




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : C12Q 1/56, C07K 5/06, 5/08, 5/10	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 96/21740
		(43) International Publication Date: 18 July 1996 (18.07.96)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/NL96/00018</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 10 January 1996 (10.01.96)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 95200043.8 10 January 1995 (10.01.95) EP</p> <p>(34) Countries for which the regional or international application was filed: AT et al.</p> <p>(71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: HEMKER, Hendrik, Coenraad [NL/NL]; Tongersestraat 41, NL-6211 LM Maastricht (NL).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and</p> <p>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): RIJKERS, Dirk, Thomas, Sigurd [NL/NL]; Arieslaan 13, NL-5632 AS Eindhoven (NL). TESSER, Godefriedus, Ignatius [NL/NL]; Kronenburgersingel 64, NL-6511 AT Nijmegen (NL).</p> <p>(74) Agent: DE BRUIJN, Leendert, C.; Nederlandsch Octrooibureau, Scheveningseweg 82, P.O. Box 29720, NL-2502 LS The Hague (NL).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AZ, BY, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published</p> <p>With international search report.</p> <p>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</p>	

(54) Title: METHODS OF DETERMINING ENDOGENOUS THROMBIN POTENTIAL (ETP) AND THROMBIN SUBSTRATES FOR USE IN SAID METHODS

## (57) Abstract

A method for determining the ETP (endogenous thrombin potential) of a sample, preferably in a continuous assay, said sample comprising a total anticoagulant activity of or equivalent to at least 0,07 U ISH/ml, wherein a thrombin substrate or a salt thereof that is soluble in the sample is applied in a manner known per se for determining the ETP of a sample, said thrombin substrate being selected from the group comprising substrates of the formula P-Val-Xaa-S, wherein P is an amino protective group, said group being non aromatic and polar, Val is a valine residue attached via a peptide bond to Xaa, Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal guanidino group or ureido group separated by at least 2 carbon atoms from the peptide backbone, said amino acid residue being attached to S, S is a signal group such as a chromophore that can be enzymatically hydrolysed. Other substrates such as substrates comprising the structure Zaa-Pipecolyl-Yaa-S or Zaa-Pro-Yaa-S, wherein Zaa represents D-Phenylalanine, D-Tryptophan or D-Tyrosine, Pro represents proline, Yaa is an amino acid residue other than arginine and S is a signal marker can also be used. The substrates Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA and H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA are also applicable. Furthermore ETP determination methods as such can be improved by addition of hydroxylamine to the sample to circumvent defibrination of the sample.



**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

**METHODS OF DETERMINING ENDOGENEOUS THROMBIN POTENTIAL (ETP) AND THROMBIN SUBSTRATES FOR USE IN SAID METHODS.**

The subject invention lies in the field of thrombosis and haemostasis.  
5 In particular the invention is directed at improved chromogenic substrates for thrombin. The substrates according to the invention can be used to great advantage in determination of endogenous thrombin potential. The determination of endogenous thrombin potential and advantages and applications thereof are described in EP appl.nr.  
10 902025097, published on April 3, 1991, publication number 420332 of Hemker et al. The content of said patent is hereby incorporated by reference. The subject invention is also directed at improved methods of ETP determination in a continuous assay.

15 INTRODUCTION

THROMBIN GENERATION: ITS ASSESSMENT VIA THE ENDOGENOUS THROMBIN POTENTIAL.

20 Thrombin is a pivotal element in the complex interplay of vessel wall, blood cells and plasma proteins that ensures haemostasis but also may lead to thrombosis. The mechanisms of prothrombin activation and thrombin inactivation are closely intertwined with functions of the formed elements of blood and blood vessels. The convenient oversimplification of  
25 older text books no longer hold: Primary haemostasis and arterial thrombosis are no longer thought to be uniquely platelet functions; secondary haemostasis and venous thrombosis are not the in vivo equivalents of blood coagulation in a tube. Thrombin is the most powerful platelet activator and platelet activation is a prerequisite for normal  
30 thrombin formation, the vessel wall carries important parts of the thrombin generation mechanism and periluminal cells carry the tissue factor, the initiator of thrombin generation. The importance of thrombin generation is well illustrated by the fact that all pharmaceutical interventions that decrease thrombin generation (O.A.C., pentasaccharide)  
35 or that increase thrombin breakdown (classical heparin, dermatan sulphate) have an antithrombotic action and upon overdosage, cause bleeding. On the other hand all congenital conditions that increase thrombin generation (deficiencies of proteins C and S, APC resistance) or that decrease thrombin breakdown (deficiency of AT III) will cause a

thrombotic tendency.

In view of the central role of thrombin it is important to be able to assess the thrombin generating capacity of a plasma sample in a single, global function test; i.e. express the resultant of the thrombin-forming  
5 and thrombin-breakdown mechanisms in one parameter. This figure would show a decrease upon hypocoagulation of any kind and an increase in hypercoagulability. For more than a century clotting times have been used for this purpose, but they are not sensitive to hypercoagulation, not very sensitive to moderate coagulation defects and sometimes insensitive  
10 to anticoagulant measures (PT to heparin: PT and APTT to low molecular weight heparins). this is mainly because clotting is an early event during the process of thrombin generation. At the moment a plasma sample clots, the large majority (> 95%) of thrombin still has to be generated and variations in this process are not reflected in the clotting time. In  
15 the APTT the lag time of thrombin formation is mainly dependent upon the feedback activation of factor VIII, which in itself is a function of thrombin generation, which is why this test is the best one available at this moment to measure e.g. the heparin effect.

As a parameter that reflects the whole of the thrombin generation  
20 process the Endogenous Thrombin Potential (ETP) i.e. the area under the thrombin generation curve has been proposed. ETP is indeed an indicator of the potency of the clotting mechanism.

The potency of thrombin generation should not be confounded with the extent of ongoing thrombin generation in the body. Fragments 1, 2 of  
25 prothrombin and TAT-complexes reflect how much thrombin is generated in the body and subsequently inactivated. They are like smoke detectors reporting an ongoing fire. A test for hypo- or hypercoagulability however should indicate the potential capacities of the non-triggered system. In D.I.C. with consumption coagulopathy the indicators of ongoing  
30 coagulation are high but the capacity of the plasma to generate thrombin is low. In congenital AT III deficiency the reverse is the case.

#### THE MECHANISM OF THROMBIN GENERATION

The mechanism of thrombin generation is governed by three different  
35 kind of processes, the three "axes" of thrombin formation:

- a) Thrombin production and inactivation in the strict sense of the word,
- b) Modulations of the thrombin generation velocity and
- c) Localisation at the site of vascular damage.

These three axes are best illustrated in the prothrombinase complex. The

production of thrombin from prothrombin is caused by factor Xa, the availability of factor Va determines the velocity of thrombin generation and the process is localised at the surface of a procoagulant membrane.

The core of the thrombin generation mechanism is the production-  
5 inactivation axis: Tissue factor activates factor X, which activates prothrombin. The resulting thrombin is inactivated by antithrombin and minor inhibitors. The production along the axis is limited in time by the TFPI mechanism. When a sufficient amount of factor Xa is formed, the Xa generation is shut down because of formation of Xa-TFPI complexes that  
10 efficiently inhibits the factor VIIa-tissue factor complexes. To prevent precocious arrest of thrombin formation via the TFPI mechanism, the Jasso Loop constitutes an escape mechanism: Factor IX is activated by the factor VIIa-TF complex like factor X is. Together with factor VIIIa it forms an alternative factor X activator that is not inhibited by TFPI but  
15 the activity of which is modulated by factor VIII activation and inactivation, a process entirely comparable to the modulation of prothrombinase activity by factor V(a). The second axis is modulation of thrombin generation via factor V activator and inactivation. Factor Va enhances the turnover of prothrombin by factor Xa some thousand fold. The  
20 appearance and disappearance of factor Xa is governed by thrombin. Factor Xa has been shown to activate factor V in purified systems but not in plasma. The natural activator of factor V in a tissue factor activated system is probably meizothrombin. This can i.a. be deduced from the fact that the thromboplastin time is relatively insensitive to heparin,  
25 meizothrombin being insensitive to AT III - heparin action. The inactivation of factor V is indirectly thrombin dependent because the scavenger of factor Va, activated protein C, is generated by the thrombomodulin-thrombin complex.

Factor VIII governs factor IXa dependent generation of factor Xa in  
30 the same way factor V governs prothrombinase activity. A difference is that factor V is probably activated by meizothrombin at a phospholipid surface whereas factor VIII is kept in solution by von Willebrand factor and is activated by thrombin.

The third axis of thrombin generation is localisation. Upon loss of  
35 endothelial integrity, platelets adhere to the subendothelial material. Cell damage exposes first traces of procoagulant (PS containing) membranes and Tissue Factor starts the coagulation cascade. As soon as traces of thrombin are formed, the simultaneous action of thrombin and collagen induces transbilayer movement of PS in the platelet membrane and

the platelet surface becomes procoagulant. The procoagulant action of PS containing membranes is due to the fact that clotting factors adsorb to these surfaces. This increases the effective-  
concentration of the reactants. It has been shown e.g. that prothrombin  
5 adsorbs at such surfaces and then, by diffusion in the plane of the surface, reaches the prothrombinase complex. Because the chance for prothrombinase and prothrombin to meet is much bigger in two dimensions than in three, this mechanism "guides" prothrombin to prothrombinase. The extent of the procoagulant surface around a prothrombinase molecule has  
10 indeed been shown to determine the apparent  $K_m$  of prothrombin conversion, i.e. the concentration of prothrombin in solution necessary to half-saturate prothrombinase.

The whole of thrombin generation is so replete with positive and negative feedback mechanisms that its kinetics will show a strongly non-  
15 linear behaviour. Small changes in one or more of the constituent activities may therefore have unexpected effects on the output. This precludes that any of the isolated functions within the mechanism will be an adequate reflection of the whole. In order to measure the thrombin generation mechanism one has to measure thrombin.

20

#### THE THROMBIN POTENTIAL

We can imagine that in a thrombosis-prone area the number of substrate molecules (fibrinogen molecules, membrane receptors etc.) that is cleaved by thrombin determines the extent of the thrombotic response. This number  
25 will be dependent upon the concentration of thrombin that can be produced by the plasma *in situ* and by the time that they remain active. If the substrate is not exhausted (which fibrinogen e.g. easily is) then the number of molecules converted is proportional to both thrombin concentration and the time thrombin acts. If the thrombin-time curve is  
30 rising and falling, as in plasma, then the amount of non-exhaustible substrate converted is proportional to the surface under the thrombin-time curve.

*In vivo* physical transport processes like flow and diffusion also determine local thrombin concentrations. This implies that the thrombin  
35 generating capacity of plasma is not the only important variable. On the other hand, if by biochemical mechanisms high thrombin concentrations arise at one point the high thrombin concentrations will also be observed around that site, as thrombin is transported by diffusion etc. So the thrombin generation capacity remains an important parameter for the

functioning of the system.

We conclude that the surface under the thrombin-time curve is the parameter that best renders the thrombin generating capacity of a plasma sample. This value we called endogenous thrombin potential (ETP). As such  
5 it is not a new parameter, it has been described by Biggs and Macfarlane and was used by them to determine prothrombin levels, for which it is perfectly suited as long as activation and inactivation mechanisms in a plasma do not vary (see below). The use we want to make of it here is different. We do not attempt to keep activation and inactivation  
10 mechanisms constant but rather want to register the influences on thrombin activity.

In summary: We define the ETP as the surface under the thrombin generation curve (thrombin-time curve triggered plasma); the reason to think that it is an important parameter is that it represents the amount  
15 of any substrate that can be converted by the thrombin generated in the plasma sample tested.

#### HOW TO MEASURE THE ENDOGENOUS THROMBIN POTENTIAL

In principle it is easy to measure the ETP. It suffices to add to  
20 clotting plasma an artificial thrombin substrate and determine the amount of product formed after the coagulation process is over.

There are some practical problems through. The first choice is a chromogenic substrate, so that the amount of product can be determined spectrophotometrically. The fibrin forming in clotting plasma interferes  
25 with direct spectroscopic observation however. This imposes previous defibrination of the sample.

The properties that the substrate should have are uncommon. It should be specific for thrombin. If it were to be cleaved significantly by other clotting enzymes the signal would rise somewhat but, in view of the low  
30 concentrations of these other clotting factors this may remain a minor problem. Such a substrate would however act as a competitor to the natural substrates and hence inhibit prothrombin conversion. This substrate should also not be exhausted during the coagulation process and preferably not be converted to an extent of > 10%, otherwise substrate  
35 exhaustion would significantly interfere and the amount of product formed would no longer be linearly proportional to the surface under the T.G.C. (thrombin generation curve). It is seen that, after the thrombin generation process is over, there is continuous product generation. This is due to thrombin that is bound to  $\alpha_2$  macroglobulin ( $\alpha_2$  M). Such

thrombin has a persistent amidolytic activity, even though it is not active on any natural thrombin substrate.

Via a simple mathematical procedure the experimental curves can be dissected.

5

#### PROPERTIES OF THE NORMAL E.T.P.

The ETP in normal individuals has a value of  $543 \pm 21$  nM min. (as the ETP represents a surface on the concentration-time plane its dimensions are concentration multiplied by time, both nM min or  $\mu$ M sec. are convenient). The ETP is hardly dependent upon the concentrations of thromboplastin used. We prefer to measure the ETP after triggering with dilute recombinant human tissue factor because we think this to be nearest to the physiological situation. It is interesting to note that a moderate decrease of the extrinsically triggered ETP is observed in haemophiliacs A. Triggering the ETP via the contact activation mechanism is equally possible.

#### THE ETP IN HYPOCOAGULANT THERAPY

In heparin therapy the ETP decreases proportionally with the decay constant of thrombin, whereas the effect of inhibition of factor Xa is also reflected. It is observed that the ETP is much more sensitive to low concentration of heparin than the APTT. Indeed the ETP is the only laboratory parameter with which an effect of blood coagulation can be observed after injection of LMW Heparin.

In oral anticoagulation the ETP is linearly dependent upon the concentration of prothrombin, which reflects an observation of Biggs and Macfarlane and explains its use, of old, as a prothrombin assay. The ETP is dependent upon the concentration of the other vitamin K dependent factors in a hyperbolic manner. Half-normal ETP values are observed at the following clotting factor concentrations: FVIII: 2%; FIX: 5%; FX: 10%.

It is interesting to note that, when switching from heparin therapy to oral anticoagulation, the ETP, unlike PT, APTT and aXa, seems to render the level of the mixed treatment.

35

#### THE ETP IN HYPERCOAGULANT STATES

The limited data that are available up to this moment show that ETP is increased both in congenital and in acquired hypercoagulant states. In patients that are hospitalised with an active venous thrombosis, the ETP



is prolonged when compared to comparable patients that are seen for elective vascular surgery. At the moment it is unknown whether this is due to the active process or to a pre-existing thrombotic tendency. In a limited number of young stroke patients the ETP in PPP (platelet poor plasma) was not significantly increased, but the ETP in PRP (platelet rich plasma) was. Further studies are needed to sort these situations out. There is no doubt that in presence of thrombomodulin the ETP of normal subjects decreases more than that of patients with a protein S or more protein C deficiency. It also has been observed that APC resistance is readily detected by comparing the ETP of patients in the presence and absence of added APC. A simple trick has been reported that can be used to indicate the influence of a vitro addition on the thrombin potential: Because  $\alpha_2$  macroglobulin (2-3  $\mu$ M) is present in excess over thrombin during the whole of thrombin (< 300 nM) generation process, the amount of  $\alpha_2$ -thrombin complex formed is in itself an indication of the ETP. It cannot however be used to compare the ETP between patients because the  $\alpha_2$ -M level may vary from person to person. It can however be easily used to compare the ETP in the same plasma with and without addition of modifying substances such as thrombomodulin (to search for protein C and S deficiency) or APC (to search for APC resistance). This technique can also be employed to investigate the influence of in vitro additions of anticoagulant on the ETP.

In conclusion we may say that:

- a) The function of thrombin in haemostasis and thrombosis is important enough to justify the desire to know the thrombin forming capacity of a plasma sample.
- b) The non-linear character of the thrombin generation mechanism precludes the possibility that the thrombin forming capacity of plasma can be judged from the activity of one of its single components.
- c) Clotting times are imperfect indicators of the thrombin forming capacity of a plasma sample. They do not reflect the whole of thrombin generation process and they are insensitive to hypercoagulative changes.
- d) The endogenous thrombin potential (ETP), i.e. the area under the thrombin generation curve can be considered to be the parameter that best reflects the thrombin forming capacity of a plasma sample.
- e) Preliminary results indicate that the ETP is diminished under all forms of anticoagulant therapy.
- f) The ETP is increased in all hypercoagulable states that we have

tested thus far, notably congenital states (AT III, protein C, protein S deficiency) and acquired states (e.g. oral anticonceptives).

g) A method has been found to determine the ETP in the routine laboratory.

5       When the endogenous thrombin potential is measured in a manner known per se as described in the abovementioned European patent of Hemker et al. in a continuous procedure using paranitroaniline (=pNA) as an indicator there is a lower limit beneath which the current substrates cannot reliably provide measurements. This lower limit is determined by  
10       the amount of pNA that can be reliably measured against the yellow background colour always present in plasma. The lower limit varies somewhat in exact value due to variation in the optical density of plasma itself. As a rule however the lower limit is not lower than 5 mOD.

      The substrate currently used and favoured in the continuous assay of  
15       ETP is SQ68. SQ68 is  $\text{CH}_3\text{O-CO-CH}_2\text{-CO-Aib-Arg-pNA.HCl}$  and is described in EP-B-0 280 610 of Serbio published on 31-08-88 and granted 21-10-92. Use of SQ68 in the continuous assay of ETP in particular without requiring addition of either ATIII or HCII is described in by Hemker H.C et al. (1993) in Thromb. Haemostas. 70, p. 617-624.

20       The jump in optical density of plasma comprising SQ68 at the end of the ETP assay that is obtained when ETP is measured under optimal conditions using SQ68 as a substrate is in the order of 100 mOD. This means that values of ETP that are about 5% of the normal value of ETP cannot reliably be measured when carrying out a continuous assay of ETP  
25       in the manner described.

      The lower acceptable limit of anticoagulation has been determined as being around an ETP of approximately 5%. This value is valid whether anticoagulation is arrived at orally or with heparin. This means that use of SQ68 or substrates with equivalent characteristics leads to a lower  
30       detection limit which more or less coincides with the lower limit of acceptable anticoagulation. As a result the continuous determination of ETP using SQ68 may sometimes be insufficiently sensitive to accurately indicate over-anticoagulation with all potentially detrimental consequences for the donor of the sample being tested.

35       In particular SQ68 or substrates with equivalent characteristics are not suitable for use in a continuous assay of ETP of a sample when said sample comprises too high a concentration of oral anticoagulant or in particular heparin. SQ68 can only be used in a continuous assay for ETP determination when the sample comprises less anticoagulant activity than

that due to 0,7 International Standard Units of heparin. This is due to the fact that oral anticoagulants and in particular heparin reduce the amount of thrombin in the sample. In order for detection to occur the substrate must provide a detectable signal when a sample comprises such  
5 lower amounts of thrombin.

The invention lies in the designing of chromogenic substrates for thrombin that can be used for ETP measurements of samples comprising oral anticoagulant and in particular heparin in a continuous assay thereby solving the above outlined problem presented by the state of the art  
10 substrates. More in particular the invention is directed at methods for determining ETP that are improved.

A substrate according to the invention is subject to a number of restrictions in order to be effective. Apart from the aforementioned necessary characteristics a number of other preconditions must be  
15 fulfilled.

For use in a continuous assay of endogenous thrombin potential by measuring a continuous optical density curve there is a need for substrates with modified kinetic parameters. The chromogenic substrate present in the plasma sample interferes competitively with the  
20 physiological substrates of thrombin and thus affects the physiological steady state. To minimize competitive inhibition processes the  $K_m$  value of the synthetic substrate for thrombin should be high. To prevent this substrate from being exhausted long before thrombin generation is complete however a slow reacting substrate has to be used. This means  
25 that the turnover  $k_{cat}$  of this synthetic substrate has to be low. The substrate should not interfere but merely monitor the course of the steady state concentration and time.

The requirements for a synthetic substrate to be useful in the continuous ETP method are:

- 30 1) the substrate must be selective for thrombin with a high  $K_m$  and low  $k_{cat}$  value,
- 2) as the process of thrombin generation takes place in a plasma sample the chromogenic substrate must preferably be free of any inhibitory activity on the coagulation factors which affect the formation of  
35 thrombin,
- 3) to minimize additional amidolytic activity by Factor Xa the substrate must have a low affinity for Factor Xa and thus have low  $k_{cat}$  and high  $K_m$  values towards Factor Xa,
- 4) as the complex of  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin-thrombin has no clotting properties

but does have amidolytic activity the chromogenic substrate should have low affinity for this complex.

Research into substrates for thrombin has been extensive and to date tailoring substrates to specifically desired characteristics has remained  
5 very elusive. Often amendment improving one characteristic will lead to undesirable alteration of other characteristics.

In the subject case for example providing sufficient solubility in an aqueous solution has been difficult as this often leads to substrates having a concomitant decrease in selectivity towards thrombin. The  
10 sufficient selectivity is essential in the subject application for which the substrates are preferably to be used in order to achieve accuracy of determination.

The substrates according to the invention need to exhibit exceedingly high solubility in plasma and blood i.e. in aqueous solutions in order  
15 for sufficient substrate to be added for the continuous ETP assay to be carried out without running out of substrate prior to the exhaustion of thrombin in the sample to be determined i.e. prior to reaching the end of the ETP curve. In particular the substrate needs to be soluble preferably in amounts such that 500-1000  $\mu\text{M}$  substrate remains at the end of the  
20 determination of the ETP curve. In practice this means solubility sufficient for the initial concentration of substrate in an aqueous solution such as plasma for example to be higher than 10 mM.

Another problem has been the fact that amendments to ensure lower hydrolytic activity towards thrombin have often negatively influenced the  
25 desired characteristics towards Factor Xa and other components in the coagulation cascade. In particular often hydrolysis by Factor Xa has increased intolerably.

When designing a substrate for use in a continuous ETP assay the specificity for thrombin is of utmost importance. A person skilled in the  
30 art would therefore try to mimic a natural substrate that has specificity for thrombin. In particular the binding site specific for thrombin of such a substrate could be expected to provide relevant information.

A lot of research has gone on for quite some time regarding this issue. Articles from 1967 already deal with the determination of the  
35 binding site for thrombin on fibrinogen and development of specific substrates therefor.

Fibrinogen is the natural substrate of thrombin. It is a covalently linked dimer of three peptide chains: A $\alpha$  B $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ . The general structure can be given by (A $\alpha$ B $\beta$  $\gamma$ )<sub>2</sub>. (Henschen et al. (1980) Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.

408, 28-43). Thrombin catalyzes hydrolysis of peptide bonds involving the carboxyl group of arginine; in fibrinogen Arg 16 (A $\alpha$ -chain) and Arg 14 (B $\beta$ -chain) are attacked releasing the fibrinopeptides A and B respectively and leaving the fibrin monomer ( $\alpha\beta\gamma$ )<sub>2</sub>. This monomer  
5 polymerizes which results in the formation of the bloodclot.

The cleavage of fibrinogen by thrombin is highly specific since only four Arg-Xaa or Lys-Xaa bonds of the 376 present will be hydrolyzed (Blombäck et al., (1967) Nature 215, 1445-1448). This remarkable specificity is confined to the N-terminal henpentacontapeptide fragment  
10 of the A $\alpha$ -chain ((a) Hageman et al. (1974) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 164, 707-715; (b) Hogg et al. (1974) Thromb. Res. 5, 685-693) In this region fragment 1-23 (sequence id no. 1) which also contains these combinations interacts with the active site and is most important for the observed specificity. (Hogg et al. (1978) Thromb. Res. 12, 953-964). It was found  
15 that the amino acyl residues located at the C terminal of Pro 18 do not contribute substantially in the binding to thrombin (Meinwald et al. (1980) Biochemistry 19, 3820-3825).

Angliker H. et al (Biochem J. (1993), 292: pp 261-266) describe synthesis of oligopeptide chloromethanes to investigate extended binding  
20 regions of proteinases and in particular the application thereof to the interaction of fibrinogen with thrombin. As peptidyl chloromethanes are inactivators of serine and cysteine proteinases and the peptide portion of these reagents direct them to the active site of their target proteinase variation of their amino acid residues has enabled mapping of  
25 a number of binding sites.

They indicate that past studies have been restricted to use of di- and tripeptidyl chloromethanes due to the length of peptide moiety that can be conveniently synthesized by solution phase chemistry but indicate this is often not sufficient as some proteinases appear to interact with  
30 regions of their substrates that extend more than three residues away from the scissile bond. According to Angliker et al thrombin is such a proteinase.

They concluded from their experiments with extended chloromethanes that residues Asp 7-Phe 8-Leu 9 of fibrinopeptide A are important for the  
35 specific interaction with thrombin. The hydrophobic interactions with the *D*-phenylalanine in the P3 position are probably responsible for the increased rate of reaction. Intermolecular contacts apparently stabilizing chain reversal at Ala 10 and the positioning of the side chain of Glu 11 are undoubtedly important. In addition Phe 8 and Leu 9

bind to the hydrophobic aryl binding site. This site was discussed as the binding site for the *D*-Phenylalanine of *D*-Phe-Pro-Arg-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl, however Phe 8 and Leu 9 enter the pocket from a different angle due to the chain reversal and occupy the site more fully.

5 They specifically state Ac-Gly-Val-Arg-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl was a relatively poor inactivator of thrombin; the  $k_i$  value for this compound was  $3 \times 10^4$  times lower than that for the best known chloromethane tripeptide inhibitor of thrombin which was *D*-Phe-Pro-Arg-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl.

10 It can be concluded from this that dipeptide and tripeptide substrates specific for thrombin are going to be difficult if not impossible to design. Certainly as in addition other characteristics are also required for substrates suitable for use in a continuous ETP assay the design of substrates for thrombin suitable for such use is to be considered an arduous and perhaps even impossible task.

15 Van Nispen demonstrated earlier that the presence of residues Phe 8 and Leu 9 greatly enhances the rate of catalytic hydrolysis of the Arg 16-Gly 17 bond by thrombin. ((a) van Nispen et al. (1977) Arch Biochem. Biophys. 182, 227-243; (b) Marsh et al. (1982) Biochemistry 21, 6167-6171). They illustrated that the addition of the nonpolar residues  
20 phenylalanine and leucine to Ala-Glu-Gly-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-Gly-Pro-Arg-Val-Val-Glu-Arg-NHCH<sub>3</sub> causes a dramatic increase in the rate of hydrolysis of this substrate and referred to the findings of Blomback B. et al (1967) in Blood Clotting Enzymology (Seegers, W.H. ed.) pp 143-215 Academic Press New York. In this reference the importance for phenylalanine was  
25 already suggested on the basis of the extreme degree of conservation of Phe 9 in 49 species of fibrinogen that were examined.

van Nispen et al also refer to Svendsen et al (Thromb. res. 1, 267-278) who demonstrated the importance of hydrophobic interactions between thrombin and synthetic substrates therefore. Benzoyl-Phe-Val-Arg-p-nitroanilide has a value  $90 \times 10^{-7}$  [(NIH units/litre)s]<sup>-1</sup> for  $k_{cat}/K_m$ . The  
30 substrates H-Phe-Val-Arg-p-nitroanilide, benzoyl-Val-Arg-p-nitroanilide and H-Val-Arg-p-nitroanilide were poorer substrates for thrombin by at least a factor of 100. The hydrophobic combination of benzoyl-Phe was required for a high rate of hydrolysis in these substrates.

35

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Quite unexpectedly we have designed a number of substrates that are extremely well suited for use as substrate specific for thrombin in

continuous assays for ETP determination. In particular the substrates are suited for carrying out the assays described in EP appl.no. 902025097 and for carrying out an assay as described in Hemker et al. (1993) in Thromb. Haemostas. 70 p 617-624. The substrates according to the invention  
5 provide the exciting possibility of determining ETP of samples with values of heparin activity and/or other anticoagulant activity previously not possible.

The first type of substrate found to exhibit the required characteristics was a dipeptide substrate comprising the formula P-Val-  
10 Xaa-S,

wherein P is an amino protective group, said group being non aromatic and polar,

Val is a valine residue attached via a peptide bond to Xaa,

Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal guanidino group or  
15 ureido group separated by at least 2 carbon atoms from the peptide backbone, said amino acid residue being attached to S,

S is a signal group such as a chromophore that can be enzymatically hydrolysed with the proviso the substrate is not  $\text{CH}_3\text{O-CO-CH}_2\text{-CO-L-Val-Arg-pNA}$ .

20 P is preferably an organic moiety. More preferably an organic moiety exhibiting interaction with the aryl binding pocket of thrombin. The P group preferably fits in the aryl binding pocket of thrombin.

Msc,  $\text{CH}_3\text{-SO}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-O-CO-}$  (methylsulphonylethylloxycarbonyl) has been found to be an extremely effective protective group. Msc is a preferred  
25 protective group which does not detract from the specificity of the substrate for thrombin and concomitantly renders the substrate sufficiently soluble for application in a continuous assay for determination of ETP of a sample comprising heparin or other anticoagulant activity at a level higher than the equivalent of 0.07 U  
30 ISH/ml. The presence of Msc as protective group of Valine does not affect the coagulation cascade as determined from analysis of the prothrombinase activity.

We have also found that H as such is also sufficient as P to provide the desired characteristics for a substrate to be used in a continuous  
35 assay of ETP to suitable degree. However such substrates e.g. H-Val-Arg-pNA exhibit an undesired inhibitory effect making them less suitable than substrates with organic protective groups such as Msc which do not exhibit an inhibitory effect. The decay constants of thrombin in plasma in the presence of the substrate H-Val-Arg-pNA show a slighter drop than

in the case of the state of the art substrate SQ68. The substrate according to the invention competes to a lesser degree with ATIII for the active site of thrombin than SQ68 does.

