

1 **Research Article**

2 **Solutions containing a statherin-derived peptide reduce enamel**
3 **erosion *in vitro***

4
5
6 Fabiana Navas Reis^a, Monique Malta Francese^a, Natara Dias Gomes da Silva^a, Vinicius Taioqui Pelá^a,
7 João Victor Frazão Câmara^a, Juliana Sanches Trevizol^a, Heitor Marques Honório^a, Edson Crusca^b,
8 Reinaldo Marchetto^b, Marília Afonso Rabelo Buzalaf^a

9
10 ^aDepartment of Biological Sciences, Bauru School of Dentistry, University of São Paulo, Bauru, Brazil.

11 ^bChemistry Institute, Paulista State University, Araraquara, SP, Brazil.

12
13 Short title: Statherin-derived peptide reduce enamel erosion

14
15
16 Corresponding author: Marília Afonso Rabelo Buzalaf

17 Department of Biological Sciences, Bauru School of Dentistry, University of São Paulo, Alameda Dr.
18 Octávio Pinheiro Brisolla, 9-75 - Vila Regina, Bauru - SP, 17012-230, Brazil

19 Tel: +55 14 3235-8386, E-mail:mbuzalaf@fob.usp.br

20
21 Number of Tables: 0

22 Number of Figures: 3

23 Word count: 3009.

24 Keywords: Statherin protein, human; Tooth Erosion; Enamel Pellicle.

25
26
27

28 **Abstract**

29 The effect of solutions containing a statherin-derived peptide (Stn15pSpS) on the protection against
30 enamel erosion *in vitro* was evaluated. Bovine enamel specimens were divided into 4 groups (n =
31 15/group): 1) Deionized water (negative control), 2) Elmex Erosion Protection™ (positive control), 3)
32 1.88×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS and 4) 3.76×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS. The solutions were applied on the specimens
33 for 1 min. Stimulated saliva was collected from 3 donors and used to form a 2-h acquired pellicle on
34 the specimens. Then, the specimens were submitted to an erosive pH-cycling protocol 4 times/day, for
35 7 days (0.01 M HCl pH 2.0/45 s, artificial saliva/2 h, and artificial saliva overnight). The solutions were
36 applied again during pH cycling, 2 times/day for 1 min after the first and last erosive challenges. Enamel
37 loss (μm) was assessed by contact profilometry. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was assessed
38 using cold field emission. Data were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$). The best
39 protection against erosion was conferred by Elmex Erosion Protection that differed from all the other
40 treatments, followed by 1.88×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS that performed significantly better than 3.76×10^{-5}
41 M Stn15pSpS and the negative control. These did not differ from each other. SEM images revealed
42 changes in enamel topography after rinsing with the treatment solutions. The solution containing the
43 lower concentration of Stn15pSpS protected against erosion *in vitro*, which should be confirmed using
44 protocols that more closely resemble the clinical condition.

45

46 **Introduction**

47 Erosive tooth wear (ETW) is the loss of dental hard tissues provoked by exposure to acids
48 without bacterial involvement (Schlueter et al., 2020). Some degree of ETW is regarded as
49 physiological, occurring throughout life, but when this process becomes excessive, it can be considered
50 pathological (Donovan et al., 2021). Due to the increase in the prevalence of ETW and to the
51 progressive characteristic of the lesion, it is important to perform early diagnosis and implement
52 preventive measures in due time (Carvalho et al., 2015, Simões et al., 2020).

53 The preventive measures to ETW are directed to fight the risk factors that may be related to
54 nutrition or to the patient (Buzalaf et al., 2018). Among the patient-related factors, saliva is one of the
55 most important. This fluid remineralises the tooth structure, providing calcium, phosphate and
56 fluoride, and has the ability to remove erosion-inducing acids from the tooth surfaces, acting as a
57 cleaning agent (Buzalaf et al., 2018). Saliva also provides most of the proteins that form the acquired
58 pellicle, a bacteria-free biofilm that covers oral hard and soft tissues and is composed of glycoproteins,
59 proteins and lipids. This integument provides protection against ETW, since in the short term, as acids
60 must first pass through the film before coming into direct contact with the tooth surfaces. In other
61 words, the film acts as a permeable and selective barrier that reduces demineralization (Hara and Zero,
62 2014). It has been shown that most of the protection is conferred by the proteins found in the basal
63 layer of the acquired pellicle, which are not displaced even after severe erosive challenges (Hannig
64 1999). Thus, the reinforcement of the basal layer of the acquired pellicle with acid-resistant proteins
65 has been suggested as a possible new strategy to protect against ETW (Carvalho et al., 2020; Pela et
66 al., 2021a), which has been named “acquired pellicle engineering”.

