# 1 ARTICLE

# 2 Clinal and seasonal change are correlated in *Drosophila melanogaster*

# 3 natural populations

- 4 Running title: Correlated clinal and seasonal variation
- 5 Murillo F. Rodrigues<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Maria D. Vibranovski<sup>1</sup>, Rodrigo Cogni<sup>3</sup>
- <sup>1</sup> Department of Genetics and Evolutionary Biology, Institute of Biosciences, University of
   Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil
- 8 <sup>2</sup> Present address: Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR,
- 9 USA
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Ecology, Institute of Biosciences, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, SP,
- 11 Brazil
- 12
- 13 \* Corresponding author:
- 14 Murillo F. Rodrigues
- 15 Institute of Ecology and Evolution
- 16 University of Oregon
- 17 272 Onyx Bridge
- 18 5289 University of Oregon
- 19 Eugene, OR 97403-5289.
- 20

## 21 Author contributions

22 M.F.R. and R.C. designed the research. M.F.R. performed the research and analyzed the data.

23 M.F.R., M.D.V. and R.C. discussed the results and conclusions. M.F.R. wrote the manuscript

24 with input from M.D.V and R.C.

## 25 Acknowledgements

- 26 We would like to thank all the members of the Cogni Lab for input and support throughout
- 27 the development of this work. We thank Diogo Meyer, Paul Schmidt, Reinaldo Azevedo and
- 28 Peter Ralph for discussions and three anonymous reviewers for comments on the manuscript.
- 29 Funding for this work was provided by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP)

- 30 (13/25991-0 and 17/02206-6 to RC, 15/20844-4 to MDV, 16/01354-9 and 17/06374-0 to
- 31 MFR), and CNPq (307015/2015-7 and 307447/2018-9 to RC), and a Newton Advanced
- 32 Fellowship from the Royal Society to RC.
- 33

#### 34 Data accessibility

- 35 All the data used in this project are available on the NCBI Short Read Archive (BioProject
- 36 accession numbers PRJNA256231 and PRJNA308584, and NCBI Sequence Read Archive
- 37 SRA012285.16).

#### 38 Abstract

39 Spatial and seasonal variation in the environment are ubiquitous. Environmental 40 heterogeneity can affect natural populations and lead to covariation between environment and 41 allele frequencies. Drosophila melanogaster is known to harbor polymorphisms that change 42 both with latitude and seasons. Identifying the role of selection in driving these changes is not 43 trivial, because non-adaptive processes can cause similar patterns. Given the environment 44 changes in similar ways across seasons and along the latitudinal gradient, one promising 45 approach may be to look for parallelism between clinal and seasonal change. Here, we test 46 whether there is a genome-wide correlation between clinal and seasonal change, and whether 47 the pattern is consistent with selection. Allele frequency estimates were obtained from pooled 48 samples from seven different locations along the east coast of the US, and across seasons 49 within Pennsylvania. We show that there is a genome-wide correlation between clinal and 50 seasonal variation, which cannot be explained by linked selection alone. This pattern is 51 stronger in genomic regions with higher functional content, consistent with natural selection. 52 We derive a way to biologically interpret these correlations and show that around 3.7% of the common, autosomal variants could be under parallel seasonal and spatial selection. Our 53 54 results highlight the contribution of natural selection in driving fluctuations in allele 55 frequencies in natural fly populations and point to a shared genomic basis to climate 56 adaptation which happens over space and time in *D. melanogaster*.

57

### 58 Introduction

59 Species occur in environments that vary both in space and time (Ewing 1979; Cardini 60 et al. 2007; Dionne et al. 2007; Hancock et al. 2008; Zuther et al. 2012; Campitelli and 61 Stinchcombe 2013; Kooyers et al. 2015). Populations may adapt to the local conditions of the 62 environment in which they occur, resulting in covariation between traits and space (Endler 63 1977; Barton 1983; Barton 1999; Kawecki and Ebert 2004). Similarly, predictable changes in 64 the environment through time can lead covariation between relevant traits and time (Levene, 65 1953; Ewing 1979). Although correlations between environment and traits (either in time or space) are indicative of selection, these patterns can be produced by non-adaptive processes 66 67 such as migration, isolation by distance and range expansion (Wright 1943; Vasemägi 2006; 68 Excoffier et al. 2009; Duchen et al. 2013; Bergland et al. 2016). It is not trivial to identify the 69 role of selection in diversifying traits, but a promising approach might be to jointly model 70 changes across space and time.

71 Drosophila melanogaster is a uniquely suited to study both spatial and temporal 72 adaptation. These sub-Saharan flies recently invaded most of the world (David and Capy 73 1988), and adaptations at the phenotypic and genotypic levels evolved in response to the 74 colonization of new habitats (Mettler et al. 1977; Knibb 1982; Oakeshott et al. 1982; David 75 and Capy 1988; Schmidt et al. 2000; de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Sezgin et al. 2004). Many traits, polymorphisms and inversions were observed to covary with latitude (also called 76 77 clinal) in natural fly populations (Hoffmann et al. 2002; Hoffmann and Weeks 2007; Turner 78 et al. 2008; Paaby et al. 2010; Yukilevich et al. 2010; Reinhardt et al. 2014; Schrider et al. 79 2016). For instance, flies from colder environments are darker (David et al. 1985), bigger 80 (Arthur et al. 2008) and show higher incidence of reproductive diapause than flies from lower latitudes (Schmidt et al. 2005). 81

82 In higher latitudes, fly populations started to experience dramatic cyclical changes in 83 the environment through seasons. Given these flies have multiple generations per year, 84 differential fitness across seasons could theoretically lead to temporal adaptations (Levene 85 1953; Ewing 1979). Traits that favor rapid reproduction in the summer can be particularly 86 different to those which favor endurance in the winter (Behrman et al. 2015). Concordant 87 with this hypothesis, chromosomal inversions in D. pseudoobscura were observed to cycle 88 with seasons (Dobzhansky 1943). In D. melanogaster, flies collected in the spring are more 89 tolerant to stress (Behrman et al. 2015), show higher diapause inducibility (Schmidt and 90 Conde 2006), have increased immune function (Behrman et al. 2018) and have different 91 cuticular hydrocarbon profiles than those collected in the fall (Rajpurohit et al. 2017). 92 Genome-wide analyses have identified polymorphisms and inversions that oscillate in 93 seasonal timescales in several localities in the United States and Europe (Bergland et al. 94 2014; Kapun et al. 2016; Machado et al. 2021). However, a recent analysis suggested 95 seasonal fluctuations in allele frequencies seems small and temporal structure independent of 96 seasons may be more important in this system (Buffalo and Coop 2019). 97 It is challenging to characterize the role of selection in producing spatial or seasonal 98 change in allele frequencies. At the spatial scale, the axis of demography and environmental 99 heterogeneity are confounded in this system (i.e., migration and environment are structured 100 along the south-north axis) (Caracristi and Schlotterer 2003; Yukilevich and True 2008; 101 Duchen et al. 2013; Kao et al. 2015). At the seasonal scale, the magnitude of allele frequency 102 change with seasons is expected to be rather small, and stochastic environmental events not 103 aligned with seasons complicate inferences even further (Machado et al. 2021). However, we 104 can gain power by jointly modelling latitudinal and seasonal changes (Cogni et al. 2015). 105 Two adaptive mechanisms are expected to induce correlations between clinal and 106 seasonal fluctuations in allele frequencies. First, the environment changes similarly with

latitude and through seasons (at least with respect to temperature). Second, the onset of
spring changes with latitude, and so seasonal changes in polymorphisms alone could produce
clinal variation, a mechanism termed seasonal phase clines (Roff 1980; Rhomberg and Singh
1986). The effects of neutral, demographic processes which can confound interpretation are
expected to be much less pronounced because of the short time scale of seasonal processes.
Thus, parallel latitudinal and seasonal variation in a trait is strong evidence in favor of natural
selection (Bergland et al. 2014; Cogni et al. 2015).

