Abstract

A Method for Enzymatic Treatment of Wool

A method of producing wool or animal hair material with improved properties such as shrink-proofed (anti-felting tendency), increased whiteness, improved dyeability, increased softness and/or reduced pilling tendency, the method comprising the steps of treating wool, wool fibres or animal hair material in a process selected from the group consisting of plasma treatment processes and the Delhey process, and subjecting the wool or animal hair material to a treatment with a proteolytic enzyme (a protease), preferably a serine protease, more preferably a subtilising, in an amount effective for improving the properties.

AUSTRALIA

PATENTS ACT 1990

COMPLETE SPECIFICATION

FOR A STANDARD PATENT

ORIGINAL

Name and Address of Applicant:	Novozymes A\S Novo Nordisk A/S Novo Alle Krogshoivej 36 DK-2880 Bagsvaerd DENMARK	SEC 113

Actual Inventor(s):   Lone Dybdal, Elisabeth Heine and Hartwig Hocker

Address for Service: Spruson & Ferguson, Patent Attorneys
                        Level 33 St Martins Tower, 31 Market Street
                        Sydney, New South Wales, 2000, Australia

Invention Title: A Method for Enzymatic Treatment of Wool

The following statement is a full description of this invention, including the best method of performing it known to me/us:-

5   A METHOD FOR ENZYMATIC TREATMENT OF WOOL

The present invention relates to a method of providing
wool or animal hair with improved properties, e.g. redu-
10   ced felting, increased whiteness, reduced pilling ten-
dency, improved softness and improved dyeing characteris-
tics, by enzymatic treatment. More specifically, the
method comprises subjecting the wool or animal hair
material to a plasma treatment and a treatment with a
15   proteolytic enzyme, i.e. a protease.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

20   For many years, the wool industry has tried to develop
methods to reduce felting of wool which do not result in
release of damaging substances to the environment. Recent
developments have pointed towards low-temperature plasma
treatment or the Delhey process as possible solutions to
25   this problem.

Thus, it is known to treat wool fibre material with elec-
trical gas discharges (socalled plasma), i.e. in a dry
process. Plasma treatment provides a changed surface fi-
30   nish of the wool fibre which reduces the tendency to
felt, improves the printability and accelerates the dye-
ability of the wool. The use of plasma treatment in tex-
tile finishing, especially in wool finishing, is highly
advantageous, since the process potentially is an envi-
35   ronmentally acceptable alternative to the conventional
chlorination finishing processes, cf. *Byrne, K.M. et al.:*
Corona discharge treatment of wool - commercial implica-
tions in DWI Report, (1992), vol. 109, p. 589-599,
(Aachener Textiltagung 1991).

40

In textile finishing, the applicable plasma treatment is

a low-temperature or unbalanced plasma treatment ("cold plasma" treatment), in particular the corona discharge treatment and glow discharge treatment, cf. *Thomas, H. et al.: Environmentally friendly finishing processes for*

5 *wool by pretreatment with electrical discharges in gas (plasma) in ITB vol. 2, 1993.* The corona discharge treatment is carried out under atmospheric conditions and is a weak-current discharge providing an oxidation, and thereby a polarization, of the fibre surface. The glow dis-

10 charge treatment is carried out under reduced pressure, i.e. producing electrons of higher energy than is possible in the corona discharge treatment, and may modify the fibre surface more intensively.

15 Accordingly, the plasma treatment provides to the wool or animal hair material reduced felting tendency and improved dying characteristics without the use of damaging chemicals and without wastewater (dry process). Also, the treatment provides improved shrink-proof properties to

20 the treated material which, however, at present cannot meet the demands of the end-users. Furthermore, the treatment may reduce the soft handle of the wool or animal hair material.

25 Published Japanese Patent Application Tokkai Hei 4-327274 discloses a method for a shrink-proofing treatment of e.g. wool fibers by subjecting the fibers to a low-temperature plasma treatment followed by treatment with a shrink-proofing resin, e.g. block-urethane resin, poly-

30 amide epochlorohydrin resin, glyoxalic resin, ethylene-urea resin or acrylate resin, and then a weight reducing treatment with a proteolytic enzyme for obtaining a softening effect.

35 The Delhey process is described in DE-A-43 32 692 and in J. Delhey: PhD Thesis, RWTH Aachen (1994). In this process the wool is treated in an aqueous solution of hydro-

genperoxide in the presence of soluble wolframate,
optionally followed by treatment in a solution or disper-
sion of synthetic polymers, for improving the anti-felt-
ing properties of the wool. However, neither does this
5   treatment meet the demands of the end-users.

It is the object of the present invention to provide a
method for treating wool or animal hair material to
obtain wool or animal hair material with reduced felting
10   tendency, improved softness, increased whiteness, reduced
pilling tendency and/or improved dyeing characteristics,
in an easy and a purely biological way without the use of
environmentally damaging chemicals or resins.

15   SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Surprisingly, it has been found that certain properties
of plasma-treated or Delhey-treated wool or animal hair
may be improved by subjecting the plasma-treated or
20   Delhey-treated wool or animal hair to a treatment with a
proteolytic enzyme in an amount effective for providing
the desired effect. Depending on the special characteris-
tics of the actual wool subjected to the treatment
according to the present invention, the improved prop-
25   erties can be reduction of felting tendency, higher
whiteness, reduction of pilling tendency, improvement of
softness, or improvement of dyeing characteristics.

Thus, according to the present invention it is possible
30   to obtain good and satisfactory shrink-proofing properti-
es without the use of a shrink-proofing polymer resin by
treating the wool or animal hair material with a proteo-
lytic enzyme either prior to or after a plasma treatment,
preferably a low-temperature plasma treatment, or prior
35   to or after a Delhey-treatment. Further to the improved
shrink-proofing or anti-felting properties, the enzyme
treatment can also improve the dyeing characteristics of

the wool or animal hair material, provide a convenient bleaching (improved whiteness) and a reduced tendency of pilling, and provide the regain of the soft handle of the treated material.

There is described herein a method for producing wool or animal hair material with improved properties comprising the steps of:

5   a.   pretreating wool, wool fibres or animal hair material in a process selected from the group consisting of plasma treatment processes and the Delhey process, and

b.   subjecting the pretreated wool or animal hair material to a treatment with a proteolytic enzyme (a protease) in an amount effective for improving the properties.

It is contemplated that the treatment with a proteolytic enzyme can take place prior to the 10 plasma treatment or after the plasma treatment, either in a separate step or e.g. in combination with the scouring or the dyeing of the wool or animal hair material. Further, a surfactant or a softener can be present in the enzyme treatment step, or a separate step wherein the wool or animal hair material is subjected to a softening treatment can be applied.

By using the method of the present invention, it is possible to eliminate the use of 15 environmentally damaging chemicals, since the present method is only using environmentally-friendly biological substances, and obtain improved properties of the treated wool or animal hair material which are highly desired by the end-user.

In another aspect, the present invention further relates to wool or animal hair material which has been treated according to the method of the present invention.

20   Accordingly, in a first embodiment of the invention there is provided a method of producing wool or animal hair material with improved properties comprising the steps of

a.   treating wool, wool fibres or animal hair material in a process selected from the group consisting of low temperature plasma treatment processes carried out by using a gas and the Delhey process, and

25   b.   subjecting the wool or animal hair material to a treatment with a proteolytic enzyme (a protease) in an amount effective for improving the properties.

According to a second embodiment of the invention there is provided wool or animal hair material which has been treated according to the method of the first embodiment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In the present context, the terms "shrink-proof" and
"anti-felting" are intended to mean a highly reduced ten-
5   dency to shrinkage or felting after soaking, washing or
rinsing the material in question as compared to the ten-
dency of shrinking or felting of material which has not
been subjected to a shrink-proof or anti-felting treat-
ment. More specifically, the present invention provides a
10   method for producing wool or animal hair material having
improved shrink-proof or anti-felting properties.