When the influence of H-Val-Arg-pNA on the prothrombinase complex is  
5 calculated the substrate clearly decreases its concentration (fig. 1). This was also seen for SQ68. Presumably the feed back loop activation of Factor V and factor VIII by thrombin is inhibited in the presence of H-Val-Arg-pNA. The  $K_m$  value and the high substrate concentration result in  
10 a substantial decrease of thrombin which is available for the activation of Factor V and factor VIII with a lag phase in prothrombinase concentration as a result. H-Val-Arg-pNA can be used for ETP determination with a heparin activity of 0,10-0,15 U ISH/ml.

As disclosed in the introductory portion of the description SQ68 has been used in ETP determinations in continuous assays. SQ68 is  $\text{CH}_3\text{O-CO-CH}_2\text{-CO-Aib-Arg-pNA.HCl}$  and is described in EP-B-0 280 610 of Serbio published  
15 on 31-08-88 and granted 21-10-92. Aib represents  $\alpha$ -aminoisobutyric acid (=  $\alpha$ -methylalanine). The cited patent is not directed at solving the subject problem. At the time of filing the Serbio application the method of ETP determination had not in fact even been invented.

20 In the cited patent SQ68 was illustrated merely as one of a large number of substrates that can be used for determining the amount of a protease from class E.C. 3.4.21.5, the class of serine proteases covering a number of proteases such as thrombin, plasmin, factor Xa and protein C. The cited patent describes in general terms a group of dipeptide  
25 substrates having increased water solubility due to the presence of a particular type of protective group Q.

The Serbio patent claims dipeptides of the formula Q-A1-A2-R1, wherein Q is an oxymalonyl group  $\text{RO-CO-CH}_2\text{-CO}$ , R represents a hydrogen atom, a  $\text{C}_1\text{-C}_4$  alkyl group, a phenyl group optionally substituted by one or more  $\text{CH}_3$  and  $\text{OCH}_3$  groups, a 3,4 methylenedioxybenzyl group or a tosylmethyl group;  
30 R1 is an amino radical of formula  $\text{NH-R}'$  constituting a marker cleavable by enzymatic hydrolysis from A2 with the group R' serving as carrier for the labeling means; A1 is a mono amino acid residue selected from non basic amino acid residues; A2 is a mono amino acid residue selected from  
35 the group of basic  $\alpha$ -amino acids and the addition salts thereof.

A preference is expressed for  $\text{R}=\text{CH}_3$ . Compounds wherein  $\text{Q}=\text{pNA}$  are also preferred embodiments, as are compounds  $\text{CH}_3\text{O-CO-CH}_2\text{-CO-L-4Hyp-L-Arg-pNA}$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{-O-CO-CH}_2\text{-CO-L-Pro-L-Arg-pNA-CO-L-Pro-L-Arg-pNA}$  which are described as illustrating higher sensitivity towards protein C than other



substrates. Nothing is stated regarding substrates having selectivity for thrombin in plasma, i.e. selectively reacting with thrombin rather than Factor Xa. Nothing is disclosed regarding design of a dipeptide substrate specific for thrombin.

5 Rates of hydrolysis for 16 substrates are given in solutions comprising solely thrombin, Factor Xa, plasmin or protein C. The 16 examples all comprise Arg as A2 and CH<sub>3</sub>O-CO-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO as Q. A1 is different for each example. The preferred substrates of the Serbio application are CH<sub>3</sub>O-CO-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-L-4Hyp-L-Arg-pNA with rates of hydrolysis for thrombin,  
10 Factor Xa, plasmin and protein C of respectively 0,61, 0,34, 1,12, 0,88 for this substrate and CH<sub>3</sub>O-CO-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-L-Pro-L-Arg-pNA with rates of hydrolysis for thrombin, Factor Xa, plasmin and protein C are respectively 0,68, 0,14, 0,230, 0,83 for this substrate.

As stated above SQ68, CH<sub>3</sub>O-CO-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-Aib-Arg-pNA.HCl is one of the  
15 Examples of the Serbio patent. The rates of hydrolysis given in the patent for thrombin, Factor Xa, plasmin and protein C are respectively 0,04, 0,01, 0,02, 0,03 for this substrate. No significance was attached to any of these details in the Serbio patent.

By way of comparison the state of the art compound SQ68 that to date  
20 has been used in ETP determination in a continuous assay can only reliably be carried out on a sample comprising at most 0,07 U ISH/ml activity. The substrate Msc-Val-Arg-pNA for example can be used reliably on samples comprising up to 0,25 U ISH/ml activity. In addition this substrate according to the invention can provide a signal which is twice  
25 as high as that produced by SQ68 which enables values of up to 2,5% of the normal value of plasma to be determined as the limit of reliable detectability as opposed to 5% for SQ68. This lower limit of 2,5% is well below the lower limit of acceptable anticoagulation, thereby rendering a continuous assay of ETP using such a substrate or another substrate  
30 according to the invention sufficiently sensitive to indicate with reliable detectability over anticoagulation.

Another advantage of the substrate according to the invention is that its K<sub>m</sub> for Factor Xa is almost double that of SQ68 (9,6 mM versus 3,9 mM). This fact means that the concentration of substrate according to the  
35 invention that can be used in practice without inhibiting Factor Xa in prothrombinase is also more than double that of SQ68 i.e. is more than 1000 μM.

The Serbio patent also discloses and covers a substrate comprising a polar non aromatic organic protective group attached to L-valine-

arginine-pNA. The substrate is  $\text{CH}_3\text{O}-\text{CO}-\text{CH}_2-\text{CO}-\text{L-Val-Arg-pNA}$ . Nothing is mentioned specifically with regard to advantages or characteristics of this substrate. The rates of hydrolysis for thrombin, Factor Xa, plasmin and protein C are respectively 0,21, 0,03, 0,08, 0,12 for this substrate.

5 The totally unexpected influence of the selection of Valine-Xaa as defined above, in particular Valine-Arginine as essential combination of amino acid residues in a dipeptide substrate of the subject invention can be derived neither from the Hemker H.C. et al. (1993) Thromb. Haemostas. 70, p. 617-624 nor the Serbio patent. The group of substrates that are  
10 novel and inventive is defined as a dipeptide substrate comprising the formula P-Val-Xaa-S,

wherein P is an amino protective group, said group being non aromatic and polar,

Val is a valine residue attached via a peptide bond to Xaa,

15 Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal guanidino group or ureido group separated by at least 2 carbon atoms from the peptide backbone, said amino acid residue being attached to S,

S is a signal group such as a chromophore that can be enzymatically hydrolysed with the proviso that the substrate is not  
20  $\text{CH}_3\text{O}-\text{CO}-\text{CH}_2-\text{CO}-\text{L-Val-Arg-pNA}$  or  $\text{H-Val-Arg-pNA}$ . In other words not a substrate as disclosed and claimed in the Serbio patent or in the Svendsen et al article Thromb. res. 1, 267-278 described above.

That the combination of valine with Xaa of the above defined type is very specific for obtaining the desired characteristics is apparent from  
25 comparative analysis of substrates in which natural amino acid residues that are structurally relatively close to arginine have been compared to the substrate according to the invention wherein Xaa is arginine. When Xaa was Lys, Orn or Nle the substrates did not provide the unexpectedly good results of the above mentioned group of substrates according to the  
30 invention.

It is quite surprising that although a combination of Valine-Arginine provides good results a combination of Valine-Lysine does not provide good results.

35 Analysis of Table 3 would lead a person skilled in the art to presume that lysine would be a better choice of amino acid than arginine in a substrate for the specific purpose in mind due to the fact that factor Xa hardly hydrolyzes substrates with a lysine residue at position P1 in contrast to thrombin. In practice however this is not the case for the

dipeptide substrates comprising valine as N-terminal amino acid residue. Substitution of arginine by lysine in H-Val-Arg-pNA provided a substrate that could not be recognised by either thrombin or factor Xa. Evidently the S2 cavity of thrombin no longer recognizes such a substrate.

5 Substitution of any of norleucine, ornithine or lysine resulted in amino protected valine comprising dipeptidyl substrates for thrombin that did not possess the desired characteristics. Ornithine and Norleucine substituted substrates no longer hydrolysed thrombin.

10 Comparison of the amino acid structures reveals arginine has a spherical charge due to the presence of a guanidino moiety. Norleucine has no guanidino group and has a hydrophobic aliphatic neutral side chain. Norleucine also has a shorter side chain with 4 C atoms as opposed to arginine which has 4 C atoms and 2 N atoms.

15 Ornithine has a shorter side chain with only 3 C atoms and 1 terminal  $\text{NH}_2$  group. This amino acid is not spherically charged. It does not have a guanidino moiety.

Lysine has a charged side chain, however the charge is not spherical. The side chain comprises 5 C atoms and 1 N atom. It comprises a terminal  $\text{NH}_2$  group but does not comprise a guanidino moiety as opposed to  
20 arginine. The results are provided in Table 3.

From these results it is apparent that the interaction of Msc as P with the aryl binding pocket of thrombin did not result in hydrolytic cleavage of the anilide bond when the P1 residue differs from Xaa as previously defined, in particular when Xaa was Arginine.

25 As the substrates according to the invention described above comprise merely two amino acid residues it is presumed that arginine provides the primary recognition. Such a small peptidyl portion being capable of providing highly specific recognition of thrombin is unexpected. The fit in the pocket must be very good. The valine residue probably provides the  
30 selectivity for thrombin over Factor Xa. The characteristics of the substrate can then be further enhanced through selection of a suitable protective group P. A preferred group P will not result in lower selectivity for thrombin over Factor Xa. This latter aspect was purported to occur when substrates for thrombin were protected by a polar group.  
35 However we have found that in the substrates in which valine is combined with arginine or a similar amino acid residue the protective group P of valine can be a polar group as the Val-Arg provides sufficient selectivity. A preferred group P will result in a substrate still selective for thrombin whilst having as high a solubility as possible.

We presume the presence of a guanidinolike structure on the arginine amino acid residue probably due to the provision of a spherical charge is required for obtaining the desired characteristics of a substrate according to the invention. The length of the side chain can also be  
5 considered a relevant factor. Preferably a side chain equal to or more preferably longer than that of ornithine is required in order for hydrolysis of thrombin to occur.

We could thus determine that substrates according to the invention could also be P-Val-Xaa-S wherein P, Val and S have the meaning  
10 previously given and Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal guanidino group with at least two carbon atoms separating the guanidino group from the peptide backbone. For example the substrate can comprise norarginine as arginine derivative comprising  $\text{CH}_2\text{-CH}_2$  between the  
15 guanidino group and the peptide backbone or homoarginine comprising  $\text{CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2$  between the guanidino group and the peptide backbone. Thus slightly altering the length of the chain, without altering the guanidino structure. Alternatively the guanidinogroup and the peptide backbone may be separated by  $\text{CH}_2\text{-O-CH}_2$  or  $\text{CH}_2\text{-S-CH}_2$ . Another option is that the  
20 guanidinogroup and the peptide backbone are separated by  $\text{CH}_2\text{-phenyl}$  or  $\text{CH}_2\text{-cyclohexyl}$ , with the phenyl or cyclohexyl optionally being substituted. Preferably any difference in the composition of the amino acid side chain located between the peptide backbone and the guanidino moiety at the end of the side chain will mimic the characteristics of the  
25 corresponding part of arginine most closely. A person skilled in the art should be able to determine which modifications can be acceptable for fitting the S2 cavity of thrombin whilst not being suitable for hydrolysis by factor Xa. A number of thrombin inhibitors have been illustrated to inhibit thrombin better than  $X_a$  when aromatic side chains were used for binding the equivalent pocket, thereby indicating that an  
30 amino acid Xaa with an aromatic group could provide sufficient recognition of thrombin over Xa.

Any of the above mentioned substrates P-Val-Xaa-S may also comprise an Xaa with an uramino group instead of a guanidino group. Both groups possess similar structure. They possess a spherical charge distribution  
35 and can undergo similar binding interactions and have a similar size. Citrullin would be a suitable example as its chain length is approximately that of arginine with 4 C atoms and 2 N atoms. The only difference in the side chain structure being the presence of C=O rather than C=NH.

Most preferably the length of the side chain of Xaa will be longer than that of ornithine, preferably longer than that of lysine and most preferably correspond to that of the side chain of arginine, thereby mimicing the structure of arginine the closest. The Xaa will comprise a  
5 spherical charge preferably due to the presence of a guanidino moiety thereby most closely mimicing the structure of arginine.

The Xaa comprising guanidinophenyl or guanidinocyclohexyl are not commercially available and therefore their synthesis will be quite complicated. Preparation of a substrate according to the invention  
10 comprising citrullin will involve less work as citrullin is commercially available and will therefore be preferred. However the synthesis of such a substrate according to the invention will still remain quite complex. A person skilled in the art of peptide chemistry can however using standard synthesis techniques prepare such substrates. Obviously the more simple  
15 synthesis methods or at least cheapest methods will be preferred.

A number of other substrates according to the invention mentioned above comprising commercially available components, in particular for example Msc-Val-Arg-pNA can be very elegantly and simply synthesized. This simple method of production of the substrates according to the  
20 invention offers a further advantage over substrates such as SQ68 which are synthesized as described in the Serbio patent cited above.

The subject invention is in particular also directed at a method of synthesis of substrates according to the invention as described above wherein S is pNA. It is directed at a novel method of synthesis of H-Val-  
25 Arg-pNA. It is directed at a novel method of synthesis of Msc-Val-Arg-pNA as this can be carried out as a one step method, with obvious advantages.

Syntheses with nitroanilides are incompatible with conditions which attack or remove the nitroanilide function. This means that treatments using strong bases for hydrolysis or  $\beta$ -elimination, hydrazinolysis and  
30 catalytic hydrogenolysis cannot be employed in a method of substrate synthesis if the substrate comprises a nitroanilide signalmarker S. The protective functions used in the synthesis of the substrates must be removable under conditions that are compatible with conservation of the pNA moiety. This precondition means that  $\text{OCH}_3$  and  $\text{OEt}$  cannot be used for  
35 carboxyl group protection, carboxylic functions cannot be preactivated with hydrazine hydrate and most members of the benzyl family cannot be applied. Furthermore when P of the substrate to be synthesized is Msc, then Msc cannot be used in amino protection in the method of synthesis. Acidolysis is an acceptable form of treatment compatible with

conservation of the nitroanilide function.

It is also risky to convert existing peptides into nitroanilides. This is due to the strict conservation of the chirality of amino acyl residues which excludes the activation of N-protected peptides with C-termini  
5 other than Gly or Pro.

Synthesis approaches which are compatible with this condition are stepwise elongation of the peptide chain using N-protected amino acids and/or fragment condensation using azides to acylate an existing amino acid paranitroanilide. Azides are often used to evade racemisation during  
10 fragment condensations on condition that neutral conditions are maintained and sterically hindered bases (DIPEA) are applied to achieve this.

For example compounds H-Val-Arg-pNA and Msc-Val-Arg-pNA were obtained by acylation of the  $\alpha$ -amino function of the partially protected  
15 nitroanilide Arg with the active ester Boc-Val-ONSu, removal of the N-protection by acidolysis, which resulted in H-Val-Arg-pNA. For preparation of Msc-Val-Arg-pNA the H-Val-Arg-pNA was subjected to subsequent acylation with Msc-Val-ONp.

Preferably a substrate useful in ETP determination in a continuous  
20 assay will exhibit  $K_m$  for thrombin of 800-1250  $\mu\text{M}$ , with more preference for 840-1000. The  $k_{cat}$  is preferably concomitantly 0,4-0,9 for thrombin with more preference for 0,50-0,85. The ratio between  $K_m/k_{cat}$  is preferably also 200-300  $(\text{Ms})^{-1}$ , preferably 225-275, most preferably 240-260. At the same time the substrate will preferably exhibit as low a  $k_{cat}$   
25 for Factor Xa as possible and as high a  $K_m$  for Factor Xa as possible. Preferably the values will be  $k_{cat} = 0 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $K_m > 5000 \mu\text{M}$ .

Substrates of the above mentioned type can be used in samples exhibiting as much as 0,25 U ISH/ml heparin activity or the equivalent amount of anticoagulant activity due to any oral anticoagulant. These  
30 substrates however can also be used on samples that have not been subjected to the presence of oral anticoagulants and in particular have not been subjected to the presence of heparin. Substrates according to the invention that are preferred are capable of use in a continuous assay of ETP in a sample exhibiting heparin activity of more than 0,07 U ISH/ml  
35 or the equivalent value of other anticoagulant activity. Above this limit the state of the art compound SQ68 cannot be used reliably for ETP determination in a continuous assay.

S is preferably pNA. Other signal markers may be used but must be selected such that the kinetic parameters remain equivalent to those with

pNA. Other signal markers are 5-amino-2-nitrobenzoic acid as an analogue of pNA or fluorogenic substrates such as water soluble derivatives based on 7-aminocoumarin-4-methane sulfonic acid (Sato E. et al. (1988) Chem. Pharm. Bull 36, 3496-3502).

5 Furthermore other substrates of a different structure were found that can also be used in a continuous assay of ETP in samples that have been subjected to the presence of high concentrations of anticoagulants in particular in samples that have been subjected to the presence of high concentrations of heparin. These substrates cannot be used for  
10 determination of ETP in samples that do not contain heparin or other anticoagulants as they exhibit an affinity for thrombin that is too high. These new substrates are capable of being used as thrombin substrates for continuous assay of ETP in samples comprising as much as 5,0 U ISH/ml heparin and/or an amount of other anticoagulant exhibiting the equivalent  
15 anticoagulant activity such that the total anticoagulant activity of the anticoagulants does not exceed 5,0 U ISH/ml or equivalent value.

Whether or not a substrate can be used as a substrate in heparinised plasma or plasma that has been subjected to treatment with one or more other anticoagulants as such or in combination with heparin is dependent  
20 in part on the values of the kinetic parameters. The first group of substrates mentioned according to the invention can be used in a sample that comprises heparin or another anticoagulant or a combination of anticoagulants. There is an upper limit of the concentration of heparin or anticoagulant activity that can be reliably tolerated. Preferably the  
25 above described P-Val-Xaa-S dipeptide substrates will not be used for determining ETP in a continuous assay when such samples exhibit more than 0,25 U ISH/ml or equivalent anticoagulant activity.

The second group of substrates whose structures are elucidated further on in the description can only be used in samples that have been  
30 subjected to treatment with one or more other anticoagulants as such or in combination with heparin.

The higher the heparin or anticoagulant concentration the lower the Michaelis constant  $K_m$  and the larger the turnover number  $k_{cat}$ . In other words the higher the heparin concentration the more efficient the  
35 substrate must be to be converted by heparin or another anticoagulant. If the affinity for thrombin is too high it cannot be used in a continuous assay of ETP of a sample and thus it becomes impossible to monitor any inhibition of the activation cascade by the substrate.

The second group of substrates must retain high specificity for

thrombin. It is subject in part to the same preconditions as set out in the description regarding the first group of substrates. The second group may hydrolyse thrombin at a higher rate than substrates of the first category but may not hydrolyse too well in view of the disruption of inhibition pattern that would occur thereby distorting the ETP curve.

In the already cited Angliker et al article it was illustrated that tailoring of di and tripeptide substrates that are especially selective towards thrombin will be difficult to achieve considering the influence of amino acids distal from the scissile bond of hydrolysis of fibrinogen by thrombin which was why they opted for use of longer substrates.

In the same article they concluded from their experiments with extended chloromethanes that residues Asp 7-Phe 8-Leu 9 of fibrinopeptide A are important for the specific interaction with thrombin.

Ac-Gly-Val-Arg-CH<sub>2</sub> is described as a relatively poor inactivator of thrombin; the k<sub>i</sub> value for this compound was 3 x 10<sup>4</sup> times lower than that for the best known tripeptide chloromethane inhibitor of thrombin *o*-Phe-Pro-Arg-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl (Hofsteenge et al (1986) Biochem. J. 237: pp243-251). Angliker et al. indicate that the hydrophobic interactions with the *o*-phenylalanine in the P3 position are probably responsible for the increased rate of reaction of *o*-Phe-Pro-Arg-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl. In addition Phe 8 and Leu 9 bind to the hydrophobic aryl binding site. This site was discussed as the binding site for the *o*-Phenylalanine of *o*-Phe-Pro-Arg-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl, however Phe 8 and Leu 9 enter the pocket from a different angle due to the chain reversal and occupy the site more fully.

Angliker et al. further disclose that the best inactivators based on fibrinopeptide A sequences reacted more than 100 times more slowly than did *o*-Phe-Pro-Arg-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl. The reason therefore not being immediately apparent from the crystal structures. Angliker et al. hypothesized this could be due to the formation of a charged hydrogen bond between the  $\alpha$ -amino group of the *o*-Phenylalanine and the carbonyl of Gly 216 of thrombin. A positively charged group has been shown to be essential for the optimal rate of reaction of the substrate *o*-Phe-pipecolyl-Arg-p-nitroaniline (Stone et al (1991) Biochemistry 25, 4622-4628)

In the light of this teaching it is therefore to be considered that the presence of *o*-Phenylalanine with pipecolyl or proline will not provide specificity for thrombin but will enhance rate of reaction. Also that for a substrate with specificity for thrombin the sequence Asp-Phe-Leu should be present.

Surprisingly we have found that dipeptide substrates comprising the



structure Zaa-Pipecolyl-Yaa-S or Zaa-Pro-Yaa-S, wherein Zaa represents *o*-Phenylalanine, *o*-Tryptophan or *o*-Tyrosine, Pro represents proline,

5 Yaa is an amino acid residue other than arginine and preferably other than alanine, phenylalanine, tryptophan and tyrosine and S is a signal marker as described for substrates of category 1 above, exhibit the desired specificity for thrombin and the desired rate of hydrolysis and solubility in aqueous solutions required for application in a continuous assay of ETP in a sample that has been subjected to the  
10 presence of heparin or one or more other anticoagulants. When Yaa is Orn a very good signal to noise ratio is obtained. As 20-50% of the initial substrate is consumed during thrombin generation this substrate cannot be used without a significant amount of heparin or other anticoagulant activity in the sample. In particular when Yaa is lysine an extremely  
15 useful substrate for use in a sample comprising heparin is provided.

Entries 1-8 of Tables 1 and 2 illustrate that the sequence H-*o*-Phe-Pipecolyl- or H-*o*-Phe-Pro- is so optimal for thrombin that even neutral or acidic side chain containing amino acids in position P1 are prone to hydrolysis. Zaa is preferably H-D-Phe. The  $k_{cat}$  is low as expected but  
20 the  $K_m$  is too low for use in a continuous assay for determination of ETP in a sample not comprising heparin or other anticoagulant activity. The compounds are surprisingly specific for thrombin but exhibit high rates of hydrolysis. We discovered that selecting Yaa at the P1 position to be different from arginine led to substrates that were well suited as the  
25 rates of hydrolysis were somewhat reduced. The substrates of this category can be used in continuous assays for ETP determination of samples comprising at least 2.0 U ISH/ml activity due to heparin or a corresponding amount of other anticoagulant generating an equivalent anticoagulant activity. Such a substrate can in fact successfully be used  
30 for reliable ETP determination in a continuous assay of samples comprising up to 5.0 U ISH/ml activity due to heparin or a corresponding amount of other anticoagulant generating an equivalent anticoagulant activity.

It is postulated that Pro and pip which are approximately equivalent  
35 in size fit in the S2 pocket of the thrombin binding site for fibrinogen. Smaller residues will lead to undesired interactions with Factor Xa. Valine which is larger than pro and pip fits the S2 pocket but not in the optimal way pro and pip do. *o*-phenylalanine is an aromatic amino acid residue and fits optimally into the S<sub>3</sub> binding pocket of thrombin. It is

also possible to use D-aromatic amino acid like D-Trp or D-Tyr.

Yaa is not arginine as the resulting substrate hydrolyses too fast to be of use in the continuous ETP assay. Yaa is not Ala, Phe, Trp, Tyr in order to prevent the substrate being recognized by other serine proteases present in plasma. Alanine is recognized by elastase phenylalanine, 5 tryptophan and tyrosine by chymotrypsin and plasma peptidases.

Also surprisingly in view of the teaching of Angliker et al we discovered tripeptide substrates that exhibits the desired specificity for thrombin and the desired rate of hydrolysis and solubility in aqueous solutions required for application in a continuous assay of ETP in a 10 sample that has been subjected to the presence of heparin or one or more other anticoagulants. This substrate comprises BOC-Gly-Val-Arg-S, with S preferably being pNA. The substrate can be used in a sample comprising more than 0,07 U ISH/ml, preferably between 0,85-2,0 U ISH/ml.

15 H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA and BOC-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA are fibrinogen A $\alpha$ -like fragments. A free N-terminus enhances the  $K_m$  value but in this case the resulting substrate has a  $k_{cat}$  that is still too high. Further research focussed on this substrate in which arginine was replaced by lysine, ornithine, or norleucine to reduce  $k_{cat}$  with maintenance of the high  $K_m$  20 value. As this substrate comprises a valine at position P2 this probably enhances the selectivity towards thrombin. The aforementioned modifications of P1 and additional polar or small neutral amino acids at the N terminus do not result in an optimal substrate suitable in the continuous registration of thrombin formation in plasma.

25 From Table 3 it is apparent that H-Gly-Val-Orn and H-Gly-Val-Nle-pNA are hydrolysed by neither thrombin nor Factor Xa. H-Gly-Val-Lys-pNA is hydrolysed by thrombin but not by Factor Xa which illustrates the specificity of the Val-Arg combination.

In particular from analysis of extension of the peptidyl portion of 30 the Category 1 substrates carried out by us in which Gly-Val-Arg-pNA was analysed and illustrated a failure to hydrolyse it is all the more surprising that a BOC-Gly-Val-Arg-S substrate can be used with success.

Finally a fourth type of substrate was synthesized exhibiting the kinetic parameters required for ETP determination in a continuous assay 35 of a sample exhibiting heparin activity. This substrate exhibits similar kinetic parameters to Msc-Val-Arg-pNA. This fourth type of substrate is H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA. It can be used in samples with or without heparin as described for the first category of substrate.

The subject invention is directed at improved methods of determining

ETP in a continuous assay. The improved method comprises a method of determination in a continuous assay of ETP in a manner known per se, wherein the substrate for thrombin is a dipeptide substrate comprising the formula P-Val-Xaa-S,

5 wherein P is an amino protective group, said group being non aromatic and polar,

Val is a valine residue attached via a peptide bond to Xaa,

Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal guanidino group or ureido group separated by at least 2 carbon atoms from the peptide  
10 backbone, said amino acid residue being attached to S,

S is a signal group such as a chromophore that can be enzymatically hydrolysed with the proviso that the substrate is not  $\text{CH}_3\text{O}-\text{CO}-\text{CH}_2-\text{CO}-\text{L}-\text{Val}-\text{Arg}-\text{pNa}$ .

Preferably such a method is carried out on a sample comprising 0-0,25  
15 U ISH/ml due to the presence of heparin or a corresponding amount of other anticoagulant exhibiting the same degree of anticoagulant activity. Such a method does not require the addition of ATIII and/or HCII or any other protease inhibitor. The method can be carried out on a sample exhibiting more than 0,07 U ISH/ml.

20 The subject invention is also directed at a method of determining ETP of a sample comprising more than 0,07 U ISH/ml, preferably more than 0,85 U ISH/ml in a continuous assay in a manner known per se, wherein the substrate for thrombin is Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNa or a salt thereof such as Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNa.HCl. In a preferred embodiment the sample comprises  
25 less than 2,0 U ISH/ml.

The subject invention is also directed at a method of determining ETP of a sample comprising more than 0,07 U ISH/ml, preferably more than 2,0 U ISH/ml in a continuous assay in a manner known per se, wherein the substrate for thrombin is a dipeptide substrate comprising the structure  
30 Zaa-Pipecolyl-Yaa-S or H-D-Phe-Pro-Yaa-S, wherein

Zaa represents D-Phenylalanine, D-tryptophan or D-tyrosine,

Pro represents proline,

Yaa is an amino acid residue other than arginine and preferably other than alanine, phenylalanine, tryptophan and tyrosine and

35 S is a signal marker as described for substrates of category 1 above. When the sample can comprise elastase Yaa should not be alanine. When the sample can comprise chymotrypsin or plasma peptidases Yaa should not be Phe, Trp or Tyr. In a preferred embodiment the sample comprises less than 5,0 U ISH/ml as at higher heparin concentrations incipient turbidities

hamper the determination of the thrombin generation curve. Such a method according to the invention can suitably be carried out with H-D-Phe-Pro-Lys-pNA or a salt thereof such as 2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Lys-pNA.

The invention also comprises determining ETP of a sample comprising 5 0,0-0,25 U ISH/ml, preferably more than 0,07 U ISH/ml in a continuous assay in a manner known per se wherein the substrate for thrombin is H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA or a salt thereof. In the whole text where U ISH/ml is used the anticoagulant activity is implied due to heparin or the equivalent anticoagulant activity due to any other anticoagulant is 10 implied as such or in combination with each other. In the whole text where substrate is described any salts of such substrate that are soluble in the sample are also implied.

A further improved method for determining ETP comprises addition of a selective denaturing agent of  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin to the sample to be 15 determined prior to determination of the ETP or at the moment the ETP determination occurs. Most  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulins are tetramers consisting of pairwise disulfide bridged subunits wherein an internal S-cysteinyl- $\gamma$ -glutamyl thiol ester provides a potential covalent binding site for the target protease (Reviewed by: Sottrup-Jensen, L. (1989), J. Biol. Chem. 20 264, 11539-11542). Each thiol ester is reactive towards amines [ a) Larsson, L.J. and Björk, I. (1984) Biochemistry 23, 2802-2807; b) thiol esters are weakly activated esters: Schwyzer, R. (1953) Helv. Chim. Acta 36, 414-424); aminolysis of this thiol ester results in nearly complete loss of protease binding capacity (Lonberg-Holm, K., Reed, D.L., Roberts, 25 R.C. and Damato-McCabe, D. (1987) J. Biol. Chem. 262, 4844-4853]. In most cases methylamine is used as denaturing agent of  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin [ a) Björk, I. et al. (1985) Biochemistry 24, 2653-2660; b) Eccleston E.D. and Howard, J.B. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 10169-10176], but this base (pKa=10,64) cannot be used in the continuous assay for ETP determination. 30 hydroxylamine which is a much weaker base (pKa=6,03) is known to be an agent which selectively denaturates thiol ester bonds under neutral conditions without affecting the biological activity of enzyme systems [ a) Roskoski, R., Jr. (1974) Biochemistry 13, 5141-5144; b) Duncan, R.J.S., Weston, P.D. and Wriggleworth, R. (1983) Anal. Biochem. 132, 68- 35 73]. Inclusion of hydroxylamine in a sample of which the ETP is to be determined in a manner further known per se results in a significantly reduced end level of thrombin- $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin, without affecting thrombin generation. This result can already be achieved by addition of as little as 15 mM hydroxylamine (fig. 2 and 3 illustrate 25 mM).