114 Some empirical studies have found parallelism between clinal and seasonal variation 115 in D. melanogaster (Bergland et al. 2014; Cogni et al. 2015; Kapun et al. 2016; Behrman et 116 al. 2018; Machado et al. 2021). The prevalence of reproductive diapause, a phenotype tightly 117 linked to adaptation to cold environments, varies both with latitude and seasons (Schmidt et 118 al. 2005). Cogni et al. (2014) found that a variant in the *couchpotato* gene, which encodes 119 diapause inducibility, also varied predictably with latitude and across seasons: the diapause-120 inducing allele is positively correlated with latitude and its frequency increases from summer 121 into winter. Cogni et al. (2015) found an association between clinal and seasonal change in 122 central metabolic genes, which are likely important drivers of climatic adaptation. Kapun et 123 al. (2016) found that a few cosmopolitan inversions thought to be involved with climate 124 adaptation also vary in parallel with latitude and through seasons.

Here, we test whether parallel clinal and seasonal variation is pervasive across the *D*. *melanogaster* genome. It is essential we further our understanding of the genomic basis to climate adaptation in *D. melanogaster*, so that we can identify possible mechanisms which allow adaptation over such short time scales (Wittmann et al. 2017). A parallel and independent study also investigated the relationship between clinal and seasonal change in *D. melanogaster* (Machado et al. 2021). Nevertheless, our work is fundamentally different from previous studies because (i) we use seasonal samples collected over six years, as opposed to 132 at most three years in other studies; (ii) our samples are all from the same location in 133 Pennsylvania, where seasonality is strong and phenotypes are known to cycle seasonally, and 134 where there is little evidence of population substructure or large scale migrations events 135 (Schmidt and Conde 2006; Behrman et al. 2015; Rajpurohit et al. 2017; Behrman et al. 136 2018); (iii) we analyze how the correlation between clinal and seasonal variation changes 137 across genomic regions which differ in density of functional sites, allowing us to better disentangle demography and selection; and (iv) we dissect the role of linkage disequilibrium 138 139 in driving these patterns.

#### 140 Material and Methods

141 **Population samples** 

142 We analyzed 20 samples from seven locations along the United States east coast, collected by 143 (Bergland et al. 2014) (10 samples), and (Machado et al. 2021) (10 samples) (see Table S1). 144 The samples were based on pools of wild-caught individuals. We decided to not include 145 previously collected samples from Maine because they were collected in the fall, whereas all 146 of our other samples were collected in the spring, and we also did not include the DGRP 147 sample from North Carolina, as it is hard to ascertain when they were obtained (Fabian et al. 148 2012; Mackay et al. 2012; Bergland et al. 2014). The Linvilla (Pennsylvania) population was 149 sampled extensively from 2009 to 2015 (six spring, seven fall samples), and was therefore 150 used in our analysis of seasonal variation. One of the Pennsylvania samples was exclusively 151 used for the clinal analysis to minimize dependency between our clinal and seasonal sets. We 152 also replicated our clinal analysis using data from four Australian samples (Anderson et al. 153 2005; Kolaczkowski et al. 2011). All the data used in this project are available on the NCBI 154 Short Read Archive (BioProject accession numbers PRJNA256231, PRJNA308584 and 155 NCBI Sequence Read Archive SRA012285.16).

156 Mapping and processing of sequencing data

157 Raw, paired-end reads were mapped against the FlyBase D. melanogaster (r6.15) and D. 158 simulans (r2.02) reference genomes (Gramates et al. 2017) using BBSplit from the BBMap 159 suite (https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/; version from February 11, 2019). We removed 160 any reads that preferentially mapped to D. simulans to mitigate effects of contamination (the 161 proportion of reads preferentially mapping to *D. simulans* was minimal, never exceeding 162 3%). Then, reads were remapped to D. melanogaster reference genome using bwa (MEM 163 algorithm) version 0.7.15 (Li and Durbin 2010). Files were converted from SAM to BAM 164 format using Picard Tools (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). PCR duplicates were 165 marked and removed using Picard Tools and local realignment around indels was performed 166 using GATK version 3.7 (McKenna et al. 2010). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) 167 and indels were called using CRISP with default parameters (Bansal et al. 2016). 168 We applied several filters to ensure that the identified SNPs were not artifacts. SNPs 169 in repetitive regions, identified using the RepeatMasker library for D. melanogaster (obtained 170 from http://www.repeatmasker.org), and SNPs within 5bp of polymorphic indels were 171 removed from our analyses. SNPs with mean minor allele frequency in the clinal and 172 seasonal samples less than 5%, with minimum per-population coverage less than 10x (or 4x173 for the Australian samples) or maximum per-population coverage greater than the 99<sup>th</sup> 174 quantile were excluded from our analyses. We only considered bi-allelic, autosomal SNPs in 175 our downstream analyses. Functional annotations for the identified SNPs obtained using 176 SNPeff version 4.30 (Cingolani et al. 2012). 177 Clinal and seasonal changes in allele frequency 178 The allele frequencies were calculated by diving the number of reads supporting each allele, 179 divided by the total number of reads. Because pool-seq data contain an additional component

180 of error due to sampling, we did not weight the allele frequencies by total depth at each site;

181 instead we used the effective sample size, or effective number of chromosomes  $(N_E)$ , as the 182 denominator. This metric can be computed as follows:

$$N_E = \left(\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{N_C}\right)^{-1}$$

184 where  $N_c$  is the number of chromosomes in the pool and D is the read depth at that site

185 (Kolaczkowski et al. 2011; Feder et al. 2012; Bergland et al. 2014).

186To assess latitudinal variation, we fitted a binomial linear model of allele frequency187against latitude for each site. Similarly, we regressed allele frequency at each site against a188season dummy variable (June and July were encoded as Spring, and September, October and189November as Fall) and included the year of sampling as a covariate. For either regression, we190required the variant to be polymorphic in at least two samples. Further, we computed191pairwise  $F_{ST}$  for all our samples using the R package poolfstat (Hivert et al., 2018).192We defined clinal and seasonal SNPs using an outlier approach, because we do not

193 have an adequate genome-wide null distribution to compare our estimates. We considered

194 that SNPs were outliers if their regression P-value fell in the bottom 1% (or 5%) of the

195 distribution.

196 Correlation between clinal and seasonal variation

197 Our main goal was to evaluate whether clinal and seasonal change are correlated, pooling

198 information across the thousands of polymorphisms that segregate in natural populations. To

199 do so, we regressed the slopes of the clinal regressions and the slope of the seasonal

200 regressions. The regression line was fit using Huber's M estimator to improve robustness to

201 outliers. Before fitting the regression, we z-normalized the clinal and seasonal slopes, so the

slope of the regression of clinal and seasonal change is actually the same as the correlation.

We also investigated how the correlation between clinal and seasonal change differed across genomic regions. For that, we used a dummy variable with annotations as a covariate.

The regions analyzed were exon, intron, 5' UTR, 3' UTR, upstream, downstream intergenic 205 206 and splice. There are some chromosomal inversions segregating in the populations we 207 studied, and they are known to contribute to adaptation (Wright and Dobzhansky 1946; 208 García-Vázquez and Sánchez-Refusta 1988; Kapun et al. 2014). We annotated SNPs 209 surrounding (2Mb) common inversion breakpoints and added inversion status as a covariate 210 in the linear model (Corbett-Detig and Hartl 2012). 211 To confirm our results are robust to potential model misspecifications, we 212 implemented a permutation test in which we rerun the regressions for each SNP using 213 shuffled season and latitude labels 2,000 times. The same procedure was implemented for 214 most of the statistical tests, except where indicated otherwise. 215 **Enrichment tests** 216 We tested for enrichment of genic classes using our sets of clinal and seasonal SNPs using 217 Fisher's exact test for each genic region and statistic. To control for confounders, such as 218 read depth and allele frequency variation, we shuffled the season and latitude labels and reran

219 the generalized regressions. Using the P-values obtained from regressions in which season

and latitude labels were shuffled, we defined, for each iteration, lists of top clinal and

seasonal SNPs. Then, we calculated the enrichment of each genic class using Fisher's exact

test. To obtain a P-value for an enrichment of a given genic class, we compared the observed

223 odds ratio in the actual dataset to the distribution of odds ratios observed for datasets in

which season and latitude labels were shuffled.