Preferably, the shrink-proof improvement of plasma and
enzyme treated wool or animal hair material corresponds
15   to an area shrinkage which is less than 10%, more prefer-
ably less that 8%, more preferably less that 7%, more
preferably less that 5%, even more preferably less than
3%, especially less that 2%, after 2 cycles of ISO 5A; or
to an area shrinkage of less than 15%, more preferably
20   less than 10%, more preferably less that 8%, even more
preferably less than 6%, especially less that 5%, after 5
cycles of ISO 5A; measured according to the IWS Test
Method 31.

25   Preferably, the shrink-proof improvement of wool or ani-
mal hair material treated in the Delhey process followed
by an enzymatic treatment corresponds to an area shrink-
age which is less than 25%, more preferably less that
20%, more preferably less that 15%, more preferably less
30   that 12%, more preferably less that 10%, more preferably
less than 8%, even more preferably less than 5%,
especially less that 2%, after 2 cycles of ISO 5A, or to
an area shrinkage of less than 20%, more preferably less
that 15%, more preferably less than 12%, even more pre-
35   ferably less than 10%, especially less that 9%, after 5
cycles of ISO 5A, measured according to the IWS Test
Method 31.

The IWS Test Method 31 which is available from The International Wool Secretariat is applicable to all washable wool textiles and to intermediate products including tops, hand knitting yarn, machine knitting yarn, weaving

5 yearn and fabric for cut and sew use. The test may be used to determine the relaxation and the felting behaviour of an intermediate product. The relaxation shrinkage is determined from the dimensions of the sample befor and after subjecting the sample to wet relaxation with mild

10 agitation. This relaxation is achieved by the International Standards Organisation International Standard ISO 6330 7A programme but differs in that the load is reduced to 1 kg. After relaxation, the felting shrinkage is determined from the dimensions of the sample before and

15 after subjecting it to a severe agitation. This agitation is achieved by the ISO 6330 5A programme but differs in that the load is reduced to 1 kg. The number of cycles of the 5A programme to which the sample is subjected is determined by the end use of the product. In the case of

20 intermediate products, tops are made up into yarn of a given count; yarns (including those made from the forementioned top) are made up into single jersey fabric of a standard cover factor. The single jersey knitted fabric is then tested according to the principles indi-

25 cated above.

Alternatively, the anti-felting improvement corresponds to a felt-ball density at or below 0.04, measured according to the Aachen felt-ball test IWTO-20-69. This test

30 was developed at the Deutsche Wollforschungsinstitut, Aachen, in 1960, and is applicable to wool and mixtures of wool and synthetic fibers which can be brought into a loose condition. The principle of the test is the following: 1 g wool and 50 ml of a buffer (pH 7) is placed in a

35 standard 150 ml steel beaker which is then shaken three-dimensionally for a given period of time. The loose wool will form a ball, and the diameter of the felt ball is

measured. The larger the felting tendency of the wool is, the smaller is the measured diameter of the resulting felt ball, and the higher is the density.

5 In the present context, the term "whiteness" is intended to mean how white the wool is or looks by visual determination. The degree of whiteness can conveniently be measured in a Datacolor 3890 Spectral photometer (CIELAB system).

10

More specifically, the present invention provides a method for producing wool or animal hair material having improved whiteness. It is believed that the improved whiteness is due to the enzymatic treatment step which

15 leads to an improvement of the degree of whiteness of the enzymatically treated wool.

Preferably, the thus improved whiteness of wool or animal hair material treated in the Delhey process followed by

20 an enzymatic treatment corresponds to an improvement in whiteness degree of at least 10 CIE units, more preferably of at least 12 CIE units, measured in the Datacolor 3890 Spectral photometer (CIELAB system).

25 Also, the improved whiteness of plasma and enzyme treated wool or animal hair material corresponds to an improvement in whiteness degree of at least 8 CIE units, more preferably of at least 10 CIE units, measured in the Datacolor 3890 Spectral photometer (CIELAB system).

30

In the present context, the terms "dye-uptake" or "dyestuff absorption" are intended to mean the capability of wool immersed in a dye bath to absorb the available soluble dyestuff.

35

More specifically, the present invention provides a method for producing wool or animal hair material having

improved dye-uptake or dyestuff absorption. It is
believed that the improved dye-uptake or dyestuff absorp-
tion is partly due to the enzymatic treatment step which
leads to an improvement of the capability of the
5   enzymatically treated wool to absorb the dyestuff.

Preferably, the improved dyeability of the produced wool
or animal hair material corresponds to an increase of the
colour depth by at least 2 DL (units), more preferably at
10   least 3 DL (units), measured relative to a reference
after competitive dyeing in 2% Lanasol Blue 8G.

In the present context, the term "loss of bundle strength
tenacity" is intended to mean the reduction of the bundle
15   strength tenacity of a fiber bundle material, i.e. wool
or animal hair material, which is a result e.g. of any
modifications or damages suffered during processes such
as dyeing, bleaching and conventional shrink-proof treat-
ments.
20

More specifically, the present invention provides a
method for producing wool or animal hair material with
improvement of one or more of the mentioned properties
and with a limited loss of bundle strength tenacity.
25

Preferably, the loss of bundle strength of the wool or
animal hair material subjected to the method of the pres-
ent invention corresponds to a difference in bundle
strength tenacity of the produced wool or animal hair
30   material and bundle strength tenacity of the untreated
material of less than 20%, more preferably less than 10%,
especially less than 6%, measured according to IWTO-32-
82(E). This standard which was prepared by the "Bundle
Strength of Fibres" Working Group of the IWTO Technical
35   Committee and adopted in 1979 is intended for the deter-
mination of the tenacity of wool in the form of bundles
of parallel fibres in the direction of extension, with a

jaw separation of 3.20 mm, 5.00 mm or 10.00 mm.

Further, the present invention provides a method for pro-
ducing wool or animal hair material of improved softness,
5 preferably a softness at least corresponding to the soft-
ness of untreated wool.

In the present context, the term "reduced pilling ten-
dency" is intended to mean a permanent (and excellent)
10 resistance to formation of pills on the surface of the
treated wool or animal hair material in comparison with
corresponding material which has not been subjected to
the method of the present invention. The tendency to
pilling formation may be tested according to the Swiss
15 norm SN 198525, published in 1990 by Schweizerische
Normen-Vereinigung, Kirchenweg 4, Postfach, CH-8032
Zürich, Switzerland, which describes a test of pilling-
resistance for textiles which in turn is based on the
Swiss norms SNV 95 150 (Textiles - Standard climatic con-
20 ditions and test conditions for the physical tests under
standard climate conditions) and SN 198 529 (Testing of
textiles - "Scheuerfestigkeit" - Martindale method). The
results of the test is expressed in terms of "pilling
notes" which is a rating on a scale from pilling note 1
25 (heavy pill formation) to pilling note 5 (no or very
little pill formation), allowing ½ pilling notes.

In another aspect, the present invention provides a
method for producing wool or animal hair material having
30 a reduced pilling tendency.

The substrate material

The method of the invention can be applied to any de-
35 sirable animal hair product. The commercially most inter-
esting animal hair is wool, e.g. from sheep, camel, rab-
bit, goat, lama, i.e. such as merino wool, shetland wool,

cashmere wool, alpaca wool, mohair.

The wool or animal hair material subjected to the method
of the invention can be top, fiber, yarn, or woven or
5   knitted fabric. The treatment with proteolytic enzymes
can also be carried out on loose flock or on garment made
from wool or animal hair material which has previously
been plasma treated.

10 It should be emphasized that wool and other animal hair
are products of biological origin. The material may vary
greatly e.g. in chemical composition and structure
depending on the living conditions and health of the ani-
mal. Accordingly, the effect(s) obtained by subjecting
15 wool or other animal hair products to the method of the
present invention may also vary in accordance with the
properties of the starting material.

20 **The process**

Basically, the present invention is carried out in two
steps.