67 Statherin is one of the salivary proteins found in the basal layer of the acquired pellicle. It has
68 43 amino acid residues, with a primary sequence similar to osteopontin and casein and calcium binding
69 capacity. Its density of negative charges (due to the phosphorylation of serines 2 and 3) and helical
70 conformation in the N-terminal region are important for its interaction with hydroxyapatite (Raj et al.,
71 1992). A statherin-derived peptide containing 15 N-terminal residues with serines 2 and 3
72 phosphorylated (Stn15pSpS) has been employed in acquired pellicle engineering. Rinsing with this
73 peptide increases acid resistant proteins within the acquired pellicle (Araújo et al., 2022; Carvalho,
74 Araújo, et al., 2020; Taira et al., 2021) and protects against initial intrinsic erosion (Taira et al. 2020;
75 Carvalho, Araújo, et al. 2020). Epidemiological data corroborate these findings, since in patients with
76 dental erosion, the concentration of statherin in the EAP is reduced by 35% (Carpenter et al., 2014).
77 These data together indicate that this peptide has great potential to resist to removal by intrinsic acids
78 and, therefore, it could be incorporated into dental products, such as mouthwash solutions, to prevent

79 ETW. However, all the studies mentioned above evaluated to potential of Stn15pSpS to protect against
80 initial erosion, using surface hardness as response variable.

81 Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of solutions containing
82 different concentrations of Stn15pSpS in the protection of bovine enamel against ETW *in vitro*, under
83 prolonged erosive challenges, using profilometry as a response variable. The null hypothesis tested is
84 that solutions containing Stn15pSpS, regardless of the concentration, do not protect enamel against
85 ETW.

86

87 **Materials and Methods**

88 *Ethical Aspects*

89 This research was approved by the Animal Research Ethics Committee of Bauru School of
90 Dentistry under number 008/2020 and by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Bauru School of
91 Dentistry under number 40189220.6.0000.5417. The volunteers participated after signing an Informed
92 Consent Form.

93 *Production of statherin-derived peptide (Stn15pSpS)*

94 The peptide containing the 15 N-terminal residues of statherin (DSSEKFLRRIGRFG), with
95 phosphorylated serines 2 and 3 (StN15pSpS), was synthesized at the Department of Biochemistry and
96 Chemical Technology, Instituto de Química – UNESP, Araraquara. For this, the peptide was chemically
97 synthesized by the solid phase method (Amblard et al., 2005; Merrifield, 1963), according to the
98 standard protocol that employs the Fmoc group as a protector of α -amino groups and t-butyl
99 derivatives for the protection of the side chains of trifunctional amino acid residues (Chan and White,
100 2000). As a starting polymer, a Wang-type resin containing the first amino acid of the previously
101 incorporated sequence was used, initially using Diisopropylcarbodiimide/1-hydroxybenzotriazole as
102 condensing agents. The deprotection of the α -amino groups (removal of the labile Fmoc base group),
103 after the entry of each amino acid, was performed using a solution of 4-methylpiperidine 20% (v/v) in
104 dimethylformamide. Peptide purification was performed by High Performance Liquid Chromatography
105 (HPLC) using a Jupiter Phenomenex C18 reversed phase column (250 x 10 mm; 10 μ m; 300 Å) with a
106 linear gradient of organic component (acetonitrile: water; 0.04% TFA) variable, flow rate of 2.0 mL/min
107 and detection at 220 nm. Analytical HPLC was performed on a Shimadzu chromatograph, using a
108 Kromasil C18 reversed-phase column (25 x 0.46 cm; 5 μ m; 300 Å), with a linear gradient from 5 to 95%
109 solvent B (A: water, 0.045 % TFA; B: ACN, 0.036% TFA) in 30 min, flow rate 1.0 mL/min and detection
110 at 220 nm. The characterization of the products after purification was carried out by molecular mass

111 determination, using a Bruker Amazon SL spectrometer coupled to a Shimadzu liquid chromatograph
112 (LC-MS).