225 Mitigating the impact of linkage disequilibrium

226 Selection at one site affects genetic variation at nearby, linked neutral sites (Smith and Haigh

1974). Because we assume that sites are independent in our models, the indirect effects of

selection can inflate the magnitude of the patterns we investigated. To test the effect of

229 linkage disequilibrium (LD) in our outlier analyses, we plotted P-values against distance to a

230	top SNP. We then smoothed the scatterplot using cubic splines as implemented in ggplot2
231	(Wickham 2016). To test the effect of linkage on the relationship between clinal and seasonal
232	variation, we implemented a thinning approach. Sampling one SNP per $L$ base pairs one
233	thousand times, we constructed sets of SNPs with minimized dependency, where $L$ ranged
234	from 1 to 20kb. For each of these sets for a given $L$ , we computed the correlation between
235	clinal and seasonal slopes, and compared the distribution of the thinned regression
236	coefficients to the coefficients we obtained using all SNPs.
237	All statistical analyses were performed in R 3.5.0 (R Core Team 2018) and can be

238 found at <u>gitlab.com/mufernando/clinal\_sea.git</u>.

### 239 **Results**

240 We assembled 20 D. melanogaster population samples collected from seven localities across 241 multiple years in the east coast of the United States. All of these samples are the result of a 242 collaborative effort of many researchers from a consortium, the DrosRTEC (Bergland et al. 243 2014; Machado et al. 2021). Seven of our samples span from Florida to Massachusetts and 244 together comprise our clinal set. The seasonal samples were collected in Pennsylvania in the 245 spring (6 samples collected in June or July) and in the fall (6 samples collected in September, 246 October or November). For each sample, a median of 55 individuals (with a range of 33 to 247 116) was pooled and resequenced to an average 75x coverage (ranging from 17 to 215). We 248 also used four clinal samples from the Australia (Anderson et al. 2005; Kolaczkowski et al. 249 2011). More details about the samples can be found on Table S1 (also see Machado et al. 250 2021; Bergland et al. 2014). After all the filtering steps, we identified 798,176 common 251 autosomal SNPs, which were used in our downstream analyses.

252 Allele frequency changes with latitude, seasons and years







261

265

Our generalized linear models do not account for dependency between samples,

266 which can be a problem when regressing allele frequency on seasons. To investigate whether

this could be an issue, we performed Durbin-Watson tests for autocorrelation in the residuals

268 of the seasonal regressions using Julian days as the time variable. There is no excess of low

269 P-values (Fig. S1), and the season P-values are not correlated with Durbin-Watson test P-

270 value (P = 0.77). This indicates that the assumption of independency is being met for most

variants, and that autocorrelation is not artificially creating patterns of seasonality in allelefrequency.

Given we do not have enough information to build an appropriate null distribution to 273 274 calibrate our P-values, we sought to demonstrate that top significant clinal and seasonal SNPs are enriched for functional variants, which are more likely to contribute to adaptation. 275 Latitudinal SNPs are more likely to be in exonic and UTR 3' regions (Fig. 2A), whereas 276 277 seasonal SNPs are enriched for exonic, UTR 3' and UTR 5' regions (Fig. 2B). Further, top 278 latitudinal and seasonal SNPs seem to be underrepresented within upstream and downstream 279 regions. Similar enrichment patterns have been observed for both top clinal and seasonal 280 (Kolaczowski et al. 2011; Fabian et al. 2012; Bergland et al. 2014; Machado et al. 2016, 281 2021). Using a 5% cutoff, our enrichment results are largely replicated (Fig. S2).



282



SNPs in each genic class for A) latitudinal P-value and B) seasonal P-value. The histograms show the distribution of odds ratios when latitude and season labels were permuted, and the

286 vertical bars show the observed odds ratios.

287 Clinal variation is related to seasonal variation

A clinal pattern can arise solely as a result of demographic processes, such as isolation by

distance and admixture (Duchen et al. 2013; Kao et al. 2015; Bergland et al. 2016). Although

290 seasonality is less affected by such processes, seasonal change is less pronounced and more 291 subject to stochastic changes in the environment, making it harder to detect seasonal change 292 with precision. Here, we integrate both clinal and seasonal change estimates across a large 293 number of SNPs in the genome. We expect the overall pattern that emerges to be informative 294 of the relative role of natural selection, because selection is a plausible process to produce a 295 pattern of clinal variation mirroring seasonal variation (Cogni et al. 2015). 296 We found a significant negative correlation between clinal and seasonal regression 297 coefficients (Fig. 3A, Table S2). The correlation is strongest for SNPs within exons, and the 298 weakest for unclassified SNPs and those within intergenic regions (Fig. 3A; Table S3). 299 Nonetheless, the correlation is different than zero for all classes (except for the unclassified), 300 what would be consistent either with pervasive linked selection or widespread distribution of 301 variants that are important for adaptation, even within non-coding regions. Qualitatively 302 similar results were replicated using a different minor allele frequency cutoff and using

303 samples from Maine, which were obtained the summer – in contrast to all the other clinal

304 populations that were sampled in the fall (Fig. S3B-C).



305

Figure 3. Clinal change parallels seasonal change. Correlation between clinal change and seasonal change for each genic class (A) and by inversion breakpoint (B). (C) Association between top latitudinal and seasonal variants for different P-value cutoffs. Gray histograms are the null distribution of the correlation (after permuting the latitude and season labels) and vertical bars represent the observed correlation.

311 312

Given that previous studies have demonstrated the importance of cosmopolitan

313 inversions in climatic adaptation (e.g., Kapun et al. 2016), we looked at the correlation

between clinal and seasonal change near common cosmopolitan inversions breakpoints. We

found that the correlation between clinal and seasonal change is strongest near the

316 breakpoints of inversions In(2R)NS, In(3R)P and In(3L)P (Fig. 3B, Table S4). Nevertheless,

317 the pattern is still strong outside these regions, indicating our main results are not purely

318 driven by frequency changes of inversions.

- 319 Another way of testing for parallelism between clinal and seasonal change is by
- 320 testing if clinal SNPs are more likely to be seasonal (and vice-versa). We observed that clinal

321 SNPs are enriched for seasonal SNPs (Fig. 3C). The enrichment increases with more

- 322 stringent lower P-value quantile cut-offs, as we would expect if even strictly non-significant
- 323 variants were informative of the role of selection.
- We also confirmed our main finding, that clinal and seasonal change are correlated
- 325 using clinal samples from Australia. To measure clinal change in Australia, we only used
- 326 four low coverage samples in Australia, two for each of low and high latitude locations.
- 327 There is a negative correlation between clinal variation in Australia and seasonal variation in
- 328 Pennsylvania that, although minor, is significant (Fig. S3C).
- 329 The effects of linkage disequilibrium on clinal and seasonal variation
- 330 Variation at one site is linked to variation at other sites, and selection will increase this
- dependency (Smith and Haigh 1974). First, we assessed if latitudinal and seasonal P-values,
- 332 were dependent on how distant a SNP was from our top 1% SNPs. We show that both
- 333 statistics are dependent on distance from the outlier SNPs (Fig. 4A, B), but the effect
- 334 virtually disappears after 5kb.

335 We assessed the impact of linkage on the correlation between clinal and seasonal 336 change by implementing a thinning approach. First, we tested how the genome-wide 337 regression estimate varied with changing window sizes. The effect of non-independency of 338 variants on the correlation is rather small (Fig. 4C) and the genome-wide correlation remains 339 significantly different from zero (P=0.001; Fig. 4D). The thinning did not significantly 340 reduce the signal for many regions, but the strength of the signal within splicing, UTR 5', upstream, downstream and intergenic regions decreased and did not remain significantly 341 342 different from zero (Fig. 4D). It seems that most of the signal coming from those regions are due to the linked effects of selection. 343



Figure 4. Effects of linkage disequilibrium. The mean (A) latitudinal P-value and (B)
seasonal P-value depend on distance to the respective top 1% outliers. The correlation
between clinal and seasonal variation is affected by dependency among SNPs. C) the
correlation between clinal and seasonal variation changes with the size of the thinning
window. D) comparison among original estimates (arrows) and values obtained after thinning
using a window size of 5kb (histogram). Histograms show the distributions across sampled
thinned datasets, and the black arrows point to the original estimates.

352 Biological interpretation of the correlation between clinal and seasonal change

353 Although the negative correlation between clinal and seasonal change indicates a role for

354 selection, it is unclear how strongly parallel selection would need to be to generate this

355 correlation. Intuitively, we expect the correlation to be rather small, as the majority of the

356 variants are likely not under parallel selection. Below, we derive how to get a rough estimate

357 of the number of SNPs under parallel selection from the observed correlation.