25 The plasma treatment step is a low-temperature treatment,
preferably a corona discharge treatment or a glow dis-
charge treatment, *vide supra*.

This low-temperature plasma treatment is carried out by
30 using a gas, preferably a gas selected from the group
consisting of air, oxygen, nitrogen, ammonia, helium, or
argon. Conventionally, air is used but it may be advan-
tageous to use any of the other indicated gasses.

35 Preferably, the low-temperature plasma treatment is
carried out at a pressure between about 0.1 torr and 5
torr for from about 2 seconds to about 300 seconds, pre-

ferably for about 5 seconds to about 100 seconds, more preferably from about 5 seconds to about 30 seconds.

The Delhey process is described in J. Delhey: PhD Thesis
5   RWTH Aachen 1994; and in DE-A-43 32 692 and is carried out as follows:

The wool is treated in an aqueous solution of hydrogen peroxide (0.1 - 35% (w/w), preferably 2-10 % (w/w)), in
10   the presence of a 2-60 % (w/w), preferably 8-20 % (w/w) of a catalyst (preferably Na_2WO_4), and in the presence of a nonionic wetting agent. Preferably, the treatment is carried out at pH 8-11, and room temperature. The treatment time depends on the concentrations of hydrogen per-
15   oxide and catalyst, but is preferably 2 minutes or less.

After the oxidative treatment, the wool is rinsed with water.

20   For removal of residual hydrogen peroxide, and optionally for additional bleaching, the wool may be treated further in acidic solutions of reducing agents (sulphites, phosphites etc.).

25   The enzyme treatment step is preferably carried out for between about 1 minute and about 120 minutes; preferably at a temperature of between about 20°C and about 60°C, more preferably between about 30°C and about 50°C. Alternatively, the wool can be soaked in or padded with an
30   aqueous enzyme solution and then subjected to steaming at a conventional temperature and pressure, typically for about 30 seconds to about 3 minutes.

The proteolytic enzyme treatment is carried out in an
35   acidic or neutral or alkaline medium which may include a buffer.

It may be advantageous to carry out the enzyme treatment
step in the presence of one or more conventional anionic,
non-ionic or cationic surfactants. An example of a useful
nonionic surfactant is Dobanol (from Henkel AG).

5

Further, the wool or animal hair material may be sub-
jected to an ultrasound treatment, either prior to or
simultaneous with the treatment with a proteolytic
enzyme. The ultrasound treatment may advantageously be

10 carried out at a temperature of about 50°C for about 5
minutes.

It is contemplated that the reaction rate of the enzyme
treatment step can be increased by increasing the tem-

15 perature of the enzyme bath during the treatment, i.e.
the total treatment time can be reduced.

The amount of proteolytic enzyme used in the enzyme
treatment step is preferably between about 0.2 w/w% and

20 about 10 w/w%, based on the weight of the wool or animal
hair material.

It is to be understood that, to reduce the number of
treatment steps, the enzyme treatment can be carried out

25 during dyeing or scouring of the wool or animal hair
material, simply by adding the protease to the dyeing,
rinsing or scouring bath.

Preferably, the enzyme treatment is carried out after the

30 plasma treatment but the two treatment steps may also be
carried out vice versa.

It should be noted that the handle of plasma treated wool
or animal hair is generally harsher than that of

35 untreated wool. The enzyme treatment provides a softer
handle, due to weight loss, and a reduction of stiffness
of the fibres. Also, the enzyme treatment may improve the

uptake of softeners, thereby improving the softening effect of additional treatments with softeners. The softness obtained by enzymatic treatment and softening agents is more durable than that obtained with softening agents

5   alone.

It is also well-known that plasma treatment or Delhey treatment may provide a certain shrink-proofing. The degree thereof is increased after an enzyme treatment. It

10   is believed that the plasma treatment or Delhey treatment provides the oxidation and lipid removal necessary for the access of protease to the wool fibre surface.

It has been established that plasma treatment and Delhey

15   treatment have several advantages for the dyeing properties of wool. One of these advantages is the faster absorption of dyestuff at lower temperatures and an improved dye-bath exhaustion. The dye absorption is further improved by the enzyme treatment.

20

The enzyme

A useful proteolytic enzyme for the method of the present invention is any enzyme having proteolytic activity at

25   the actual process conditions. Thus, the enzyme may be a proteolytic enzyme of plant origin, e.g. papain, bromelain, ficin, or of animal origin, e.g. trypsine and chymotrypsine, or of microbial origin, i.e. bacterial or fungal origin or from yeasts. It is to be understood that

30   any mixture of various proteolytic enzyme may be applicable in the process of the invention.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the proteolytic enzyme is a serine-protease, a metallo-protease, or

35   an aspartate-protease. A serine protease is an enzyme which catalyzes the hydrolysis of peptide bonds, and in which there is an essential serine residue at the active

site. They are inhibited by diisopropylfluorophosphate,
but in contrast to metalloproteases, are resistant to
ethylene diamino tetraacetic acid (EDTA) (although they
are stabilized at high temperatures by calcium ions).

5   They hydrolyze simple terminal esters and are similar in
activity to eukaryotic chymotrypsin, also a serine pro-
tease. A more narrow term, alkaline protease, covering a
sub-group, reflects the high pH optimum of some of the
serine proteases, from pH 9.0 to 11.0 The serine

10 proteases usually exhibit maximum proteolytic activity in
the alkaline pH range, whereas the metallo-proteases and
the aspartate-proteases usually exhibit maximum
proteolytic activity in the neutral and the acidic pH
range, respectively.

15

A sub-group of the serine proteases are commonly desig-
nated as subtilisins. A subtilisin is a serine protease
produced by Gram-positive bacteria or fungi. The amino
acid sequence of a number of subtilisins have been deter-

20 mined, including at least six subtilisins from *Bacillus*
strains, namely, subtilisin 168, subtilisin BPN,
subtilisin Carlsberg, subtilisin DY, subtilisin
amylosacchariticus, and mesentericopeptidase, one
subtilisin from an actinomycetales, thermitase from

25 *Thermoactinomyces vulgaris*, and one fungal subtilisin,
proteinase K from *Tritirachium album*. A further subgroup
of the subtilisins, subtilases, have been recognised more
recently. Subtilases are described as highly alkaline
subtilisins and comprise enzymes such as subtilisin PB92

30 (MAXACAL*, Gist-Brocades NV), subtilisin 309(SAVINASE*,
NOVO NORDISK A/S), and subtilisin 147 (ESPERASE*, NOVO
NORDISK A/S).

In the context of this invention, a subtilisin variant or
35 mutated subtilisin protease means a subtilisin that has
been produced by an organism which is expressing a mutant
gene derived from a parent microorganism which possessed

an original or parent gene and which produced a corre-
sponding parent enzyme, the parent gene having been
mutated in order to produce the mutant gene from which
said mutated subtilisin protease is produced when
5   expressed in a suitable host.

These mentioned subtilisins and variants thereof consti-
tute a preferred class of proteases which are useful in
the method of the invention. An example of a useful
10   subtilisin variant is a variant of subtilisin 309
(SAVINASE$^{\bullet}$) wherein, in position 195, glycine is substi-
tuted by phenylalanine (G195F or ^{195}Gly to ^{195}Phe).