Preferably the maximum amount of hydroxylamine to be added is 100 mM.

The effect of hydroxylamine on the prothrombinase activity is presented in figure 4 and the decay constants are given in table 4.

- 5 Figure 4 shows that the maximum of the prothrombinase activity is not lowered but a small shift to a later time point is observed.

From these experiments it was concluded hydroxylamine is ideally suited to denature  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin without affecting the coagulation cascade. Hydrolytic activity of a thrombin- $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin complex towards a substrate can thus be reduced, thereby eliminating a possible source of inaccuracy. Unexpectedly the hydroxylamine does not affect the prothrombinase complex and does not interfere with the coagulation cascade.

15 Furthermore the addition of hydroxylamine to the sample to be tested offers the further advantage that when the sample is plasma it does not need to be defibrinated. In the presence of a large excess of hydroxylamine thrombin cleaved fibrinogen does not polymerize to form insoluble fibrin by the action of factor XIIIa. Factor XIIIa which is a  
20 transglutaminase evidently incorporates hydroxylamine in fibrin monomers instead of the standard  $\epsilon$ -amino group of a lysyl residue occurring in fibrin monomers. Incorporation of hydroxylamine results in the formation of a clear gel permitting the passage of light. No precipitating reaction products that can disturb the optical density recording are produced. It  
25 is thus possible to measure a thrombin generation curve in non defibrinated plasma with a zero end level of thrombin- $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin complex. In figure 5 it is shown that in this system even the effect of heparin (0,05 U ISH/ml) is measurable.

A preferred embodiment of the method according to the invention  
30 comprises a method for determining the ETP of a sample comprising at least 0,07 U ISH/ml or a corresponding amount of one or more other anticoagulants, resulting in a total anticoagulant activity of at least 0,07 U ISH/ml, wherein a substrate according to the invention of any of the three categories disclosed above is applied in a manner known per se  
35 for a thrombin substrate in a manner known per se for determining the ETP of a sample, said method further comprising the step of adding hydroxylamine to the sample to be tested prior to or at the beginning of the ETP determination. With particular advantage the method according to the invention wherein hydroxylamine is added to the sample is carried out

with a sample of nondefibrinated plasma.

Another embodiment of the method according to the invention comprises a method for determining the ETP of a sample comprising at least 0,07 U ISH/ml or a corresponding amount of one or more other anticoagulants, resulting in a total anticoagulant activity of at least 0,07 U ISH/ml, wherein a substrate according to the invention of any of the four categories disclosed above is applied in a manner known per se for a thrombin substrate in a manner known per se for determining the ETP of a sample.

Any other methods other than ETP determination in which the novel substrates according to the invention can be used as substrates for thrombin will be apparent to a person skilled in the art on the basis of the characteristics given. The use of such substrates is obviously not necessarily restricted to the ETP determination.

15

#### EXAMPLE 1

THE SYNTHESIS OF CHROMOGENIC SUBSTRATES ACCORDING TO THE INVENTION.

#### METHODS OF SYNTHESIS

20

*S2238-derived substrates (scheme 2)*: The dipeptide ester Boc-D-Phe-Pip-OMe was prepared from Boc-D-Phe-OH and HCl.H-Pip-OMe using DCC/HOBt in EtOAc as solvent in the presence of 1 eq. DIPEA as described by König and Geiger<sup>26</sup>.



In this strategy the  $\alpha$ -amino function was protected by a Boc-group; this enables a single deprotection step of the protected tripeptide *p*-nitroanilide (*vide infra*) for conversion into the desired substrate. As carboxyl protection, a methyl ester was used. The methyl ester can be easily converted into the corresponding hydrazide.

After work-up the dipeptide methyl ester was obtained as a colourless oil in 74% yield and directly used for further synthesis. Its conversion into the protected dipeptide hydrazide was carried out by treatment with 5 eq. of  $N_2H_4.H_2O$  in MeOH for three days at room temperature. The product was obtained as white crystals after recrystallization from *iso*-propyl alcohol in 70% yield.

Hydrazide derivatives give access to azides which can be coupled to amino components *via* the azide method as described by Curtius<sup>27</sup>. This is one of the earliest peptide coupling methods described in the literature. Its value has been found in the fact that acylpeptides can be coupled without

or with a minimal degree of racemization.

The conversion of Boc-D-Phe-Pip-N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub> to its corresponding azide was performed by *tert.*-butyl nitrite in the presence of a strong mineral acid (HCl in EtOAc) in DMF as solvent at -20°C as described by Honzl and  
5 Rudinger<sup>28</sup>. They studied the conditions in which the unwanted Curtius rearrangement is largely suppressed and the desired coupling reaction is favoured.



Scheme 2: Synthesis scheme of substrates derived from S2238 as lead structure; i) DCC/HOBt/DIPEA in EtOAc; ii) N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O in MeOH; iii) 1. *tert.*-BuONO/HCl at -20°C, 2. DIPEA, 3. H-Xaa-pNA/DIPEA in DMF at 0°C; iv)  
25 HCl in AcOH/EtOAc 1:1 v/v; v) NaHCO<sub>3</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>CN 1:1 v/v; vi) DCC/HOBt/DIPEA/H-Xaa-pNA.

This coupling procedure was used to come to five fully protected tripeptides. The side chain functionalities were protected with acid-labile protective groups. The ω-amino function of lysine and ornithine  
30 was protected by a Boc-group. The carboxyl side chain of glutamic acid was protected as a *tert.*-butyl ester. The guanidino function of arginine was protected by protonation only, to avoid harsh deprotection conditions when the usual protective groups would be used. The α-amino function is  
35 selectively liberated from the dihydrochloride by addition of DIPEA due to its lower pK<sub>a</sub> value; the guanidino function is the stronger base.

Boc-D-Phe-Pip-N<sub>3</sub> was synthesized *in situ* and coupled to the amino acid *p*-nitroanilide in DMF at 0°C during 16 hrs at neutral pH. The amino components were added as hydrochlorides (2HCl.H-Arg-pNA, HCl.H-Nle-pNA)

or as free amines (H-Lys(Boc)-pNA, H-Orn(Boc)-pNA and H-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-pNA). The latter three were obtained from their corresponding Fmoc-protected derivatives. Fmoc-removal was carried out with 20% piperidine in DMF for 30 min at room temperature. After removal of the solvent and  
5 coevaporation with DMF to remove excess piperidine, the *p*-nitroanilides were used for synthesis without further purification. When the acylation was complete, DMF was evaporated *in vacuo* and the product was purified by column chromatography. Arginine derivatives were purified by counter current distribution after Craig <sup>29,30</sup>. The protected tripeptides were  
10 obtained as amorphous products in yields of 62-95%.

Final deprotection was with HCl in EtOAc. Purification by counter current distribution and lyophilization from H<sub>2</sub>O gave the products as off-white fluffy powders in 46-94% yield.

15 The derivatives in which pipecolic acid is replaced by a proline residue were synthesized as follows. H-Pro-OH was acylated by Boc-D-Phe-ONSu in H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>CN 1:1 v/v in the presence of 2.25 eq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The dipeptide acid was obtained as a white solid in 72% yield. Since acylpeptides with a carboxy-terminal proline can be coupled without racemization, the amino  
20 acid *p*-nitroanilides were acylated directly with Boc-D-Phe-Pro-OH using DCC/HOBt. The coupling reactions were carried out in EtOAc as solvent (DMF was used for arginine *p*-nitroanilide; work-up was performed in BuOH after evaporation of DMF). After work-up by aqueous extraction, the product was purified by column chromatography. The arginine derivative  
25 was purified by counter current distribution. Drying of compounds with protonated guanidino functions is not recommended: premature crystallization of sulphate salts can occur and appears as a source of unnecessary product loss.

The protected tripeptides were obtained as amorphous products in 55-90%  
30 yield. After deprotection, the unprotected compounds were purified by counter current distribution, the lyophilized products were off-white fluffy materials and were obtained in 75-91% yield.

*SQ68-derived substrates: (scheme 3):* Boc-Xaa-Arg-pNA.HCl dipeptides were  
35 synthesized from 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA by acylation with Boc-Xaa-ONSu in DMF as the solvent in the presence of 1 eq. DIPEA during 16 hrs at room temperature. Boc-Gly-OH was coupled using DCC/HOBt to H-Arg-pNA.HCl. When the reactions were complete, DMF was evaporated *in vacuo* and the residue was dissolved in BuOH and subsequently washed with aqueous acid and -



base. The BuOH solution was not dried but directly evaporated to dryness. The obtained products were purified by counter current distribution in 70-89% yield. The work-up and purification of Boc-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl was slightly different due to the substantial water solubility. The reaction  
 5 mixture was concentrated and the crude dipeptide was directly purified by counter current distribution, yield: 88%. The five dipeptides were lyophilized from AcOH to obtain amorphous powders.

After Boc-removal and subsequent purification, the  $\alpha$ -amino function was modified by a malonic acid derivative. These couplings were carried out  
 10 by DCC/HOBt (or the ONSu ester of MZ) in DMF in the presence of 1 eq. DIPEA. The products were purified by counter current distribution and lyophilized from H<sub>2</sub>O which resulted in off-white fluffy powders, yield: 34-74%. The  $\beta$ -naphthalenesulfonyl- and *p*-toluenesulfonyl modifications were performed with the acid chlorides using dry pyridine in the presence  
 15 of 2 eq. DIPEA during 16 hrs at room temperature. After removal of the solvent, the products were purified by counter current distribution and lyophilized from AcOH, yield: 59 respectively 63%.

20 Boc-Gly-OH+2HCl.H-Arg-pNA-*i*->Boc-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl-*ii*->2HCl.H-Gly-Arg-pNA(3)

-*iii*-> MZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl (3a)  
 MMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl (3b)  
 DMMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl (3c)  
 25 DEMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl (3d)  
 -*iv*->  $\beta$ NS-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl (3e)  
 pTS-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl (3f)

Boc-Xaa-ONSu+2HCl.H-Arg-pNA-*v*->Boc-Xaa-Arg-pNAHCl-*ii*->2HCl.H-Xaa-Arg-pNA

30 --> 2HCl.H-Ala-Arg-pNA(4) -*iii*-> MZ-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl (4a)  
 MMZ-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl (4b)  
 DMMZ-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl (4c)  
 --> 2HCl.H-D-Ala-Arg-pNA(5)-*iii*-> MZ-D-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl (5a)  
 --> 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA(6)-*iii*-> MZ-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (6a)  
 35 --> 2HCl.H-D-Val-Arg-pNA(7)-*iii*-> MZ-D-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (7a)

Scheme 3: Synthesis scheme of substrates with SQ68 as lead structure; i) DCC/HOBt/MMM in DMF, ii) HCl in EtOAc/AcOH 1:1 v/v, iii) malonic acid monomethyl ester/DCC/HOBt/DIPEA (or MZ-ONSu/DIPEA) in DMF, iv)

arylsulfonyl chloride/DIPEA in dry pyridine, v) DIPEA in DMF.

#### CONCLUSION

5 It was shown that orthogonally protected amino acid *p*-nitroanilides are well suited for fragment condensations in DCC/HOBt- or azide-mediated coupling procedures. Protonation of the guanidino side function of arginine has the advantage of evading harsh deprotection conditions. However, its highly polar character necessitates modified work-up and  
10 purification methods.

Some of the substrates described in this example were to be used in the continuous registration of thrombin formation in plasma, but some of them could not be used due to the low water solubility.

15

#### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

##### *General*

20 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AM 100 and Bruker AM 400 spectrometers. As an internal standard the residual solvent peak was used. Chemical shifts are given in part per million (ppm). Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin Elmer 241 polarimeter in a 10 cm cuvette at room temperature. Melting points were determined with a Büchi  
25 melting point apparatus (Tottoli). TLC was performed on Merck Silicagel 60F<sub>254</sub> plates, and column chromatography on Merck Kieselgel 60, 70-230 Mesh ASTM. Spots were detected by UV-fluorescence quenching, ninhydrine (free amino functions), chlorine/TDM (NH groups), Barton's- (hydrazides) and Sakaguchi's (arginine residues) reagent.

30 Methanol was refluxed on magnesium turnings for two hours, distilled and stored on 3 Å molsieves. Pyridine was distilled over KOH pellets and stored on 4 Å molsieves.

Boc-amino acids were synthesized by the method of Schnabel<sup>31</sup>. Their hydroxysuccinimide esters were prepared according to Anderson *et al.*<sup>32</sup>

35 Amino acid *p*-nitroanilides were prepared as described elsewhere. The resolution of DL-pipecolic acid was carried out according to the method of Mende and Lukes *et al.*<sup>33</sup> Malonic acid and dimethylmalonic acid were converted to their corresponding dimethyl esters by heating the dicarboxylic acid with an excess of methanol in the presence of

concentrated sulfuric acid<sup>34</sup>. Dimethyl methylmalonate was prepared by generating the mono-sodio derivative of dimethyl malonate which reacts with methyl iodide *via* a S<sub>N</sub>2 mechanism<sup>34</sup>. The corresponding half esters were prepared by controlled partial hydrolysis of the diester with one  
5 equivalent of potassium hydroxide<sup>35</sup>. The monomethyl ester of diethylmalonic acid was obtained in a direct way by refluxing the acid in an excess of methanol with sulfuric acid as catalyst.

Dimethylmalonic acid and DL-pipecolic acid were from Janssen, diethylmalonic acid and D(-)-tartaric acid were from Aldrich. Naphtalene-  
10 2-sulfonyl chloride, toluene-4-sulfonyl chloride and D-alanine were obtained from Fluka. Boc-Nle-OH, Boc-D-Val-ONSu and Fmoc-Orn(Boc)-OH were from Bachem. D-Phenylalanine was a generous gift of Dr. J. Kamphuis (DSM Research, Geleen, The Netherlands).

### 15 Syntheses

#### *Boc-D-Phe-Pip-N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>* :

To a solution of Boc-D-Phe-OH (19.88 g, 75 mmol) in EtOAc (350 mL) was added HCl.H-Pip-OMe<sup>33</sup> (13.46 g, 75 mmol, 1.0 eq.), HOBt (12.62 g, 82.5 mmol, 1.10 eq.) and NMM (8.75 mL, 78.7 mmol, 1.05 eq.). The obtained  
20 suspension was cooled on ice and DCC (16.22 g, 78.7 mmol, 1.05 eq.) was added. After stirring for two hrs at 0°C and 16 hrs at room temperature, DCU was filtered off. The pale yellow EtOAc solution was successively washed with 2N KHSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and saturated NaCl (four times 50 mL each). The obtained organic solution was dried on Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered  
25 and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residual oil contained some DCU, so diethyl ether was added and the suspension was filtered. The filtrate was evaporated *in vacuo*; the obtained residue was a clear yellowish oil, yield: 21.52 g (74%). This product was checked by TLC on purity and directly used for further synthesis (R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9:1 v/v): 0.87). The  
30 protected dipeptide methyl ester was dissolved in MeOH (150 mL) and N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O (13.5 mL, 278 mmol, 5.0 eq.) was added. This reaction mixture was left for three days at room temperature. The formed precipitate was filtered off and dried, yield: 12.48 g (58%). The filtrate was evaporated to 25 mL and dropped out into H<sub>2</sub>O (500 mL), to give another crop of 2.53  
35 g. Total yield: 15.01 g (70%, overall: 52%), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9:1 v/v): 0.54, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -77.1° c = 0.98 DMF, mp: 202-204°C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ = 1.15-1.38 (m, 6H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Pip (3 x 2H)); 1.44 (s, 9H, Boc); 3.08/3.18 (dm, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Phe); 3.28 (m, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pip); 4.58 (m, 1H, α-CH-Pip); 4.74 (m, 1H, α-CH-Phe); 7.20-7.33 (m, 5H, arom Phe).

*Boc-D-Phe-Pip-X-pNA*a) *X = Arg.HCl*:

Boc-D-Phe-Pip-N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub> (0.39 g, 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (10 mL) and cooled to -20°C with dry ice/acetone. To this solution was added 2.3 M HCl/EtOAc (1.20 mL, 2.75 mmol, 2.75 eq.) followed by *tert.*-BuONO (0.114 mL, 1.20 mmol, 1.20 eq.). This reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at -20°C. (After this period the azide-formation was complete.) The acid solution was neutralized by adding DIPEA (0.48 mL, 2.77 mmol). 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA (0.367 g, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added followed by 1.0 eq. DIPEA. This reaction mixture was kept on neutrality by adding DIPEA at regular time intervals. The obtained reaction medium was allowed to react during 16 hrs at 0°C. After this period, DMF was removed *in vacuo* and the oily residue was dissolved in BuOH (10 mL). The BuOH solution was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and saturated NaCl (three times 5 mL each) and evaporated *in vacuo*. Boc-D-Phe-Pip-Arg-pNA.HCl was purified by counter current distribution with BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v as solvent system (K = 10.52) and lyophilized from AcOH. Yield: 0.613 g (89%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.75, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -90.0° c = 1 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ = 1.33 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.41 (m, 6H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Pip (3 x 2H)); 1.67 (m, 2H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.86/2.04 (dm, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.93-3.04 (bm, 4H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Phe/N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pip); 3.21 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 4.54 (m, 1H, α-CH-Pip); 4.78 (m, 1H, α-CH-Arg); 4.96 (m, 1H, α-CH-Phe); 7.21-7.35 (bm, 5H, arom Phe); 7.90/7.92-8.20/8.23 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

b) *X = Lys(Boc)*:

In general as described for a). Quantities used: Boc-D-Phe-Pip-N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>: 0.398 g (1.019 mmol), H-Lys(Boc)-pNA: 0.373 g (1.022 mmol). Extractions were performed with EtOAc, after drying on Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The obtained foam was purified by column chromatography with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 98:2 v/v as eluens. Yield: 0.69 g (95%), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 98:2 v/v): 0.30, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -61.8° c = 0.44 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ = 1.18-1.56 (bm, 10H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>/δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys/CH<sub>2</sub>-Pip (3 x 2H)); 1.34 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.41 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.93 (m, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 3.02 (m, 6H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pip/ε-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys/β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Phe); 4.45 (m, 1H, α-CH-Pip); 4.78 (m, 1H, α-CH-Lys); 5.11 (m, 1H, α-CH-Phe); 7.21-7.34 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 7.85/7.87-8.16/8.18 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

c) *X = Orn(Boc)*:

Obtained as described for b).

Quantities used: Fmoc-Orn(Boc)-pNA: 0.625 g (1.089 mmol). The Fmoc-protection was removed by treatment with 20% piperidine in DMF (15 mL)

during 35 min. After this period, the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and coevaporated with DMF to remove any residual piperidine. Yield: 0.525 g (74%),  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH } 98:2 \text{ v/v})$ : 0.22,  $[\alpha]_D = -64.8^\circ$   $c = 0.13$  MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR}(\text{CD}_3\text{OD})$ :  $\delta = 1.26-1.48$  (bm, 6H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Pip}$  (3 x 2H)); 1.34 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.41 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.56/1.65 (dm, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn}$ ); 1.78/1.99 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn}$ ); 2.97 (m, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Phe}$ ); 3.07 (m, 4H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn/N-CH}_2\text{-Pip}$ ); 4.48 (m, 2H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Orn}/\alpha\text{-CH-Pip}$ ); 4.79 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Phe}$ ); 7.25-7.32 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 7.86/7.88-8.18/8.20 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

d)  $X = \text{Glu}(\text{O}^t\text{Bu})$ :

10 Obtained as described for b).

Quantities used: Fmoc-Glu( $\text{O}^t\text{Bu}$ )-pNA: 0.577 g (1.06 mmol). The Fmoc-protection was removed as described above. Yield: 0.422 g (62%),  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH } 98:2 \text{ v/v})$ : 0.44,  $[\alpha]_D = -78.5^\circ$   $c = 0.33$  MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR}(\text{CD}_3\text{OD})$ :  $\delta = 1.26-1.54$  (bm, 8H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Glu}/\text{CH}_2\text{-Pip}$  (3 x 2H)); 1.33 (s, 9H,  $\text{O}^t\text{Bu}$ ); 1.43 (s, 9H, Boc); 2.38 (m, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Glu}$ ); 2.96 (m, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Phe}$ ); 3.08 (m, 2H,  $\text{N-CH}_2\text{-Pip}$ ); 4.53 (dd, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Glu}$ ); 4.59 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Pip}$ ); 4.82 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Phe}$ ); 7.26-7.33 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 7.89/7.91-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

e)  $X = \text{Nle}$ :

20 Obtained as described for b).

Quantities used: HCl.H-Nle-pNA: 0.288 g (1.0 mmol), DIPEA: 0.173 mL (1.0 mmol). Yield: 0.512 g (84%),  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH } 98:2 \text{ v/v})$ : 0.42,  $[\alpha]_D = -93.9^\circ$   $c = 0.23$  MeOH.

25  $n\text{HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-X-pNA}$

The protected tripeptide was dissolved in EtOAc or AcOH (1 mmol in 5 mL). To the solution 2.3 M HCl/EtOAc (10 mL for 1 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred until deprotection was complete. The acid was quenched with *tert.*-BuOH (10 mL) and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was coevaporated with *tert.*-BuOH (twice) and with MeOH (once). The residue was dissolved in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and lyophilized. Crude tripeptides were purified by counter current distribution with BuOH/AcOH/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  4:1:5 v/v/v as solvent system.

a)  $n = 2$ ,  $X = \text{Arg} (1)$ :

35 Yield: 0.436 g (96%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH}/\text{AcOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O } 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.52 ( $K = 0.92$ ),  $[\alpha]_D = -128.7^\circ$   $c = 1$  MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR}(\text{D}_2\text{O})$ :  $\delta = 1.18$  (m, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Pip}$ ); 1.44 (m, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 1.63 (m, 4H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Pip}$  (2 x 2H)); 1.88 (m, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 3.13 (m, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Phe}$ ); 3.21 (m, 4H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}/\text{N-CH}_2\text{-Pip}$ ); 4.40 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Arg}$ ); 4.69 (bs, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Pip}$ ); 4.81 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Phe}$ ); 7.26 (m, 2H,

arom Phe); 7.38 (m, 3H, arom Phe); 7.63/7.65-8.18/8.20 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

b)  $n = 2$ ,  $X = \text{Lys (1a)}$ :

Yield: 0.297 g (72%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH}/\text{AcOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ 4:1:1 v/v/v})$ : 0.60,  $[\alpha]_D = -115.3^\circ$

5 c = 0.15 MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR (CD}_3\text{OD)}$ :  $\delta = 1.17\text{-}1.60$  (bm, 8H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}/\text{CH}_2\text{-Pip (3 x 2H)}$ ); 1.71 (m, 2H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 1.94 (m, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 2.94 (t, 2H,  $\epsilon\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 3.08/3.18 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Phe}$ ); 3.28 (bs, 2H,  $\text{N-CH}_2\text{-Pip}$ ); 4.48 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Lys}$ ); 4.58 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Pip}$ ); 4.72 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Phe}$ ); 7.29-7.41 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 7.85/7.88-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

10 c)  $n = 2$ ,  $X = \text{Orn (1b)}$ :

Yield: 0.315 g (96%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH}/\text{AcOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ 4:1:1 v/v/v})$ : 0.42 ( $K = 0.71$ ),  $[\alpha]_D$

=  $-96.7^\circ$  c = 0.18 MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR (CD}_3\text{OD)}$ :  $\delta = 1.29\text{-}1.49$  (m, 6H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Pip (3 x 2H)}$ ); 1.62-1.77 (dm, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn}$ ); 2.00-2.15 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn}$ ); 2.98 (m, 6H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Phe}/\text{N-CH}_2\text{-Pip}/\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn}$ ); 4.34 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Pip}$ ); 4.46 (m, 15 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Orn}$ ); 4.57 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Phe}$ ); 7.23-7.35 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 7.85/7.87-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

d)  $n = 1$ ,  $X = \text{Glu (1c)}$ :

Yield: 0.158 g (64%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH}/\text{AcOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ 4:1:1 v/v/v})$ : 0.67 ( $K = 5.15$ ),  $[\alpha]_D$

=  $-107.4^\circ$  c = 0.22 MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR (CD}_3\text{OD)}$ :  $\delta = 1.11\text{-}1.35$  (m, 6H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Pip (3 x 2H)}$ ); 2.03 (m, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Glu}$ ); 2.26/2.42 (dm, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Glu}$ ); 2.82/3.55 (dm, 2H,  $\text{N-CH}_2\text{-Pip}$ ); 3.11/3.24 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Phe}$ ); 4.17 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Glu}$ ); 4.52 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Pip}$ ); 4.82 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Phe}$ ); 7.26-7.41 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 7.84/7.86-8.19/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

e)  $n = 1$ ,  $X = \text{Nle (1d)}$ :

25 Yield: 0.124 g (46%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH}/\text{AcOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ 4:1:1 v/v/v})$ : 0.82,  $[\alpha]_D = -117.1^\circ$

c = 0.17 MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR (CD}_3\text{OD)}$ :  $\delta = 0.93$  (t, 3H,  $\epsilon\text{-CH}_3\text{-Nle}$ ); 1.29-1.48 (bm, 10H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Nle}/\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Nle}/\text{CH}_2\text{-Pip (3 x 2H)}$ ); 1.78/1.87 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Nle}$ ); 3.00 (m, 4H,  $\text{N-CH}_2\text{-Pip}/\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Phe}$ ); 4.45 (m, 2H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Nle}/\alpha\text{-CH-Pip}$ ); 5.07 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Phe}$ ); 7.24-7.37 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 7.82/7.84-8.19/8.21 (dd, 30 4H, arom pNA).

#### *Boc-D-Phe-Pro-OH*:

To a solution of H-Pro-OH (1.00 g, 8.70 mmol, 1.09 eq.) and  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (1.40 g, 16.7 mmol, 2.09 eq.) in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (10 mL) a solution of Boc-D-Phe-ONSu (2.90 g, 8.01 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  (30 mL) was added dropwise. The obtained reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 hrs. After this period of stirring the reaction mixture was evaporated *in vacuo*, the resulting syrup was diluted with water and the solution was acidified with 2N  $\text{KHSO}_4$  to pH = 3 and extracted into EtOAc (50 mL). The EtOAc solution was washed

with saturated NaCl (three times 20 mL), dried on Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated to dryness. The residue was precipitated in diethyl ether, filtered and dried. Yield: 2.12 g (73%), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 8:2 v/v): 0.45, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -56.5° c = 0.78 MeOH, mp: 168°C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.43 (s, 9H, Boc); 2.24 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 2.51 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 3.02 (d, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Phe); 3.55 (m, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 4.34 (m, 1H, α-CH-Pro); 4.61 (m, 1H, α-CH-Phe); 5.33 (d, 1H, NH); 7.26 (bs, 5H, arom Phe).

*Boc-D-Phe-Pro-Arg-pNA.HCl:*

10 To a solution of Boc-D-Phe-Pro-OH (0.362 g, 1.00 mmol), 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA (0.365 g, 0.995 mmol, 0.995 eq.), HOBt (0.172 g, 1.12 mmol, 1.12 eq.) and DIPEA (0.18 mL, 1.05 mmol, 1.05 eq.) in DMF (10 mL), DCC (0.221 g, 1.07 mmol, 1.07 eq.) was added. The obtained reaction mixture was stirred for one hour on ice and 17 hrs at room temperature. The formed DCU was  
15 filtered off and DMF was evaporated *in vacuo*. The oily residue was dissolved in BuOH (20 mL) and subsequently washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and saturated NaCl (four times 10 mL each). The clear organic solution was evaporated *in vacuo* and the residue was purified by counter distribution with the solvent system: BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v. The pure  
20 product was lyophilized from AcOH. Yield: 0.51 g (76%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.65 (K = 6.48), [α]<sub>D</sub> = -31.3° c = 0.22 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ = 1.20 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.58-2.18 (bm, 8H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro (2 x 2H)); 2.77/3.69 (dm, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 3.02 (m, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Phe); 3.22 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 4.27 (m, 1H, α-CH-Pro); 4.49 (m, 1H, α-CH-Arg); 4.55 (m, 1H, α-CH-Phe); 7.28-7.36 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 8.12/8.15-8.23/8.26 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*Boc-D-Phe-Pro-X-pNA*

a) X = Lys(Boc):

30 Boc-D-Phe-Pro-OH (0.1975 g, 0.546 mmol, 1.01 eq.) was coupled in EtOAc (10 mL) with H-Lys(Boc)-pNA (0.1982 g, 0.543 mmol) using DCC (0.113 g, 0.55 mmol, 1.01 eq.) in the presence of HOBt (0.077 g, 0.55 mmol, 1.01 eq.). After one hour of stirring at 0°C the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. DCU was filtered off, and the organic  
35 solution was washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, 2N KHSO<sub>4</sub> and saturated NaCl (three times 10 mL each). The clear yellowish EtOAc solution was dried on Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*. The resulting foam was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 98:2 v/v as eluent. Yield: 0.212 g (55%), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 98:2 v/v): 0.21,

$R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH } 95:5 \text{ v/v})$ : 0.62.  $[\alpha]_D = -52.9^\circ$   $c = 0.18$  MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR}$  ( $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta = 1.20$  (s, 9H, Boc); 1.33-1.60 (bm, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}/\text{CH}_2\text{-Pro}$  (2 x 2H)); 1.42 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.77-2.10 (bm, 4H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}/\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 2.73/3.70 (dm, 2H, N- $\text{CH}_2\text{-Pro}$ ); 3.03 (m, 4H,  $\epsilon\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}/\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Phe}$ ); 4.26 (m, 5 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Pro}$ ); 4.48 (m, 2H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Lys}/\alpha\text{-CH-Phe}$ ); 7.26-7.35 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 8.12/8.14-8.22/8.24 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

b)  $X = \text{Nle}$ :

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Boc-D-Phe-Pro-OH: 0.202 g (0.558 mmol), HCl.H-Nle-pNA: 10 0.162 g (0.562 mmol, 1.01 eq.), HOBt: 95.4 mg (0.624 mmol, 1.12 eq.), DIPEA: 100  $\mu\text{L}$  (0.575 mmol, 1.03 eq.) and DCC: 0.132 g (0.641 mmol, 1.15 eq.) in 10 mL EtOAc. Yield: 0.299 g (90%).  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH } 98:2 \text{ v/v})$ : 0.38,  $[\alpha]_D = -72.0^\circ$   $c = 0.15$  MeOH.