358 Suppose that for a proportion *p* of the SNPs the clinal and seasonal regression

- 359 coefficients are correlated due to parallel selection, whereas for the remainder of the SNPs
- 360 they are independent. What genome-wide correlation would we expect? To find this, we can

361	write $(Z_1, Z_2)$ for the two z-normalized regression coefficients of a randomly chosen SNP.
362	SNPs can be either under parallel selection or not, so we define $(X_1, X_2)$ and $(Y_1, Y_2)$ as
363	random draws from the z-normalized regression coefficients for SNPs under parallel
364	selection and not, respectively. Then, $(Z_1, Z_2) = (X_1, X_2)$ with probability $p$ , and $(Z_1, Z_2) =$
365	$(Y_1, Y_2)$ otherwise. To find the cor $(Z_1, Z_2)$ , note that since $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ have mean 0 and
366	standard deviation 1, $cor(Z_1, Z_2) = E[Z_1Z_2]$ (and similarly for X and Y). So,
367	$cor(Z_1, Z_2) = p cor(X_1, X_2) + (1 - p) cor(Y_1, Y_2)$ using the law of total expectation.
368	For the subset of SNPs under parallel selection, we suppose $cor(X_1, X_2) = \rho$ and for
369	the remainder of the SNPs we suppose no correlation, or $cor(Y_1, Y_2) = 0$ . Then the genome-
370	wide correlation is $cor(Z_1, Z_2) = p \rho$ . Here, p is the proportion of SNPs under parallel
371	selection and it can be estimated as $p = cor(Z_1, Z_2) \div \rho$ . We do not know what the
372	correlation $\rho$ between clinal and seasonal change should be for the variants under selection,
373	but since it is expected to be $-1 < \rho < 0$ , estimating p as $-cor(Z_1, Z_2)$ is conservative (also
374	see Fig. S4). Recall we assume $\rho$ is negative because the climate becomes colder in higher
375	latitudes, but it gets warmer from spring to fall.
376	Note our model has a few assumptions: (i) our measures of clinal and seasonal change
377	have mean zero and variance one, which is met given we are dealing with the z-normalized
378	regression coefficients and (ii) all polymorphisms are independent from one another.
379	Accounting for linkage disequilibrium is notoriously complicated in genomic analyses,
380	especially because we cannot accurately measure LD from pooled sequencing (Feder et al.
381	2012). However, in the previous section we showed that we are able to mitigate the effects of
382	LD on the correlation between clinal and seasonal change using a thinning approach.
383	We can now readily interpret our observed correlations as proportion of SNPs under

parallel selection (ignoring the negative sign). Using our thinned estimates, the patterns
uncovered here are consistent with 3.78% of the common, autosomal variants being under

parallel selection. It is curious our estimated proportion is close to previous estimates for the proportion of clinal (3.7% in Machado et al. 2015) and seasonal SNPs (~4% in Machado et al. 2021), both of which were obtained using different signals (using regression analyses Pvalues, as opposed to correlations between clinal and seasonal change).

#### 390 Discussion

391 Clinal patterns have been observed in both phenotypic and genotypic traits in many different 392 species (Hancock et al. 2008; Baxter et al. 2010; Adrion et al. 2015). Especially in systems in 393 which there is collinearity between the axis of gene flow and environmental heterogeneity, 394 disentangling the contribution of selection and demography in producing clines is not trivial. 395 Detecting seasonal cycling in allele frequencies is also challenging, mostly because the effect 396 size is likely to be small and the environment may change unpredictably within seasons. The 397 environment changes similarly with latitude and through seasons, so by jointly modelling 398 spatial and temporal changes in allele frequency it may be possible to disentangle the role of 399 adaptive and non-adaptive processes. Here, we showed that clinal and seasonal changes are 400 correlated across the *D. melanogaster* genome, suggesting natural selection plays an 401 important role in structuring allele frequencies over latitude and seasons.

Demographic processes are expected to impact the genome as a whole, but the effects of selection are stronger in regions with higher densities of functional sites (Andolfatto 2005). Consistent with this expectation, we found that correlation between clinal and seasonal change varies across genomic regions, being stronger in coding regions (Fig. 2A,C). We derived a way to biologically interpret our statistic of interest, the correlation between clinal and seasonal change. We found that allele frequency changes in roughly 3.7% of common, autosomal SNPs could be driven by natural selection.

Because we expect selection to intensify linkage disequilibrium, the correlation
between clinal and seasonal variation could be mostly driven by a few large effect loci.

411 Segregating inversions are known to underlie much of climatic adaptation in *D. melanogaster* 412 (Fabian et al. 2012, Kapun et al. 2014, 2016), therefore we investigated how much of our 413 signal depended on inversion status. We found the correlation between clinal and seasonal 414 change to be stronger surrounding common inversions, highlighting the role of selection in 415 driving frequency changes in common, cosmopolitan inversions in D. melanogaster. The 416 correlation between clinal and seasonal change is particularly high for SNPs near 417 In(3R)Payne breakpoints, an inversion known to be associated to phenotypes relevant to 418 adaptation to cooler climates (reviewed in Kapun et al. 2019). Nevertheless, clinal and 419 seasonal change are significantly correlated for SNPs far from inversion breakpoints, 420 suggesting loci involved in adaptation at the spatial and seasonal scales are not restricted to 421 inversions. We also controlled for autocorrelation along chromosomes and found that the 422 effects of linkage disequilibrium are rather strong, but they decay rapidly and seem to return 423 to background levels after 5kb (Fig. 3A-C). Indeed, the correlation between clinal and 424 seasonal change remains rather strong even after accounting for LD, suggesting parallel 425 selection acts pervasively across the genome.

426 Population substructure and migration could be causing seasonal variation in allele 427 frequency in D. melanogaster. For example, rural populations of D. melanogaster in 428 temperate regions could collapse during the winter and recover from spring to fall. However, 429 reproductive diapause cycles in orchards and reaches high frequencies early in the spring, 430 whereas its frequency in urban fruit markets in Philadelphia is much lower (Schmidt and 431 Conde 2006). Another possibility is that seasonal variation is produced by migration of flies 432 from the south in the summer, and from the north in the winter. There is little evidence of 433 long-range migration in *D. melanogaster*, though this process seems important in *D. simulans* 434 (Bergland et al. 2014; Machado et al. 2016). D. melanogaster have been shown to survive 435 and reproduce during winter season in temperate regions, so flies can withstand a harsh

winter season and be subject to selection (Mitrovski and Hoffmann 2001; Hoffmann et al.
2003, Rudman et al. 2019). These seasonal patterns have been replicated in many populations
across North America and Europe (Machado et al. 2021), bolstering the argument for
seasonal adaptation. Given the patterns we uncovered here are the result of subtle, but
repeatable changes across multiple seasons, it is hard to imagine that selection is not the main
causing force, even if it is acting to maintain cryptic population structure within each
location.

443 Differential admixture from Europe and Africa to the ends of the clines cannot 444 plausibly explain the parallel clinal and repeatably seasonal changes in allele frequencies 445 (Duchen et al. 2013; Kao et al. 2015; Bergland et al. 2016), because variation over seasonal 446 time scales is less affected by broader scale migration patterns. Further, the evidence for 447 secondary contact in Australia is quite weak (see Bergland et al. 2016), but we show that 448 clinal variation in Australia is correlated with seasonal variation in Pennsylvania (Fig. S3). 449 Secondary contact may have contributed ancestral variation, which has since been selectively 450 sorted along the cline (Flatt 2016). Consistent with this interpretation that selection mediates 451 admixture in *D. melanogaster*, it has been found that the proportion of African ancestry is 452 lower in low recombination regions (Pool 2015).