Conveniently, conventional fermented commercial proteases
15   are useful. Examples of such commercial proteases are
Alcalase$^{\bullet}$ (produced by submerged fermentation of a strain
of *Bacillus licheniformis*), Esperase$^{\bullet}$ (produced by sub-
merged fermentation of an alkalophilic species of *Bacil-
lus*), Rennilase$^{\bullet}$ (produced by submerged fermentation of a
20   non-pathogenic strain of *Mucor miehei*), Savinase$^{\bullet}$ (produ-
ced by submerged fermentation of a genetically modified
strain of *Bacillus*), e.g. the variants disclosed in the
International Patent Application published as WO
92/19729, and Durazym$^{\bullet}$ (a protein-engineered variant of
25   Savinase$^{\bullet}$). All the mentioned commercial proteases are
produced and sold by Novo Nordisk A/S, DK-2880 Bagsvaerd,
Denmark. Other preferred serine-proteases are proteases
from *Nocardiopsis, Aspergillus, Rhizopus, Bacillus
alcalophilus, B. cereus, N. natto, B. vulgatus, B. mycoi-*
30   *de*, and subtilins from *Bacillus*, especially proteases
from the species *Nocardiopsis sp.* and *Nocardiopsis
dassonvillei* such as those disclosed in the International
Patent Application published as WO 88/03947, especially
proteases from the species *Nocardiopsis sp.*, NRRL 18262,
35   and *Nocardiopsis dassonvillei*, NRRL 18133. Yet other pre-
ferred proteases are the serine proteases from mutants of
Bacillus subtilins disclosed in the International Patent

Application No. PCT/DK89/00002 and in the International Patent Application published as WO 91/00345, and the proteases disclosed in EP 415 296 A2.

5   Another preferred class of proteases are the metallo-proteases of microbial origin. Conveniently, conventional fermented commercial proteases are useful. Examples of such a commercial protease is Neutrase® (Zn) (produced by submerged fermentation of a strain of *Bacillus subtilis*),
10 which is produced and sold by Novo Nordisk A/S, DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark.

Other useful commercial protease enzyme preparation are Bactosol™ WO and Bactosol™ SI, available from Sandoz AG,
15 Basle, Switzerland; Toyozyme™, available from Toyo Boseki Co. Ltd., Japan; and Proteinase K™ (produced by submerged fermentation of a strain of *Bacillus sp.* KSM-K16), available from Kao Corporation Ltd., Japan.

20 **The softeners**

It may be desirable to treat the wool or animal hair material with a softening agent, either simultaneous with the treatment with a proteolytic enzyme or after the
25 plasma treatment and treatment with a proteolytic enzyme. The softener treatment may be necessary in cases where most of the natural fatty matter of the fibre surface has been removed e.g. as a result of the scouring or plasma treatment. Thus, in order to eliminate a possible dry,
30 harsh handle of the fibre, it may be required to re-apply a low concentration of fatty material to the fibre surface in the form of a softener or softening agent.

The softeners conventionally used on wool are usually
35 cationic softeners, either organic cationic softeners or silicone based products, but anionic or non-inoc softeners are also useful.

Examples of useful softeners are polyethylene softeners and silicone softeners, i.e. dimethyl polysiloxanes (silicone oils), H-polysiloxanes, silicone elastomers, aminofunctional dimethyl polysiloxanes, aminofunctional si-

5 licone elastomers, and epoxyfunctional dimethyl polysiloxanes, and organic cationic softeners, e.g. alkyl quarternary ammonium derivatives.

10 The invention is further illustrated in the following non-limiting examples.

EXAMPLE 1

15

In this working example, the effects on the property of materials were described by the following methods:

Shrinkage: IWTO-20-69: Method for determination of the
20 felting properties of loose wool and top. A reduced felt-ball density corresponds to less felting.

Degree of whiteness: W-CIE (from 1986). The more positive the
25 resulting CIE number is, the more white is the wool (-0.3 is more positive than -5).

Dyeability: Dyeing of samples:
The samples were immersed into a dyeing
30 solution of 2% (w/v) Lanasol Blau 8G (from Giba-Geigy), with liquor ratio 1:13. The dye-bath was brought to the boiling point, and held at boiling temperature for 10 min. Samples were then washed once with tap wa-
35 ter and once with distilled water, and dried. Sample and reference were dyed in the same dye-bath (competitive dyeing).

<u>Colorimetric evaluation of colour differ-</u>
<u>ences:</u>

The colour of the samples was evaluated in terms of CIE-LAB/D65 coordinates by means of a Datacolor Tex flash 200. The sample coordinates were registered as difference values relative to the corresponding reference. A more negative DL value refers to a darker shade; a more positive DH value refers to a more blue shade.

The applied scoured wool top was 20 μm merino, with pH value of 9.7, and a degree of whiteness (W-CIE) of -10.7.

Four different plasma-enzyme processes were tested. In all processes, the plasma and enzyme treatment of the invention was carried out as follows:

Plasma treatment

The wool was initially subjected to a low-temperature plasma treatment with the following parameters:

Excitation frequency: 4-5 kHz
Pressure: 1 mbar
Time: 20 sec.
Gas: air.

Enzyme treatment

The pretreated wool was immersed into phosphate solution (0.1 M; pH 8), liquor ratio 1:20. After immersion, *Nocardiopsis sp.*, NRRL 18262, protease was added to the liquor at a dosage of 0.12 g/kg wool. The enzyme was allowed to act for 45 min respectively 120 min at 50°C, then the wool was washed in water and dried. In all cases, a plasma treated reference sample was prepared by a corre-

sponding treatment in buffer only.

Process no. 1

Enzyme treatment directly after plasma treatment.

Results:

Treatment time: 45 min	Degree of whiteness (CIE)	Colorimetric evaluation after dyeing test (CIELAB / D65)	Felt-ball density (g/cm³)
Reference	- 6.4	-	0.126
Enzyme treated	- 0.3	DL= -3.2 DH= 0.7	0.098

Treatment time 120 min	Degree of whiteness (CIE)	Colorimetric evaluation after dyeing test (CIE-LAB / D65)	Felt-ball density (g/cm³)
Reference	- 11.2	-	0.113
Enzyme treated	- 2.8	DL= -6.8 DH= 6.0	≤0.041

Process no. 2

With the purpose of removing adjacent material from the plasma treated wool before the enzyme treatment, an ultra sound treatment was carried out between the plasma and enzyme treatment:

Treatment medium: Pure water
Liquor ratio: 1:20
Temperature: 40°C
Frequency: 35 kHz
Treatment time: 5 min

Then washing, drying, and enzyme treatment.

Results:

Treatment time: 45 min	Degree of whiteness (CIE)	Colorimetric evaluation after dyeing test (CIELAB/D65)	Felt-ball density (g/cm³)
Reference	- 5.7	-	0.115
Enzyme treated	- 4.5	DH= 2.4	0.104

Treatment time 120 min	Degree of whiteness (CIE)	Colorimetric evaluation after dyeing test (CIELAB/D65)	Felt-ball density (g/cm³)
Reference	- 9.9	-	0.112
Enzyme treated	- 2.8	DL= -8.1 DH= 4.4	≤0.041

Process no. 3:

In order to remove material adhering to the surface of the plasma treated wool before the enzyme treatment, a surfactant treatment was carried out between the plasma and enzyme treatment:

Treatment medium: 0.1 % Dobanol (nonionic surfactant from Henkel AG) in water

Liquor ratio: 1:20

Temperature: 40°C

Treatment time: 5 min

Then washing, drying, and enzyme treatment.

Results:

Treatment time: 45 min	Degree of whiteness (CIE)	Colorimetric evaluation after dyeing test (CIELAB/D65)	Felt-ball density (g/cm^3)
Reference	- 4.8	-	0.102
Enzyme treated	- 3.3	DH= 0.9	0.087

Treatment time 120 min	Degree of whiteness (CIE)	Colorimetric evaluation after dyeing test (CIELAB/D65)	Felt-ball density (g/cm^3)
Reference	- 9.0	-	0.102
Enzyme treated	0.6	DL= -3.1 DH= 4.6	0.050

Process no. 4:

For removal of adjacent material from the plasma treated wool before the enzyme treatment, an ultra sound treatment with surfactant was carried out between the plasma and enzyme treatment:

Treatment medium: 0.1 % Dobanol in water
Liquor ratio: 1:20
Temperature: 40°C
Frequency: 35 kHz
Treatment time: 5 min

Then washing, drying, and enzyme treatment.

