagatto, A. M. (2017). Anaerobic capacity estimated in a single supramaximal test in cycling: validity and reliability analysis. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 1–10. doi: 10.1038/srep42485
- Monteiro, A. S., Carvalho, D. D., Azevedo, R., Vilas-Boas, J. P., Zacca, R., and Fernandes, R. J. (2020). Postswim oxygen consumption: assessment methodologies and kinetics analysis. *Physiol. Meas.* 41:105005. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/abb143
- Montpetit, R. R., Léger, L. A., Lavoie, J. M., and Cazorla, G. (1981). VO_2 peak during free swimming using the backward extrapolation of the O_2 recovery curve. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. Occup. Physiol.* 47, 385–391. doi: 10.1007/BF02332966
- Noordhoff, D. A., de Koning, J. J., and Foster, C. (2010). The maximal accumulated oxygen deficit method: a valid and reliable measure of anaerobic capacity. *Sports Med.* 40, 285–302. doi: 10.2165/11530390-000000000-00000
- Ogita, F., Hara, M., and Tabata, I. (1996). Anaerobic capacity and maximal oxygen uptake during arm stroke, leg kicking and whole body swimming. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 157, 435–441. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-201X.1996.490237000.x
- Ogita, F., Onodera, T., Tamaki, H., Toussaint, H. M., Hollander, A., and Wakayoshi, K. (2003). Metabolic profile during exhaustive arm stroke, leg kick, and whole body swimming lasting 15 s to 10 min. *Biomech. Med. Swim.* IX, 6, 361–366.
- Ozyener, F., Rossiter, H. B., Ward, S. A., and Whipp, B. J. (2001). Influence of exercise intensity on the on- and off-transient kinetics of pulmonary oxygen uptake in humans. *J. Physiol.* 533, 891–902. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7793.2001.t01-1-00891.x
- Reis, V. M., Marinho, D. A., Barbosa, F. P., Reis, A. M., Guidetti, L., and Silva, A. J. (2010a). Examining the accumulated oxygen deficit method in breaststroke swimming. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 109, 1129–1135. doi: 10.1007/s00421-010-1460-4
- Reis, V. M., Marinho, D. A., Policarpo, F. B., Carneiro, A. L., Baldari, C., and Silva, A. J. (2010b). Examining the accumulated oxygen deficit method in front crawl swimming. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 31, 421–427. doi: 10.1055/s-0030-1248286
- Rowntree, D. (1981). *Statistics Without Tears: A Primer for Non-mathematicians*. Londres: Penguin.
- Scheuermann, B. W., Hoelting, B. D., Noble, M. L., and Barstow, T. J. (2011). The slow component of O_2 uptake is not accompanied by changes in muscle EMG during repeated bouts of heavy exercise in humans. *J. Physiol.* 15, 245–256. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7793.2001.0245j.x
- Smithson, M. (2001). Correct confidence intervals for various regression effect sizes and parameters: The importance of noncentral distributions in computing intervals. *Educ. Psychol. Meas.* 61, 605–632. doi: 10.1177/0013164012197139210.1177/00131640121971392
- Sousa, A., Rodriguez, F. A., Machado, L., Vilas-Boas, J. P., and Fernandes, R. J. (2015). Exercise modality effect on oxygen uptake off-transient kinetics at maximal oxygen uptake intensity. *Exp. Physiol.* 100, 719–729. doi: 10.1113/EP085014
- Tanner, J. N. (1962). *Growth at Adolescence With a General Consideration of the Effects of Hereditary and Environmental Factors Upon Growth and Maturation From Birth to Maturity, 2nd Edn*. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Valenzuela, J. R., Riojas, A. E., McFarlin, B. K., Vingren, J. L., and Hill, D. W. (2020). Determining MAOD using a single exhaustive severe intensity test. *Int. J. Exerc. Sci.* 13, 702–713.
- Zagatto, A., Redkva, P., Loures, J., Kalva-Filho, C., Franco, V., Kaminagakura, E., et al. (2011). Anaerobic contribution during maximal anaerobic running test: correlation with maximal accumulated oxygen deficit. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 21, e222–e230. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01258.x
- Zagatto, A. M., Bertuzzi, R., Miyagi, W. E., Padulo, J., and Papoti, M. (2016). MAOD determined in a single supramaximal test: a study on the reliability and effects of supramaximal intensities. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 37, 700–707. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-104413
- Zagatto, A. M., Nakamura, F. Y., Milioni, F., Miyagi, W. E., de Poli, R. A., Padulo, J., et al. (2017). The sensitivity of the alternative maximal accumulated oxygen deficit method to discriminate training status. *J. Sports Sci.* 35, 2453–2460. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2016.1273539
- Zamparo, P., Capelli, C., Cautero, M., and Di Nino, A. (2000). Energy cost of front-crawl swimming at supra-maximal speeds and underwater torque in young swimmers. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 83, 487–491. doi: 10.1007/s004210000318

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Campos, Kalva-Filho, Silva, Arruda, Gobbi, Manchado-Gobatto and Papoti. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.