15 *nHCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-X-pNA*

The protected tripeptide was dissolved in EtOAc or AcOH (1 mmol in 5 mL). To this solution, 2.3 M HCl/EtOAc (5 mL for 1 mmol) was added and stirred for a period until deprotection was complete. After completion, the acid was quenched with *tert.*-BuOH (10 mL) and the mixture was evaporated in 20 *vacuo*. After coevaporation with *tert.*-BuOH (twice 10 mL) and MeOH (once 10 mL) the residue was dissolved in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and lyophilized.

a)  $n = 2$ ,  $X = \text{Arg}$  (2):

Yield: 0.330 g (91%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH}/\text{AcOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O } 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.48,  $[\alpha]_D = -102.0^\circ$   $c = 0.10$  MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR}$  ( $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta = 1.51\text{-}2.04$  (bm, 8H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2/\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}/\text{CH}_2\text{-Pro}$  (2 x 2H)); 2.64/3.65 (dm, 2H, N- $\text{CH}_2\text{-Pro}$ ); 3.14 (m, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Phe}$ ); 25 3.23 (m, 2H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 4.34 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Pro}$ ); 4.43 (m, 2H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Arg}/\alpha\text{-CH-Phe}$ ); 7.30-7.42 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 7.94/7.96-8.21/8.23 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

b)  $n = 2$ ,  $X = \text{Lys}$  (2a):

30 Yield: 0.110 g (75%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH}/\text{AcOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O } 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.44,  $[\alpha]_D = -138.9^\circ$   $c = 0.27$  MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR}$  ( $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta = 1.50\text{-}2.00$  (bm, 10H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2/\gamma\text{-CH}_2/\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}/\text{CH}_2\text{-Pro}$  (2 x 2H)); 2.63-3.63 (dm, 2H, N- $\text{CH}_2\text{-Pro}$ ); 2.96 (m, 2H,  $\epsilon\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 3.17 (m, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Phe}$ ); 4.33 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Pro}$ ); 4.43 (m, 2H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Lys}/\alpha\text{-CH-Phe}$ ); 7.30-7.39 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 7.93/7.95-8.21/8.23 (dd, 4H, 35 arom pNA).

c)  $n = 1$ ,  $X = \text{Nle}$  (2b):

Yield: 0.167 g (75%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH}/\text{AcOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O } 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.80,  $[\alpha]_D = -125.0^\circ$   $c = 0.16$  MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR}$  ( $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta = 0.94$  (t, 3H,  $\epsilon\text{-CH}_3\text{-Nle}$ ); 1.36-1.51 (bm, 8H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2/\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Nle}/\text{CH}_2\text{-Pro}$  (2 x 2H)); 1.75-1.94 (bm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Nle}$ );



2.68/3.51 (dm, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 3.01 (m, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Phe); 4.09 (m, 1H, α-CH-Pro); 4.31 (m, 1H, α-CH-Nle); 4.41 (m, 1H, α-CH-Phe); 7.25-7.36 (m, 5H, arom Phe); 7.88/7.91-8.20/8.23 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

5 *Boc-X-Arg-pNA.HCl*

a) X = Gly:

Boc-Gly-OH (1.75 g, 10 mmol), 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA (3.67 g, 10 mmol, 1.0 eq.), HOBT (1.60 g, 10.1 mmol, 1.01 eq.) and NMM (1.10 mL, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 eq.) were dissolved in DMF (75 mL). This reaction mixture was cooled on ice and DCC (2.16 g, 10.5 mmol, 1.05 eq.) was added. After stirring for one hr at 0°C and 16 hrs at room temperature, DCU was filtered off and DMF was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by counter current distribution with BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v (K = 1.84) as the solvent system. After work-up the pure product was lyophilized from AcOH. Yield: 4.29 g (88%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.64, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -36.8° c = 0.63 MeOH.

b) X = Ala:

Boc-Ala-ONSu (2.91 g, 10.14 mmol, 1.01 eq.) was coupled to 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA (3.69 g, 10.05 mmol) in the presence of DIPEA (1.83 mL, 10.5 mmol, 1.04 eq.) in 75 mL DMF. After 16 hrs of stirring at room temperature, DMF was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was diluted with BuOH (75 mL). The BuOH solution was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and saturated NaCl (four times 20 mL each). The organic layer was evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by counter current distribution with the solvent system BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v. The pure product was lyophilized from AcOH. Yield: 4.06 g (81%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.62, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -44.5° c = 0.72 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O/CD<sub>3</sub>OD 3:1 v/v/v): δ = 0.83 (d, 3H, β-CH<sub>3</sub>-Ala (J = 7.14 Hz)); 0.92 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.29 (m, 4H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.78 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.60 (q, 1H, α-CH-Ala); 4.04 (m, 1H, α-CH-Arg); 7.20/7.30-7.72/7.82 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

c) X = D-Ala:

Obtained as described for b).

Quantities used: Boc-D-Ala-ONSu: 1.43 g (5.0 mmol), 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA: 1.84 g (5.0 mmol), DIPEA: 1.92 mL (11.0 mmol, 2.2 eq.) in 30 mL DMF. Yield: 1.76 g (70%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.59 (K = 1.93), [α]<sub>D</sub> = -47.0° c = 0.77 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ = 1.33 (d, 3H, β-CH<sub>3</sub>-Ala (J = 7.12 Hz)); 1.43 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.71 (m, 2H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.80/2.06 (dm, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.22 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 4.07 (q, 1H, α-CH-Ala (J = 7.12 Hz)); 4.56 (m, 1H, α-CH-Arg); 7.92/7.94-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

d) X = Val:

Obtained as described for b).

Quantities used: Boc-Val-ONSu: 1.57 g (5.0 mmol), 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA: 1.80 g (5.0 mmol), DIPEA: 1.92 mL in 30 mL DMF. Yield: 2.36 g (89%).

5  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.69 (K = 2.11),  $[\alpha]_D = -44.4^\circ$  c = 0.59 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta = 0.94-0.98$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.44 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.70 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 1.82 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.03 (m, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.23 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.88 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.56 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.84/7.86-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

10 e) X = D-Val:

Obtained as described for b).

Quantities used: Boc-D-Val-ONSu: 1.57 g (5.0 mmol), 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA: 1.80 g (5.0 mmol), DIPEA: 1.92 mL in 30 mL DMF. Yield: 1.93 g (73%).

15  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.68 (K = 2.59),  $[\alpha]_D = -50.6^\circ$  c = 0.88 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta = 0.98-1.01$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.42 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.66-1.80 (bm, 3H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg(1H)/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.99-2.09 (bm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg(1H)/ $\beta$ -CH-Val); 3.23 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.79 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.56 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.93/7.95-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

20 2HCl.H-X-Arg-pNA

Protected dipeptides were dissolved in AcOH (1 mmol in 2 mL) and to this solution 2.3 M HCl in EtOAc (5 mL for 1 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was stirred for 2.5 hrs at room temperature. After acid quenching with *tert.*-BuOH (10 mL for 1 mmol) the solution was evaporated

25 *in vacuo* and residual solvents were removed by coevaporation with *tert.*-BuOH (two times 10 mL) and with MeOH. The residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL for 1 mmol) and washed with EtOAc (three times). The resulting aqueous solution was lyophilized. When necessary the product was purified by counter current distribution with the solvent system: BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O

30 4:1:5 v/v/v.

a) X = Gly (3):

Yield: 0.360 g (85%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.29 (K = 0.62),  $[\alpha]_D = -14.1^\circ$  c = 0.75 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 1.58$  (m, 4H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.92 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.63 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.21 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.27/7.37-7.80/7.89 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

b) X = Ala (4):

Yield: 0.407 g (93%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.33 (K = 0.36),  $[\alpha]_D = -5.5^\circ$  c = 0.24 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 1.32$  (d, 3H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Ala (J = 7.1

Hz)); 1.62 (m, 4H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.99 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.89 (q, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Ala (J = 7.1 Hz)); 4.31 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.44/7.54-8.01/8.10 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

c) X = D-Ala (5):

5 Yield: 0.385 g (88%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.33, [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -26.8° c = 0.40 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 1.29 (d, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-Ala (J = 7.1 Hz)); 1.54 (m, 4H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.96 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.91 (q, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Ala (J = 7.1 Hz)); 4.24 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.33/7.42-7.85/7.94 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

10 d) X = Val (6):

Yield: 0.401 g (86%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.40, [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -5.7° c = 0.21 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 1.05/1.07-1.08/1.10 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.70-1.89 (m, 3H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/ $\beta$ -CH-Val); 1.96/2.25 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.26 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.82 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.58 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.85/7.87-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

e) X = D-Val (7):

15 Yield: 0.447 g (96%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.40, [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -40.8° c = 0.25 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 0.69/0.76 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.44-1.51 (m, 4H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>/ $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.90 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 2.91 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.60 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.22 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.26/7.35-7.76/7.86 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

#### R-X-Arg-pNA.HCl

25 The monomethyl ester of the malonic acid derivative was coupled with DCC/HOBt with 2HCl.H-X-Arg-pNA in the presence of DIPEA for one hour at 0°C and 16 hrs at room temperature (or as ONSu active ester in the presence of 1 eq. DIPEA). After filtration, the clear DMF solution was evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by counter current distribution with the solvent system: BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v. The pure product was lyophilized from H<sub>2</sub>O.

a) R = MZ, X = Gly (3a):

35 Quantities used: 2HCl.H-Gly-Arg-pNA: 0.557 g (1.31 mmol), malonic acid monomethyl ester: 0.31 g (2.62 mmol, 2.0 eq.), HOBt: 0.235 g (1.54 mmol, 1.17 eq.), DIPEA: 0.24 mL (1.38 mmol, 1.05 eq.) and DCC: 0.289 g (1.40 mmol, 1.07 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 0.319 g (50%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.45 (K = 0.85), [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -16.2° c = 0.29 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 1.63 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.85 (m, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.16 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.47 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-malonic acid); 3.67 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.96 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.42 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.55/7.57-8.07/8.09 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

b) R = MZ, X = Ala (4a):

Quantities used: 2HCl.H-Ala-Arg-pNA: 0.438 g (1.0 mmol), malonic acid monomethyl ester: 0.158 g (1.34 mmol, 1.34 eq.), HOBT: 0.171 g (1.08 mmol, 1.08 eq.), DIPEA: 0.19 mL (1.05 mmol, 1.05 eq.) and DCC: 0.216 g  
5 (1.05 mmol, 1.05 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 0.201 g (40%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.40 (K = 0.91),  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -28.1^\circ$  c = 0.26 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 1.35$  (d, 3H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Ala (J = 7.2 Hz)); 1.65 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.79/1.90 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.17 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.43 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-malonic acid); 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 4.28 (q, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Ala (J = 7.2 Hz));  
10 4.42 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.57/7.59-8.09/8.11 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

c) R = MZ, X = D-Ala (5a):

Quantities used: 2HCl.H-D-Ala-Arg-pNA: 0.183 g (0.419 mmol), 0.87 mL of 0.5 M MZ-ONSu in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (0.435 mmol, 1.04 eq.), DIPEA: 0.144 mL (0.838 mmol, 2.0 eq.) in 2 mL DMF. Yield: 0.101 g (48%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1  
15 v/v/v): 0.45 (K = 1.60),  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -18.3^\circ$  c = 0.18 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 1.26/1.33$  (d, 3H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Ala (J = 7.2 Hz)); 1.60 (m, 4H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>/ $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.80 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-malonic acid); 3.10 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.58 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 4.23 (q, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Ala (J = 7.2 Hz)); 4.32 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.50/7.59-8.03/8.13 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

d) R = MZ, X = Val (6a):

Quantities used: 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA: 0.172 g (0.368 mmol), 0.76 mL of 0.5 M MZ-ONSu in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (0.38 mmol, 1.03 eq.), DIPEA: 0.126 mL (0.733 mmol, 1.99 eq.) in 2 mL DMF. Yield: 79.9 mg (41%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1  
25 v/v/v): 0.57 (K = 1.99),  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -27.6^\circ$  c = 0.21 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 0.79$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.54 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.70 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 1.80/1.94 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.06 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.34 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-malonic acid); 3.57 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.99 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.34 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.52/8.07 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

e) R = MZ, X = D-Val (7a):

30 Quantities used: 2HCl.H-D-Val-Arg-pNA: 0.183 g (0.393 mmol), 0.82 mL of 0.5 M MZ-ONSu in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (0.41 mmol, 1.04 eq.), DIPEA: 0.134 mL (0.78 mmol, 1.98 eq.) in 2 mL DMF. Yield: 70.8 mg (34%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.59 (K = 2.10),  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -35.3^\circ$  c = 0.10 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 0.91/0.96$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.62-2.11 (m, 5H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val/ $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.86 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-malonic acid); 3.19 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.62 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 4.07 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.43 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.59/7.69-8.14/8.23 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

f) R = MMZ, X = Gly (3b):

Quantities used: 2HCl.H-Gly-Arg-pNA: 0.557 g (1.31 mmol), methylmalonic

acid monomethyl ester: 0.31 g (2.34 mmol, 1.79 eq.), HOBT: 0.228 g, (1.49 mmol, 1.14 eq.), DIPEA: 0.24 mL (1.38 mmol, 1.05 eq.) and DCC: 0.316 g (1.54 mmol, 1.17 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 0.407 g (62%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.48 (K = 1.18),  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -16.9^\circ$  c = 0.29 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):

5  $\delta = 1.30$ -1.32 (d, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-methylmalonic acid (J = 7.0 Hz)); 1.63 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.80/1.91 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.16 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.58/3.61 (dq, 1H, CH-methylmalonic acid (J = 7.0 Hz)); 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.95 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.45 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.55/7.57-8.06/8.08 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

10 g) R = MMZ, X = Ala (4b):

Quantities used: 2HCl.H-Ala-Arg-pNA: 0.431 g (0.98 mmol), methylmalonic acid monomethylester: 0.22 g (1.67 mmol, 1.70 eq.), HOBT: 0.193 g, (1.26 mmol, 1.29 eq.), DIPEA: 0.19 mL (1.05 mmol, 1.07 eq.) and DCC: 0.243 g (1.18 mmol, 1.21 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 0.283 g (56%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.46 (K = 1.18),  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -39.3^\circ$  c = 0.29 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):

15  $\delta = 1.28$ -1.40 (3 x d, 6H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Ala/CH<sub>3</sub>-methylmalonic acid); 1.63 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Arg); 1.85/1.91 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.18 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.54 (dq, 1H, CH-methylmalonic acid); 3.60-3.68 (ds, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 4.26 (q, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Ala); 4.42 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.59/7.62-8.13/8.15 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

20 pNA).

h) R = DMMZ, X = Gly (3c):

Quantities used: 2HCl.H-Gly-Arg-pNA: 0.557 g (1.31 mmol), dimethylmalonic acid monomethyl ester: 0.52 g (3.57 mmol, 2.72 eq.), HOBT: 0.225 g, (1.47 mmol, 1.12 eq.), DIPEA: 0.24 mL (1.38 mmol, 1.05 eq.) and DCC: 0.291 g

25 (1.41 mmol, 1.08 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 0.492 g (73%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.49 (K = 1.40),  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -30.3^\circ$  c = 0.45 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):

$\delta = 1.41$  (s, 6H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-C-dimethylmalonic acid (2 x 3H)); 1.64 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.78/1.91 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.17 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.92 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.45 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.58/7.60-

30 8.10/8.12 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

i) R = DMMZ, X = Ala (4c):

Quantities used: 2HCl.H-Ala-Arg-pNA: 0.435 g (0.99 mmol), dimethylmalonic acid monomethyl ester: 0.22 g (1.51 mmol, 1.53 eq.), HOBT: 0.182 g, (1.19 mmol, 1.20 eq.), DIPEA: 0.19 mL (1.05 mmol, 1.06 eq.) and DCC: 0.245 g

35 (1.19 mmol, 1.20 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 0.283 g (54%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.52 (K = 1.40),  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -35.2^\circ$  c = 0.28 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):

$\delta = 1.36$  (d, 3H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Ala (J = 7.3 Hz)); 1.39 (s, 6H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-C-dimethylmalonic acid (2 x 3H)); 1.67 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.81/1.92 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.18 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 4.28 (q,  $\alpha$ -

CH-Ala (J = 7.3 Hz)); 4.42 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.59/7.62-8.12/8.14 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

j) R = DEMZ, X = Gly (3d):

Quantities used: 2HCl.H-Gly-Arg-pNA: 0.419 g (0.99 mmol), diethylmalonic acid monomethyl ester: 0.30 g (1.72 mmol, 1.74 eq.), HOBt: 0.153 g, (1.0 mmol, 1.01 eq.), DIPEA: 0.182 mL (1.01 mmol, 1.02 eq.) and DCC: 0.206 g (1.0 mmol, 1.01 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 0.398 g (74%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.57 (K = 2.31),  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -29.8^\circ$  c = 0.27 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 0.85$  (t, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> diethylmalonic acid (2 x 3H)); 1.59 (m, 4H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.92 (q, 4H, <sup>-</sup>CH<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> diethylmalonic acid (2 x 2H)); 3.19 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.92 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.24 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.25/7.32-7.64/7.73 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

$\beta$ NS-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl (3e):

2HCl.H-Gly-Arg-pNA (0.2632 g, 0.621 mmol) was dissolved in dry pyridine (10 mL). To this solution were added DIPEA (120  $\mu$ L, 0.689 mmol, 1.11 eq.) and  $\beta$ -naphthalenesulfonyl chloride (0.1588 g, 0.701 mmol, 1.13 eq.). The obtained reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After completion of the reaction, pyridine was removed under reduced pressure and the oily residue was coevaporated with toluene (twice) and MeOH (once). The foamy residue was purified by counter current distribution with the solvent system: BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v. The pure product was lyophilized from AcOH. Yield: 0.211 g (59%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.67 (K = 7.13),  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -39.5^\circ$  c = 0.19 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta = 1.59$  (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.74/1.95 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.16 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.66 (dd, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.47 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.58-7.66 (m, 2H, arom  $\beta$ NS); 7.78/7.80 (d, 2H, arom pNA); 7.84-8.03 (m, 4H, arom  $\beta$ NS); 8.16/8.18 (d, 2H, arom pNA); 8.45 (s, 1H, arom  $\beta$ NS).

pTS-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl (3f):

Obtained as described for  $\beta$ NS-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl.

Quantities used: 2HCl.H-Gly-Arg-pNA: 0.2717g (0.641 mmol); DIPEA: 124  $\mu$ L (0.712 mmol, 1.11 eq.); p-toluenesulfonyl chloride: 0.1352 g (0.709 mmol, 1.11 eq.) in 10 mL pyridine. Yield: 0.211 g (63%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.71 (K = 4.38),  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -34.0^\circ$  c = 0.15 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta = 1.65$  (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.78/1.96 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.36 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.21 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.59 (dd, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.55 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.37/7.39-7.74/7.77 (dd, 4H, arom tosyl); 7.84/7.87-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

## REFERENCES

26. König, W. and Geiger, R. (1970) *Chem. Ber.* 103, 788-798.
27. Curtius, Th. (1902) *Ber. dtsh. Chem. Ges.* 35, 3226-3228.
28. Honzl, J. and Rudinger, J. (1961) *Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun.*  
5 26, 2333-2344.
29. (a) Craig, L.C. (1944) *J. Biol. Chem.* 155, 519-534; (b) Craig,  
L.C., Hausmann, W., Ahrens, E.H. jr. and Harfenist, E.J.  
(1951) *Anal. Chem.* 23, 1236-1244.
30. Hecker, E. (1955) In: *Verteilungsverfahren im Laboratorium*,  
10 Verlag Chemie GmbH, Weinheim-Bergstrasse, Germany.
31. Schnabel, E. (1967) *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 702, 188-196.
32. Anderson, G.W., Zimmerman, J.F. and Callahan, F.M. (1964) *J.*  
*Am. Chem. Soc.* 86, 1839-1842.
33. In: *The Chemistry of the Amino Acids* (1961) Greenstein, J.P.  
15 and Winitz, M. (Eds.) 3, 2538-2539, John Wiley and Sons Inc.,  
New York, USA.
34. In: *Vogel's Textbook of Organic Chemistry* (1989) Furniss, B.S.  
*et al.* (Eds.) 5<sup>th</sup> edition, 680; 697, Longman Scientific and  
Technical, Essex, England.
- 20 35. Breslow, D.S., Baumgarten, E. and Hauser, C.R. (1944) *J. Am.*  
*Chem. Soc.* 66, 1286-1288.

## EXAMPLE 2

- 25 THE APPLICATION OF PEPTIDE *p*-NITROANILIDES IN THE CONTINUOUS  
REGISTRATION OF THROMBIN GENERATION IN PLASMA.

This example describes the biochemical evaluation of a set of substrates  
which could be used in the continuous registration of thrombin formation  
30 in plasma.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Materials*

- 35 *Chromogenic substrates:* The syntheses of the substrates are described in  
Example 1. The preparation of Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl and 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-  
Arg-pNA is described in elsewhere. The substrates were dissolved in  
distilled water to obtain 10 mM stock solutions. The concentration was  
determined at 316 nm using a molar extinction coefficient of 12,500.

Stock solutions were stored in the dark at 4°C.

Factor Xa substrate methyloxycarbonyl-D-cyclohexylglycyl-glycyl-arginine p-nitroanilide acetate (CH<sub>3</sub>O-CO-D-Chg-Gly-Arg-pNA.ACOH) was obtained from Pentapharm (Basel, Switzerland). Thrombin substrate SQ68 (malonyl- $\alpha$ -  
5 aminoisobutyryl-arginine p-nitroanilide methyl ester monohydrochloride, CH<sub>3</sub>O-CO-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-Aib-Arg-pNA.HCl) was purchased from Serbio Laboratories, France.

*Phospholipids:* Phospholipids required were 80 mol-% dioleoyl phosphatidyl  
10 choline and 20 mol-% dioleoyl phosphatidyl serine solutions in chloroform; they were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids. They were used to prepare vesicles as described by Rosing *et al.*<sup>6</sup>

*Buffers:* Buffer A: 0.05 M Tris-HCl, 0.1 M NaCl pH = 7.35 containing 0.5  
15 g/L bovine serum albumin (Sigma). Buffer B: buffer A containing additional EDTA (20 mM), pH = 7.90.

*Enzyme preparations:* Pure human  $\alpha$ -thrombin and human factor Xa samples were generous gifts of Dr. T. Lindhout (University of Limburg,  
20 Maastricht, The Netherlands). The euglobulin thrombin fraction was prepared from defibrinated human plasma by acid precipitation at low ionic strength as described by Josso and Prou-Wartelle<sup>7</sup>. The precipitate was dissolved in half the original volume of buffer A, containing 0.02 M trisodium citrate.

25  
*Plasma:* Plasma was prepared by collecting 9 parts of blood (from 10 healthy donors) on 1 part of 0.13 M trisodium citrate. Following centrifugation at 900g (15°C, 15 min) and 10,000g (15°C, 15 min) the obtained plasma was pooled and centrifuged at 4°C for 1 hour at 23,000g;  
30 this plasma was stored at -80°C. Plasma was defibrinated by mixing an aliquot of plasma with 1/50 volume of reptilase (Laboratories Stago, Asnières, France; the solution was made as instructed by the manufacturer), letting a clot form for 10 min at 37°C followed by 10 min on ice. The fibrin clot was removed by winding it on a plastic spatula.

35  
*Tissue factor:* Recombinant tissue factor (Recomplastin S, Dade, Baxter Diagnostics Inc., Deerfield Il., USA) was used as a trigger for coagulation. The solution was prepared according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The intrinsic pathway was triggered by Actin FS,



activated PTT reagent from soy phosphatides, containing  $1.10^{-4}$  M ellagic acid and was obtained from Baxter.

#### Methods

5 *Kinetic parameters:* The hydrolysis experiments were run in buffer A at 37°C. The liberation of *p*-nitroaniline was monitored at 405 nm in a dual wavelength (405-546 nm) spectrophotometer made in our workshop, using a personal computer for data recording. In a polystyrene microcuvette (total volume 500  $\mu$ L), buffer A and substrate solution were added to  
10 obtain a final substrate concentration between 1 to 2000  $\mu$ M. After 5 min of incubation at 37°C, enzyme solution was added to achieve a final concentration between 0.5 to 100 nM. The measurement was carried out at 37°C in a thermostated cuvette-holder. The Michaelis constant ( $K_m$ ) and the catalytic constant ( $k_{cat}$ ) were obtained by measuring initial reaction  
15 velocities at different substrate concentrations. The data obtained were fitted by linear regression on the Michaelis-Menten equation.

*Factor Xa inhibition experiments<sup>a</sup>:* In a polystyrene microcuvette were added: buffer A (the substrate to be tested on inhibitory effects on  
20 factor Xa in the concentration range between 0 to 1000  $\mu$ M), the chromogenic substrate  $CH_3O-CO-D-Chg-Gly-Arg-pNA.AcOH$  at a concentration of 100  $\mu$ M and factor Xa at a concentration of 1.0 nM. In an other fashion the inhibitor (the substrate to be tested) concentration was kept at 250  
25  $\mu$ M and the chromogenic substrate concentration ( $CH_3O-CO-D-Chg-Gly-Arg-pNA.AcOH$ ) was varied between 25 to 1000  $\mu$ M at the same enzyme concentration. Both measurements were carried out in a total volume of 500  $\mu$ L at 37°C. Optical density was measured as above.

*Continuous registration of the thrombin generation curve: Extrinsic  
30 pathway:* In a polystyrene microcuvette were added: 400  $\mu$ L defibrinated plasma, 20  $\mu$ L of a 45  $\mu$ M phospholipid solution (final concentration: 1.5  $\mu$ M), 140  $\mu$ L of buffer A which contains the substrate at the desired final concentration (100-2000  $\mu$ M). After 4 min of incubation at 37°C, 20  $\mu$ L tissue factor (undiluted) was added. Thrombin generation was started by  
35 adding 20  $\mu$ L of a 0.5 M  $CaCl_2$  solution (final concentration: 16.67 mM); during the measurement the temperature was kept at 37°C. The optical density was monitored at 405 nm. From the obtained optical density curve, the first derivative was calculated. This gives the enzyme concentration during the measurement. *Intrinsic pathway:* 400  $\mu$ L defibrinated plasma,

110  $\mu\text{L}$  buffer A (eventually containing the substances to be tested) and 40  $\mu\text{L}$  Actin FS (undiluted) were added in a plastic microcuvette, intensively mixed and incubated at 37°C during 4 min. Then 30  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 10 mM substrate solution (final concentration: 500  $\mu\text{M}$ ), followed by 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 0.5 M  $\text{CaCl}_2$  solution (final concentration: 16.7 mM) were added (both solutions were prewarmed at 37°C for 4 min). The optical density was measured at 37°C at 405 nm during 20 min.

*Decay constants of thrombin in plasma:* To 120  $\mu\text{L}$  defibrinated plasma was added: 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of buffer A which contained the substrate at a concentration range between 0 to 2000  $\mu\text{M}$ . To this mixture was added 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of a thrombin preparation to achieve a final concentration of 100 nM. At regular time intervals (5-10 s) 10  $\mu\text{L}$  samples were subsampled into 490  $\mu\text{L}$  buffer B which contained 200  $\mu\text{M}$  of S2238 to determine thrombin activity. After 2 min the reaction was stopped by adding 300  $\mu\text{L}$  1M citric acid. The moment of sampling and stopping were recorded on a personal computer with pushbutton-equipped pipettes. The optical density was then read at 405 nm and the obtained amidolytic activities were used to calculate the decay constants. The obtained decay constant is the sum of the decay constants of the reaction of thrombin with antithrombin III ( $k_1$ ) and  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin ( $k_2$ ).

*Manual determination of thrombin generation in plasma: Extrinsic pathway:* To 480  $\mu\text{L}$  defibrinated plasma were added: 172  $\mu\text{L}$  buffer A which contains the substrate at concentrations between 0 to 2000  $\mu\text{M}$  and 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 54  $\mu\text{M}$  phospholipid solution (final concentration: 1.5  $\mu\text{M}$ ). After incubation of 4 min at 37°C, 24  $\mu\text{L}$  tissue factor (undiluted) was added. Thrombin formation started at zero time by adding 24  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 0.5 M  $\text{CaCl}_2$  solution (final concentration: 16.67 mM). At regular time intervals of 12 s samples of 10  $\mu\text{L}$  were subsampled as described above. From the amidolytic activities thus obtained, the thrombin generation curve can be drawn. The decay constant  $k_2$  can be calculated from these data in an alternatively way, see reference 9 for further details. *Intrinsic pathway:* To 480  $\mu\text{L}$  defibrinated plasma were added: 168  $\mu\text{L}$  buffer A which contains the substrate at concentrations between 0 to 1000  $\mu\text{M}$  and 48  $\mu\text{L}$  Actin FS (undiluted). This mixture was intensively mixed and incubated for 4 min at 37°C. Thrombin generation was started at zero time by adding 24  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 0.5 M  $\text{CaCl}_2$  solution (final concentration: 16.67 mM).