Results:

Treatment time: 45 min	Degree of whiteness (CIE)	Colorimetric evaluation after dyeing test (CIELAB/D65)	Felt-ball density (g/cm³)
Reference	- 5.1	-	0.101
Enzyme treated	- 3.2	DL= -4.2 DH= 2.5	0.088

Treatment time 120 min	Degree of whiteness (CIE)	Colorimetric evaluation after dyeing test (CIE-LAB / D65)	Felt-ball density (g/cm³)
Reference	- 6.5	-	0.098
Enzyme treated	2.1	DL= -6.5 DH= 5.7	≤0.041

The results shown in the tables of the processes 1-4 demonstrate that the enzyme treatment in all cases resulted in increased whiteness, increased colour depth, and felting reduction.

EXAMPLE 2

I.1 Wool material

a) Plasma treated __and__ reference wool knitted fabric. The fabric parameters were as follows:
- fineness: 24 μm
- yarn count: tex 25x1
- cover factor: 0.71
- knitted on circular-knitting machine Maxi Jack (Trabal,

Spain)

- fabric weight 250 g/m^2

- standard finishing procedure (scouring, stenter dyeing, decatizing)

5 - dry cleaning (to remove all softeners, surface active agents)

- treatment in **air** plasma: tratment time 60s, voltage ~800 V, current 2.2 A

10 b) Untreated woven fabric, plain weave, for fastness testing. Area weight 127 g/m^2.

I.2 Enzyme material

The enzyme used was protease NOVOZYM 654 from Novo

15 Nordisk A/S, DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, batch 94-12.

I.3 Enzyme treatment

The enzyme treatment was performed in dyeing machines. The samples were either prepared according to IWS test

20 method 31 and then enzyme treated or the samples were first enzyme treated and then prepared according to IWS 31.

In the first case the samples were of double thickness

25 and 300 mm x 400 mm in size sewn together at the edges. The samples were enzymatically treated in the Ahiba Turbomat 1000. 500 ml Tris-(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane-acetate buffer pH 8 were added to 65 g of the knitted and sewn sample (liquor ratio 1:7.7) 0.166% (owf) NOVOZYM 654

30 were incubated with the wool at a temperature of 50°C for 120 min (resp. 60 min). The inactivation of the enzyme was performed at 85°C for 10 min. The samples were rinsed with tap water for 20 min. References were treated under the same conditions with buffer without addition of

35 enzyme.

In the second case the knitted fabric was enzymatically

treated as one piece in the Ahiba Turbocolor dyeing machine. The liquor ratio was 1:7.9 and the rinsing was performed in the dyeing machine for 30 min. Besides these conditions the treatment parameters were equal to those

5 given above. After the enzyme treatment fabric pieces at a size of 225x300 mm were sewn together and prepared for IWS TM 31.

In the case of woven fabric 300x300 mm samples were pre-
10 pared in single layer. A cuff was formed prior to the TM 31 test by folding two sides along lines 20 mm from the edge.

I.4 The Delhey process /1/

15 Immediately prior to the use the treatment solution was prepared as follows: 50 ml H_2O_2 (35% v/v) and 53 g Na_2WO_4 x 2 H_2O in 550 ml H_2O with 3 g Laventin LNB (BASF) (corresponding to 20 g fabric) were mixed together. 15 s later a sample of woven fabric was wetted in the solution and
20 squeezed in a foulard to a weight increase of 75%. After a reaction time of 2 min the sample was rinsed under tap water and air dried.

I.5 IWS test method 31

25 The dimension measurements were performed after relaxation (1x7A), after felting shrinkage (2x5A) and after felting shrinkage (5x5A). Sample sizes according to I.3.

I.6 Determination of the weight loss

30 The weight loss of the samples was determined by measuring the dry weight of the samples prior to and after the enzyme or buffer treatment. Part of the samples were dried at 110°C for 4 h, cooled down in a desiccator and weighed.

35

I.7 Degree of whiteness

The degree of whiteness was measured at a Datacolor 3890

colorimeter (Datacolor, Marl, Germany). The degree of whiteness is given as W-CIE.

I.8 Dye uptake

5 Fabrics were dyed with 2% Lanasol Blue 8G in small batches (4 ml, 2x200 mg woven fabric, 2x500 mg knitted fabric, 10' at 100°C). The buffer respectively untreated and the enzyme treated samples were dyed in competition. The colour measurements were performed at the Datacolor

10 3890 colorimeter. The values given are difference values DL (colour depth).

I.8 Wettability testing (Drop testing /2/)

Destilled water (0.25 g) is dropped from a height of 40

15 mm onto the stretched fabric and the time is stopped when the drop is fully soaked (no more reflectance on the sur-face). A mean value of 3 measurements was taken.

II. Results

20

II.1	Determination of the relaxation and felting shrinkage in washing of the wool samples

25 | II.1.1 | Plasma treated knitted wool fabric samples |
|--------|---|

The results of the relaxation and felting shrinkage of the 225x300 mm of size plasma treated wool samples

30 treated with enzymes respectively buffer are listed in Table 1 (1x7A, 2x5A) and Table 2 (1x7A, 5x5A).

Table 1: Relaxation (1x7A) and felting shrinkage (2x5A) of the plasma treated wool samples treated with 0.166% (owf) NOVOZYM 654 respectively buffer for 120 min (sample size 225x300 mm)

5

Samples	Relaxation/%		Felting shrink-age %		Area shrink-age/%		Total shrin-kage/% X/%
					Relaxa-tion	Shrink-age	
	Width	Length	Width	Length			
Refe-rence fabric	4.78	-11.32	1.11	-17.54	-6.00	-16.24	-22.24
	5.95	-11.92	0.01	-17.05	-5.26	-17.04	-22.30 -22.27
Plasma treated fabric	5.90	-12.27	5.90	-12.62	-5.65	-5.98	-11.63
	5.72	-12.27	6.23	-12.69	-5.85	-5.67	-11.52 -11.6
Plasma + buffer	5.08	-8.09	5.32	-12.13	-2.60	-6.16	-8.76
	4.09	-7.99	4.69	-10.77	-3.57	-5.57	-9.14 -8.95
Plasma + enzyme	5.29	-8.36	3.95	-8.45	-2.63	-4.17	-6.80
	3.77	-6.63	4.35	-9.23	-2.61	-4.48	-7.09 -6.95

20

Table 2: Relaxation (1x7A) and felting shrinkage (5x5A) of the plasma treated wool samples treated with 0.166% (owf) NOVOZYM 654 respectively buffer for 120 min (sample size 225x300 mm)

25

Samples	Felting shrinkage / %		Area shrink-age/%		Total shrin-kage / % X / %
			Relaxa-tion	Shrink-age	
	Width	Length			
Reference	-10.80	-30.39	-6.00	-44.47	-50.47 50.20
	-10.73	-30.63	-5.26	-44.65	-49.91
Plasma	1.89	-18.06	-5.65	-15.83	-21.48
	2.33	-17.00	-5.85	-14.30	-20.15 -20.82
Plasma + buffer	7.87	-18.22	-2.60	-8.92	-11.52
	7.37	-18.20	-3.57	-9.49	-13.06 -12.30
Plasma + enzyme	6.32	-12.72	-2.63	5.60	-8.23
	6.88	-14.02	-2.61	-6.18	-8.79 -8.51

35

The relaxation and felting shrinkage of the bigger wool samples are listed in Tables 3 and 4.