113 *Preparation of enamel samples*

114 60 enamel samples (12 mm² exposed area) were prepared from bovine incisors (Magalhaes et
115 al. 2016) stored in 0.1% thymol solution (pH 7) for 30 days. The roots and crowns were separated using
116 a precision cutter (Buehler, USA) and a diamond disc (Maruto). The crowns were placed in
117 prefabricated silicone molds (Biopdi, São Carlos, Brazil) and embedded in self-curing acrylic resin (Jet,
118 Clássico, Campo Limpo Paulista, Brazil). After polymerization, the samples were polished using 320,
119 600 and 1200 grit sandpaper (Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). A mark was made in the control area using
120 a drill to facilitate the location of the first profile reading (baseline). In addition, two lines were
121 produced using a diamond disc (American Burrs, SC, Brazil) on the tooth surface to separate the eroded
122 area from the control area, thus allowing the comparison of baseline and final profiles. The baseline
123 profile was then measured as described below, and the control areas were protected with nail polish.
124 (Risqueú, Taboão da Serra, Brazil) (Magalhaes et al., 2016).

125 *Experimental Groups*

126 Enamel specimens were randomly divided into 4 groups (n=15/group) according to the
127 solution to be used: 1) Negative control (deionized water); 2) Positive control (Elmex Erosion
128 Protection rinse solution, containing 800 ppm Sn⁺² from SnCl₂, 500 ppm F from amine fluoride and
129 sodium fluoride, pH 4.5, Colgate); 3) Solution containing 1.88 X 10⁻⁵ M Stn15pSpS and 4) Solution
130 containing 3.76 X 10⁻⁵ M Stn15pSpS.

131 *Human saliva collection*

132 Total saliva was collected from 3 healthy volunteers between 9 and 11 am under masticatory
133 stimulation using Parafilm. Samples were centrifuged at 14,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatants
134 were collected to constitute a pool of saliva, which was be stored in the freezer at -80°C until use.

135 The inclusion criteria were nonsmokers, good oral health (without caries, gingivitis,
136 periodontitis, and other oral conditions that could affect the composition of oral fluid), good general
137 health, no restorative treatment on the buccal surface of the teeth, and normal salivary flow
138 (stimulated flow higher than 1 mL/min and unstimulated flow higher than 0.25 mL/min). Patients with
139 systemic diseases and using chronic medication, as well as pregnant women, were not eligible to
140 participate.

141 *Treatment and pH-Cycling*

142 The treatment solutions (25 μ L/specimen) were applied for 1 minute, with a volumetric pipette
143 (twice a day), covering the surface of the specimens and the excess solution was removed with
144 absorbent paper, after the first and the last erosive challenges. Then, the specimens were incubated
145 with pooled human saliva (300 μ L per specimen) for 2 h at 37°C, for the formation of the acquired
146 pellicle (Cheaib and Lussi, 2011), only on the first day of treatment. After the formation of the acquired
147 pellicle on the first day, the specimens were subjected to erosive pH-cycling 4 times per day, for 7 days.
148 Each cycle (Magalhaes et al., 2008) consisted of: immersion of specimens in 0.01 M HCl (pH 2.0) for 45
149 seconds (30 mL/specimen) at 25°C, washing in deionized water for 5 s, remineralisation by immersion
150 in artificial saliva (Klimek et al. 1982) for 2 h (pH 6.8, 30 mL/specimen) and washing with deionized
151 water for 5 s. The specimens were immersed in artificial saliva overnight, completing each 24 h of
152 cycling. Enamel loss was assessed using contact profilometry after 7 days of pH-cycling.

153 *Contact profilometry*

154 Enamel surface profiles were obtained with a contact profilometer (MahrPerthometer,
155 Göttingen, Germany) before (baseline) and after the experimental period. The control areas were
156 marked with a diamond disc (American Burrs, SC, Brazil) to allow the exact positioning of the cosmetic
157 nail polish. Additionally, a small cavitation was performed in the samples with a 1/4 round bur, to
158 enable the positioning of the profilometer tip in the first scan of each reading. In each reading, five
159 scans (length 3 mm) were performed at the center of the sample surface at a distance of 250 μ m each.
160 To determine the change in the surface profile of the sample, after the experimental phase, the
161 cosmetic nail polish was removed with an acetone solution (1:1 – acetone: water), and 5 final readings
162 were performed on the same areas as the initial readings. To enable the correct repositioning of the
163 samples during the readings, we will use a device by which we will standardize the position of the
164 samples on the x, y and z axes. Initial and final profiles were performed and compared using the
165 MarhSurf XCR20 software and the average wear for each sample was calculated (μ m). The detection
166 limit is 0.5 μ m. The experimental design is shown in Fig. 1.