*Influence of the substrate on the prothrombinase-complex:* With the obtained decay constants and from the curves obtained by the subsampling method we calculated the course of prothrombin conversion and the course of prothrombinase concentration.

5

## RESULTS

*Kinetic parameters:* In a preliminary experiment, the substrate was hydrolyzed under the following conditions: enzyme concentration: 10 to 20  
10 nM, substrate concentration: 200  $\mu$ M in buffer A to get an impression of the initial velocity. From these data (not shown) the conditions at which the substrate was hydrolyzed to obtain the kinetic parameters  $K_m$  and  $k_{cat}$  were selected. The results are given in table 1 and 2 for thrombin and factor Xa respectively. The  $K_m$  and  $k_{cat}$  for each substrate were determined  
15 from the initial velocities measured for at least six substrate concentrations in the concentration range as given. The enzyme concentration used gives a good signal to noise ratio. The data given are obtained from one or two experiments. The data from table 1 and 2 were  
20 only used as the first selection criterion to get a rough impression of the hydrolysis behaviour. Notwithstanding the preliminary character of the measurement it was helpful in the design of the substrates of our interest. The narrow substrate concentration range used in entries 6, 7 and 25 is due to the restricted water solubility of the substrates.

25 *Continuous registration of the thrombin generation curve:* After application of the first two selection criteria only four substrates (entries: 5, 8, 12 and 22) were selected for evaluation in the continuous registration of thrombin formation in plasma, see figure 6-14 and compared to SQ68, our reference. All of them show the characteristic  
30 thrombin generation curve, but the signal to noise ratio and the degree of substrate conversion is strongly substrate dependent. On basis of a low degree of substrate conversion when thrombin formation is over and a reasonable signal to noise ratio only one substrate (entry 12: 2HC1.H-Val-Arg-pNA) remained. The continuous thrombin generation curves  
35 triggered by Actin FS are given for SQ68 (reference) and 2HC1.H-Val-Arg-pNA, see figure 15.

*Decay constants of thrombin in plasma:* The decay of thrombin in a plasma sample gives information about the influence of the substrate on the

efficiency of antithrombin III. AT-III as well as substrate compete for the active-site of thrombin. In the presence of substrate, thrombin decay must be minimally influenced by substrate, that means thrombin decay in the presence of substrate should be approximately the same as in the control experiment. In table 6 the data of thrombin decay in the presence of substrate 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA are given. Compared to the decay constants of SQ68 (given in reference 1) it can be concluded that 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA interferes to a lesser extent with AT-III. This is in agreement with a higher  $K_m$  value which is inversely proportional to competitive interaction with AT-III.

*Manual determination of thrombin formation in plasma and the influence of substrate on the prothrombinase-complex:* The thrombin generation curves obtained by the subsampling method are given in figure 16 and 17. As can be seen the peak of the curve is shifted to the right at increasing substrate concentration. This unfortunately means that the maximum of thrombin concentration is at a later point of time. The maximum of the curve increases at increasing substrate concentration due to the diminished action of antithrombin III in the presence of substrate. The  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin concentration in each of the four cases is the same, from these data  $k_2$  can be determined in an alternative way, the obtained decay constants are shown in table 6.

From the velocity of thrombin formation and the decay constants, the activity of the prothrombinase-complex can be calculated, the results are shown in figure 7. As can be seen these curves have a lower maximum. The thrombin generation curves obtained by activating the intrinsic pathway are given in figure 9 and 10.

#### REFERENCES

1. Hemker, H.C., Wienders, S., Kessels, H. and B\_guin, S. (1993) *Thromb. Haemostas.* 70, 617-624.
6. Rosing, J., Tans, G., Govers-Riemslog, J.W.P., Zwaal, R.F.A. and Hemker, H.C. (1980) *J. Biol. Chem.* 255, 274-283.
7. Josso, F. and Prou-Wartelle, O. (1972) In: *Techniques en hematologie*, Alagille, D. et al. (Eds.), 101-108, Paris, France.
8. Orthner, C.L., Morris, S. and Kosow, D.P. (1981) *Thromb. Res.* 23, 533-539.

## EXAMPLE 3

## THE SYNTHESIS OF CHROMOGENIC SUBSTRATES FITTING THROMBIN

## ABSTRACT

5

A series of 18 peptide *p*-nitroanilides was synthesized. The lead structure was derived from human fibrinogen A $\alpha$ (7-16) decapeptide since this fragment constitutes the minimal sequence which binds to thrombin with high affinity. In this sequence Glu11 participates in an important salt-bridge to Arg173 of thrombin; Gly12 is involved in a type II  $\beta$ -turn enabling the fold-back of the side chains of Phe8 and Leu9 for interaction with the active-site. The role of the guanidinium group in Arg16 was considered by the exchange of this residue against Lys, Orn or Nle in the C-terminal tripeptide *p*-nitroanilides. We similarly considered the glycyl-spacer by extension of the fragment Glu-(Gly)<sub>*n*</sub>-Val-Arg16-pNA to three units and stepwise shortening to zero, respectively by replacement of Gly12 or Gly13 of the extended chain by Pro, to mimic a  $\beta$ -turn. Finally, the N-terminus of the substrate Val-Arg-pNA was substituted with the polar 2-(methylsulfonyl)ethyl group (Msc) whilst the arginine residue was substituted for Lys, Orn or Nle. Using the methods described in example 1, a general racemization-free synthesis was developed for compounds of the type: Y-Val-X-pNA. The compounds synthesized in this study are given in table 7.

25 The substrates are defined herein as valine derivatives, since this aminoacyl residue, occurring in the P2 position and fits the S2 pocket of thrombin fairly well. In the series studied, the amino group of valine is either protonated or substituted either with a slim, polar aminoprotective function (Msc)<sup>18</sup>, or with acyl(peptidyl) groups of variable bulkiness, length and polarity.

30 The structure of these substrates is incompatible with the S2 pocket of factor Xa which is so narrow that only glycyl in position P2 will fit<sup>19</sup>. The importance of the conspicuous glycyl-spacer (positions 12-14) in the substrate, which can attain a  $\beta$ -turn, was studied. As the second approach to affect the K<sub>m</sub> by modification of the linker length and its flexibility (compounds 3a-g); the peptide chain was changed by insertion of Pro as a constraint either in position 13 (P4, 3h) or in position 12 (P5, 3i), *cf.* Kahn<sup>20</sup>. Variation of the length of the glycyl-spacer, affects the ability to form the salt-bridge between Glu11 and Arg173 in thrombin and this

would be revealed by the value of the  $K_m$ . Proline is known to facilitate the formation of a  $\beta$ -turn and might thus replace the hydrophobic binding exerted by the residues Phe8 and Leu9, which causes the reversal of the peptide backbone and the formation of the salt-bridge.

5


## METHODS OF SYNTHESIS

Syntheses with nitroanilides are incompatible with conditions which attack or remove the nitroanilide function; these are: treatment with  
10 strong bases for hydrolysis or  $\beta$ -elimination, hydrazinolysis and catalytic hydrogenolysis. The necessary protective functions should be removable using conditions compatible with the conservation of the nitroanilide moiety. Excluded are thus the use of OMe and OEt for  
15 carboxyl protection, Msc for amino protection, preactivation of most of the members of the benzyl "family". Acidolysis is compatible with the conservation of the nitroanilide function.

Strict conservation of the chirality of aminoacyl residues excludes the  
20 activation of N-protected peptides with C-termini other than Gly or Pro. It is therefore risky to convert an existing peptide into a nitroanilide. The approaches which are compatible with this condition are stepwise elongation of the chain using activated N-protected amino acids and/or  
25 fragment condensation using azides to acylate an existing amino acid *p*-nitroanilide. Azides are often used to evade racemization during fragment condensations, on condition that neutral conditions are maintained and use is made of sterically hindered bases (DIPEA) to effect this.

Compounds of the type 1 and 2 (see table 7) were obtained by acylation of  
30 the  $\alpha$ -amino function of the partially protected nitroanilide X with the active ester Boc-Val-ONSu, removal of the N-protection by acidolysis (1a), subsequent acylation with monomethyl malonate (2) or by acylation with Msc-Val-ONp (2a), respectively Msc-Val-ONSu (2b-d).

Compounds of type 3 were obtained by acylation of the  $\alpha$ -amino group of  
35 the nitroanilide X with acylated valine azides and subsequent treatment with acid (3, 3a-c), see scheme 1.



Boc-Val-ONSu + H-Lys(Boc)-pNA *-i-*→ Boc-Val-Lys(Boc)-pNA *-ii-*→  
2HCl.H-Val-Lys-pNA (1a)

5 **Scheme 1A:** i) DIPEA in DMF; ii) HCl in EtOAc/AcOH 1:1 v/v; iii) malonic acid monomethyl ester, DCC/HOBt/DIPEA in DMF.

10 Msc-ONSu + H-Val-OH *-i-*→ Msc-Val-OH *-ii-*→/*-iii-*→ Msc-Val-ONSu/Msc-Val-ONp

Msc-Val-ONp + 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA *-iv-*→ Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (2a)

Msc-Val-ONSu + H-Lys(Boc)-pNA *-iv-*→ Msc-Val-Lys(Boc)-pNA *-v-*→

Msc-Val-Lys-pNA.HCl (2b)

Msc-Val-ONSu + HCl.H-Orn(Boc)-pNA *-iv-*→ Msc-Val-Orn(Boc)-pNA *-v-*→

15 Msc-Val-Orn-pNA.HCl (2c)

Msc-Val-ONSu + HCl.H-Nle-pNA *-iv-*→ Msc-Val-Nle-pNA (2d)

**Scheme 1B:** i) TEA in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1 v/v; ii) DCC/HONSu; iii) DCC/HONp; iv) TEA in DMF; v) HCl in EtOAc/AcOH 1:1 v/v.

20

Compounds representing a modified glyceryl-spacer were obtained by acylation of compound 1 and 3 respectively (see scheme 2) with Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu and subsequent acidolysis (3d,e). Similarly, the introduction of Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-ONSu into compound 3 afforded the

25 substrate with the shortened glyceryl-spacer (3f). The introduction of the complete glyceryl-spacer was performed by acylation of 3 with Boc-Gly-Gly-ONSu, removal of the Boc-group and acylation with Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu (3g). The modified spacers were inserted using the same route, replacing Boc-Gly-Gly-ONSu by Boc-Gly-Pro-ONSu (3h) or Boc-Pro-Gly-ONSu (3i).

30 Deprotection of compounds containing the Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu) moiety (3d-i) was performed using Sieber's method<sup>21</sup>, to evade incomplete reactions as a consequence of the induced field effect by N<sup>α</sup>.

35 Boc-Gly-OH + HCl.H-Val-OMe *-i-*→ Boc-Gly-Val-OMe *-ii-*→ Boc-Gly-Val-N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>  
*-iii-*→ Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (3') *-iv-*→ 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3)  
*-iii-*→ Boc-Gly-Val-Lys(Boc)-pNA *-iv-*→ 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Lys-pNA (3a)  
*-iii-*→ Boc-Gly-Val-Orn(Boc)-pNA *-iv-*→ 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Orn-pNA (3b)  
*-iii-*→ Boc-Gly-Val-Nle-pNA *-iv-*→ HCl.H-Gly-Val-Nle-pNA (3c)

Scheme 1C: i) DCC/HOBt/DIPEA in EtOAc; ii)  $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$  in MeOH; iii) 1. *tert.*-BuONO/HCl at  $-20^\circ C$ , 2. DIPEA, 3. H-Xaa-pNA/DIPEA in DMF at  $0^\circ C$ ; iv) HCl in EtOAc/AcOH 1:1 v/v.

5

2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA *-i-*→ Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl *-ii-*→  
2HCl.H-Glu-Val-Arg-pNA (3d)

Scheme 2A: i) Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu, DIPEA in DMF; ii) 37% HCl at  $0^\circ C$ .

10

2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA *-i-*→ Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl *-ii-*→  
2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3e)

*-iii-*→ Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl *-ii-*→

15 2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3f)

*-iv*→ Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl -  
*ii-*→

2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3g)

*-v-*→ Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-Pro-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl -  
*ii-*→

20

2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Pro-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3h)

*-vi-*→ Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Pro-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl *-ii-*→

2HCl.H-Glu-Pro-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3i)

25 Scheme 2B: i) Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu, DIPEA in DMF;

ii) 37% HCl at  $0^\circ C$ ;

iii) Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-ONSu, 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA, DIPEA in DMF;

iv) Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu, 2HCl.H-Gly-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA, DIPEA in DMF;

v) Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu, 2HCl.H-Gly-Pro-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA, DIPEA in DMF;

30 vi) Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu, 2HCl.H-Pro-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA, DIPEA in DMF.

## CONCLUSION

The chromogenic substrates described in this chapter were synthesized by  
35 classical solution methods and were obtained in good yields.

The N-terminal stepwise elongation of the peptide chain proved to be an efficient strategy for the rapid synthesis of tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexapeptides. Pentapeptides were synthesized by coupling of protected activated dipeptides to N-terminal deprotected tripeptides. The C-



terminal glycine- or proline residues in the dipeptides were not prone to racemization during activation.

The deprotection of the N-terminal Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)~ residue was performed in concentrated hydrochloric acid in a very efficient way.

5

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

### *General*

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AM 100 and AM 400 spectrometers.

10 As an internal standard the residual solvent peak was used. Chemical shifts are given in part per million (ppm). Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin Elmer 241 polarimeter in a 10 cm cuvette at room temperature. Melting points were determined with a Büchi melting point apparatus (Tottoli). Peptides (1 μmol) were hydrolysed in 5.7 M HCl  
15 (Merck Suprapur 30% HCl) for 24 hrs at 120°C and analysed on a Varian Star amino acid analyser.

TLC was performed on Merck Silicagel 60F<sub>254</sub> plates, and column chromatography on Merck Kieselgel 60 70-230 Mesh ASTM. Spots were detected by UV-fluorescence quenching, ninhydrine (free amino functions),  
20 chlorine/TDM (NH groups), Barton's- (hydrazides) and Sakaguchi's (arginine residues) reagent.

Boc-amino acids were synthesized by the method of Schnabel<sup>22</sup>. Amino acid methyl esters were prepared as their hydrochlorides by the method of Brenner and Huber<sup>23</sup>. Amino acid p-nitroanilides were synthesized as  
25 described in chapter 1. Arginine nitroanilide derivatives were never dried with anhydrous sulfates to prevent their crystallization as sulfates.

Boc-Val-ONSu was from Bachem.

30 The synthesis of p-nitroanilides from paranitroaniline can occur in a number of manners described in the literature. The use of DCC<sup>2</sup>DCC/HOBt<sup>3</sup> or the mixed anhydride method<sup>4</sup> give yields in the range between 30-58%. Acid chlorides<sup>5</sup> or thermal activation<sup>6</sup> are recommended to overcome the low nucleophilicity of p-nitroaniline. As an alternative to p-nitroaniline,  
35 syntheses using pure p-nitrophenylisocyanate<sup>7</sup>, are in situ generated from p-nitrobenzoic acid through the modified Curtius reaction with diphenyl phosphorazidate<sup>8</sup>, are found to be general and efficient methods. Burdick et al<sup>9</sup> describe a synthesis of peptide p-nitroanilides using an urethane-linked p-aminoanilide resin in which the peptide is built up by SPPS.

Reiter<sup>10</sup> describes the use of p-(Boc-amino)aniline as precursor for p-nitroaniline. The final oxidation step limits its practical use when methionine, tryptophan and cysteine are incorporated in the peptide. The first syntheses of tert-butyloxycarbonyl amino acid p-nitroanilides have  
5 been reported by Okada et al<sup>3</sup> for Boc-Met-pNA and Boc-Lys(Z)-pNA, by Shiori et al<sup>8</sup> for Boc-Leu-pNA, Boc-Lys(Z)-pNA and Boc-Arg(Mts)-pNA and by Noda et al<sup>16</sup>. Both Bajusz<sup>17</sup> and Oyamada et al<sup>28</sup> use the phospho-azo method for the synthesis of Boc-Arg-pNA.HCl. Oyamada et al. reinvestigated the phospho-azo method and synthesized p-nitroanilides of Z- and Boc-amino  
10 acids. They achieved yields in the range between 43-94%. The recent results of Noda et al<sup>16</sup> and Oyamada et al<sup>28</sup> prompted us to publish our results obtained using phosphorus oxychloride as the condensing agent<sup>19</sup>. We found that phosphorus oxychloride is a versatile condensing agent for the synthesis of protected amino acid p-nitroanilides. p-Nitroanilides in  
15 which the  $\alpha$ -amino function is protected by a base-labile protective group<sup>20</sup> is described. Introduction of a base-labile protective function gives access to orthogonally protected p-nitroanilides which can be used as synthons in the synthesis of chromogenic substrates. The use of phosphorus oxychloride in peptide synthesis was for the first time  
20 described by Wieland et al<sup>31</sup>. This method was applied and was found to be an excellent and even general way to synthesize p-nitroanilides of protected amino acids. The method implies activation of a protected amino acid with phosphorus oxychloride in pyridine at -15°C. The reaction proved to be complete within 15-30 min.

25 The syntheses started with Z-amino acids since the acid stability of the Z-group precludes the occurrence of side reactions in the phosphorus oxychloride/pyridine reaction mixture. The use of pyridine as solvent enables the synthesis of p-nitroanilides at low temperature and can also be performed when acid-labile protective groups are used,  
30 since the solvent acts as a weak base. To arrive at orthogonality, Boc-protected amino acids require benzyl-derived protections in their side chains. Deprotection of the latter evidently cannot be performed by hydrogenolysis, which would convert p-nitroanilides into p-aminoanilides and thus removal of benzyl functions requires rather severe conditions  
35 (HBr/AcOH) which are usually unfavorable. When the  $\alpha$ -amino function is protected by a base-labile group, the side chain can be protected by groups which are removable under mildly acidic conditions. This however, requires good stability of the p-nitroanilide bond in media, which attack the base-labile protection. The acid stability of the p-nitroanilide bond

is well documented in the literature<sup>2a, 32, 33, 7,</sup>

The base stability of the p-nitroanilide bond in Boc-Ala-pNA was found to be stable in 10, 25 and 50% piperidine in DMF and 25% pyrrolidine in DMF. Since the Fmoc-group is removed within 10 min in 25% piperidine in DMF, the p-nitroanilide bond cannot be expected to undergo damage. Activation of Boc-Arg-OH.HCl by phosphorus oxychloride in pyridine in the presence of p-nitroaniline worked very well even at large scale. The experiments were carried out in 300 ml dry pyridine in a 500 ml flask, mechanically stirred and cooled on dry ice/acetone (-80°C) so that the temperature lies between -20°C/-15°C during the addition of phosphorus oxychloride.

## REFERENCES

2. (a) Erlanger, B.F. et al. (1961) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 95, 271-278; (b) Tuppy, H. et al. (1962) Hoppe Seylers Z. Physiol. Chem. 329, 278-290; (c) Femfert, U et al (1969) FEBS letters 4, 262-264; (d) Fujiwara, K. et al. (1978), J. Biochem. 83, 1145-1149; (e) Wenzel, H.R. et al. (1980) Hoppe Seylers Z. Physiol. Chem. 361, 1413-1416; (f) Sharma, S.K. et al. (1990) thromb. Res. 57, 127-138.
3. Okada, Y. et al. (1982) Chem. Pharm. Bull. 30, 4060-4066
4. (a) Orłowski, M. and Meister, A. (1963) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 73, 679-681; (b) lit 16
5. (a) lit 2a; (b) Nagel, W. et al. (1965) Hoppe Seylers Z. Physiol. Chem. 340, 1-10; (c) Dagiene, M. (1975) Metody Biokhim. S'ezdu Biokhim. Kit. SSR 2nd, 67-72, Chem. Abstr. (1977) 87, 6317b; (d) Zimmerman, M. and Ashe B.M. (1977) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 480, 241-245; (e) Teno et al. (1991) Chem. Pharm. Bull. 39, 2340-2346.
6. (a) Haverback B.J. et al. (1960) Am. J. Med. 29, 424-433; (b) Bundy, H.F. (1962) Anal. Biochem. 3, 431-435; (c) Bundy, H.F. (1963) Arch Biochem. Biophys. 102, 416-422.
7. (a) Nishi, N. et al. (1970) Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 43, 2900-2907; (b) Nishi, N. et al. (1973) Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 46, 572-576.
8. Shiori, T. et al. (1987) Chem. Pharm. Bull. 35, 2698-2704
9. Burdick, D.J. et al. (1993), Tetrahedron Lett. 34, 2589-2592
10. Reiter, L.A. (1994) Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 43, 87-96
16. Noda K. et al. (1990) Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 36, 197-200

17. Bajusz S. et al. (1988) Hung. Teljes HU 40615; Chem Abstr. (1988) 108, 112956w
28. Oyamada, H. et al. (1991) Bull Chem. Soc. Jpn 64, 1422-1424
29. (a) Rijkers, D.T.S. et al (1991) Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 110, 347-348; (b) Rijkers, D.T.S. et al (1993) In: Peptides 5 1992, Proceedings of the 22nd European Peptide Symposium, Schneider, C.H. and Eberle, A.N. (Eds.) 175-176, ESCOM Science Publishers B.V. Leiden, the Netherlands
30. Another synthesis of Fmoc-protected amino acid p-nitroanilides 10 was recently described by Nedev et al. who used isobutyl chloroformate as condensing agent; Nedev, H., Naharisoa, H. and Haertlé, /t. (1993) Tetrahedron Lett. 34, 4201-4204.
31. Wieland, Th et al. (1956) Liebigs Ann. Chem. 599, 70-80
32. Somorin, O et al. (1978) Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 51, 1255-1256
- 15 33. Shiori, t., et al. (1987) Chem. Pharm. Bull. 35, 2698-2704

### Syntheses

#### *Boc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl:*

Boc-Val-ONSu (3.40 g, 10.0 mmol) was allowed to react with 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA 20 (3.67 g 10.0 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in the presence of 1.05 eq DIPEA (1.36 g, 1.83 mL, 10.5 mmol) in DMF (75 mL) at room temperature for 16 hrs. After this period of stirring, DMF was evaporated *in vacuo* and the residue was diluted with BuOH (100 mL). The organic solution was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and saturated NaCl (four times 30 mL each). The 25 obtained organic solution was directly evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by counter current distribution with the solvent system BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v. The product fractions were collected, evaporated *in vacuo* and lyophilized from AcOH. Yield: 4.71 g (89%), R<sub>f</sub> (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.69 (K = 2.11), [α]<sub>D</sub> = -44.4° c = 0.59 MeOH, 30 <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ<sub>-</sub> = 0.94-0.98 (dd, 6H, γ-CH<sub>3</sub>/γ'-CH<sub>3</sub>-Val, J = 6.91 Hz); 1.44 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.70 (m, 1H, β-CH-Val (J = 6.82 Hz)); 1.82 (m, 2H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.03 (m, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.23 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.88 (d, 1H, α-CH-Val (J = 6.86 Hz)); 4.56 (m, 1H, α-CH-Arg); 7.84/7.86-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

35

#### *2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA (1):*

Boc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (2.50 g, 4.72 mmol) was dissolved in AcOH (10 mL) and 2.3 M HCl in EtOAc (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 hrs at room temperature. The excess of hydrochloric acid was quenched with

*tert.*-BuOH (50 mL) and the solution was evaporated *in vacuo*, and coevaporated with *tert.*-BuOH (twice 20 mL) and MeOH (three times 20 mL). The residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) and washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (twice 10 mL) and EtOAc (once 10 mL). The resulting aqueous phase was lyophilized.

5 Yield: 1.89 g (86%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.40, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -5.7° c = 0.21 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ = 1.05/1.07-1.08/1.10 (dd, 6H, γ-CH<sub>3</sub>/γ'-CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.70-1.89 (m, 3H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/β-CH-Val); 1.96-2.25 (dm, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.26 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.82 (d, 1H, α-CH-Val); 4.58 (m, 1H, α-CH-Arg); 7.85/7.87-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

10

*Boc-Val-Lys(Boc)-pNA*:

Boc-Val-OH (0.217 g, 1.0 mmol), H-Lys(Boc)-pNA (0.367 g, 1.0 mmol) and HOBt (0.168 g, 1.10 mmol, 1.10 eq.) were dissolved in EtOAc (10 mL) and cooled on ice. DCC (0.219 g, 1.06 mmol, 1.06 eq.) was added and the

15 reaction mixture was stirred for one hour at 0°C and then for 16 hrs at room temperature. DCU was filtered off and the organic layer was subsequently washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, 2N KHSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and saturated NaCl (three times each). The EtOAc layer was dried on Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*. The resulting foam was purified by column

20 chromatography on silica gel, eluens: CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 98:2 v/v. Yield: 0.441 g (78%), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 95:5 v/v): 0.35, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -42.4° c = 0.67 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 0.96/1.02 (dd, 6H, γ-CH<sub>3</sub>/γ'-CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.44 (s, 18H, Boc (2 x 9H)); 1.52 (m, 4H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>/δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 1.76 (m, 1H, β-CH-Val); 2.04/2.22 (dm, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 3.15 (m, 2H, ε-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 4.04 (m, 1H, α-CH-Val); 4.52

25 (m, 1H, α-CH-Lys); 7.84/8.17 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*2HCl.H-Val-Lys-pNA (1a)*:

Boc-Val-Lys(Boc)-pNA (0.400 g, 0.71 mmol) was dissolved in AcOH (10 mL) and 3.5 M HCl in EtOAc (10 mL) was added. After stirring for 4 hrs at

30 room temperature, the acid was quenched by the addition of *tert.*-BuOH (20 mL) and the mixture was evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was coevaporated with *tert.*-BuOH (once 10 mL) and MeOH (twice 10 mL). The crude deprotected product was purified by counter current distribution with BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v as the solvent system. Fractions containing the

35 pure product were collected and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O and lyophilized. Yield: 0.233 g (75%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.34 (K = 0.34), [α]<sub>D</sub> = -10.7° c = 0.36 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ = 0.87 (dd, 6H, γ-CH<sub>3</sub>/γ'-CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.37 (m, 2H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 1.57 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 1.77 (m, 2H, β-CH-Val/β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys(1H)); 2.11 (m, 1H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-

Lys(1H)); 2.86 (m, 2H,  $\epsilon$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 3.75 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.35 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Lys); 7.51/8.04 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*MZ-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (2):*

5 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA (0.1716 g, 0.368 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (2 mL) which contained DIPEA (126  $\mu$ L, 0.723 mmol, 2.0 eq.). To this solution was added MZ-ONSu (0.76 mL of a 0.5 M solution in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 0.38 mmol, 1.03 eq.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for two days at room temperature. DMF was evaporated *in vacuo* and the oily residue was purified by counter  
10 current distribution using the solvent combination BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v. The pure product fractions were collected, evaporated *in vacuo*, dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O and lyophilized. Yield: 80 mg (41%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.57 (K = 1.99),  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -27.6^\circ$  c = 0.21 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 0.79 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.54 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.70 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 1.80/1.94 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.06 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.34  
15 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-malonic acid); 3.57 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.99 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.34 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.52/8.07 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*Msc-Val-OH:*

20 This compound was synthesized according to the method of Tesser and Balvert-Geers<sup>18</sup>.

H-Val-OH (5.7 g, 48.7 mmol, 1.09 eq.) was suspended into CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1 v/v (50 mL). Msc-ONp (11.8 g, 44.5 mmol) was added followed by TEA (6.2 mL, 44.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.). The obtained turbid reaction mixture was stirred for  
25 3 hrs at room temperature. After this period of stirring, the reaction mixture was filtered and evaporated *in vacuo* to remove the co-solvent CH<sub>3</sub>CN. The aqueous phase was turbid and was acidified to pH = 3 with 3N HCl. The clear solution was stored at 0°C for several days. The crystals were filtered off, washed with ice-cold H<sub>2</sub>O and dried over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. Yield:  
30 3.60 g (30%, first crop; 2.5 g (21%, second crop), total: 6.10 g (51%), lit: 66%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.65,  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -0.95^\circ$  c = 1.16 AcOH, mp: 128°C (lit:  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -2.2^\circ$  c = 1 AcOH, mp: 128°C), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 0.67/0.71-0.73/0.78 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.94 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 2.93 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.39 (t, 2H, <sup>-</sup>SO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.82 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -  
35 CH-Val); 4.26 (t, 2H, <sup>-</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CO<sup>-</sup> Msc).

*Msc-Val-ONSu:*

Msc-Val-OH (1.08 g, 4.04 mmol) and HONSu (0.47 g, 4.09 mmol, 1.01 eq.) were dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (40 mL). The solution was cooled on ice, DCC

(0.88 g, 4.27 mmol, 1.06 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 16 hrs at room temperature. DCU was filtered off and the CH<sub>3</sub>CN phase was evaporated to dryness. The residue was recrystallized from *iso*-propyl alcohol. Yield: 1.10 g (75%),  $[\alpha]_D = -31.8^\circ$  c = 1 dioxane, mp: 129°C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.03/1.06-1.09/1.13$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 2.31 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 2.86 (s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-ONSu (2 x 2H)); 2.97 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.37 (t, 2H, <sup>-</sup>SO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 4.53-4.63 (m, 3H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val/<sup>-</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CO<sup>-</sup> Msc); 5.35 (bd, 1H, NH).

10 *Msc-Val-ONp*:

*Msc-Val-OH* (2.0 g, 7.49 mmol) and *p*-nitrophenol (1.3 g, 9.75 mmol, 1.25 eq.) were dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (50 mL). The solution was cooled on ice and DCC (1.62 g, 7.86 mmol, 1.05 eq.) was added. After stirring of 16 hrs at room temperature, DCU was filtered off and the solution was evaporated *in vacuo*. The residual oil was solidified in diethyl ether and recrystallized from *iso*-propyl alcohol. Yield: 2.0 g (69%),  $[\alpha]_D = -39.9^\circ$  c = 1 dioxane, mp: 114°C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.06$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 2.40 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 2.99 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.37 (t, 2H, <sup>-</sup>SO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 4.56 (m, 3H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val/<sup>-</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CO<sup>-</sup> Msc); 5.31 (d, 1H, NH); 7.26/7.34-8.25/8.36 (dd, 4H, arom ONp).