40

Table 3: Relaxation (1x7A) and felting shrinkage (2x5A) of the reference/plasma treated wool samples treated with 0.166% (owf) NOVOZYM 654 respectively buffer for 120 min (sample size 300x400 mm)

Samples	Relaxation/%		Felting shrinkage/%		Area shrinkage/%		Total X/% shrinkage/%
	Width	Length	Width	Length	Relaxation	Shrinkage	
Reference + buffer	3.74	-6.21	0.90	-16.76	-2.24	-15.71	-17.95
Plasma + buffer	2.28	-4.86	6.01	-13.50	-2.47	-6.68	-9.15
Ref. + enzyme	2.84	-3.95	1.95	-14.21	-1.0	-11.98	-12.98
	1.20	-3.69	4.56	-15.72	-2.45	-10.44	-12.89 -12.94
Plasma + enzyme	2.11	-4.26	4.34	-7.45	-2.06	-2.79	-4.85
	1.93	-3.29	5.79	-9.25	-1.29	-2.92	-4.21 -4.53

Table 4: Relaxation (1x7A) and felting shrinkage (5x5A) of the reference/plasma treated wool samples treated with 0.166% (owf) NOVOZYM 654 respectively buffer for 120 min (sample size 300x400 mm)

Samples	Felting shrinkage / %		Area shrinkage / %		Total X / % shrinkage / %
	Width	Length	Relaxation	Shrinkage	
Reference + buffer	-11.09	-27.36	-2.24	-41.5	-43.74
Plasma + buffer	2.30	-20.16	-2.47	-17.4	-19.87
Ref. + enzyme	-7.15	-24.75	-1.00	-33.67	-34.67
	-5.23	-25.46	-2.45	-32.02	-34.47 -34.57
Plasma + enzyme	5.56	-11.84	-2.06	-5.62	-7.68
	6.19	-13.94	-1.29	-6.89	-8.18 -7.93

From these results it can be deduced that the enzyme treatment leads to an additional reduction of the felting shrinkage of plasma treated wool. In the case of the 225x300 mm samples the additional reduction amounts to 40% (22.8% for the buffer treatment) and in the case of the 300x400 mm samples it amounts to 61% (21% for the

buffer treatment) for the 2x5A testing. But also in the case of the reference knitted wool fabric the felting shrinkage is reduced by the enzyme treatment.

5   Plasma treated and reference knitted fabric samples (300x400 mm, double sewn) were also treated with 0.83% owf Novozym 654 for 120 and 60 min. The results of the relaxation and felting shrinkage are listed in Tables 5a-d.

10

Table 5a: Relaxation (1x7A) and felting shrinkage (2x5A) of the reference/plasma treated wool samples treated with 0.83% (owf) NOVOZYM 654 respectively buffer for 120 min (sample size 300x400 mm)

15

Samples	Relaxation / %		Felting shrinkage / %		Area shrinkage / %		Total shrinkage/%	X/%
					Relaxation	Shrinkage		
	Width	Length	Width	Length				
Reference + buffer	2.23	-6.15	1.02	-18.05	-3.78	-16.85	-20.63	
Plasma + buffer	1.64	-6.77	7.32	-10.17	-5.02	-2.11	-7.13	
Ref. + enzyme	2.08	-6.17	3.41	-15.24	-4.96	-11.31	-16.27	
	1.72	-5.65	3.04	-14.48	-3.45	-11.00	-14.45	-15.36
Plasma + enzyme	1.72	-5.55	4.85	10.31	-3.73	-4.96	-8.69	
	3.38	-6.68	4.00	-8.47	-3.07	-4.13	-7.20	-7.95

20

25

Table 5b: Relaxation (1x7A) and felting shrinkage (2x5A) of the reference/plasma treated wool samples treated with 0.83% (owf) NOVOZYM 654 respectively buffer for 60 min (sample size 300x400 mm)

Samples	Relaxation/%		Felting shrinkage/%		Area shrinkage/%		Total shrinkage/% X/%
	Width	Length	Width	Length	Relax-ation	Shrink-age	
Reference + buffer	1.99	-5.57	0.87	-17.33	-3.47	-16.31	-19.78
Plasma + buffer	1.18	-7.60	6.00	-11.83	-6.33	-5.12	-11.45
Reference + enzyme	0.85	-4.18	4.30	-14.92	-3.29	-9.98	-13.27
	1.10	-1.19	2.89	-16.87	-0.08	-13.49	-13.57 -13.42
Plasma + enzyme	4.00	-6.30	3.70	-7.56	-2.05	-3.58	-5.63
	1.27	-4.77	5.46	-7.79	-3.44	-1.90	-5.34 -5.49

Table 5c: Relaxation (1x7A) and felting shrinkage (5x5A) of the reference/plasma treated wool samples treated with 0.83% (owf) NOVOZYM 654 respectively buffer for 120 min (sample size 300x400 mm)

Samples	Felting shrinkage/%		Area shrinkage / %		Total shrinkage/% X/%
	Width	Length	Relaxa-tion	Shrink-age	
Reference + buffer	-9.91	-29.41	-3.78	-42.23	-46.01
Plasma + buffer	7.69	-16.22	-5.02	-7.28	-12.30
Ref. + enzyme	-5.01	-24.13	-4.96	-30.35	-35.31
	-5.50	-24.73	-3.45	-31.59	-35.04 -35.2
Plasma + enzyme	8.78	-14.68	-3.73	-4.61	-8.34
	5.96	-11.56	-3.07	-4.91	-7.98 -8.2

Table 5d: Relaxation (1x7A) and felting shrinkage (5x5A) of the reference/plasma treated wool samples treated with 0.83% (owf) NOVOZYM 654 respectively buffer for 60 min (sample size 300x400 mm)

Samples	Felting shrinkage / %		Area shrinkage / %		Total X / % shrin-kage / %	
	Width	Length	Relaxa-tion	Shrink-age		
Reference + buffer	-12.09	-29.63	-3.47	-45.30	-48.77	
Plasma + buffer	5.70	-18.35	-6.33	-11.60	-17.93	
Reference + enzyme	-3.60 -3.24	-24.91 -25.77	-3.29 -0.08	-29.41 -32.36	-32.70 -32.44	-32.6
Plasma + enzyme	8.16 5.72	-13.42 -12.39	-3.44 -2.05	-4.16 -5.96	-7.60 -8.01	-7.8

In the case of the (2x5A) testing the reduction of shrinkage caused by the enzyme treatment of the untreated wool fabric amounts to 25%. In the case of the incubation of plasma treated wool with 0.83% owf Novozym for 120 min the shrinkage was not reduced but even slightly enhanced. On the contrary if the treatment time is reduced to 60 min with 0.83% Novozym 654 the total shrinkage is reduced by 50%. Using higher enzyme concentrations the treatment time is decisive for the antifelting effect.

II.1.2 Woven fabric treated according to the Delhey process

These trials were carried out on woven fabric. The results of the relaxation and felting shrinkage of the 300x300 mm (280x280 mm) of size Delhey samples treated with enzymes/buffer are shown in Table 6.

Table 6a: Relaxation (1x7A) and felting shrinkage (2x5A) of the Delhey or untreated samples treated with 0.166% (owf) NOVOZYM 654 or buffer, respectively (sample size 280x280 mm)

Samples	Relaxation / %		Felting shrinkage / %		Area shrinkage/%		Total X/% shrinkage %
					Re-laxation	Shrink-age	
	Width	Length	Width	Length			
Untreated reference	-2.53 -2.69	-2.63 -2.72	-12.00 -12.49	-8.88 -7.70	-5.23 -5.48	-21.95 -21.15	-27.18 -26.63 -26.91
Delhey treated reference	0.24 -0.24	-1.60 -1.09	-2.30 -2.23	-5.16 -4.90	-1.36 -1.33	-7.58 -7.24	-8.94 -8.55 -8.75
Buffer treated Delhey (120')	0.05 0.35	-0.89 -0.85	-1.34 -1.52	-3.62 -4.21	-0.84 -0.50	-5.01 -5.79	-5.85 -6.29 -6.07
Enzyme treated Delhey (120') 0.166%	0.24 0.90	-0.24 0.05	0 0	-1.96 -1.89	-0 0.95	-1.96 -1.89	-1.96 -0.94 -1.45

Table 6b: Relaxation (1x7A) and felting shrinkage (5x5A) of the Delhey or untreated samples treated with 0.166% (owf) NOVOZYM 654 respectively buffer (sample size 280x280 mm)

Samples	Felting shrinkage / %		Area shrinkage / %		Total X / % shrinkage / %
			Relaxation	Shrink-age	
	Width	Length			
Untreated reference	-31.10 -31.19	-28.02 -25.98	-5.23 -5.48	-67.83 -65.27	-73.06 -70.75 -71.9
Delhey treated reference	-12.02 -10.83	-16.31 -16.92	-1.36 -1.33	-30.29 -29.58	-31.65 -30.91 -31.3
Buffer treated Delhey (120')	-8.41 -10.61	-11.81 -14.50	-0.84 -0.50	-21.21 -26.65	-22.05 -27.15 -24.6
Enzyme treated Delhey (120')0.166%	-1.80 -1.50	-7.00 -6.52	-0 0.95	-8.93 8.11	-8.93 -7.16 -8.0

2x5A: The treatment according to Delhey reduces the total

shrinkage of the woven fabric used already by approximately 70%. By the enzyme treatment (120 min., 0.166% owf enzyme) the shrinkage is further reduced by >80%. The total reduction of shrinkage achieved with the combined

5 process amounts to 95%.