167 *Scanning electron microscopy*

168 The images were collected using a cold field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-
169 SEM; JEOL model 7500F) with the following operating conditions: accelerating voltage of 2 kV,
170 emission current of 10 μ A, current probe of 9, work distance at 7.7 mm, secondary electron image
171 (SE) mode, and 10,000x magnification (1 pixel = 0.935 nm) (Barreto, Tita, Orlandi, 2019).

172 *Statistical analyses*

173 Data were analyzed using GraphPad InStat and GraphPad Prism softwares (GraphPad Software
174 Inc.). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed and the data did not pass the normality test. Thus,
175 they were analysed by Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$).

176

177 **Results**

178 *Enamel loss*

179 There was a significant difference between the groups (KW = 35.537, $p < 0.0001$). The best
180 protection against ETW was conferred by Elmex Erosion Protection (positive control) that differed from
181 all the other treatments, followed by 1.88×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS that performed significantly better than
182 3.76×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS and the negative control (water). These did not differ significantly from each
183 other ($p > 0.05$) (shown in Fig. 2).

184 *Scanning electron microscopy*

185 Enamel treated with water (negative control) showed several surface cracks (shown in Fig. 3.
186 a). On the other hand, specimens treated with Elmex (positive control) presented a coating layer and
187 some precipitates on the surface (shown in Fig. 3. b). Specimens treated with 1.88×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS
188 solution had only a slight demineralization, as shown in Fig. 3. c, where it is still possible to see the
189 delimitation of the prismatic areas. Fig. 3. d shows that the 3.76×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS solution did not
190 protect against demineralization, since the prismatic structure of enamel is lost, with the presence of
191 microcracks. According to the SEM images, Elmex and 1.88×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS solutions presented a
192 surface different from the other groups, with fewer microcracks and without pores (shown in Fig. 3. b
193 and 3. c).

194

195 **Discussion**

196 The immobilization of proteins in the acquired pellicle has been proposed as a new measure
197 for the prevention of ETW (Carvalho et al., 2020; Santiago et al., 2017; Pela et al., 2021a; Pela et al.,
198 2021b; Taira, et al. 2020; Santos et al., 2021; Martini et al., 2020). Among the potential candidates to
199 be employed for this purpose, the peptide derived from statherin, Stn15pSpS, has been evaluated,
200 due to its high affinity to hydroxyapatite (Taira et al., 2020). The idea behind the use of this peptide is,
201 due to its negative charges (Raj et al., 1992), to promote its binding to hydroxyapatite in great
202 extension. This is able to dramatically change the proteomic profile of the acquired pellicle formed in
203 the short-term, which contains high amounts of the peptide and less amounts of other proteins, with
204 a much less complex proteome. In the long term, the proteome of the pellicle becomes more diverse

205 decreased (Taira et al., 2021), with increase in other acid-resistant proteins, like hemoglobin, cystatins,
206 and proline-rich proteins. This helps to explain the protective effect of the peptide against
207 demineralization (Carvalho et al., 2020).

208 Despite promising results have been obtained in *in vitro* (Taira et al., 2020) and *in vivo*
209 (Carvalho et al., 2020) studies that evaluated statherin for the prevention of erosive demineralization,
210 the protocol of these studies involved only initial erosion. In the *in vitro* study, the challenge was
211 performed with 0.01 M HCl (pH 2.0) for 30 s in total (Taira et al., 2020), while in the *in vivo* one, the
212 challenge was performed with 1% citric acid, pH 2.5 for 10 s only (Carvalho et al., 2020). The present
213 study constitutes an additional step to support the use of products containing Stn15pSpS for the
214 prevention of ETW, since we performed prolonged erosive challenge (0.01 M HCl, pH 2.0, for a total of
215 21 min). Even under this prolonged time of intrinsic erosive challenge, the lowest concentration of
216 Stn15pSpS protected against ETW, but the highest concentration did not. This led us to partially reject
217 our null hypothesis.