453 An important mechanism that can cause clinal patterns has been neglected from 454 recent discussions of clinal variation in Drosophila. The latitudinal variation on the onset of 455 seasons can produce clines, a phenomenon termed "seasonal phase clines" (Roff 1980; 456 Rhomberg and Singh 1986). Under this model, a correlation between clinal and seasonal 457 change is expected. Our latitudinal samples were all collected within one month of difference 458 (during the spring), and so our observations could be partially explained by differences in the 459 seasonal phase. Our data does not allow for proper disentangling of seasonal phase clines 460 from parallel environmental change but change on the onset of seasons alone cannot explain

461 our results. We found that latitude is usually a much better predictor of allele frequency 462 differences (Fig. 1A-B), and the magnitude of change along the cline is much greater than 463 what we found within a population across seasons (9.2% vs. 2.64%). We show that including 464 Maine samples (which were obtained in the fall, in contrast to all other samples that were 465 sampled in the spring) in our analyses does not meaningfully change our main results (Fig. 466 S3). However, the rate of change (per degree of latitude) in allele frequency from Florida to 467 Maine is smaller than the rate from Florida to Massachusetts. This could be due to 468 differences in sampling year, but we also found the rate of change in frequency between 469 Virginia and Maine to be smaller than the rate between Virginia and Massachusetts (FL and 470 ME were sampled in 2009, whereas VA and MA were sampled in 2012; Fig. S5). We 471 believe these differences are due to the shift in seasonal phase, as the samples from Maine 472 were collected in the fall, but all other samples were collected in the spring. 473 A recent study suggested the temporal changes in allele frequency reported in 474 Bergland et al. (2014) is only weakly consistent with seasonal selection (Buffalo et al. 2019). 475 Consecutive spring-fall pairs showed some signal of adaptation, but the effect was small and 476 disappeared at larger timescales (same season but across different years). Similarly, Machado 477 et al. 2021 found that when they flipped the season labels of some samples the seasonal 478 model fit was greatly improved. Consistent with these observations, we found there is strong 479 temporal structure across years (Fig. 1C) and the matrix of pairwise  $F_{ST}$  shows some strong 480 and seemingly haphazard temporal events (e.g., consider the entries for PA\_07\_2010 and 481 PA 07 2015 in Fig. S5). Here with an expanded seasonal set of samples, we show that the 482 distribution of seasonal P-values is only slightly enriched for low P-values (Fig. 1B), but top 483 seasonal SNPs are enriched for functional genic classes, when compared to datasets in which 484 the season labels were permuted (Fig. 2B). These results highlight how difficult it is to find 485 truly seasonal SNPs with current datasets. Once more comprehensive time series data is

486 available, environmental heterogeneity could be explored without the need for an imperfect487 proxy (such as seasons).

488 Many species occur along spatially structured environments and show clinal variation 489 in traits, so a question that remains open is: what is the role of selection in producing and 490 maintaining these patterns? Seasonal variation is also ubiquitous, especially in temperate 491 environments, so seasonal change could be an important feature of organisms that have 492 multiple generations each year (Behrman et al. 2015). Here, we demonstrate that by 493 integrating clinal and seasonal variation, we can discern the contributions of selection in 494 driving allele frequency changes with the environment. Our empirical work suggests a 495 considerable fraction of variants distributed across the genome underlie adaptation to 496 environmental changes over space and time in *D. melanogaster*. Importantly, our findings, 497 together with previous studies on seasonal adaptation in flies, are bound to challenge new 498 theoretical developments on the mechanisms that are compatible with rapid and polygenic 499 responses to changes in the environment (e.g., Wittmann et al. 2017).

500

#### References 501

- 502 Adrion JR, Hahn MW, Cooper BS. 2015. Revisiting classic clines in Drosophila melanogaster in the age of 503 genomics. Trends Genet. 31:434-444.
- 504 Agis M, Schlötterer C. 2001. Microsatellite variation in natural Drosophila melanogaster populations from New 505 South Wales (Australia) and Tasmania. Mol. Ecol. 10:1197-1205.
- 506 Anderson, A. R., Hoffmann, A. A., McKechnie, S. W., Umina, P. A., & Weeks, A. R. 2005. The latitudinal
- 507 cline in the In (3R) Payne inversion polymorphism has shifted in the last 20 years in Australian Drosophila
- 508 melanogaster populations. Molecular Ecology. 14(3), 851-858.
- 509 Andolfatto, P. 2005. Adaptive evolution of non-coding DNA in Drosophila. Nature. 437(7062), 1149.
- 510 Arthur AL, Weeks AR, Sgrò CM. 2008. Investigating latitudinal clines for life history and stress resistance traits 511 in Drosophila simulans from eastern Australia. J. Evol. Biol. 21:1470-1479.
- 512 Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig
- 513 JT, et al. 2000. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat. Genet. 514 25:25-29.
- 515 Bansal V, Bansal V, Libiger O. 2016. A statistical method for the detection of variants from next-generation 516 resequencing of DNA pools. Bioinformatics 32:3213.
- 517 Barton NH. 1983. Multilocus clines. Evolution 37:454-471.
- 518 Barton NH. 1999. Clines in polygenic traits. Genet. Res. 74:223-236.
- 519 Baxter I, Brazelton JN, Yu D, Huang YS, Lahner B, Yakubova E, Li Y, Bergelson J, Borevitz JO, Nordborg M,
- 520 et al. 2010. A Coastal Cline in Sodium Accumulation in Arabidopsis thaliana Is Driven by Natural Variation of 521 the Sodium Transporter AtHKT1;1. PLoS Genet. 6:e1001193.
- 522 Behrman EL, Howick VM, Kapun M, Staubach F, Bergland AO, Petrov DA, Lazzaro BP, Schmidt PS. 2018.
- 523 Rapid seasonal evolution in innate immunity of wild Drosophila melanogaster. Proc. Biol. Sci. [Internet] 285. 524
- Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2599
- 525 Behrman EL, Watson SS, O'Brien KR, Heschel MS, Schmidt PS. 2015. Seasonal variation in life history traits 526 in two Drosophila species. J. Evol. Biol. 28:1691-1704.
- 527 Bergland AO, Behrman EL, O'Brien KR, Schmidt PS, Petrov DA. 2014. Genomic evidence of rapid and stable 528 adaptive oscillations over seasonal time scales in Drosophila. PLoS Genet. 10:e1004775.
- 529 Bergland AO, Tobler R, González J, Schmidt P, Petrov D. 2016. Secondary contact and local adaptation
- 530 contribute to genome-wide patterns of clinal variation in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol. Ecol. 25:1157-1174.
- 531 Berry A, Kreitman M. 1993. Molecular analysis of an allozyme cline: alcohol dehydrogenase in Drosophila
- 532 melanogaster on the east coast of North America. Genetics 134(3):869-93.
- 533 Bock IR, Parsons PA. 1981. Species of Australia and New Zealand. Genetics and biology of Drosophila 3:291-534 308.
- 535 Božičević V, Hutter S, Stephan W, Wollstein A. 2016. Population genetic evidence for cold adaptation in
- 536 European Drosophila melanogaster populations. Molecular ecology 25(5):1175-91.
- 537 Buffalo V, Coop G. 2019. Estimating the genome-wide contribution of selection to temporal allele frequency 538 change. BioRxiv, 798595.
- 539 Campitelli BE, Stinchcombe JR. 2013. Natural selection maintains a single-locus leaf shape cline in Ivyleaf 540 morning glory, Ipomoea hederacea. Mol. Ecol. 22:552-564.
- 541 Caracristi, G., and C. Schlötterer. 2003. "Genetic Differentiation between American and European Drosophila
- 542 Melanogaster Populations Could Be Attributed to Admixture of African Alleles." Molecular Biology and
- 543 Evolution 20 (5): 792–99.
- 544 Cardini A, Jansson A-U, Elton S. 2007. A geometric morphometric approach to the study of ecogeographical
- 545 and clinal variation in vervet monkeys. J. Biogeogr. 34:1663-1678.
- 546 Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, Land SJ, Lu X, Ruden DM. 2012. A program for
- 547 annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of
- 548 Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly 6:80-92.
- 549 Cogni R, Kuczynski C, Koury S, Lavington E, Behrman EL, O'Brien KR, Schmidt PS, Eanes WF. 2014. The
- 550 intensity of selection acting on the couch potato gene--spatial-temporal variation in a diapause cline. Evolution 551 68:538-548.
- 552 Cogni R, Kuczynski K, Lavington E, Koury S, Behrman EL, O'Brien KR, Schmidt PS, Eanes WF. 2015.
- 553 Variation in Drosophila melanogaster central metabolic genes appears driven by natural selection both within
- 554 and between populations. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 282:20142688.
- 555 Cogni R, Kuczynski K, Koury S, Lavington E, Behrman EL, O'Brien KR, Schmidt PS, Eanes WF. 2017. On the
- 556 long-term stability of clines in some metabolic genes in Drosophila melanogaster. Scientific reports 7:42766.
- 557 Comeron JM, Ratnappan R, Bailin S. 2012. The many landscapes of recombination in Drosophila melanogaster. 558 PLoS Genet. 8:e1002905.
- 559 Corbett-Detig, Russell B., and Daniel L. Hartl. Population Genomics of Inversion Polymorphisms in Drosophila
- 560 Melanogaster. PLOS Genet. 8, no. 12 (December 20, 2012): e1003056.