*Msc-Val-Arg-pNA. HCl (2a)*:

*Msc-Val-ONp* (116 mg, 0.30 mmol), 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA (120 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1.10 eq.) were dissolved in DMF (3 mL) and TEA (51  $\mu$ L, 0.37 mmol, 1.23 eq.) was added. The suspension was stirred for 16 hrs at room temperature, and DMF was removed under reduced pressure. The oily residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) and washed with diethyl ether (three times 5 mL). The aqueous phase was lyophilized. The product was purified by counter current distribution with the solvent system BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v. The pure product fractions were collected, evaporated *in vacuo*, dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O and lyophilized. Yield: 147 mg, (85%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.48 (K = 1.50),  $[\alpha]_D = -37.6^\circ$  c = 0.29 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 0.76$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.50 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.62-1.94 (bm, 3H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val/ $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.94 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.05 (t, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.41 (t, 2H, <sup>-</sup>SO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.75 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.33 (m, 3H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg/<sup>-</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CO<sup>-</sup> Msc); 7.49/8.04 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*Msc-Val-X-pNA*

a) X = *Lys*(Boc):

Msc-Val-ONSu (109 mg, 0.30 mmol), H-Lys(Boc)-pNA (122 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1.10 eq.) were dissolved in DMF (3 mL) and TEA (51  $\mu$ L, 0.37 mmol, 1.23 eq.) was added. After stirring overnight at room temperature the reaction mixture was evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc and subsequently washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 2N KHSO<sub>4</sub> and saturated NaCl. The EtOAc layer was dried on Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated to dryness. The resulting foam was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 95:5 v/v as eluent. Yield: 145 mg (79%), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 95:5 v/v): 0.22, R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.87,  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -32.8^\circ$  c = 0.56 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.97$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.43 (m, 13H, Boc(9H)/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>/ $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 1.74 (m, 3H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val/ $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 2.92 (m, 5H, CH<sub>3</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc/ $\epsilon$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 3.11 (t, 2H,  $\sim$ SO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 4.05 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.60 (m, 3H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Lys/ $\sim$ CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CO<sup>-</sup> Msc); 5.54 (d, 1H, NH); 7.10 (d, 1H, NH); 7.76/7.88-8.13/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA); 9.21 (s, 1H, arom NH).

b) X = Orn(Boc):

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Msc-Val-ONSu: 76 mg (0.21 mmol), HCl.H-Orn(Boc)-pNA: 81 mg (0.21 mmol), TEA: 62  $\mu$ L (0.45 mmol, 2.14 eq.). Yield: 60 mg (47%), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 95:5 v/v): 0.22, R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.84,  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -43.6^\circ$  c = 1 MeOH.

c) X = Nle (2d):

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Msc-Val-ONSu: 181 mg (0.50 mmol), HCl.H-Nle-pNA: 142 mg (0.50 mmol), TEA: 146  $\mu$ L (1.06 mmol, 2.12 eq.). This product was purified by counter current distribution with BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v (K = 10.52) as the solvent combination. After work-up the pure product was lyophilized from AcOH. Yield: 144 mg (58%), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 95:5 v/v): 0.37, R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.87,  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -51.4^\circ$  c = 0.25 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta = 0.95$  (t, 3H,  $\epsilon$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Nle); 0.99 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.39 (bm, 4H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>/ $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Nle); 1.78/1.89 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Nle); 2.10 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 3.05 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.48 (t, 2H,  $\sim$ SO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.97 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.48 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Nle); 4.56 (m, 2H,  $\sim$ CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CO<sup>-</sup> Msc); 7.86/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

Msc-Val-X-pNA.HCl

The Boc-protected dipeptides were dissolved in AcOH (2 mL) and 3.5 M HCl in EtOAc (2 mL) was added. After 2 hrs of stirring, the acid was quenched with *tert.*-BuOH (10 mL) and the reaction mixture was evaporated *in vacuo*.



The residue was coevaporated with *tert.*-BuOH (once 5 mL) and MeOH (twice 10 mL). The residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL) and subsequently washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (twice 10 mL) and diethyl ether (once 10 mL). The aqueous phase was lyophilized.

5

a) X = Lys (2b):

Yield: 95 mg (73%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.49, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -52.6° c = 0.23 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ = 0.81 (dd, 6H, γ-CH<sub>3</sub>/γ'-CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.36 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 1.58 (m, 2H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 1.76/1.92 (dm, 3H, β-CH-Val/β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 2.86 (t, 2H, ε-CH<sub>2</sub>-Lys); 2.99 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.45 (t, 2H, <sup>-</sup>SO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.79 (d, 1H, α-CH-Val); 4.23 (m, 1H, α-CH-Lys); 4.37 (t, 2H, <sup>-</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CO<sup>-</sup> Msc); 7.51/8.04 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

b) X = Orn (2c):

Yield: 47 mg (88%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.45, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -49.3 c = 0.14 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ = 0.82 (dd, 6H, γ-CH<sub>3</sub>/γ'-CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.57-1.84 (bm, 3H γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Orn/β-CH-Val); 1.84-1.95 (m, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Orn); 2.92 (t, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Orn); 2.99 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.46 (t, 2H, <sup>-</sup>SO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> Msc); 3.81 (d, 1H, α-CH-Val); 4.39 (m, 3H, α-CH-Orn/ <sup>-</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CO<sup>-</sup> Msc); 7.53/8.08 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

20

*Boc-Gly-Val-N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>* :

Boc-Gly-OH (1.80 g, 10.3 mmol), HCl.H-Val-OMe (1.70 g, 10.1 mmol), HOBT (1.70 g, 11.1 mmol, 1.10 eq.) were suspended in EtOAc (50 mL). This mixture was cooled on ice and the amino component was set free with DIPEA (1.85 mL, 10.6 mmol, 1.05 eq.). Then DCC (2.16 g, 10.5 mmol, 1.04 eq.) was added and the suspension was stirred for one hour at 0°C and for 16 hrs at room temperature. After this period DCU was filtered off and the clear organic solution was subsequently washed with 2N KHSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and saturated NaCl (three times 30 mL each). The EtOAc layer was dried on Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*, yielding an oil which still contained residual DCU; it was therefore dissolved in diethyl ether and filtered, and appeared then to be free of DCU (R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9:1 v/v): 0.60, single spot). The ester was used as such in the hydrazinolysis.

35 The obtained oil was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL) and N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O (2.5 mL, 51.5 mmol, 5.1 eq.) was added. This reaction mixture was stirred for three days at room temperature. After this period the reaction mixture was evaporated *in vacuo* and the residue was coevaporated with MeOH (three times 20 mL). The residue was recrystallized from diisopropyl ether.

Yield: 2.16 g (75%),  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH } 9:1 \text{ v/v})$ : 0.37, mp: 118-121°C,  $[\alpha]_D = -27.0$  c = 0.70 MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR} (\text{CDCl}_3)$ :  $\delta = 0.91/0.96$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.45 (s, 9H, Boc); 2.11 (m, 1H,  $\beta\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 3.64 (dd, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 3.66 (m, 1H, NH); 4.29 (dd, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 5.73 (m, 1H, NH); 7.17 (d, <2H,  $\text{NH}_2$ ); 8.46 (s, 1H, NH).

*Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (3')*:

Boc-Gly-Val- $\text{N}_2\text{H}_3$  (1.23 g, 4.26 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (40 mL). This solution was cooled on dry ice/acetone to -20°C. To this solution were added: 2.3 M HCl in EtOAc (5.2 mL, 12.0 mmol, 2.81 eq.) and *tert.*-BuONO (0.60 mL, 5.04 mmol, 1.18 eq.). This reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at -20°C (no Barton positive material is present: azide formation is complete). The reaction mixture was neutralized by adding DIPEA (2.05 mL, 11.7 mmol) and 2HCl.H-Arg-pNA (1.56 g, 4.26 mmol, 1.0 eq.) followed by DIPEA (0.75 mL, 4.31 mmol, 1.01 eq.) were added. The reaction mixture was kept at 0°C for 16 hrs; at regular time intervals the pH was checked and when necessary DIPEA was added to maintain the pH at 7 to 8. When the reaction was complete, the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo* and the residue was diluted with BuOH. The solution was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and saturated NaCl respectively. After removal of the solvent the residue was purified by counter current distribution with BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v as solvent system. The pure fractions were collected and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in AcOH and lyophilized. Yield: 2.22 g (89%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH/AcOH/H}_2\text{O } 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.66 (K = 2.96),  $[\alpha]_D = -28.7^\circ$  c = 0.57 MeOH,  $^1\text{H NMR} (\text{CD}_3\text{OD})$ :  $\delta = 0.99$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.40 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.65-1.88 (dm, 3H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}/\beta\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 1.93/2.15 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 3.23 (m, 2H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 3.71/3.84 (dd, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 4.18 (d, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 4.54 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Arg}$ ); 7.91/7.93-8.19/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3)*:

Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (1.2037 g, 2.052 mmol) was dissolved in AcOH (10 mL) and 2.3 M HCl in EtOAc (10 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for three hrs, the acid was quenched with *tert.*-BuOH (20 mL) and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was coevaporated with *tert.*-BuOH and with MeOH (once 20 mL each). The residue was purified by counter current distribution with BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v as solvent combination. The pure product was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O and lyophilized. Yield: 0.94 g (88%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH/AcOH/H}_2\text{O } 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.32 (K = 0.37),  $[\alpha]_D = -42.7^\circ$  c = 0.26

MeOH,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta = 0.98-1.03$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$  ( $J = 6.8$  Hz)); 1.61-2.05 (m, 4H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}(1\text{H})/\beta\text{-CH-Val}/\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 2.17 (dm, 1H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}(1\text{H})$ ); 3.26 (m, 2H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 3.76-3.87 (dd, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 4.28 (d, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}$  ( $J = 6.4$  Hz)); 4.53 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Arg}$ ); 7.88/7.90-8.20/8.23 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*Boc-Gly-Val-X-pNA*

a)  $X = \text{Lys}(\text{Boc})$ :

Boc-Gly-Val- $\text{N}_2\text{H}_3$  (0.288 g, 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (10 mL) and cooled to  $-20^\circ\text{C}$ . Subsequently 3.5 M HCl in EtOAc (0.80 mL, 2.75 mmol, 2.75 eq.) and *tert.*-BuONO (0.14 mL, 1.20 mmol, 1.2 eq.) were added. After 15 min the conversion to the corresponding azide was complete (negative Barton test) and the reaction mixture was neutralized by adding DIPEA (0.473 mL, 2.75 mmol, 2.75 eq.). H-Lys(Boc)-pNA (0.366 g, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added to the azide solution. The reaction mixture was kept for 16 hrs at  $0^\circ\text{C}$ . Then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was diluted with EtOAc (30 mL). The organic solution was subsequently washed with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 2N  $\text{KHSO}_4$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , saturated  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and saturated NaCl (three times 10 mL each). After drying on  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , evaporation *in vacuo* resulted in a foam which was purified by column chromatography on silicagel with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$  95:5 v/v as eluens. Yield: 0.51 g (81%),  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$  95:5 v/v): 0.17,  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$  9:1 v/v): 0.60,  $[\alpha]_D = -27.8^\circ$   $c = 0.39$  MeOH,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta = 0.97/0.99-1.00/1.02$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.39 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.41 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.48 (m, 4H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2/\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 1.80 (m, 1H,  $\beta\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 1.93/2.13 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 3.04 (m, 2H,  $\epsilon\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 3.74 (dd, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 4.21 (d, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 4.44 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Lys}$ ); 7.91/7.93-8.19/8.21 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

b)  $X = \text{Orn}(\text{Boc})$ :

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: HCl.H-Orn(Boc)-pNA: 0.389 g (0.776 mmol), DIPEA: 0.20 mL (1.16 mmol, 1.50 eq.). Yield: 0.31 g (66%),  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$  95:5 v/v): 0.17,  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$  9:1 v/v): 0.57,  $[\alpha]_D = -29.2^\circ$   $c = 0.27$  MeOH,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta = 0.97/0.99-0.99/1.01$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.40 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.42 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.55 (m, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn}$ ); 1.77 (m, 1H,  $\beta\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 1.89/2.13 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn}$ ); 3.08 (m, 2H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn}$ ); 3.74 (dd, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 4.21 (d, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 4.47 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Orn}$ ); 7.90/7.92-8.19/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

c)  $X = \text{Nle}$ :

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: HCl.H-Nle-pNA: 0.288 g (1.00 mmol), DIPEA: 0.20 mL (1.16 mmol, 1.16 eq.). Yield: 0.40 g (79%),  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH } 95:5 \text{ v/v})$ : 0.21,  $R_f(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH } 9:1 \text{ v/v})$ : 0.63,  $[\alpha]_D = -38.0^\circ \text{ c} = 0.36 \text{ MeOH}$ ,  $^1\text{H NMR} (\text{CD}_3\text{OD})$ :  $\delta = 0.93$  (m, 3H,  $\epsilon\text{-CH}_3\text{-Nle}$ ); 0.97/0.99-1.00/1.01 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.38 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.45 (m, 4H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2/\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Nle}$ ); 1.79 (m, 1H,  $\beta\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 1.93/2.14 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Nle}$ ); 3.73 (dd, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 4.21 (d, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 4.43 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Nle}$ ); 7.93/7.95-8.19/8.21 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

10 *nHCl.H-Gly-Val-X-pNA*

a)  $X = \text{Lys}$ ,  $n = 2$  (**3a**):

Boc-Gly-Val-Lys(Boc)-pNA was dissolved in AcOH (5 mL) and 3.5 M HCl in EtOAc (5 mL) was added. After 2 hrs of stirring at room temperature the acid was quenched with *tert.*-BuOH (10 mL) and evaporated *in vacuo*. The  
 15 obtained residue was coevaporated with *tert.*-BuOH (twice 10 mL) and MeOH (10 mL), dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O and lyophilized. After purification by counter current distribution using the solvent combination BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v the pure product was obtained as a fluffy off-white powder. Yield: 0.29 g (72%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH/AcOH/H}_2\text{O } 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.33 ( $K = 0.32$ ),  $[\alpha]_D = -56.1^\circ \text{ c} = 0.36 \text{ MeOH}$ ,  $^1\text{H NMR} (\text{D}_2\text{O})$ :  $\delta = 0.78$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.33 (m, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 1.53 (m, 2H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 1.74 (m, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys(1H)}/\beta\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 1.92 (m, 1H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys(1H)}$ ); 2.82 (m, 2H,  $\epsilon\text{-CH}_2\text{-Lys}$ ); 3.71 (dd, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 4.05 (d, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 4.28 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Lys}$ ); 7.49/8.05 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

25 b)  $X = \text{Orn}$ ,  $n = 2$  (**3b**):

Obtained as described for a).

Yield: 0.18 g (73%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH/AcOH/H}_2\text{O } 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.33 ( $K = 0.29$ ),  $[\alpha]_D = -52.7^\circ \text{ c} = 0.25 \text{ MeOH}$ ,  $^1\text{H NMR} (\text{D}_2\text{O})$ :  $\delta = 0.77$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.54-1.85 (bm, 4H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn(1H)}/\beta\text{-CH-Val}/\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn}$ ); 1.94 (m, 1H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn(1H)}$ ); 2.86 (m, 2H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Orn}$ ); 3.71 (dd, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 4.04 (d, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 4.23 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Orn}$ ); 7.52/8.06 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

c)  $X = \text{Nle}$ ,  $n = 1$  (**3c**):

Obtained as described for a).

Yield: 0.28 g (80%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH/AcOH/H}_2\text{O } 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.68 ( $K = 2.40$ ),  $[\alpha]_D = -67.2^\circ \text{ c} = 0.24 \text{ MeOH}$ ,  $^1\text{H NMR} (\text{CD}_3\text{OD})$ :  $\delta = 0.84$  (t, 3H,  $\epsilon\text{-CH}_3\text{-Nle}$ ); 0.86/0.90 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.29 (m, 4H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2/\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Nle}$ ); 1.66 (m, 1H,  $\beta\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 1.79/2.02 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Nle}$ ); 3.60 (s, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 4.21 (d, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 4.35 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Nle}$ ); 7.75/8.11 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-OH:*

H-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-OH (*R<sub>f</sub>*(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.52,  $[\alpha]_D = +9.3^\circ$  c = 1.05 H<sub>2</sub>O, mp: 181°C (dec.); lit<sup>24</sup>:  $[\alpha]_D = +9.8^\circ$  c = 2 H<sub>2</sub>O, mp: 182°C) (2.0 g, 9.85 mmol), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (1.86 g, 22.2 mmol, 2.25 eq.) were dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (40 mL) and Boc<sub>2</sub>O<sup>25</sup> (2.36 g, 10.8 mmol, 1.10 eq.) dissolved in dioxane (20 mL) was added. The reaction mixture became turbid and gas evolution started. After 3 hrs of stirring at room temperature, the reaction mixture was partially evaporated *in vacuo*. The aqueous solution was acidified with 10% citric acid and the precipitating oil was taken up into EtOAc (three 10 extractions 20 mL). The EtOAc layer was subsequently washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (five times 30 mL), saturated NaCl (three times 30 mL) and dried on Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The residue obtained after evaporation *in vacuo* was an oil which crystallized slowly. Yield: 2.91 g (98%), *R<sub>f</sub>*(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.87, *R<sub>f</sub>*(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9:1 v/v): 0.17,  $[\alpha]_D = -10.3^\circ$  c = 0.93 MeOH, mp: 98-15 99°C (lit<sup>26</sup>:  $[\alpha]_D = -10.9^\circ$  c = 1 MeOH, mp: 101-102°C), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.44$  (s, 18H, Boc/O<sup>t</sup>Bu (2 x 9H)); 1.99/2.18 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>); 2.38 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>); 4.34 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH); 5.23 (d, 1H, NH).

*Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu:*

20 Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu was prepared according to the literature<sup>27</sup>. Quantities used: Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-OH: 2.56 g (8.45 mmol), DCC: 1.83 g (8.88 mmol, 1.05 eq.), HONSu: 1.07 g (9.30 mmol, 1.10 eq.), CH<sub>3</sub>CN: 30 mL. Yield: 2.98 g (88%),  $[\alpha]_D = -26.6^\circ$  c = 0.64 dioxane, mp: 134-137°C (recrystallized from *iso*-propyl alcohol).

25

*Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-OH:*

H-Gly-OH (0.13 g, 1.73 mmol, 1.16 eq.) and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.28 g, 3.38 mmol, 2.25 eq.) were dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) and Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu (0.60 g, 1.50 mmol), dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (15 mL), was added dropwise. The resulting 30 turbid reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. After a period of 16 hrs of stirring, the organic solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the aqueous phase was acidified to pH = 3 with 2N KHSO<sub>4</sub>. The precipitated oil was extracted into EtOAc (twice 10 mL) and subsequently washed with 2N KHSO<sub>4</sub> and saturated NaCl (once respectively twice 10 mL). The EtOAc layer 35 was dried on Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*. The resulting foam did not crystallize. Yield: 0.51 g (94%), *R<sub>f</sub>*(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9:1 v/v): 0.19, *R<sub>f</sub>*(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 8:2 v/v): 0.22, *R<sub>f</sub>*(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.84,  $[\alpha]_D = -12.6^\circ$  c = 0.67 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.44$  (s, 18H, Boc/O<sup>t</sup>Bu (2 x 9H)); 1.91/2.10 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 2.36 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 4.07 (2 x

dd, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.35 (m, 1H, α-CH-Glu); 5.68 (d, 1H, NH-Glu); 7.35 (m, 1H, NH-Gly).

*Boc-Gly-Gly-OH, Boc-Gly-Pro-OH and Boc-Pro-Gly-OH*

5 Were obtained as described for Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-OH. Due to the good water solubility, acidification leads to clear aqueous solutions. The dipeptides were extracted into EtOAc after saturation of the aqueous phase with NaCl. The products were recrystallized from *iso*-propyl alcohol/*diiso*-propyl ether.

10 Quantities used: *Boc-Gly-Gly-OH*: Boc-Gly-ONSu: 1.36 g (5.0 mmol), H-Gly-OH: 0.41 g (5.47 mmol, 1.09 eq.), NaHCO<sub>3</sub>: 0.95 g (11.31 mmol, 2.26 eq.). Yield: 1.13 g (97%), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 8:2 v/v): 0.08, R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.66, mp: 123-124°C (dec.), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): δ = 1.38 (s, 9H, Boc); 3.57 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly (C-terminal)); 3.76 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly (N-  
15 terminal)); 6.98 (t, 1H, NH); 8.04 (t, 1H, NH).

*Boc-Gly-Pro-OH*: Boc-Gly-ONSu: 1.36 g (5.0 mmol), H-Pro-OH: 0.63 g (5.48 mmol, 1.10 eq.), NaHCO<sub>3</sub>: 0.95 g (11.31 mmol, 2.26 eq.). Yield: 1.36 g (quant.), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 8:2 v/v): 0.18, R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.67, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -70.8° c = 0.98 MeOH, mp: 123°C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.44 (s, 9H, Boc); 2.14 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro (2 x 2H)); 3.54 (m, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 3.98 (dd, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.50 (m, 1H, α-CH-Pro); 5.82 (m, <1H, NH); 8.46 (s, 1H, COOH).

*Boc-Pro-Gly-OH*: Boc-Pro-ONSu: 1.56 g (5.0 mmol), H-Gly-OH: 0.41 g (5.47 mmol, 1.09 eq.), NaHCO<sub>3</sub>: 0.95 g (11.31 mmol, 2.26 eq.). Yield: 1.36 g (quant.), R<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 8:2 v/v): 0.18, R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.73, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -66.0° c = 0.78 MeOH, mp: 149-151°C (dec.), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD 1:1 v/v): δ = 1.23 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.64-1.96 (bm, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro (2 x 2H)); 3.25 (m, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 3.76 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.04 (m, 1H, α-CH-Pro).

30

*Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-ONSu, Boc-Gly-Gly-ONSu, Boc-Gly-Pro-ONSu and Boc-Pro-Gly-ONSu*

The protected dipeptide acids were converted to their ONSu-esters following the literature<sup>27</sup>.

35 Quantities used: *Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-ONSu*: Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-OH: 0.54 g (1.5 mmol), DCC: 0.32 g (1.55 mmol, 1.04 eq.), HONSu: 0.19 g (1.65 mmol, 1.10 eq.), CH<sub>3</sub>CN: 15 mL. Yield: 0.569 g (83%), [α]<sub>D</sub> = -19.6° c = 0.70 dioxane, mp: 79-83°C.

*Boc-Gly-Gly-ONSu*: Boc-Gly-Gly-OH: 1.16 g (5.0 mmol), DCC: 1.08 g (5.24

mmol, 1.05 eq.), HONSu: 0.63 g (5.48 mmol, 1.10 eq.), CH<sub>3</sub>CN/EtOAc (1:1 v/v): 50 mL. Yield: 1.40 g (85%), mp: 143-145°C.

*Boc-Gly-Pro-ONSu*: Boc-Gly-Pro-OH: 1.36 g (5.0 mmol), CH<sub>3</sub>CN: 30 mL. Yield: 1.70 g (92%),  $[\alpha]_D = -65.2^\circ$  c = 1.12 dioxane, mp: amorphous.

5 *Boc-Pro-Gly-ONSu*: Boc-Pro-Gly-OH: 1.36 g (5.0 mmol), CH<sub>3</sub>CN: 30 mL. Yield: 1.80 g (97%),  $[\alpha]_D = -43.7^\circ$  c = 1.11 dioxane, mp: amorphous.

*Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-(Gly)<sub>n</sub>-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl*

a)  $n = 0$ :

10 Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu (0.202 g, 0.505 mmol, 1.39 eq.), 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA (0.169 g, 0.362 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (10 mL) and DIPEA (100  $\mu$ L, 0.57 mmol, 1.59 eq.) was added to start the reaction. The obtained reaction mixture was stirred for 16 hrs at room temperature. After this

15 residue was diluted with BuOH (25 mL). The organic solution was subsequently washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O (three times 10 mL each) and evaporated *in vacuo*. The obtained residue was purified by counter current distribution with BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v as solvent combination. The pure product fractions were collected and evaporated *in*

20 *vacuo*. The residue was lyophilized from AcOH. Yield: 226 mg (87%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.81 (K = 6.81),  $[\alpha]_D = -43.6^\circ$  c = 0.39 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta = 0.97/0.99$  (d, 6H  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.43 (s, 18H, Boc(9H)/O<sup>t</sup>Bu(9H)); 1.51-2.12 (bm, 7H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu/ $\beta$ -CH-Val/ $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.34 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 3.23 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 4.08 (m, 1H,

25  $\alpha$ -CH-Glu); 4.16 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.54 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.86/7.88-8.21/8.23 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

b)  $n = 1$ :

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu: 0.205 g (0.511 mmol, 1.01 eq.),

30 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA: 0.265 g (0.506 mmol), DIPEA: 200  $\mu$ L (1.14 mmol, 2.25 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 352 mg (90%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.78 (K = 10.52),  $[\alpha]_D = -30.0^\circ$  c = 0.28 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta = 0.99/1.01$  (d, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.43 (s, 18H, Boc(9H)/O<sup>t</sup>Bu(9H)); 1.69-2.16 (bm, 7H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu/ $\beta$ -CH-Val/ $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.31 (m, 2H,

35  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 3.24 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.90 (dd, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.04 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Glu); 4.16 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.52 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.89/7.91-8.20/8.22 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

c)  $n = 2$ :

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Gly-ONSu: 0.115 g (0.251 mmol, 1.23 eq.), 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA: 0.107 g (0.204 mmol), DIPEA: 86  $\mu$ L (0.50 mmol, 2.45 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 134 mg (79%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.74 (K = 6.81),  $[\alpha]_D = -29.1^\circ$  c = 0.17 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  =

5 0.91 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.33 (m, 20H, Boc(9H)/O<sup>t</sup>Bu(9H)/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.62 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 1.76 (m, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 1.93/2.06 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.24 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 3.14 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.80 (dd, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly (2 x 2H)); 3.92 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Glu); 4.03 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.46 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.79/8.12 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

10 *Boc-(Gly)<sub>x</sub>-(Pro)<sub>y</sub>-(Gly)<sub>z</sub>-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl*

a)  $x = 3, y = z = 0$ :

Boc-Gly-Gly-ONSu (98.4 mg, 0.299 mmol, 1.18 eq.), 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (132.1 mg, 0.253 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (10 mL) and DIPEA (86  $\mu$ L, 0.49 mmol, 1.94 eq.) was added. After stirring for 16 hrs at room

15 temperature, DMF was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by counter current extraction with BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v as the solvent combination. The product fractions were collected and evaporated *in vacuo* and subsequently lyophilized from AcOH. Yield: 148.2 mg (81%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.55 (K = 2.97),  $[\alpha]_D = -30.0^\circ$  c = 0.28 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 0.90 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.34 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.61 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.75 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 1.84/2.04 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.14 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.66-3.92 (3 x dd, 6H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly (3 x 2H)); 4.04 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.44 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.78/8.13 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

25 b)  $x = y = z = 1$ :

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Boc-Gly-Pro-ONSu: 110.0 mg (0.298 mmol, 1.17 eq.), 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA: 136.0 mg (0.260 mmol), DIPEA: 86  $\mu$ L (0.50 mmol, 1.92 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 145.8 mg (76%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.50 (K = 3.75),  $[\alpha]_D = -49.4^\circ$  c = 0.17 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  =

30 0.90 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.32 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.63-2.12 (bm, 9H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/ $\beta$ -CH-Val/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro (2 x 2H)); 3.14 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.52 (m, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 3.71-3.89 (dd, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly (2 x 2H)); 4.04 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.28 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Pro); 4.43 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.81/8.12

35 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

c)  $x = 0, y = 1, z = 2$ :

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Boc-Pro-Gly-ONSu: 101.2 mg (0.274 mmol, 1.05 eq.), 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA: 132.7 mg (0.254 mmol), DIPEA: 86  $\mu$ L (0.50 mmol,



1.92 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 158.9 mg (81%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.65 ( $K = 4.90$ ),  $[\alpha]_D = -42.1^\circ$   $c = 0.24$  MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta = 0.91$  (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.36 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.63-2.12 (bm, 9H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/ $\beta$ -CH-Val/ $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro (2 x 2H)); 3.14 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 5 3.38 (m, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 3.82 (d, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly (2 x 2H)); 4.04 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.09 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Pro); 4.45 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.78/8.13 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*2HCl.H-(Gly)<sub>x</sub>-(Pro)<sub>y</sub>-(Gly)<sub>z</sub>-Val-Arg-pNA*

10 a)  $x = 3, y = z = 0$ :

Boc-Gly-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (100.7 mg, 0.140 mmol) was dissolved in AcOH (5 mL) and 3.5 M HCl in EtOAc (5 mL) was added. After stirring for 2 hrs at room temperature, the acid was quenched by the addition of *tert.*-BuOH (20 mL) and the solution was evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was 15 coevaporated with *tert.*-BuOH (once 10 mL) and MeOH (twice 10 mL). The foamy residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (25 mL) and subsequently washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (twice 10 mL) and diethyl ether (once 20 mL). The aqueous phase was lyophilized and the crude deprotected product was used as obtained. Yield: 90.4 mg (quant.),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.21.

20 b)  $x = y = z = 1$ :

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Boc-Gly-Pro-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl: 110.6 mg (0.146 mmol). Yield: 110.1 mg (quant.),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.15.

c)  $x = 0, y = 1, z = 2$ :

25 Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Boc-Pro-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl: 110.9 mg (0.146 mmol). Yield: 88.1 mg (87%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.15.

*Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-(Gly)<sub>x</sub>-(Pro)<sub>y</sub>-(Gly)<sub>z</sub>-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl*

30 a)  $x = 3, y = z = 0$ :

Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu (56.5 mg, 0.142 mmol, 1.03 eq.) and 2HCl.H-Gly-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (90 mg, 0.138 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (10 mL). The reaction was started by the addition of DIPEA (50  $\mu$ L, 0.294 mmol, 2.13 eq.) and kept overnight at room temperature. The next day, DMF was 35 removed under reduced pressure and the residue was diluted with BuOH (20 mL). The organic solution was subsequently washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and saturated NaCl (three times 5 mL each). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in AcOH and lyophilized. The product was purified by counter current distribution

with BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v as the solvent system. The pure product fractions were collected, evaporated *in vacuo* and lyophilized from AcOH. Yield: 102.2 mg (82%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.60 (K = 5.91), [α]<sub>D</sub> = -26.3° c = 0.24 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ = 0.99/1.01 (dd, 6H, γ-CH<sub>3</sub>/γ'-CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.45 (s, 18H, Boc(9H)/O<sup>t</sup>Bu(9H)); 1.71 (m, 2H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.86 (m, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.04 (m, 2H, β-CH-Val/β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu(1H)); 2.16 (m, 1H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu(1H)); 2.34 (m, 2H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 3.24 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.84/3.87-3.88/3.92//3.87//3.97/3.99-4.03/4.04 (dd/s/dd, 6H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly(3 x 2H)); 4.06 (m, 1H, α-CH-Glu); 4.12 (d, 1H, α-CH-Val); 4.54 (m, 1H, α-CH-Arg); 7.88/7.90-8.21/8.23 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

b) x = y = z = 1:

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu: 57.6 mg (0.145 mmol, 1.01 eq.), 2HCl.H-Gly-Pro-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA: 100.0 mg (0.144 mmol), DIPEA: 50 μL (0.294 mmol, 2.04 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 123.3 mg (91%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.55, [α]<sub>D</sub> = -53.2° c = 0.24 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ = 0.99/1.01 (dd, 6H, γ-CH<sub>3</sub>/γ'-CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.45 (s, 18H, Boc(9H)/O<sup>t</sup>Bu(9H)); 1.75 (bm, 4H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg/γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.03 (bm, 5H, β-CH-Val/CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro (2 x 2H)); 2.20 (m, 2H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 2.32 (m, 2H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 3.23 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.60 (dm, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 3.85/3.90-4.00/4.04 (dd, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly(Glu<sup>-</sup>)); 4.07 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly(Val<sup>-</sup>)); 4.12 (m, 2H, α-CH-Val/α-CH-Glu); 4.42 (m, 1H, α-CH-Pro); 4.49 (m, 1H, α-CH-Arg); 7.93/7.96-8.18/8.21 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

c) x = 0, y = 1, z = 2:

Obtained as described for a).

Quantities used: Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-ONSu: 50.7 mg (0.132 mmol, 1.04 eq.), 2HCl.H-Pro-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA: 88 mg (0.127 mmol), DIPEA: 50 μL (0.294 mmol, 2.31 eq.) in 10 mL DMF. Yield: 94.6 mg (79%), R<sub>f</sub>(BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.67 (K = 5.91), [α]<sub>D</sub> = -40.0° c = 0.14 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD): δ = 0.99/1.00-1.01/1.02 (dd, 6H, γ-CH<sub>3</sub>/γ'-CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.46 (s, 18H, Boc(9H)/O<sup>t</sup>Bu(9H)); 1.73 (m, 2H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.87 (m, 1H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg(1H)); 2.00 (m, 5H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg(1H)/CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro (2 x 2H)); 2.13 (m, 2H, β-CH-Val/β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu(1H)); 2.25 (m, 1H, β-CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu(1H)); 2.34 (m, 2H, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 3.23 (m, 2H, δ-CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.76 (m, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-Pro); 3.89/3.93-3.97/4.01 (dd, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly(2 x 2H)); 4.12 (d, 1H, α-CH-Val); 4.37 (m, 1H, α-CH-Glu); 4.43 (m, 1H, α-CH-Pro); 4.55 (m, 1H, α-CH-Arg); 7.88/7.90-8.21-8.23 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*Deprotection of the N-terminal Boc-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)- residue:*

The Boc- and *tert.*-Bu function were removed by the method of Sieber *et al.*<sup>21</sup> In general: the protected peptide was dissolved in pre-cooled (-5°C) concentrated HCl (37%). After stirring for 5 min at 0°C, the reaction mixture was diluted with AcOH (10 volumes) and lyophilized, dissolved in 5 H<sub>2</sub>O and lyophilized again. The crude deprotected product was purified by counter current distribution with BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:5 v/v/v as the solvent system. The pure product fractions were collected, evaporated *in vacuo* and lyophilized from H<sub>2</sub>O. The fluffy, off-white products were further dried in a vacuum desiccator on NaOH-pellets to reduce the 10 slightly hygroscopic character of the fresh lyophilisates.

*2HCl.H-Glu-Val-Arg-pNA (3d):*

Yield: 69.1 mg (81%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.36 (K = 0.37),  $[\alpha]_D = -43.0^\circ$  c = 0.10 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 0.78 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.52 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.72 (m, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg(1H)/ $\beta$ -CH-Val); 1.94 (m, 3H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg(1H)/ $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 2.25 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 3.04 (m, 2H,  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.93 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Glu); 4.00 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.28 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.51/8.07 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

20 *2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3e):*

Yield: 71 mg (84%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.34 (K = 0.36),  $[\alpha]_D = -40.8^\circ$  c = 0.26 MeOH, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 0.98/1.01 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.70 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.84 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 1.97/2.13 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 2.13 (m, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 2.56 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 3.24 (m, 2H, 25  $\delta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.97/4.00-4.05/4.09 (dd, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly); 4.02 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Glu); 4.23 (d, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val); 4.53 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.86/7.89-8.21/8.23 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3f):*

30 Yield: 81.3 mg (94%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.28 (K = 0.34),  $[\alpha]_D = -26.9^\circ$  c = 0.13 MeOH, AAA: Glu(1.00) 1.11; Gly(2.00) 1.97; Val(1.00) 0.99; Arg(1.00) 0.93, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 0.74 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\gamma'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-Val); 1.52 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.68 (m, 1H,  $\beta$ -CH-Val); 1.77/1.89 (dm, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 1.99 (m, 2H,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 2.37 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-Glu); 3.05 (m, 2H, 35 CH<sub>2</sub>-Arg); 3.84 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Gly(2 x 2H)); 3.94 (m, 2H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Val/  $\alpha$ -CH-Glu); 4.29 (m, 1H,  $\alpha$ -CH-Arg); 7.52/8.06 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3g):*

Yield: 71.3 mg (91%),  $R_f$ (BuOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1:1 v/v/v): 0.20 (K = 0.28),  $[\alpha]_D$

=  $-16.4^{\circ}$  c = 0.14 MeOH, AAA: Glu(1.00) 1.18; Gly(3.00) 2.92; Val(1.00) 0.99; Arg(1.00) 0.91,  $^1\text{H NMR}$  ( $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ ):  $\delta$  = 0.78 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.54 (m, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 1.73/1.82 (dm, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 1.93 (m, 1H,  $\beta\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 2.04 (m, 2H,  $\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Glu}$ ); 2.42 (dd, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Glu}$ ); 3.08 (dd, 2H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 3.81/3.89 (m, 6H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}(3 \times 2\text{H})$ ); 3.98 (m, 2H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}/\alpha\text{-CH-Glu}$ ); 4.23 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Arg}$ ); 7.55/8.09 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Pro-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3h):*

Yield: 79.6 mg (90%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH}/\text{AcOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O} 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.17 ( $K = 0.33$ ),  $[\alpha]_D$   
 10 =  $-50.8^{\circ}$  c = 0.12 MeOH, AAA: Glu(1.00) 1.18; Gly(2.00) 1.97; Pro(1.00) 1.04; Val(1.00) 0.94; Arg(1.00) 0.87,  $^1\text{H NMR}$  ( $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ ):  $\delta$  = 0.78 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.55 (m, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 1.64-2.14 (bm, 9H,  $\beta\text{-CH-Val}/\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Glu}/\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}/\text{CH}_2\text{-Pro}(2 \times 2\text{H})$ ); 2.43 (m, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Glu}$ ); 3.08 (m, 2H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 3.46 (m, 2H,  $\text{N-CH}_2\text{-Pro}$ ); 3.80 (s, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 3.98 (m, 4H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}/\alpha\text{-CH-Glu}/\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}$ ); 4.31 (m, 2H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Arg}/\alpha\text{-CH-Pro}$ ); 7.55/8.11  
 15 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

*2HCl.H-Glu-Pro-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (3i):*

Yield: 40.2 mg (92%),  $R_f(\text{BuOH}/\text{AcOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O} 4:1:1 \text{ v/v/v})$ : 0.16 ( $K = 0.29$ ),  $[\alpha]_D$   
 20 =  $-33.3^{\circ}$  c = 0.11 MeOH, AAA: Glu(1.00) 1.35; Gly(2.00) 1.82; Pro(1.00) 1.05; Val(1.00) 0.92; Arg(1.00) 0.85,  $^1\text{H NMR}$  ( $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ )  $\delta$  = 0.79 (dd, 6H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_3/\gamma'\text{-CH}_3\text{-Val}$ ); 1.57 (m, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 1.70-2.21 (bm, 9H,  $\beta\text{-CH-Val}/\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Glu}/\beta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}/\text{CH}_2\text{-Pro}(2 \times 2\text{H})$ ); 2.42 (m, 2H,  $\gamma\text{-CH}_2\text{-Glu}$ ); 3.08 (m, 2H,  $\delta\text{-CH}_2\text{-Arg}$ ); 3.47 (m, 2H,  $\text{N-CH}_2\text{-Pro}$ ); 3.61 (m, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Glu}$ ); 3.82 (dd, 4H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-Gly}(2 \times 2\text{H})$ ); 3.98 (d, 1H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Val}$ ); 4.32 (m, 2H,  $\alpha\text{-CH-Arg}/\alpha\text{-CH-Pro}$ ); 7.57/8.12 (dd, 4H, arom pNA).

RERERENCES

18. Tesser, G.I. and Balvert-Geers, I.C. (1975) *Int. J. Peptide Protein Res.* 7, 295-305.
19. Padmanabhan, K., Padmanabhan, K.P., Tulinsky, A., Park, C.H., Bode, W., Huber, R., Blankenship, D.T., Cardin, A.D. and Kisiel, W. (1993) *J. Mol. Biol.* 232, 947-966.
20. (a) Nakanishi, H., Chrusciel, R.A., Shen, R., Bertenshaw, S., Johnson, M.E., Rydel, T.J., Tulinsky, A. and Kahn, M. (1992) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89, 1705-1709; (b) Wu, T.-P., Yee, V., Tulinsky, A., Chrusciel, R.A., Nakanishi, H., Shen, R., Priebe, C. and Kahn, M. (1993) *Protein Eng.* 6, 471-478.
21. Sieber, P., Riniker, B., Brugger, M., Kamber, B. and Rittel, W.

(1970) *Helv. Chim. Acta* 53, 2135-2150.

22. Schnabel, E. (1967) *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 702, 188-196.

23. Brenner, M. and Huber, W. (1953) *Helv. Chim Acta* 36, 1109-1115.

24. Zervas, L. and Hamaladis, C. (1965) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 87, 99-104.

5 25. Moroder, L., Hallett, A., Wünsch, E., Keller, O. and Wersin, G. (1976) *Hoppe Seylers Z. Physiol. Chem.* 357, 1651-1653.

26. Schröder, E. and Klieger, E. (1964) *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 673, 196-207.

27. Anderson, G.W., Zimmerman, J.E. and Callahan, F.M. (1964) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 86, 1839-1842.

10

#### EXAMPLE 4

CONTINUOUS REGISTRATION OF THROMBIN GENERATION CURVES USING CHROMOGENIC SUBSTRATES.

15 In this example a set of 18 chromogenic substrates was tested on its application in the continuous registration of thrombin formation in plasma. The screening and selection procedure was the same as described in example 2.

20 Another obstacle encountered in the determination of the thrombin generation curve is the hydrolytic activity of the  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin-thrombin complex on small chromogenic substrates. This complex has no clotting activity but it disturbs the thrombin generation curve by its action on substrates which are small enough to be bound by this complex.

25 To reduce the contribution of the  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin-thrombin complex to the optical density curve, we synthesized chromogenic substrates up to six amino acid residues in length in the assumption that these substrates have a decreased access to the active-site of thrombin due to steric hindrance caused by its complexation with  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin.

30

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

##### *Materials*

35 *Chromogenic substrates:* The syntheses of the substrates are described in example 3. The substrates were dissolved in distilled water to obtain 10 mM stock solutions. The concentration was determined at 316 nm using a molar extinction coefficient of 12,500. Stock solutions were stored in the dark at 4°C. Thrombin substrate SQ68 (malonyl- $\alpha$ -aminoisobutyryl-arginine *p*-nitroanilide methyl ester monohydrochloride,  $\text{CH}_3\text{O}-\text{CO}-\text{CH}_2-\text{CO}-$

Aib-Arg-pNA.HCl) was obtained from Serbio Laboratories, France. Heparin (4<sup>th</sup> international standard heparin) was obtained from the National Bureau of Standards and Control (London). Methylamine (40 wt.-% solution in H<sub>2</sub>O), N,N-diisopropylethylamine and hydroxylamine hydrochloride were  
5 purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

*Phospholipids:* Phospholipids required were 80 mol-% dioleoyl phosphatidyl choline and 20 mol-% dioleoyl phosphatidyl serine solutions in chloroform; they were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids. They were used  
10 to prepare vesicles as described by Rosing *et al.*<sup>4</sup>

*Buffers:* Buffer A: 0.05 M Tris-HCl, 0.1 M NaCl pH = 7.35 containing 0.5 g/L bovine serum albumin (Sigma). Buffer B: buffer A containing additional EDTA (20 mM) pH = 7.90.

15

*Enzyme preparations:* Pure human  $\alpha$ -thrombin and human factor Xa samples were generous gifts of Dr. T. Lindhout (University of Limburg, Maastricht, The Netherlands). The euglobulin thrombin fraction was prepared from defibrinated plasma by acid precipitation at low ionic-  
20 strength as described by Josso and Prou-Wartelle<sup>5</sup>. The precipitate was dissolved in half the original volume of buffer A, containing 0.02 M trisodium citrate.

*Plasma:* Plasma was prepared by collecting 9 parts of blood (from 10 healthy donors) on 1 part of 0.13 M trisodium citrate. Following  
25 centrifugation at 900g (15°C, 15 min) and 10,000g (15°C, 15 min) the obtained plasma was pooled and centrifuged at 4°C for 1 hour at 23,000g; this plasma was stored at -80°C. Plasma was defibrinated by mixing an aliquot of plasma with 1/50 volume of reptilase (Laboratories Stago, Asnières, France; the solution was made as instructed by the  
30 manufacturer), letting a clot form for 10 min at 37°C followed by 10 min on ice. The fibrin clot was removed by winding it on a plastic spatula.

*Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> treated plasma:* 1mL defibrinated plasma was incubated with 200  $\mu$ L Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> suspension (0.25 g/mL in 0.15 M NaCl) at 37°C for 5 min. After centrifugation (3 min) the supernatant was used in the experiments.

35 Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> treatment removes all vitamin K dependent clotting factors (FII, VII, IX, X, protein C and protein S). Coagulation factor XI and XII deficient plasmas were generous gifts of Dr. G. Tans (University of Limburg, Maastricht, The Netherlands).

*Tissue factor:* Recombinant tissue factor (Recomplastin S, Dade, Baxter Diagnostics Inc., Deerfield Il., USA) was used as a trigger for coagulation. The solution was prepared according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The intrinsic pathway was triggered by Actin FS, 5 activated PTT reagent from soy phosphatides, containing  $1.10^{-4}$  M ellagic acid and was obtained from Baxter. Contact activation was started by the addition of kaolin (0.5 mg/mL in 0.15 M NaCl); kaolin (kaolin léger) was provided by B.L.B. Laboratoires du Bois de Boulogne (Puteaux, France).

## 10 *Methods*

*Kinetic parameters:* The hydrolysis experiments were run in buffer A at 37°C. The liberation of *p*-nitroaniline was monitored at 405 nm in a dual wavelength (405-546 nm) spectrophotometer made in our workshop, using a personal computer for data recording. In a polystyrene microcuvette 15 (total volume 500  $\mu$ L), buffer A and substrate solution were added to obtain a final substrate concentration between 200 to 2000  $\mu$ M. After 5 min of incubation at 37°C, enzyme solution was added to achieve a final concentration between 0.5 to 50 nM. The measurement was carried out at 37°C in a thermostated cuvette-holder. The Michaelis constant ( $K_m$ ) and the 20 catalytic constant ( $k_{cat}$ ) were obtained by measuring the initial reaction velocities at different substrate concentrations. The data obtained were fitted by linear regression on the Michaelis-Menten equation.

*Continuous registration of the thrombin generation curve: Extrinsic 25 pathway:* In a polystyrene microcuvette were added: 400  $\mu$ L defibrinated plasma, 20  $\mu$ L of a 45  $\mu$ M phospholipid solution (final concentration: 1.5  $\mu$ M) and 140  $\mu$ L of buffer A which contains the substrate at the desired final concentration (500  $\mu$ M). After 4 min of incubation at 37°C, 20  $\mu$ L tissue factor (undiluted) was added. Thrombin generation was started by 30 adding 20  $\mu$ L of a 0.5 M  $CaCl_2$  solution (final concentration: 16.67 mM); during the measurement the temperature was kept at 37°C. The optical density was monitored at 405 nm. From the obtained optical density curve, the first derivative was calculated giving the enzyme concentration during the measurement. *Intrinsic pathway:* 400  $\mu$ L defibrinated plasma, 35 110  $\mu$ L buffer A (eventually containing the substances to be tested) and 40  $\mu$ L Actin FS (undiluted) were added in a plastic microcuvette, intensively mixed and incubated at 37°C during 4 min. Then 30  $\mu$ L of a 10 mM substrate solution (final concentration: 500  $\mu$ M), followed by 20  $\mu$ L of a 0.5 M  $CaCl_2$  solution (final concentration: 16.7 mM) were added (both

solutions were prewarmed at 37°C for 4 min). The optical density was measured at 37°C at 405 nm during 20 min. *Contact activation*: 400  $\mu\text{L}$  defibrinated plasma, 100  $\mu\text{L}$  buffer A (eventually containing the substances to be tested), 9  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 100  $\mu\text{M}$  phospholipid solution (final concentration: 1.5  $\mu\text{M}$ ) and 40  $\mu\text{L}$  kaolin (0.5 mg/mL) were added in a plastic microcuvette, intensively mixed and incubated at 37°C during 4 min. (It was not necessary to remove kaolin by centrifugation.) Then 30  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 10 mM substrate solution (final concentration: 500  $\mu\text{M}$ ), followed by 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 0.5 M  $\text{CaCl}_2$  solution (final concentration: 16.7 mM) were added (both solutions were prewarmed at 37°C for 4 min). The optical density was measured at 37°C at 405 nm during 25 min.

*Decay constants of thrombin in plasma*: To 120  $\mu\text{L}$  defibrinated plasma was added: 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of buffer A which contained the substances to be tested. To this mixture was added 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of a thrombin preparation to achieve a final concentration of 100 nM. At regular time intervals (5-10 s) 10  $\mu\text{L}$  samples were subsampled into 490  $\mu\text{L}$  buffer B which contained 200  $\mu\text{M}$  of S2238 to determine thrombin activity. After 2 min the reaction was stopped by adding 300  $\mu\text{L}$  1M citric acid. The moment of sampling and stopping were recorded on a personal computer with pushbutton-equipped pipettes. The optical density was read at 405 nm and the obtained amidolytic activities were used to calculate the decay constants. The obtained decay constant is the sum of the decay constants of the reaction of thrombin with antithrombin III ( $k_1$ ) and  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin ( $k_2$ ).

*Manual determination of thrombin generation in plasma: Extrinsic pathway*: To 480  $\mu\text{L}$  defibrinated plasma were added: 172  $\mu\text{L}$  buffer A which contained the substrate at concentrations between 0 to 2000  $\mu\text{M}$  and 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 54  $\mu\text{M}$  phospholipid solution (final concentration: 1.5  $\mu\text{M}$ ). After incubation for 4 min at 37°C, 24  $\mu\text{L}$  tissue factor (undiluted) was added. Thrombin formation started at zero time by adding 24  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 0.5 M  $\text{CaCl}_2$  solution (final concentration: 16.67 mM). At regular time intervals of 12 s samples of 10  $\mu\text{L}$  were subsampled as described above. From the amidolytic activities thus obtained, the thrombin generation curve can be drawn. The decay constant  $k_2$  can be calculated from these data in an alternative way, see reference 6 for further details.

*Influence of the substrate on the prothrombinase-complex*: With the obtained decay constants and from the curves obtained by the subsampling



method we calculated the course of prothrombin conversion and the course of prothrombinase concentration.

## RESULTS

5

*Kinetic parameters:* The  $K_m$  and  $k_{cat}$  values for each substrate were determined at an enzyme concentration of 0.5-50 nM (thrombin) and 50 nM factor Xa. From the initial reaction velocities, measured for at least six substrate concentrations between 200 and 2000  $\mu M$ , the  $K_m$  and  $k_{cat}$  values were calculated by linear regression on the Michaelis-Menten curve. Table 3 gives an overview of the kinetic parameters of the different substrates hydrolyzed by thrombin and by factor Xa in buffer A.

*Continuous registration of the thrombin generation curve:* The continuous thrombin generation curves of substrates, entries 4 (SQ68), 5, and 16 are given in figure 17 (extrinsic pathway) respectively figure 18 (intrinsic pathway).

*Decay constants:* The decay constants of thrombin in the presence of Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl and 2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA are given in tables 8 and 9. In both cases, inhibition of thrombin by AT-III is more efficient than when SQ68 is present.

*Manual determination of thrombin formation and the influence of substrate on the prothrombinase-complex:* The thrombin generation curves obtained by the subsampling method were determined. From these curves and the decay constants, the course of the concentration of the prothrombinase complex was calculated. Both substrates neither influenced the manual thrombin generation curve nor showed inhibitory activity on the prothrombinase complex.

*Thrombin generation curves in the presence of high heparin concentrations:* Some examples of thrombin generation curves measured in the presence of different heparin concentrations are given in figures 2, 19 and 20. The substrates were chosen to cover a continuous range of heparin concentrations up to 5 U ISH/mL.

*Thrombin generation curves in the presence of hydroxylamine:* Hydroxylamine was added to the plasma sample as a selective

denaturing agent of  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin. The influence of added hydroxylamine on the thrombin generation curve as measured in the continuous assay is given in figure 3. Correct thrombin generation curves are obtained at all concentrations of hydroxylamine used. Already at 25  
5 mM hydroxylamine the residual amidolytic activity of the  $\alpha_2$ M-thrombin complex is substantially reduced.

*Decay constants and the influence of hydroxylamine on the prothrombinase complex:* To check if hydroxylamine has any inhibitory activity on the  
10 coagulation cascade, a thrombin generation curve was determined via the subsampling method. The decay constants of thrombin in the presence of hydroxylamine (table 4) were determined and were used to calculate the course of the prothrombinase concentration. From these experiments it was concluded that hydroxylamine did not influence the coagulation cascade.

15

*Continuous registration of thrombin generation curves in non-defibrinated plasma:* In figure 5 an example of a thrombin generation curve in non-defibrinated plasma is given. Hydroxylamine was used to denature  $\alpha_2$ -  
macroglobulin but it also makes the defibrinating step redundant because  
20 a clear gel is formed, so the measurement is not disturbed by formation of an incipient turbidity.

In a preliminary experiment, serum was used as enzyme source (thrombin-  
 $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin-complex) and the substrates did not show a significant  
25 reduction of the hydrolysis rate. From this it was clear that a substrate of six amino acids is still too small to be excluded from the active-site of thrombin complexed with  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin. The most promising compound was entry 16, which is predominantly attacked by thrombin; having the lowest susceptibility for factor Xa.

30

From these thrombin generation curves, substrates Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (5) and 2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (16) were selected as most promising  
compounds. The manual thrombin generation curves showed a higher  
amidolytic activity at higher substrate concentration. But more  
35 important, each curve showed that the maximum was reached at the same time-point: indicating no feedback-loop inhibition! From the decay constants and the manual thrombin generation curves the prothrombinase activity could be calculated. Again no inhibition of the prothrombinase activity or feedback-loop activation was observed. From these data it was

concluded that Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl and 2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA are most promising compounds to be used as substrates in the continuous registration of thrombin formation in plasma.

Thrombin generation curves were recorded using compounds 5, 9 and 20  
5 and heparinized plasma; they are represented in figure 6. It must be realized that Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (9) and 2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Lys-pNA (20) cannot be used in the continuous assay method without additional heparin. The substrate Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (5) can be used in the continuous assay at a heparin (ISH) concentration of 0.25 U/mL. Boc-Gly-  
10 Val-Arg-pNA.HCl gives a correct thrombin generation curve up to 2U ISH/mL. Finally, 2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Lys-pNA was used at a concentration of 5U ISH/mL. Incipient turbidities hampered the determination of the thrombin generation curve at higher heparin concentrations. Generally, when heparin is present in plasma, the substrate used should become a  
15 better thrombin substrate (lower  $K_m$ , higher  $k_{cat}$ ) if the heparin concentration increases.

From hydrolysis experiments with serum as enzyme source (thrombin- $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin-complex) and from the thrombin generation curves derived from them (figures 1-3) it was concluded that substrates up to six amino  
20 acids showed no slower rates of hydrolysis.

We included hydroxylamine in the continuous assay to denaturate  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin and found the end level of thrombin- $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin significantly reduced, without affecting thrombin generation, *already at a concentration of 25 mM of hydroxylamine*, see figure 3. From these  
25 experiments it was concluded that hydroxylamine is ideally suited to denaturate  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin without affecting the coagulation cascade. This encouraging result prompted us to determine a thrombin generation curve in non-defibrinated plasma in the presence of hydroxylamine (figure 5). It was expected that thrombin-cleaved fibrinogen does not polymerize  
30 to form insoluble fibrin by the action of factor XIIIa in the presence of a large excess hydroxylamine. Factor XIIIa (a transglutaminase), evidently incorporates hydroxylamine in fibrin monomers instead of the standard  $\epsilon$ -amino group of a lysyl residue occurring in fibrin monomers. Incorporation of hydroxylamine results in the formation of a clear gel,  
35 permitting the passage of light. It is thus possible to measure a thrombin generation curve in non-defibrinated plasma with a zero endlevel of thrombin- $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin. It was determined that in this system even the effect of heparin (0.05 U ISH/mL) is measurable.

## REFERENCES

4. Rosing, J., Tans, G., Govers-Riemslog, J.W.P., Zwaal, R.F.A. and Hemker, H.C. (1980) *J. Biol. Chem.* 255, 274-283.
5. Josso, F. and Prou-Wartelle, O. (1972) In: *Techniques en hematologie*, Alagille, D. et al. (Eds.), 101-108, Paris, France.
6. Hemker, H.C., Willems, G.M. and B\_guin, S. (1986) *Thromb. Haemostas.* 56, 9-17.

**Table 1: Kinetics of hydrolysis of a number of defined substrates by human  $\alpha$ -thrombin in buffer A at 37°C.**

5	No	Substrate	[S] $\mu\text{M}$	[E] nM	$K_m$ $\mu\text{M}$	$k_{\text{cat}}$ $\text{s}^{-1}$	$k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ $(\text{Ms})^{-1}$
	1	2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Arg-pNA	2-200	0.5	5.62	236.5	4.21E7
	2	2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Arg-pNA	1-200	0.5	3.16	28.4	8.99E6
10	3	2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Lys-pNA	1-500	0.5	65.11	118.3	1.82E6
	4	2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Lys-pNA	1-200	0.5	35.04	90.4	2.58E6
	5	2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Orn-pNA	10-1000	25	419.9	4.64	1.11E4
	6	HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Nle-pNA	10-200	50	943.3	11.4	1.21E4
	7	HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Nle-pNA	20-500	25	87.9	6.66	7.54E4
15	8	HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Glu-pNA	25-2000	50	473.8	0.35	7.39E2
	9	2HCl.H-Gly-Arg-pNA	250-2000	50	2282	0.107	4.69E1
	10	2HCl.H-Ala-Arg-pNA	250-2000	50	1140	0.074	6.49E1
	11	2HCl.H-D-Ala-Arg-pNA	250-2000	100	4083	0.096	2.35E1
	12	2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA	250-2000	50	1239	0.23	1.86E2
20	13	2HCl.H-D-Val-Arg-pNA	250-2000	50	4247	0.28	6.59E1
	14	MZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-4000	100	2537	12.3	4.85E3
	15	MZ-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl	125-2000	100	1539	107.5	6.99E4
	16	MZ-D-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	100	1330	0.059	4.44E1
	17	MZ-Aib-Arg-pNA.HCl <sup>a</sup>	250-2000	100	830	0.46	5.54E2
25	18	MZ-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl	125-2000	100	1007	14.4	1.43E4
	19	MZ-D-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	100	1312	0.146	1.11E2
	20	MMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	100	1737	6.60	3.80E3
	21	MMZ-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	100	666	16.5	2.48E4
	22	DMMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	100	902	6.44	7.14E3
30	23	DMMZ-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	100	565	4.08	7.22E3
	24	DEMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	50	866.5	1.73	1.99E3
	25	$\beta$ NS-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-750	50	-	NH	-
	26	pTS-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	50	-	NH	-
	27	2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA	50-1000	1	1638	16.54	1.01E4
35	28	Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl	5-1000	1	244	11.2	4.59E4

NH: not hydrolyzed by thrombin; <sup>a</sup>from Hemker H.C. et al. (1993) Thromb. Haemostas 70, 617-624.

**Table 2: Kinetics of hydrolysis of a defined number of substrates by**

human factor Xa in buffer A at 37°C.