218 The concentrations of Stn15pSpS evaluated were based in previous studies (Kosoric et al.,
219 2007; Shah et al., 2011; Taira et al., 2020). The lowest concentration (1.88×10^{-5} M) that was able to
220 protect enamel, also was shown to provide the best protection in our previous *in vitro* study involving
221 initial erosion, in which a range of concentrations between $0.94 - 7.52 \times 10^{-5}$ M was employed (Kosoric
222 et al., 2007; Shah et al., 2011; Taira et al., 2020). This concentration corresponds to the mean range of
223 statherin concentrations found in saliva (Kosoric et al., 2007). On the other hand, the highest
224 concentration despite was similar to the lowest one, did not significantly differ from the negative
225 control. The lack of dose-response effect is intriguing. It should be considered that the reduction on
226 demineralization depends not only on the adsorption of peptide on the enamel surface, but also on
227 the amount of available free Ca^{+2} in the surroundings (Anderson et al., 2001). It is possible that higher
228 concentrations of the peptide make Ca^{+2} unavailable, thus impairing the protective effect.

229 The best protective effect against ETW was provided by the positive control (Elmex), a
230 commercial solution containing a combination of tin and fluoride ions that is one of the most efficient
231 products against ETW (Huysmans et al., 2014). In the present protocol, the protection conferred by
232 Elmex was significantly higher than that given by the lowest concentration of the peptide (shown Fig.
233 2). The mechanisms of action of both solutions are completely different. In the case of Elmex, we can
234 see in Fig. 3. b, the deposition of an aprismatic layer on enamel, which confers protection. On the other
235 hand, the SEM images of the specimen treated with the lowest concentration of the peptide (shown
236 Fig. 3. c) still shows the delimitation of the prismatic structure, due to the action of the peptide
237 reinforcing the acquired pellicle that, in turn, acquired as a barrier to the acid. The same was not the
238 case for the highest concentration of the peptide. The specimen treated with this concentration

239 showed extensive demineralization, with loss of the prismatic structure (shown in Fig. 3. d). It should
240 be highlighted that the broad use tin-containing products, such as Elmex, is impaired by the fact that
241 they may provoke discoloration of the tooth surface and astringent sensation (de Souza et al., 2018).

242 Therefore, the findings of the present study pave the way for future *in situ* and clinical studies
243 that will provide broad support for the use of Stn15pSpS-based products for the prevention of intrinsic
244 ETW. Based on the study model adopted, the lowest concentration of Stn15pSpS presented a
245 protective effect against ETW and has potential to be included in dental products for the prevention
246 of this condition.

247

248 **Statements**

249 **Acknowledgments**

250 The authors thank to Center for Characterization and Development of Protocols in Nanotechnology of
251 the Institute of Chemistry at UNESP (Araraquara, São Paulo, Brazil), for their contribution with scanning
252 electron microscopy analysis.

253 **Statement of Ethics**

254 The volunteers participated after signing an Informed Consent Form. This research was approved by
255 the Animal Research Ethics Committee of Bauru School of Dentistry under number 008/2020 and by
256 the Human Research Ethics Committee of Bauru School of Dentistry under number
257 40189220.6.0000.5417.

258 **Conflict of Interest Statement**

259 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

260 **Funding Sources**

261 We thank to FAPESP for the concession of fellowship to the first author (2020/01634-7). This study was
262 funded by FAPESP (2019/26070-1).

263 **Author Contributions**

264 F.N.R.: performed the experiments and wrote the manuscript; M.M.F.: performed the experiments;
265 N.D.G.S.: performed the experiments; V.T.P.: performed the experiments; J.V.F.C.: data curation; J.S.T.:
266 performed the experiments; H.M.H.: data curation; E.C.: produced the statherin-derived peptide; R.M.:
267 produced the statherin-derived peptide; M.A.R.B.: analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. All
268 authors revised and approved the paper.

269 **Data Availability Statement**

270 All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this article. Further enquiries can be
271 directed to the corresponding author.

272

273 **References**

274 Amblard M, Fehrentz JA, Martinez J, Subra G. Fundamentals of modern peptide synthesis. *Methods*
275 *Mol Biol.* 2005;298:3-24.