561

- 562 Coyne JA, Beecham E. 1987. Heritability of two morphological characters within and among natural
- 563 populations of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 117:727–737.
- 564 David J, Capy P, Payant V, Tsakas S. 1985. Thoracic trident pigmentation in Drosophila melanogaster:

565 Differentiation of geographical populations. Genet. Sel. Evol. 17:211–224.

566 David JR, Bocquet C. 1975a. Evolution in a cosmopolitan species: Genetic latitudinal clines in Drosophila

- 567 melanogaster wild populations. Experientia 31:164–166.
- 568 David JR, Bocquet C. 1975b. Similarities and differences in latitudinal adaptation of two Drosophila sibling 569 species. Nature 257:588–590.
- 570 David JR, Capy P. 1988. Genetic variation of Drosophila melanogaster natural populations. Trends Genet.
   571 4:106-111.
- 572 Dionne M, Miller KM, Dodson JJ, Caron F, Bernatchez L. 2007. Clinal Variation In Mhc Diversity With
- 573 Temperature: Evidence For The Role Of Host? Pathogen Interaction On Local Adaptation In Atlantic Salmon.
- 574 Evolution 61:2154-2164.
- 575 Dobzhansky T. 1943. Genetics of Natural Populations IX. Temporal Changes in the Composition of Populations
   576 of Drosophila Pseudoobscura. Genetics 28:162–186.
- 577 Duchen P, Zivkovic D, Hutter S, Stephan W, Laurent S. 2013. Demographic inference reveals African and
- 578 European admixture in the North American Drosophila melanogaster population. Genetics 193:291–301.
- 579 Duvernell DD, Schmidt PS, Eanes WF. 2003. Clines and adaptive evolution in the methuselah gene region in
- 580 Drosophila melanogaster. Molecular ecology 12(5):1277-85.
- 581 Endler JA. 1977. Geographic variation, speciation, and clines. Monogr. Popul. Biol. 10:1–246.
- 582 Ewing EP. 1979. Genetic Variation in a Heterogeneous Environment VII. Temporal and Spatial Heterogeneity
   583 in Infinite Populations. Am. Nat. 114:197–212.
- 584 Excoffier L, Foll M, Petit RJ. 2009. Genetic Consequences of Range Expansions. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.
   585 40:481–501.
- 586 Fabian DK, Kapun M, Nolte V, Kofler R, Schmidt PS, Schlötterer C, Flatt T. 2012. Genome-wide patterns of

- Feder AF, Petrov DA, Bergland AO. 2012. LDx: estimation of linkage disequilibrium from high-throughput
   pooled resequencing data. PLoS One 7:e48588.
- 591 Fisher RA. 1992. Statistical Methods for Research Workers. In: Kotz S, Johnson NL, editors. Breakthroughs in
- 592 Statistics: Methodology and Distribution. New York, NY: Springer New York. p. 66–70.
- Flatt T. 2016. Genomics of clinal variation in Drosophila: disentangling the interactions of selection and
   demography. Molecular ecology 25(5):1023-6.
- 595 García-Vázquez E, Sánchez-Refusta F. 1988. Chromosomal polymorphism and extra bristles of Drosophila
- 596 melanogaster: joint variation under selection in isofemale lines. Genetica 78:91–96.
- 597 Gillespie J. 1973. Polymorphism in random environments. Theor. Popul. Biol. 4:193–195.
- 598 Gramates LS, Marygold SJ, Santos GD, Urbano J-M, Antonazzo G, Matthews BB, Rey AJ, Tabone CJ, Crosby
- 599 MA, Emmert DB, et al. 2017. FlyBase at 25: looking to the future. Nucleic Acids Res. 45:D663–D671.
- 600 Hancock AM, Witonsky DB, Gordon AS, Eshel G, Pritchard JK, Coop G, Di Rienzo A. 2008. Adaptations to 601 climate in candidate genes for common metabolic disorders. PLoS Genet. 4:e32.
- Hivert, Valentin, Raphaël Leblois, Eric J. Petit, Mathieu Gautier, and Renaud Vitalis. 2018. Measuring Genetic
- 603 Differentiation from Pool-Seq Data. Genetics. 210, no. 1: 315–30.
- 604 Hoffmann AA, Anderson A, Hallas R. 2002. Opposing clines for high and low temperature resistance in
- 605 Drosophila melanogaster. Ecol. Lett. 5:614–618.
- 606 Hoffmann AA, Scott M, Partridge L, Hallas R. 2003. Overwintering in Drosophila melanogaster: outdoor field
- 607 cage experiments on clinal and laboratory selected populations help to elucidate traits under selection. J. Evol.
  608 Biol. 16:614–623.
- 609 Hoffmann AA, Weeks AR. 2007. Climatic selection on genes and traits after a 100 year-old invasion: a critical
- 610 look at the temperate-tropical clines in Drosophila melanogaster from eastern Australia. Genetica 129:133–147.
- 611 de Jong G, Bochdanovits Z. 2003. Latitudinal clines inDrosophila melanogaster: Body size, allozyme
- 612 frequencies, inversion frequencies, and the insulin-signalling pathway. J. Genet. 82:207–223.
- 613 Kao JY, Zubair A, Salomon MP, Nuzhdin SV, Campo D. 2015. Population genomic analysis uncovers African
- and European admixture in Drosophila melanogaster populations from the south-eastern United States and
- 615 Caribbean Islands. Mol. Ecol. 24:1499–1509.
- 616 Kapun M, Fabian DK, Goudet J, Flatt T. 2016. Genomic Evidence for Adaptive Inversion Clines in Drosophila
- 617 melanogaster. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33:1317–1336.
- 618 Kapun M, van Schalkwyk H, McAllister B, Flatt T, Schlötterer C. 2014. Inference of chromosomal inversion
- 619 dynamics from Pool-Seq data in natural and laboratory populations of Drosophila melanogaster. Mol. Ecol.
- 620 23:1813–1827.