II.2 Degree of whiteness

The two different sample dimensions of the knitted fabric result from the realization that in the case of the

10 300x400 mm samples (of double thickness) the rinsing by tap water after the enzyme treatment was less effective, documented in the lower degree of whiteness of the enzyme treated samples (Table 7a) and in a weight increase after treatment (not documented). It seems that residual enzyme

15 and protein fragments were inactivated but not completely removed from the fabric.

Therefore wool samples were treated and rinsed in single fabric thickness. Furthermore, the rinsing was performed

20 in the Ahiba Turbocolor machine where the tap water is pressed through the fabric (Table 7b).

In Table 7c the results of the whiteness measurements for the woven fabric samples treated according to Delhey/1/

25 and enzyme/buffer posttreated are listed.

Table 7: Degree of whiteness of the plasma treated, reference and enzyme posttreated material

a) 300x400 mm, knitted fabric treated and washed double

30 sewn:

time, enzyme conc.	W-CIE	ΔW-CIE	X
references			
untreated	2.3	–	
plasma	1.4	–	

35

40

120 min., 0.166% owf

untreated	1.9	-0.4	
	1.9	-0.4	-0.4
plasma	0.3	-1.1	
	0.5	-0.9	-1.0

60 min., 0.83% owf

untreated	-2.2	-4.5	
	-2.1	-4.4	-4.5
plasma	-2.9	-4.3	
	-2.0	-3.4	-3.9

120 min., 0.83% owf

untreated	-2.8	-5.1	
	-2.2	-4.5	-4.8
plasma	-2.2	-3.6	
	-3.3	-4.7	-4.2

references, buffer treated 120'

untreated	-0.1	-2.4
plasma	0.5	-0.9
60'		
untreated	-0.1	-2.4
plasma	0.6	-0.8

b) 225x300 mm, knitted fabric treated in single layer and washed in double layer

samples	W-CIE	ΔW-CIE
plasma treated reference	1.4	–
buffer treated plasma fabric (120')	1.7	0.3
enzyme treated plasma fabric (120', 0.166% owf Novozym 654)	4.0	2.6

c) Delhey process, woven fabric 280x280 mm

samples	W-CIE	ΔW-CIE
reference untreated	15.5	–
reference Delhey treated	9.6	-5.9
buffer treated Delhey (120')	15.8	0.3
enzyme treated Delhey (120', 0.166% owf Novozym 654)	27.8	12.3

In contrast to the samples enzymatically treated in
double layer, the plasma treated knitted wool samples
treated in one layer with enzymes show an enhanced degree
5   of whiteness compared to the reference.

After the Delhey process (performed as given in I.4) the
degree of whiteness of the samples is lower than that of
the corresponding reference. The values are increased
10   again by the following buffer treatment and after the
enzyme treatment the degree of whiteness is increased by
ΔW-CIE value of 12.3.

II.3 Dyeability of the samples
15   Fabrics treated were dyed with Lanasol Blue 8G in compe-
tition with the corresponding reference and the colour
differences (DL values) of the respective sample pairs
were measured (Table 8).

20   **Table 8:** Colour differences of the samples and references
dyed in competition

Samples corresponding reference/sample	DL
Woven fabric untreated/Delhey treated	-10.2
buffer treated Delhey/- enzyme treated Delhey	-7.1
Delhey treated/- enzyme treated Delhey	-4.0
Knitted fabric	
Untreated/enzyme treated	-6.1
Plasma/buffer treated plasma 120'	-3.6
Buffer treated plasma/- enzyme treated plasma (0.166%, 120')	-0.5

25, 30, 35 mark rows in left margin.

The biggest difference in the dye uptake is observed in

the case of the Delhey treated fabric compared to the untreated fabric. The enzyme treated Delhey samples show a higher uptake than the Delhey treated reference.

5 In the case of the plasma treated samples the dye uptake is further enhanced by the enzyme treatment.

II.4 Evaluation of the handle

In general the handle of the enzyme treated samples is
10 better than that of the reference. Thus, a tendency is visible and perceptible with rising enzyme concentration, the samples become softer. In these cases the treatment time plays a minor role.

15 **II.5 Wettability**

The samples that were used in the dyeing test (II.3) were also tested for wettability (Table 9). In this test it became obvious that either the plasma treatment or the capillary forces along the fabric were not equal. It
20 could also be possible that the dry cleaning prior to the plasma treatment was not effective enough. In the case of the only plasma treated knitted fabric e.g., 3 different values for soaking were measured (11.33 min, 10 sec, 5.45 min). Both sides of the fabric were tested. The fabric is
25 heterogeneous regarding the wettability. Only in the case of the enzyme posttreated plasma treated fabric the soaking was rapid and equal (50, 45 and 42 sec). But, only for one side of the fabric. During the enzyme treatment the fabric was rolled up round the support in the dyeing
30 machine. Thus, part of the fabric is more exposed to the liquor although the liquor is pumped (outside to inside) through the roll. This might be the reason for the different wetting behaviour of the enzyme posttreated samples.

35

The samples treated by the Delhey process do not show a rapid soaking. But the wettability is enhanced compared

to the untreated reference.

Table 9: Results of the wettability testing of the differently treated wool samples

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
1	∞	∞	50sec	>10min	11.33min	>10min	>10min	>10min	>10min
2	∞	∞	45sec	>10min	10sec	>10min	>10min	>10min	
3	∞	∞	42sec	>10min	5.45min	>10min	>10min	>10min	
x	∞	∞	46sec	>10min		>10min wetted below drop	>10min wetted below drop	>10min wetted below drop	>10min wetted below drop

Knitted fabric: I-V

I:	enzyme treated (0.166%, 120 min)
II:	untreated
III:	enzyme treated plasma fabric (0.166%, 120 min)
IV:	buffer treated plasma fabric (120 min)
V:	plasma treated

Woven fabric: VI-IX

VI:	enzyme treated Delhey fabric (0.166%, 120 min)
VII:	buffer treated Delhey (120 min)
VIII:	Delhey treated
IX:	untreated

The claims defining the invention are as follows:

1.   A method of producing wool or animal hair material with improved properties comprising the steps of

a.   treating wool, wool fibres or animal hair material in a process selected from the group consisting of low temperature plasma treatment processes carried out by using a gas and the Delhey process, and

b.   subjecting the wool or animal hair material to a treatment with a proteolytic enzyme (a protease) in an amount effective for improving the properties.

2.   The method according to claim 1, wherein the low temperature plasma treatment carried out by using a gas is preferably a corona discharge treatment or a glow discharge treatment.

3.   The method according to claim 1, wherein the process is the Delhey process.

4.   The method according to claim 2, wherein the improved property of the produced wool or animal hair material is an improved shrink-proof or anti-felting property.