276 Anderson P, Hector MP, Rampersad MA. Critical pH in resting and stimulated whole saliva in groups of
277 children and adults. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2001;11(4):266-73.

278 Araújo TT, Carvalho TS, Dionizio A, Debortoli ALB, Ventura TMO, Souza BM, Feitosa CMVB, Barbosa
279 HAP, Ribeiro CFDS, Martini T, Taira E, Shiba PYT, Henrique-Silva F, Marchetto R, Buzalaf MAR. Protein-
280 based engineering of the initial acquired enamel pellicle in vivo: Proteomic evaluation. *J Dent.*
281 2022;116:103874.

282 Barreto JCG, Tita DL, Orlandi MO. Development of an automated method to perform a quantitative
283 study of particle size distribution and the effect of a conductive layer in scanning electron microscopy.
284 *Química Nova.* 2019;42(4),447-452.

285 Buzalaf MAR, Magalhães AC, Rios D. Prevention of erosive tooth wear: targeting nutritional and
286 patient-related risks factors. *Br Dent J.* 2018;224(5):371-378.

287 Carpenter G, Cotroneo E, Moazzez R, Rojas-Serrano M, Donaldson N, Austin R, et al. Composition of
288 enamel pellicle from dental erosion patients. *Caries Res.* 2014;48(5):361-367.

289 Carvalho TS, Araújo TT, Ventura TMO, Dionizio A, Câmara JVF, Moraes SM, et al. Acquired pellicle
290 protein-based engineering protects against erosive demineralization. *J Dent.* 2020;102:103478.

291 Carvalho TS, Colon P, Ganss C, Huysmans MC, Lussi A, Schlueter N, et al. Consensus report of the
292 European Federation of Conservative Dentistry: erosive tooth wear--diagnosis and management. *Clin*
293 *Oral Investig.* 2015;19(7):1557-61.

294 Chan WC, White PD. Fmoc solid phase peptide synthesis: a practical approach. 2000;New York: Oxford
295 University Press.

296 Cheaib Z, Lussi A. Impact of acquired enamel pellicle modification on initial dental erosion. *Caries*
297 *research.* 2011;45(2):107-112.

298 de Souza BM, Santi LRP, de Souza Silva M, Buzalaf MAR, Magalhães AC. Effect of an experimental
299 mouth rinse containing NaF and TiF₄ on tooth erosion and abrasion in situ. *J Dent.* 2018;73:45-49.

300 Donovan T, Nguyen-Ngoc C, Abd Alraheem I, Irusa K. Contemporary diagnosis and management of
301 dental erosion. *J Esthet Restor Dent.* 2021;33(1):78-87.

302 Hannig M. Ultrastructural investigation of pellicle morphogenesis at two different intraoral sites during
303 a 24-h period. *Clin Oral Investig.* 1999;3(2):88-95.

304 Hara AT, Zero DT. The potential of saliva in protecting against dental erosion. *Monogr Oral Sci.*
305 2014;25:197-205.

306 Huysmans MC, Young A, Ganss C. The role of fluoride in erosion therapy. *Monogr Oral Sci.* 2014;25:230-
307 43.

308 Kosoric J, Williams RAD, Hector MP, Anderson P. A Synthetic Peptide Based on a Natural Salivary
309 Protein Reduces Demineralisation in Model Systems for Dental Caries and Erosion. *Int J Pept Res Ther.*
310 2007;13:497-503.

311 Magalhães AC, Comar LP, Rios D, Delbem AC, Buzalaf MA. Effect of a 4% titanium tetrafluoride (TiF₄)
312 varnish on demineralisation and remineralisation of bovine enamel in vitro. *J Dent.* 2008;36(2):158-62.

313 Magalhaes AC, Dos Santos MG, Comar LP, Buzalaf MA, Ganss C, Schlueter N. Effect of a Single
314 Application of TiF₄ Varnish versus Daily Use of a Low-Concentrated TiF₄/NaF Solution on Tooth Erosion
315 Prevention in vitro. *Caries Res.* 2016;50(5):462-470.

316 Martini T, Rios D, Dionizio A, Cassiano LPS, Taioqui Pelá V, E Silva CMS, et al. Salivary Hemoglobin
317 Protects against Erosive Tooth Wear in Gastric Reflux Patients. *Caries Res.* 2020;54(5-6):466-47.