- Kapun, Martin, and Thomas Flatt. 2019. The Adaptive Significance of Chromosomal Inversion Polymorphisms
   in Drosophila Melanogaster. Molecular Ecology 28, no. 6: 1263–82.
- Kawecki, Tadeusz J., and Dieter Ebert. 2004. Conceptual Issues in Local Adaptation. Ecology Letters 7, no. 12:
   1225–41.
- 625 Keller A. 2007. Drosophila melanogaster's history as a human commensal. Curr. Biol. 17:R77–R81.
- Kennington WJ, Killeen JR, Goldstein DB, Partridge L. 2003. Rapid laboratory evolution of adult wing area in
   Drosophila melanogaster in response to humidity. Evolution 57:932–936.
- 628 Knibb WR. 1982. Chromosome inversion polymorphisms in Drosophila melanogaster II. Geographic clines and 629 climatic associations in Australasia, North America and Asia. Genetica 58:213–221.
- 630 Kofler R, Orozco-terWengel P, De Maio N, Pandey RV, Nolte V, Futschik A, Kosiol C, Schlötterer C. 2011.
- 631 PoPoolation: A Toolbox for Population Genetic Analysis of Next Generation Sequencing Data from Pooled
- 632 Individuals. PLoS One 6:e15925.
- Kofler R, Schlötterer C. 2012. Gowinda: unbiased analysis of gene set enrichment for genome-wide association
   studies. Bioinformatics 28:2084–2085.
- Kolaczkowski B, Kern AD, Holloway AK, Begun DJ. 2011. Genomic differentiation between temperate and
   tropical Australian populations of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 187:245–260.
- 637 Kooyers NJ, Greenlee AB, Colicchio JM, Oh M, Blackman BK. 2015. Replicate altitudinal clines reveal that
- 638 evolutionary flexibility underlies adaptation to drought stress in annual Mimulus guttatus. New Phytol.
- 639 206:152–165.
- 640 Krimbas CB, Powell JR. 1992. Drosophila inversion polymorphism. CRC press.
- 641 Lavington E, Cogni R, Kuczynski C, Koury S, Behrman EL, O'Brien KR, Schmidt PS, Eanes WF. 2014. A
- 642 small system--high-resolution study of metabolic adaptation in the central metabolic pathway to temperate
- 643 climates in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31:2032–2041.
- Li H, Durbin R. 2010. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics26:589–595.
- Li H, Stephan W. 2006. Inferring the demographic history and rate of adaptive substitution in Drosophila. PLoSGenet. 2:e166.
- Lynch M, Bost D, Wilson S, Maruki T, Harrison S. 2014. Population-genetic inference from pooled-sequencing
   data. Genome Biol. Evol. 6:1210–1218.
- 650 Machado HE, Bergland AO, O'Brien KR, Behrman EL, Schmidt PS, Petrov DA. 2016. Comparative population
- 651 genomics of latitudinal variation in Drosophila simulans and Drosophila melanogaster. Mol. Ecol. 25:723–740.
- Machado HE, Bergland AO, Taylor R, Tilk S, Behrman E, Dyer K, Fabian DK, Flatt T, González J, Karasov
- TL, et al. 2021. Broad geographic sampling reveals predictable and pervasive seasonal adaptation in Drosophila.
   Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/337543
- 655 Mackay TFC, Richards S, Stone EA, Barbadilla A, Ayroles JF, Zhu D, Casillas S, Han Y, Magwire MM,
- 656 Cridland JM, et al. 2012. The Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel. Nature 482:173–178.
- 657 McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, Garimella K, Altshuler D, Gabriel
- 658 S, Daly M, et al. 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation
- DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 20:1297–1303.
- 660 Mettler LE, Voelker RA, Mukai T. 1977. Inversion Clines in Populations of DROSOPHILA
- 661 MELANOGASTER. Genetics 87:169–176.
- 662 Mitrovski P, Hoffmann AA. 2001. Postponed reproduction as an adaptation to winter conditions in Drosophila
- 663 melanogaster: evidence for clinal variation under semi-natural conditions. Proc. Biol. Sci. 268:2163–2168.
- 664 Oakeshott JG, Gibson JB, Anderson PR, Knibb WR, Anderson DG, Chambers GK. 1982. Alcohol
- 665 dehydrogenase and glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase clines in Drosophila melanogaster on different 666 continents. Evolution 36:86–96.
- Paaby AB, Bergland AO, Behrman EL, Schmidt PS. 2014. A highly pleiotropic amino acid polymorphism in
- the Drosophila insulin receptor contributes to life-history adaptation. Evolution 68:3395–3409.
- 669 Paaby AB, Blacket MJ, Hoffmann AA, Schmidt PS. 2010. Identification of a candidate adaptive polymorphism
- 670 for Drosophila life history by parallel independent clines on two continents. Mol. Ecol. 19:760–774.
- Pavlidis P, Jensen JD, Stephan W, Stamatakis A. 2012. A critical assessment of storytelling: gene ontology
- 672 categories and the importance of validating genomic scans. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29:3237–3248.
- 673 Pool JE. The mosaic ancestry of the Drosophila genetic reference panel and the D. melanogaster reference
   674 genome reveals a network of epistatic fitness interactions. 2015. Molecular biology and evolution. 32(12):3236-
- 675 51. 676 Dimensionality Report Rep
- 676 Rajpurohit S, Hanus R, Vrkoslav V, Behrman EL, Bergland AO, Petrov D, Cvačka J, Schmidt PS. 2017.
- 677 Adaptive dynamics of cuticular hydrocarbons in Drosophila. J. Evol. Biol. 30:66–80.
- 678 R Core Team. 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Available from:
- 679 https://www.R-project.org/

- 680 Reinhardt JA, Kolaczkowski B, Jones CD, Begun DJ, Kern AD. 2014. Parallel Geographic Variation in
- 681 Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 197:361–373.
- Rhomberg LR, Singh RS. 1986. Evidence for a link between local and seasonal cycles in gene frequencies and
   latitudinal gene clines in a cyclic parthenogen. Genetica78(1):73-9.
- Roff D. 1980. Optimizing development time in a seasonal environment: the 'ups and downs' of clinal variation.
   Oecologia 45(2):202-8.
- 686 Rudman, Seth M., Sharon Greenblum, Rachel C. Hughes, Subhash Rajpurohit, Ozan Kiratli, Dallin B. Lowder,
- 687 Skyler G. Lemmon, Dmitri A. Petrov, John M. Chaston, and Paul Schmidt. 2019. "Microbiome Composition
- 688 Shapes Rapid Genomic Adaptation of Drosophila Melanogaster." BioRxiv, 632257.
- 689 Schmidt PS, Conde DR. 2006. Environmental heterogeneity and the maintenance of genetic variation for
- 690 reproductive diapause in Drosophila melanogaster. Evolution 60:1602–1611.
- 691 Schmidt PS, Duvernell DD, Eanes WF. 2000. Adaptive evolution of a candidate gene for aging in Drosophila.
- 692 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97:10861–10865.
- 693 Schmidt PS, Matzkin L, Ippolito M, Eanes WF, Hey J. 2005. Geographic variation in diapause incidence, life-
- history traits, and climatic adaptation in Drosophila melanogaster. Evolution 59:1721–1732.
- 695 Schmidt PS, Paaby AB. 2008. Reproductive diapause and life-history clines in North American populations of
   696 Drosophila melanogaster. Evolution 62:1204–1215.
- 697 Schrider DR, Hahn MW, Begun DJ. 2016. Parallel evolution of copy-number variation across continents in
   698 Drosophila melanogaster. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33:1308–1316.
- 699 Sezgin E, Duvernell DD, Matzkin LM, Duan Y, Zhu C-T, Verrelli BC, Eanes WF. 2004. Single-locus
- 101 latitudinal clines and their relationship to temperate adaptation in metabolic genes and derived alleles in101 Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 168:923–931.
- 502 Singh RS, Rhomberg LR. 1987a. A Comprehensive Study of Genic Variation in Natural Populations of
- 703 Drosophila melanogaster. II. Estimates of Heterozygosity and Patterns of Geographic Differentiation. Genetics
   704 117:255–271.
- 505 Singh RS, Rhomberg LR. 1987b. A Comprehensive Study of Genic Variation in Natural Populations of
- 706 Drosophila melanogaster. I. Estimates of Gene Flow from Rare Alleles. Genetics 115:313–322.
- 707 Smith JM, Haigh J. 1974. The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable gene. Genet. Res. 23:23–35.
- Turner TL, Levine MT, Eckert ML, Begun DJ. 2008. Genomic analysis of adaptive differentiation in Drosophila
   melanogaster. Genetics 179:455–473.
- 710 Vasemägi A. 2006. The adaptive hypothesis of clinal variation revisited: single-locus clines as a result of
- 711 spatially restricted gene flow. Genetics 173:2411–2414.
- Verrelli BC, Eanes WF. 2001. Clinal variation for amino acid polymorphisms at the Pgm locus in Drosophila
   melanogaster. Genetics 157(4):1649-63.
- Vigue CL, Johnson FM. 1973. Isozyme variability in species of the genus Drosophila. VI. Frequency-property-
- environment relationships of allelic alcohol dehydrogenases in D. melanogaster. Biochemical genetics 9(3):21327.
- 717 H. Wickham. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Wittmann MJ, Bergland AO, Feldman MW, Schmidt PS, Petrov DA. 2017. Seasonally fluctuating selection can
   maintain polymorphism at many loci via segregation lift. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
   114(46): E9932-41.
- 721 Wright S. 1943. Isolation by distance. Genetics 28:114–138.
- 722 Wright S, Dobzhansky T. 1946. Genetics of natural populations; experimental reproduction of some of the
- changes caused by natural selection in certain populations of Drosophila pseudoobscura. Genetics 31:125–156.
- Yukilevich, Roman, and John R. True. 2008. "African Morphology, Behavior and Phermones Underlie Incipient
- Fukievici, Koman, and John K. Tue. 2000. Antean Worphology, Benavior and Thermones Underne merphonology.
   Sexual Isolation between Us and Caribbean Drosophila Melanogaster." Evolution; International Journal of
- 726 Organic Evolution 62 (11): 2807–28.
- 727 Yukilevich R, Turner TL, Aoki F, Nuzhdin SV, True JR. 2010. Patterns and processes of genome-wide
- 728 divergence between North American and African Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 186:219–239.
- 729 Zuther E, Schulz E, Childs LH, Hincha DK. 2012. Clinal variation in the non-acclimated and cold-acclimated
- 730 freezing tolerance of Arabidopsis thaliana accessions. Plant Cell Environ. 35:1860–1878.
- 731

## 732 Supplementary information



733

**Figure S1**. Distribution of Durbin-Watson P-values. We tested whether there is autocorrelation in the residuals of the seasonal generalized linear models.

autocorrelation in the residuals of the seasonal generalized linear models.