5.   The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 10% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

6.   The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 8% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

7.   The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 7% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

8.   The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 5% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

9.   The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 3% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

10.   The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 2% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

11.   The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 15% after 5 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

[R:\LIBZZ]04039.doc:mm

12. The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 10% after 5 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

13. The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 8% after 5 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

14. The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 6% after 5 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

15. The method according to claim 4, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 5% after 5 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

16. The method according to claim 4, wherein the anti-felting property corresponds to a felt-ball density at or below 0.04, measured according to the Aachen felt-ball test IWTO-20-69.

17. The method according to claim 3, wherein the improved property of the produced wool or animal hair material is an improved shrink-proof or anti-felting property.

18. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 25% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

19. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 20% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

20. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 15% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

21. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 12% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

22. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 10% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

23. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 8% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

[R:\LIBZZ]04039.doc:mrr

24. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 5% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

25. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 2% after 2 cycles of ISO 5A measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

26. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 20% after 5 cycles of ISO 5A, measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

27. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 15% after 5 cycles of ISO 5A, measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

28. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 12% after 5 cycles of ISO 5A, measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

29. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 10% after 5 cycles of ISO 5A, measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

30. The method according to claim 17, wherein the shrink-proof property corresponds to an area shrinkage of less than 9% after 5 cycles of ISO 5A, measured according to the IWS Test Method 31.

31. The method according to any one of claims 2 or 4 to 16, wherein the improved property of the produced wool or animal hair material is an improved whiteness degree.

32. The method according to claim 31 wherein the degree corresponds to an improvement of at least 8 CIE units measured in a Datacolor 3890 Spectral photometer (CIELAB system).

33. The method according to claim 31 wherein the degree corresponds to an improvement of at least 10 CIE units measured in a Datacolor 3890 Spectral photometer (CIELAB system).

34. The method according to any one of claims 3 or 17 to 30, wherein the improved property of the produced wool or animal hair material is an improved whiteness degree.

35. The method according to claim 34, wherein the degree corresponds to an improvement of at least 10 CIE units measured in a Datacolor 3890 Spectral photometer (CIELAB system).

36. The method according to claim 34, wherein the degree corresponds to an improvement of at least 12 CIE units measured in a Datacolor 3890 Spectral photometer (CIELAB system).

37. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 36, wherein the improved property of the produced wool or animal hair material is an improved dyeability.

38. The method according to claim 37, wherein the improved dyeability corresponds to an increase of the colour depth by at least 2 DL (units), measured relative to an untreated reference after competitive dyeing in 2% Lanasol Blue 8G.

39. The method according to claim 37, wherein the improved dyeability corresponds to an increase of the colour depth by at least 3 DL (units), measured relative to an untreated reference after competitive dyeing in 2% Lanasol Blue 8G.

40. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 39, wherein the loss of bundle strength tenacity of the produced wool or animal hair material, as compared to the bundle strength tenacity of the untreated material is less than 20% measured according to IWTO-32-82.

41 The method according to any one of claims 1 to 39, wherein the loss of bundle strength tenacity of the produced wool or animal hair material, as compared to the bundle strength tenacity of the untreated material is less than 10% measured according to IWTO-32-82.

42. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 39, wherein the loss of bundle strength tenacity of the produced wool or animal hair material, as compared to the bundle strength tenacity of the untreated material is less than 8% measured according to IWTO-32-82.

43. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 39, wherein the loss of bundle strength tenacity of the produced wool or animal hair material, as compared to the bundle strength tenacity of the untreated material is less than 6% measured according to IWTO-32-82.

44. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 43, wherein the improved property of the produced wool or animal hair material is improved softness.

45. The method according to claim 44, wherein the softness at least corresponds to the softness of untreated wool.

46. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 45, wherein the improved property of the produced wool or animal hair material is a reduced pilling tendency.

47. The method according to any one of claims 2, 4 or 6-11, wherein the low-temperature plasma treatment is carried out by using a gas selected from the group consisting of air, oxygen, nitrogen, ammonia, helium or argon.

41

48. The method according to any one of claims 2, 4 to 16 or 31 to 47, wherein the low-temperature plasma treatment carried out using a gas is carried out for from about 2 seconds to about 300 seconds.

49. The method according to any one of claims 2, 4 to 16 or 31 to 47, wherein the low-temperature plasma treatment carried out using a gas is carried out for from about 5 seconds to about 100 seconds.

50. The method according to any one of claims 2, 4 to 16 or 31 to 47, wherein the low-temperature plasma treatment carried out using a gas is carried out for from about 5 seconds to about 30 seconds.

51. The method according to any one of claims 48 to 50, wherein the treatment is carried out at a pressure between about 0.1 torr and 5 torr.

52. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 51, wherein the treatment with a proteolytic enzyme is carried out for between about 1 minute and about 120 minutes.

53. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 52, wherein the treatment with a proteolytic enzyme is carried out at a temperature of between about 20°C and about 70°C.

54. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 52, wherein the treatment with a proteolytic enzyme is carried out at a temperature of between about 30°C and about 60°C.

55. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 52, wherein the treatment with a proteolytic enzyme is carried out at a temperature of between about 40°C and about 60°C.

56. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 55, wherein the treatment with a proteolytic enzyme is carried out in an acidic or neutral or alkaline medium, optionally in the presence of one or more anionic, non-ionic or cationic surfactants.

57. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 56, wherein the wool or animal hair material is further subjected to an ultrasound treatment, either prior to or simultaneous with the treatment with a proteolytic enzyme.

58. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 57, wherein the wool or animal hair material is subjected to a treatment with a softener or softening agent, either simultaneous with the treatment with a proteolytic enzyme or after the plasma treatment and treatment with a proteolytic enzyme.

59. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 58, wherein the proteolytic enzyme is of plant origin or of animal origin.

60. The method according to claim 59, wherein the enzyme is papain, bromelain or ficin, or of animal origin.

61. The method according to claim 59, wherein the enzyme is trypsine, or of animal origin.

[R:\LIBZZ]04039.doc:mm

42

62. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 61, wherein the proteolytic enzyme is of microbial origin.

63. The method according to claim 62, wherein the enzyme is a bacterial protease, a fungal protease or a protease producible by or derivable from yeasts.

64. The method according to claim 62 or claim 63, wherein the proteolytic enzyme is a serine protease.

65. The method according to claim 62 or claim 63, wherein the proteolytic enzyme is subtilisin.

66. The method according to claim 62 or claim 63, wherein the proteolytic enzyme is a subtilisin derived from *Bacillus* or from *Tritirachium album*.

67. The method according to any one of claims 64 to 66, wherein the serine protease is subtilisin PB92, subtilisin 309 or subtilisin 147.

68. The method according to claim 67, wherein the serine protease is a subtilisin variant of subtilisin 309 having the glycine in position 195 substituted with phenylalanine (G195F).

69. The method according to any one of claims 64 to 66, wherein the serine protease is producible by or derived from a strain of *B. licheniformis, B. alcalophilus, B. cereus, B. natto, B. vulgatus* or *B. mycoide*.

70. The method according to any one of claims 64 to 66, wherein the serine protease is a protease producible by or derivable from a strain belonging to a *Nocardiopsis, Aspergillus, Rhizopus* or *Mucor* genus.

71. The method according to claim 70, wherein the protease is producible by or derivable from a strain of *Nocardiopsis sp*.

72. The method according to claim 71, wherein the *Nocardiopsis sp.* is *Nocardiopsis dassonvillei*.

73. The method according to claim 71, wherein the *Nocardiopsis sp.* is *Nocardiopsis sp.*, NRRL 18133.

74. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 73, wherein the amount of proteolytic enzyme is between about 0.2 w/w% and about 10 w/w%, based on the weight of the wool or animal hair material.

75. A method of producing wool or animal hair material with improved properties, substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to any one of the Examples.

76.   Wool or animal hair material which has been treated according to the method of any of the claims 1 to 75.

Dated 23 September 1999

~~Novo Nordisk A/S~~

Nou ozymes A\S

Patent Attorneys for the Applicant/Nominated Person
SPRUSON & FERGUSON