318 Merrifield RB. Solid-phase peptide synthesis. I. The synthesis of a tetrapeptide. *J Am Chem Soc.*
319 1963;85(14):2149-2154.

320 Pelá VT, Buzalaf MAR, Niemeyer SH, Baumann T, Henrique-Silva F, Toyama D, et al. Acquired pellicle
321 engineering with proteins/peptides: Mechanism of action on native human enamel surface. *J Dent.*
322 2021;107:103612.

323 Pelá VT, Lunardelli JGQ, Tokuhara CK, Gironda CC, Silva NDG, Carvalho TS, et al. Safety and In Situ
324 Antierosive Effect of CaneCPI-5 on Dental Enamel. *J Dent Res.* 2021;100(12):1344-1350.

325 Raj PA, Johnsson M, Levine MJ, Nancollas GH. Salivary statherin. Dependence on sequence, charge,
326 hydrogen bonding potency, and helical conformation for adsorption to hydroxyapatite and inhibition
327 of mineralization. *J Biol Chem.* 1992;267(9):5968-76.

328 Santiago AC, Khan ZN, Miguel MC, Gironde CC, Soares-Costa A, Pelá VT, et al. A New Sugarcane Cystatin
329 Strongly Binds to Dental Enamel and Reduces Erosion. *J Dent Res.* 2017;96(9):1051-1057.

330 Santos LA, Martini T, Câmara JVF, Reis FN, Ortiz AC, Camiloti GD, et al. Solutions and Gels Containing a
331 Sugarcane-Derived Cystatin (CaneCPI-5) Reduce Enamel and Dentin Erosion in vitro. *Caries Res.*
332 2021;55(6):594-602.

333 Schlueter N, Amaechi BT, Bartlett D, Buzalaf MAR, Carvalho TS, Ganss C, et al. Terminology of Erosive
334 Tooth Wear: Consensus Report of a Workshop Organized by the ORCA and the Cariology Research
335 Group of the IADR. *Caries Res.* 2020;54(1):2-6.

336 Shah S, Kosoric J, Hector MP, Anderson P. An in vitro scanning microradiography study of the reduction
337 in hydroxyapatite demineralization rate by statherin-like peptides as a function of increasing N-
338 terminal length. *Eur J Oral Sci.* 2011;119(Suppl 1):13-8.

339 Simões ACCD, Dionizio A, Câmara JVF, Sabino-Arias IT, Levy FM, Ventura TMO, et al. Do commercial
340 whitening dentifrices increase enamel erosive tooth wear? *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2020;28:e20190163.

341 Taira EA, Carvalho G, Ferrari CR, Martini T, Pelá VT, Ventura TMO, et al. Statherin-derived peptide
342 protects against intrinsic erosion. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2020;119:104890.

343 Taira EA, Ferrari CR, Carvalho G, Ventura TMO, Martini T, Dionizio AS, Araújo TT, Crusca E, Marchetto
344 R, Buzalaf MAR. Rinsing with Statherin-Derived Peptide Alters the Proteome of the Acquired Enamel
345 Pellicle. *Caries Res.* 2021;55(4):333-340.

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357 **Figure Legends**

Fig 1. Experimental design of the study. a, b. Separation of crown and root of the bovine tooth c. Inclusion in acrylic resin discs, d. Mark in the control area, e. Sample polishing, f. Baseline profile scans, g. 2/3 of the surfaces were protected with nail varnish, h. Experimental groups and solutions were applied, i. Saliva collection, j. Acquired pellicle formation, k. Erosive pH-cycling, l. Application of test solutions during pH-cycling, m. Final profile measurement.

358 Fig. 2. Median (interquartile interval) enamel loss after treatment with solutions containing 1.88×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS, 3.76×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS, Elmex Erosion Protection (position control) or water (negative control) for 1 min, followed by formation of acquired pellicle for 2 hours (pooled human saliva) and subsequent erosive challenges (0.01 M HCl pH 2.0 for 45 s) 4 times/day for 7 days. Treatments were applied twice/day, after the first and last erosive challenges. Data were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$). $n=15$. Means followed by different letters are significantly different.

364 Fig. 3. SEM images of enamel samples at 5.000x magnification, bar = $1\mu\text{m}$. a. Water (negative control), b. Elmex Erosion Protection (positive control), c. 1.88×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS, d. 3.76×10^{-5} M Stn15pSpS.

366

367