738 **Figure S2.** Enrichment of top 5% SNPs in each genic class for A) latitudinal P-value, B)

seasonal P-value. Histograms show the distribution of odds ratios when season labels werepermuted, and the vertical bars indicated the observed odds ratio.





cutoff (main results), B) latitudinal samples from Maine, which were collected in the summer

and C) samples from Australia to calculate latitudinal change.



745

Figure S4. Relationship between proportion of points linearly related (in comparison to
points that are not related) and the correlation between two z-normalized variables. Each
color represents a different assumed degree of linear relatedness (ranging from -1 to -0.1).
Note how for a given estimated relationship between two variables, the slope we assume (-1)
results in the smallest possible proportion of points linearly related, demonstrating that our

test is conservative.





#### 753

**Figure S5.** Rate of allele frequency differences between two populations (normalized by

difference in latitude). Note the rates are smaller for comparisons involving the samples from

756 Maine, FL: Florida (July 2008 and 2010), ME: Maine (October 2009), MA: Massachusetts

757 (July 2012), VA: Virginia (July 2012).



758

**Figure S6.** Mean pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values for all US samples included in our main analyses. Refer

to Table S1 for more information on each population.

761

<u> </u>	~ -			~				~	~	~
Access	Sample	Location	Latit	Collect	#	Medi	Mo	Seas	Seas	Clin
ion #	name		ude	ion	Fli	an	nth	on	onal	al
				date	es	depth			set	set
SRR11	AU_LO1	Queensland,	16.90	2004	17	10				
77951		Australia								
SRR11	AU_LO2	Queensland,	16.90	2004	17	12				
77952		Australia								
SRR11	AU_HI1	Tasmania,	42.77	2004	15	10				
77953		Australia								
SRR11	AU_HI2	Tasmania,	42.77	2004	15	14				
77955		Australia								
SRR15	FL1	Homestead,	25.47	Jul-08	39	59	7	Spri	0	1
25685		FL						ng		
SRR15	FL2	Homestead,	25.47	Jul-10	48	37	7	Spri	0	1
25694		FL						ng		
SRR15	ME1	Bowdoinha	44.02	Oct-09	75	86	9	Fall	0	0
25698		m, ME								
SRR20	ME2	Bowdoinha	44.02	Oct-09	75	22	9	Fall	0	0
06283		m, ME								
SRR15	GA	Hahira, GA	30.99	Jul-10	51	101	7	Spri	0	1
25695								ng		
SRR15	SC	Euatwville,	33.40	Jul-10	48	83	7	Spri	0	1
25696		SC						ng		
SRR35	VA_07_2	Charlotttesv	38.03	Jul-12	69	70	7	Spri	0	1
90551	012	ille, VA					_	ng	_	
SRR39	PA_06_2	Linvilla, PA	39.88	Jun-13	54	37	6	Spri	0	1
39095	013	_					_	ng		
SRR35	MA_0′/_	Lancaster,	42.46	Jul-12	90	51	7	Spri	0	1
90557	2012	MA	20.52	X 1 00		106	-	ng		0
SKRIS	PA_07_2	Linvilla, PA	39.53	Jul-09	55	186	1	Spri	1	0
25/68 CDD15	009 DA 11 0	T : '11 DA	20.52	NI 00	74		11	ng	1	0
SKK15	PA_11_2	Linvilla, PA	39.53	Nov-09	/4	60	11	Fall	1	0
23/09 CDD15	009	L:	20.52	L-1 10	11	17	7	<b>C</b>	1	0
SKK15	PA_07_2	Linvilla, PA	39.55	Jui-10	11 6	1/	1	Spri	1	0
25770 SDD15	010	Linville DA	20.52	Nev 10	0	76	11	ng Eall	1	0
SKK15 25771	PA_11_2	Linvilla, PA	39.33	INOV-10	33	/0	11	Fall	1	0
23771 SDD15	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}$ $\mathbf{O}7$ $2$	Linville DA	20.52	БЛ 11	75	52	7	Somi	1	0
SKK15 25772	PA_07_2	Lillvilla, FA	39.33	Jui-11	15	55	1	spii	1	0
23772 SDD15	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A} = 10 = 2$	Linville DA	20.52	Oct 10	17	74	10	ng Eall	1	0
3KK13 25773	FA_10_2 011	Lillvilla, I A	59.55	001-10	4/	/4	10	Fall	1	0
23773 SDD35	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A} = 10 \cdot 2$	Linville DA	30 53	Oct 12	10	25	10	Fall	1	0
90560	012		52.55	001-12	0	43	10	1 all	T	U
SRR35	PA 07 2	Linvilla PA	39 53	Inl_12	11	59	7	Spri	1	0
90561	012		57.55	Jul-12	5	J <b>1</b>	1	ng	T	0
SRR35	PA 9 20	Linvilla PA	39.53	Sep-12	50	55	9	Fall	1	0
JULIA	111_2_20	Linvina, I A	51.55	5cp-12	50	55	,	1 all	T	v

762 <b>Table S1.</b> Information of the samples used in	this study.
---	-------------

SRR39 PA_06_2 Linvilla, PA 39.88 Jun-14 92 68 6 Spri 1 0	
39097 014 ng SPR 20 RA 10 2 Linville RA 20.88 Oct 15 52 07 10 Fall 1	0
39098 015	J
SRR39 PA_07_2 Linvilla, PA 39.88 Jul-15 74 215 7 Spri 1 0	

763

764 **Table S2.** Summary of a regression of z-normalized latitude regression coefficients against z-

normalized season regression coefficients genome-wide. CI stands for 95% confidence

766 interval.

	I		
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
Intercept	0.014	0.012 - 0.016	<0.001
Seasonal slope	-0.039	-0.0420.037	<0.001
Observations	798196		

767

768 **Table S3.** Summary of a regression of z-normalized latitude regression coefficients against z-

normalized season regression coefficients for each genic class. CI stands for 95% confidence

interval.

	I	atitudinal slope	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
Intercept	0.014	0.011 - 0.016	<0.001
Seasonal slope:Intergenic	-0.029	-0.0380.021	<0.001
Seasonal slope:Exon	-0.051	-0.0580.044	<0.001
Seasonal slope:Intron	-0.046	-0.0510.042	<0.001
Seasonal slope:Downstream	-0.037	-0.0430.031	<0.001
Seasonal slope:Upstream	-0.036	-0.0400.033	<0.001
Seasonal slope:UTR_3	-0.046	-0.0560.036	<0.001
Seasonal slope:UTR_5	-0.045	-0.0580.032	<0.001

Seasonal slope:Splice	-0.049	-0.0710.026	<0.001
Seasonal slope:Other	-0.004	-0.016 - 0.009	0.584
Observations	798196		

771

- 772 **Table S4.** Summary of a regression of z-normalized latitude regression coefficients against z-
- normalized season regression coefficients for each SNPs surrounding inversion breakpoints.
- 774 CI stands for 95% confidence interval.

	I	Latitudinal slope	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
Intercept	0.014	0.012 - 0.016	<0.001
Seasonal slope:In(2L)t	0.004	-0.003 - 0.010	0.235
Seasonal slope:In(2R)NS	-0.020	-0.0260.013	<0.001
Seasonal slope:In(3L)P	-0.069	-0.0760.063	<0.001
Seasonal slope:In(3R)P	-0.173	-0.1810.166	<0.001
Seasonal slope:Outside	-0.031	-0.0330.028	<0.001
Observations	798196		

775