No	Substrate	[S] μM	[E] nM	K <sub>m</sub> μM	k <sub>cat</sub> s <sup>-1</sup>	k <sub>cat</sub> / K <sub>m</sub> (Ms) <sup>-1</sup>	
5							
1	2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Arg-pNA	50-1500	10	174.4	7.49	4.29E4	
2	2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Arg-pNA	50-2000	10	693	18.7	2.69E4	
3	2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Lys-pNA	250-1500	25	963	0.61	6.31E2	
4	2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Lys-pNA	ND	-	-	-	-	
10	5	2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Orn-pNA	ND	-	-	-	
6	HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Nle-pNA	5-500	25	-	NH	-	
7	HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Nle-pNA	ND	-	-	-	-	
8	HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Glu-pNA	250-1500	50	-	NH	-	
9	2HCl.H-Gly-Arg-pNA	ND	-	-	-	-	
15	10	2HCl.H-Ala-Arg-pNA	ND	-	-	-	
11	2HCl.H-D-Ala-Arg-pNA	ND	-	-	-	-	
12	2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA	250-2000	50	-	NH	-	
13	2HCl.H-D-Val-Arg-pNA	ND	-	-	-	-	
14	MZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-3000	50	4700	55.0	1.17E4	
20	15	MZ-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-3000	50	5593	51.7	9.25E3
16	MZ-D-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	50	3084	0.088	2.85E1	
17	MZ-Aib-Arg-pNA.HCl <sup>a</sup>	250-2000	50	3940	2.9	7.38E2	
18	MZ-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	50	2569	6.42	2.50E3	
19	MZ-D-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	50	1821	0.13	6.95E1	
25	20	MMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-3000	50	6402	43.9	6.86E3
21	MMZ-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-3000	50	7054	40.6	5.75E3	
22	DMMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-3000	50	2838	13.6	4.79E3	
23	DMMZ-Ala-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-3000	50	22422	11.04	4.93E3	
24	DEMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-2000	50	2321	4.54	1.96E3	
30	25	βNS-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	50-1500	10	116	2.00	1.73E4
26	pTS-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl	25-1500	10	233	9.26	3.98E4	
27	2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA	250-1500	25	2679	3.51	1.31E3	
28	Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl	250-1500	25	959	1.90	1.98E3	

NH: not hydrolyzed by factor Xa, ND: not determined

35

Table 3: Kinetic parameters of hydrolysis of the substrates by thrombin and factor Xa in buffer A at 37°C.

No	Substrate	Thrombin	Factor Xa
----	-----------	----------	-----------

		$K_m$	$k_{cat}$	$k_{cat}/K_m$	$K_m$	$k_{cat}$	$k_{cat}/K_m$
1	2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA	1239	0.23	1.86E2	-	NH	-
2	2HCl.H-Val-Lys-pNA	-	NH	-	-	NH	-
5	3 MZ-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl	1007	14.4	1.43E4	4082	10.1	2.47E3
4	MZ-Aib-Arg-pNA.HCl	830	0.46	5.54E2	3940	2.9	7.38E2
5	Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl	882	0.79	8.94E2	9552	4.69	4.91E2
6	Msc-Val-Lys-pNA.HCl	-	NH	-	-	NH	-
10	7 Msc-Val-Orn-pNA.HCl	-	NH	-	-	NH	-
8	Msc-Val-Nle-pNA	-	NH	-	-	NH	-
9	Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl	244	11.2	4.59E4	2679	3.51	1.31E3
15	10 2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA	3234	25.4	7.88E3	4379	2.84	6.49E2
11	2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Lys-pNA	986	0.20	1.98E2	-	NH	-
12	2HCl.H-Gly-Val-Orn-pNA	-	NH	-	-	NH	-
13	HCl.H-Gly-Val-Nle-pNA	-	NH	-	-	NH	-
14	2HCl.H-Glu-Val-Arg-pNA	932	1.44	1.54E3	1510	1.07	7.09E2
20	15 2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA	3274	3.51	1.07E3	1433	0.93	6.38E2
16	2HCl.H-EGGVR-pNA*	1006	0.55	5.42E2	2186	0.42	1.92E2
17	2HCl.H-EGGGVR-pNA*	379	0.27	7.04E2	1491	0.30	2.01E2
18	2HCl.H-EGPGVR-pNA*	1074	9.07	8.44E3	2192	3.41	1.56E3
19	2HCl.H-EPGGVR-pNA*	-	NH	-	961	0.45	4.68E2
25	20 2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Lys-pNA <sup>a</sup>	35	90	2.58E6	-	NH	-

\*Amino acyl residues are denoted by their one-letter code;  $K_m$  in  $\mu\text{M}$ ;  $k_{cat}$  in  $\text{s}^{-1}$ ;  $k_{cat}/K_m$  in  $(\text{Ms})^{-1}$ ; NH: no hydrolysis

30 Table 4: Decay constants of euglothrombin in plasma in the presence of hydroxylamine.

[S]	$k_{overall}$	$k_1$	$k_2$	$t_{1/2}$	$k_{2,M}$	$k_{1,corr}$	$(k_{1,corr})$
mM	$\text{min}^{-1}$	$\text{min}^{-1}$	$\text{min}^{-1}$	s	$\text{min}^{-1}$	$\text{min}^{-1}$	$\text{min}^{-1}$
0	3.240	2.908	0.332	12.84	0.271	2.799	1.508
25	1.312	1.253	0.059	31.70	0.057	1.085	0.585

35  $k_{overall} = k_1 + k_2 + 0.170$ ;  $k_1$ : AT-III dependent decay of thrombin;  $k_2$ :  $\alpha_2\text{M}$  dependent decay of thrombin;  $k_{overall}$ ,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are determined in a decay

experiment;  $k_{2,M}$ :  $k_2$  determined by the subsampling method;  $k_{1,corr}$ :  $k_1$  after correcting for  $k_{2,M}$  and 0.170;  $k_{1,corr,control}/1.508 = 2.799/1.508 = 1.856 \mu\text{M AT-III}$ ;  $(k_{1,corr}) = k_{1,corr}/1.856$ .

5 Table 5: Time-point at which the maximum of the amidolytic activity, prothrombinase activity and thrombin concentration occur at different substrate concentrations.

*Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl:*

[S]	AA	t	PT	t	T	t
0	342.44	60	1211	26	327.04	60
200	388.15	60	1119	24	371.24	60
500	387.15	60	1090	24	374.80	60
1000	456.42	60	1346	24	443.82	60

15 *2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA:*

[S]	AA	t	PT	t	T	t
0	346.79	60	1046	24	332.89	48
200	350.62	60	1086	24	335.47	60
500	376.42	60	1122	24	359.48	60
20 1000	409.85	60	1149	24	396.23	60

*Hydroxylamine:*

[S]	AA	t	PT	t	T	t
0	335.15	48	1242	24	322.17	48
25	383.88	72	1227	36	378.03	72

25 AA: amidolytic activity (mOD/min); PT: prothrombinase concentration (mOD/min); T: thrombin concentration (mOD/min); t: time point at which maximum of concentration (amidolytic activity) is achieved (s); [S]: substrate concentration in  $\mu\text{M}$ , hydroxylamine in mM.

30

Table 6: Decay constants of euglobulin thrombin in plasma in the presence of different substrate concentrations (2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA).

[S]	$k_{overall}$	$k_1$	$k_2$	$t_{1/2}$	$k_{2,M}$	$k_{1,corr}$	$(k_{1,corr})$
$\mu\text{M}$	$\text{min}^{-1}$	$\text{min}^{-1}$	$\text{min}^{-1}$	s	$\text{min}^{-1}$	$\text{min}^{-1}$	$\text{min}^{-1}$
0	2.520	2.137	0.383	16.51	0.298	2.052	1.508
100	2.317	2.064	0.253	17.95	ND	ND	ND
200	2.154	1.751	0.402	19.31	0.234	1.750	1.286



500	1.898	1.509	0.389	21.91	0.207	1.521	1.118
1000	1.879	1.676	0.204	27.12	0.199	1.510	1.110
1500	1.217	1.087	0.130	34.17	ND	ND	ND
2000	1.005	0.877	0.128	41.37	ND	ND	ND

5  $k_{overall} = k_1 + k_2 + 0.170$ ;  $k_1$ : AT-III dependent decay of thrombin;  $k_2$ :  $\alpha_2M$  dependent decay of thrombin;  $k_{overall}$ ,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are determined in a decay experiment;  $k_{2,M}$ :  $k_2$  determined by the subsampling method;  $k_{1,corr}$ :  $k_1$  after correcting for  $k_{2,M}$  and 0.170;  $k_{1,corr,control}/1.508 = 2.052/1.508 = 1.361 \mu M$  AT-III;  $(k_{1,corr}) = k_{1,corr}/1.361$ ; ND: not determined.

10

Table 7: Substrates of the type Y-Val-X-pNA fitting the active-site of thrombin like fibrinogen.

	Y	X	Code	Derived from	
15	Code				
	H	Lys	1a	H-Val-Arg-pNA (Ex 1)	1
	Msc	Arg	2a	MZ-Val-Arg-pNA (Ex.1)	2
	Msc	Lys	2b		
20	Msc	Orn	2c		
	Msc	Nle	2d		
				Compound I	
				Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (Ex 2)	3'
				H-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA (Ex 2)	3
25	H-Gly	Lys	3a		
	H-Gly	Orn	3b		
	H-Gly	Nle	3c		
	H-Glu	Arg	3d		
	H-Glu-Gly	Arg	3e		
30	H-Glu-Gly-Gly	Arg	3f		
	H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Gly	Arg	3g		
	H-Glu-Gly-Pro-Gly	Arg	3h		
	H-Glu-Pro-Gly-Gly	Arg	3i		

Unprotected amino functions are suitably protonated (HCl) to give neutral solutions in plain water.

35

Table 8: Decay constants of euglothrombin in plasma in the presence of Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl at different concentrations.

[S] μM	k <sub>overall</sub> min <sup>-1</sup>	k <sub>1</sub> min <sup>-1</sup>	k <sub>2</sub> min <sup>-1</sup>	t <sub>1/2</sub> s	k <sub>2,M</sub> min <sup>-1</sup>	k <sub>1,corr</sub> min <sup>-1</sup>	(k <sub>1,corr</sub> ) min <sup>-1</sup>
0	2.578	2.262	0.316	16.13	0.225	2.183	1.508
5 200	1.958	1.729	0.229	21.24	0.198	1.590	1.098
500	1.792	1.614	0.178	23.22	0.178	1.444	0.998
1000	1.104	0.980	0.124	37.70	0.144	0.790	0.546

Table 9: Decay constants of euglothrombin in plasma in the presence of 2HCl.H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA at different concentrations.

[S] μM	k <sub>overall</sub> min <sup>-1</sup>	k <sub>1</sub> min <sup>-1</sup>	k <sub>2</sub> min <sup>-1</sup>	t <sub>1/2</sub> s	k <sub>2,M</sub> min <sup>-1</sup>	k <sub>1,corr</sub> min <sup>-1</sup>	(k <sub>1,corr</sub> ) min <sup>-1</sup>
15 0	2.578	2.262	0.316	16.13	0.225	2.183	1.508
200	2.159	1.946	0.213	19.26	0.220	1.769	1.223
500	2.024	1.733	0.291	21.04	0.192	1.662	1.149
1000	1.766	1.594	0.172	23.56	0.169	1.427	0.987

k<sub>overall</sub> = k<sub>1</sub> + k<sub>2</sub> + 0.170; k<sub>1</sub>: AT-III dependent decay of thrombin; k<sub>2</sub>: α<sub>2</sub>M dependent decay of thrombin; k<sub>overall</sub>, k<sub>1</sub> and k<sub>2</sub> are determined in a decay experiment; k<sub>2,M</sub>: k<sub>2</sub> determined by the subsampling method; k<sub>1,corr</sub>: k<sub>1</sub> after correcting for k<sub>2,M</sub> and 0.170; k<sub>1,corr,control</sub>/1.508 = 2.183/1.508 = 1.448 μM AT-III, (k<sub>1,corr</sub>) = k<sub>1,corr</sub>/1.397.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Figure 1: The influence of 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA on the prothrombinase activity in tissue factor triggered plasma: control (left curve), 500 (middle curve) and 1000 (right curve)  $\mu$ M 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA

Figure 2: Continuous optical density curves from extrinsic triggered plasma in the presence of international standard heparin using Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl as substrate at 500  $\mu$ M (top curve at 0,1 U ISH/ml, bottom curve at 0,25 U ISH/ml).

Figure 3: Top curve is control, below said curve is the curve obtained at 25 mM, below the 25 mM curve is the curve at 50 mM. The lowest curve is obtained at 100 mM.

15

Figure 4: The influence of hydroxylamine on the prothrombinase activity in extrinsically triggered plasma (left curve is control, right curve is at 25 mM hydroxylamine).

Figure 5: Continuous thrombin generation curves triggered by tissue factor with Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl as substrate at 500  $\mu$ M in defibrinated (def) and non-defibrinated (non-def) plasma (top curve is the control curve, bottom curve is non def/25 mM HONH<sub>2</sub>/0,05 U ISH/ml, second curve from the top is def/25 mM HONH<sub>2</sub>, second curve from the bottom is non def/25 mM HONH<sub>2</sub>).

25

Figure 6: Continuous optical density curves obtained from tissue factor triggered plasma using the substrates: 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA and HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Glu-pNA at 500  $\mu$ M.

30

Figure 7: Continuous optical density curves from tissue factor triggered plasma using as substrate DEMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl and 2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Orn-pNA at 500  $\mu$ M.

Figure 8: Continuous optical density curves obtained from tissue factor triggered plasma using HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Glu-pNA as substrate (curves from top to bottom: top at 600  $\mu$ M, then 500  $\mu$ M, bottom 300  $\mu$ M).

35

Figure 9: Continuous optical density curves obtained from tissue factor

triggered plasma using 2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Orn-pNA as substrate at 25  $\mu\text{M}$  (bottom curve) and 50  $\mu\text{M}$  (top curve).

**Figure 10:** Continuous optical density curves obtained from tissue factor triggered plasma using 2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pip-Orn-pNA as substrate at 100  $\mu\text{M}$  (bottom curve), 250  $\mu\text{M}$  (middle curve) and 500  $\mu\text{M}$  (top curve).

**Figure 11:** Continuous optical density curves obtained from tissue factor triggered plasma using DEMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl as substrate at 25  $\mu\text{M}$  (bottom curve) and 50  $\mu\text{M}$  (top curve).

**Figure 12:** Continuous optical density curves obtained from tissue factor triggered plasma using DEMZ-Gly-Arg-pNA.HCl as substrate at 250  $\mu\text{M}$  (bottom curve) and 500  $\mu\text{M}$  (bottom curve).

15

**Figure 13:** Continuous optical density curves obtained from tissue factor triggered plasma using 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA as substrate at 100  $\mu\text{M}$  (bottom curve), 200  $\mu\text{M}$  (middle curve) and 500  $\mu\text{M}$  (top curve).

**Figure 14:** Continuous optical density curves obtained from tissue factor triggered plasma using 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA as substrate at 1000  $\mu\text{M}$  (bottom curve), 1500  $\mu\text{M}$  (middle curve) and 2000  $\mu\text{M}$  (top curve).

**Figure 15:** Continuous optical density curves from intrinsic triggered plasma (Actin FS) using SQ68 (bottom curve) and 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA (top curve) as substrates at a concentration of 500  $\mu\text{M}$ .

**Figure 16:** Thrombin generation curves from tissue factor triggered plasma obtained by the subsampling method. The influence of additional 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA at different concentrations (200  $\mu\text{M}$ , 500  $\mu\text{M}$  and 1000  $\mu\text{M}$  curves 2-4 from left to right) on thrombin generation. The amidolytic activity in OD/min (Y axis) against time (s) on the X axis. (The left curve, closest to Y axis is the control curve no. 1.)

**Figure 17:** Continuous optical density curves from extrinsic triggered plasma using the substrates MZ-Aib-Arg-pNA.HCl (SQ68)(bottom curve), 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA (middle curve) and Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (top curve) at 500  $\mu\text{M}$ .

**Figure 18:** Continuous optical density curves from intrinsic triggered plasma using the substrates MZ-Aib-Arg-pNA.HCl (SQ68)(bottom curve), 2HCl.H-Val-Arg-pNA (middle curve) and Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl (top curve) at 500  $\mu$ M.

5

**Figure 19:** Continuous optical density curves from extrinsic triggered plasma in the presence of international standard heparin using Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl as substrate at 500  $\mu$ M. The top curve is obtained at 1,0 U ISH/ml. The middle curve is obtained at 1,5 U ISH/ml. The bottom curve is obtained at 2,0 U ISH/ml.

10

**Figure 20:** Continuous optical density curves from extrinsic triggered plasma in the presence of international standard heparin using Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA.HCl and 2HCl.H-D-Phe-Pro-Lys-pNA as substrates at 500  $\mu$ M. The bottom curve is obtained at 2 U ISH/ml with Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNA. The middle curve is obtained at 24 ISH/ml. The bottom curve is obtained at 5 U ISH/ml. The latter two curves are obtained for 2 HCl-N-D-Phe-Pro-Lys-pNA.

15

20

SEQUENCE LISTING

Sequence of human fibrinogen A $\alpha$ (1-23) tricosapeptide (I)

Seq. id no. 1

5

H-Ala-Asp-Ser-Gly-Glu<sup>5</sup>-Gly-Asp-Phe-Leu-Ala<sup>10</sup>-Glu-Gly-Gly-Gly-Val<sup>15</sup>-Arg-Gly-  
Pro-Arg-Val<sup>20</sup>-Val-Glu-Arg-OH

## CLAIMS

1. A method for determining the ETP (endogenous thrombin potential) of a sample, preferably in a continuous assay, said sample comprising at least 0,07 U ISH/ml due to heparin and/or one or more other  
5 anticoagulants, resulting in a total anticoagulant activity of or equivalent to at least 0,07 U ISH/ml, wherein a thrombin substrate or a salt thereof that is soluble in the sample is applied in a manner known per se for a thrombin substrate in a manner known per se for determining the ETP of a sample, said thrombin substrate being selected from the  
10 group comprising substrates according to any of claims 13-43 and H-Val-Arg-pNA.
2. A method for determining the ETP (endogenous thrombin potential) of a sample, preferably in a continuous assay, said sample comprising at least 0,07 U ISH/ml due to heparin and/or one or more other  
15 anticoagulants, resulting in a total anticoagulant activity of or equivalent to at least 0,07 U ISH/ml, wherein a thrombin substrate H-Val-Arg-pNA or a salt thereof that is soluble in the sample is applied in a manner known per se for a thrombin substrate in a manner known per se for determining the ETP of a sample.  
20
3. A method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the sample comprises anticoagulant resulting in a total anticoagulant activity of or equivalent to at the most 5,0 U ISH/ml and a total anticoagulant activity of at least 0,07 U ISH/ml or equivalent anticoagulation activity.  
25
4. A method for determining the ETP of a sample according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said method further comprises the step of adding hydroxylamine to the sample to be tested, the addition occurring prior to or at the beginning of the ETP determination.  
30
5. A method according to claim 4, wherein the hydroxylamine is added in an amount higher than 15 mM.
6. A method according to claim 4 or 5, wherein the hydroxylamine  
35 is added in an amount equal to or lower than 100 mM and preferably higher than 20 mM.
7. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the sample is plasma.

8. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the sample is nondefibrinated plasma.

9. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein  
5 the sample comprises anticoagulant resulting in a total anticoagulant activity of or equal to at the most 0,25 U ISH/ml activity and the substrate is selected from the group of substrates comprising substrates according to any of claims 13-35, substrates according to claim 43 and H-Val-Arg-pNA.

10

10. A method according to any of claims 1-8, wherein the sample comprises anticoagulant resulting in a total anticoagulant activity of or equal to at least 0,85 U ISH/ml activity and the substrate is selected from the group of substrates according to claim 42.

15

11. A method according to any of claims 1-8 or 10, wherein the sample comprises anticoagulant resulting in a total anticoagulant activity of or equal to at the most 2,0 U ISH/ml activity and the substrate is a substrate selected from the group of substrates according to claim 42.

20

12. A method according to any of claims 1-8, wherein the sample comprises anticoagulant resulting in a total anticoagulant activity of or equal to at least 2,0 U ISH/ml activity and the substrate is a substrate selected from the group of substrates according to any of claims 36-41.

25

13. A dipeptide thrombin substrate comprising the formula P-Val-Xaa-S, wherein P is an amino protective group, said group being non aromatic and polar,

Val is a valine residue attached via a peptide bond to Xaa,

30 Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal guanidino group or ureido group separated by at least 2 carbon atoms from the peptide backbone, said amino acid residue being attached to S,

S is a signal group such as a chromophore that can be enzymatically hydrolysed with the proviso that the substrate is not

35  $\text{CH}_3\text{O-CO-CH}_2\text{-CO-L-Val-Arg-pNA}$  or  $\text{H-Val-Arg-pNA}$ .

14. A substrate according to claim 13, wherein P is an organic moiety.

15. A substrate according to claim 13 or 14, wherein P fits in the aryl binding pocket of thrombin.



16. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-15, wherein P is methylsulphonylethyloxycarbonyl (Msc).

17. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-16, wherein S is  
5 paranitroanilide (pNA).

18. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-17, wherein Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal guanidino group with at least two carbon atoms separating the guanidino group from the peptide  
10 backbone.

19. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-18, wherein Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a side chain longer than that of ornithine, preferably longer than that of lysine and most preferably equal to that  
15 of arginine.

20. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-19, wherein Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal guanidino group with at least the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>- or the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>- separating the  
20 guanidino group from the peptide backbone, Xaa being respectively norarginine or homoarginine.

21. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-19, wherein Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal guanidino group with at least  
25 the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CH<sub>2</sub>- or the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-S-CH<sub>2</sub>- separating the guanidino group from the peptide backbone.

22. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-19, wherein Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal guanidino group with at least  
30 the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-phenyl- or the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-cyclohexyl- separating the guanidino group from the peptide backbone, with the phenyl or cyclohexyl optionally being substituted.

23. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-19, wherein Xaa is  
35 arginine.

24. A substrate according to claim 23, being Msc-Val-Arg-pNA.

25. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-17, wherein Xaa is an

amino acid residue comprising a terminal ureido group with at least two carbon atoms separating the ureido group from the peptide backbone.

26. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-17 or 25, wherein Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a side chain longer than that of ornithine, preferably longer than that of lysine and most preferably equal to that of arginine.
27. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-17, 25 or 26, wherein Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal ureido group with at least the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>- or the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>- separating the ureido group from the peptide backbone.
28. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-17 or 25 or 26, wherein Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal ureido group with at least the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CH<sub>2</sub>- or the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-S-CH<sub>2</sub>- separating the ureido group from the peptide backbone.
29. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-17 or 25 or 26, wherein Xaa is an amino acid residue comprising a terminal ureido group with at least the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-phenyl- or the group -CH<sub>2</sub>-cyclohexyl- separating the ureido group from the peptide backbone, with the phenyl or cyclohexyl optionally being substituted.
30. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-17 or 25 or 26, wherein Xaa is citrullin.
31. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-30, wherein the  $K_m$  for thrombin is 800-1250 microM, preferably 840-250.
32. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-31, wherein the  $k_{cat}$  for thrombin is 0,4-0,9 s<sup>-1</sup>, preferably 0,50-0,85 s<sup>-1</sup>.
33. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-32, wherein the  $K_m/k_{cat}$  for thrombin is 200-300 Ms<sup>-1</sup>, preferably 225-275 Ms<sup>-1</sup>, most preferably 240-260 Ms<sup>-1</sup>.
34. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-33, wherein the  $K_m$  for Factor Xa is 500 microM or more.

35. A substrate according to any of the claims 13-34, wherein the  $k_{cat}$  for Factor Xa is  $0 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .
36. A thrombin substrate comprising the structure Zaa-Pipecolyl-Yaa-S  
5 or Zaa-Pro-Yaa-S, wherein  
Zaa represents D-Phenylalanine, D-Tryptophan or D-Tyrosine  
Pro represents proline,  
Yaa is an amino acid residue other than arginine and  
S is a signal marker.
- 10 37. A thrombin substrate according to claim 36, with the proviso Yaa is not Alanine, Phenylalanine, Tryptophan or Tyrosine.
38. A thrombin substrate according to claim 36 or 37, wherein Yaa is  
15 Ornithine.
39. A thrombin substrate according to claim 36 or 37, wherein Yaa is Lysine.
- 20 40. A thrombin substrate according to any of claims 36-39, wherein Zaa represents D-Phenylalanine.
41. A thrombin substrate according to any of claims 36-40, wherein S is paranitroanilide (pNA).
- 25 42. A thrombin substrate of the formula Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNa or a salt thereof such as Boc-Gly-Val-Arg-pNa.HCl.
43. A thrombin substrate of the formula H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNa or a  
30 salt thereof such as H-Glu-Gly-Gly-Val-Arg-pNa.HCl.
44. A method of synthesis of H-Val-Arg-pNA comprising acylation of the  $\alpha$ -amino function of the partially protected nitroanilide Arg with the active ester Boc-Val-ONSu, removal of the N-protection by acidolysis,  
35 which resulted in H-Val-Arg-pNA.
45. A method of synthesis of Msc-Val-Arg-pNA comprising acylation of the  $\alpha$ -amino function of the partially protected nitroanilide Arg with the active ester Boc-Val-ONSu, removal of the N-protection by acidolysis.

which resulted in H-Val-Arg-pNA subjecting H-Val-Arg-pNA to subsequent acylation with Msc-Val-ONp.

46. A method for determining the ETP of a sample, preferably in a  
5 continuous assay, said sample comprising anticoagulant resulting in a  
total anticoagulant activity of or equivalent to 0,0-0,25 U ISH/ml,  
wherein a thrombin substrate or a salt of the substrate that is soluble  
in the sample is applied in a manner known per se for a thrombin  
substrate in a manner known per se for determining the ETP of a sample,  
10 said thrombin substrate being selected from the group comprising  
substrates according to any of claims 13-35 and substrate according to  
claim 43.

47. A method for determining the ETP of a sample, preferably in a  
15 continuous assay, said sample comprising anticoagulant resulting in a  
total anticoagulant activity of or equivalent to 0,0-0,25 U ISH/ml,  
wherein a thrombin substrate or a salt of the substrate that is soluble  
in the sample is applied in a manner known per se for a thrombin  
substrate in a manner known per se for determining the ETP of a sample,  
20 said thrombin substrate being H-Val-Arg-pNA.

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8






FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15




FIG. 16




FIG. 17




FIG. 18




FIG. 19





FIG. 20



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/NL 96/00018

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 6 C12Q1/56 C07K5/06 C07K5/08 C07K5/10

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 6 C12Q C07K G01N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP,A,0 280 610 (SERBIO) 31 August 1988 cited in the application see page 9, line 30; example 19; table 1 ---	13-18,23
X	WO,A,86 01209 (BOEHRINGER MANNHEIM GMBH) 27 February 1986 see page 3, line 12 - line 17 ---	36,37,40
A	EP,A,0 420 332 (H.C. HEMKER; S. L. BEGUIN) ) 3 April 1991 cited in the application see the whole document ---	1,36,37, 40,41
	-/--	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

26 April 1996

11.06.1996

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

De Kok, A

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/NL 96/00018

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>THROMBOSIS AND HAEMOSTASIS, vol. 70, no. 4, 1993, STUTTGART DE, pages 617-624, XP000567560 H.C. HEMKER ET AL.: "Continuous registration of thrombin generation in plasma, its use for the determination of the thrombin potential" cited in the application see the whole document ---</p>	1,13
A	<p>US,A,4 247 454 (B.T. AF EKENSTAM ET AL.) 27 January 1981 see the whole document ---</p>	36,40,41
A	<p>US,A,4 214 049 (B.T. AF EKENSTAM ET AL.) 22 July 1980 see the whole document ---</p>	42,44,45
P,X	<p>THROMBOSIS RESEARCH, vol. 79, no. 5-6, 15 September 1995, WASHINGTON US, pages 491-499, XP002000556 D.T. RIJKERS ET AL.: "Design and synthesis of thrombin substrates with modified kinetic parameters" see the whole document ---</p>	1-45
P,X	<p>THROMBOSIS AND HAEMOSTASIS, vol. 74, no. 1, July 1995, STUTTGART DE, pages 134-138, XP000195941 H.C. HEMKER ET AL.: "Thrombin generation in plasma: its assessment via the endogenous thrombin potential" see the whole document -----</p>	1-24,45



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/NL 96/00018

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A-0280610	31-08-88	FR-A- 2611205	26-08-88
		DE-A- 3875359	26-11-92
WO-A-8601209	27-02-86	DE-A- 3428543	13-02-86
		AU-B- 564556	13-08-87
		AU-B- 4723885	07-03-86
		EP-A- 0190299	13-08-86
		JP-T- 61501635	07-08-86
EP-A-0420332	03-04-91	NL-A- 8902406	16-04-91
		AT-T- 121792	15-05-95
		DE-D- 69018910	01-06-95
		DE-T- 69018910	24-08-95
		JP-A- 3236798	22-10-91
		US-A- 5192689	09-03-93
US-A-4247454	27-01-81	SE-C- 407405	05-07-79
		AT-B- 348686	26-02-79
		AU-B- 497547	14-12-78
		AU-B- 1530576	05-01-78
		BE-A- 843970	03-11-76
		CA-A- 1068261	18-12-79
		CH-A- 622287	31-03-81
		DE-A- 2629195	13-01-77
		FR-A,B 2317280	04-02-77
		GB-A- 1510926	17-05-78
		JP-C- 1073863	30-11-81
		JP-A- 52024590	24-02-77
		JP-B- 56015239	09-04-81
		NL-A- 7607104	13-01-77
		SE-A- 7507975	12-01-77
		US-A- 4061625	06-12-77
US-A- 4169015	25-09-79		
US-A-4214049	22-07-80	SE-B- 407571	02-04-79
		AT-B- 349648	10-04-79
		AU-B- 497546	14-12-78
		AU-B- 1530476	05-01-78
		BE-A- 843969	03-11-76
		CA-A- 1081212	08-07-80

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No <b>PCT/NL 96/00018</b>
--

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A-4214049		CH-A- 622285	31-03-81
		DE-A- 2629067	13-01-77
		FR-A, B 2317278	04-02-77
		GB-A- 1510925	17-05-78
		JP-C- 1073862	30-11-81
		JP-A- 52024581	24-02-77
		JP-B- 56015238	09-04-81
		NL-A- 7607433	13-01-77
		SE-A- 7507974	12-01-77
		US-A- 4137225	30-01-79
-----			