

# **ACCELERATING SPARSITY IN THE NVIDIA AMPERE ARCHITECTURE**

Jeff Pool, Senior Architect



# OUTLINE

Sparsity Review

**Motivation Taxonomy Challenges**

### NVIDIA A100 GPU 2:4 Sparsity

**Sparsity pattern Sparse Tensor Cores Inference Speedups**

### Training Recipe

**Recipe steps Empirical evaluation Implementation in frameworks**

### SPARSITY – INFERENCE ACCELERATION VS TRAINING ACCELERATION

**Focus of this talk is Inference acceleration**

• **Including training methods that enable accelerated inferencing with no loss of accuracy**

**Using sparsity to accelerate training is very interesting – but not the focus of this talk!**

• **At the end of the talk, we'll touch briefly on accelerating training**

# SPARSITY REVIEW

## SPARSITY: ONE OF MANY OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES

### **Optimization goals for inference:**

- Reduce network model size
- Speed up network model execution

### **Observations that inspire sparsity investigations**

- Biology: neurons are not densely connected
- Neural networks:
	- Trained model weights have many small-magnitude values
	- Activations may have 0s because of ReLU



## SPARSITY AND PERFORMANCE

**Do not store or process 0 values -> smaller and hopefully faster model**

- Eliminate (prune) connections: set some weights to 0
- Eliminate (prune) neurons
- Etc.

**But, must also:**

- Maintain model accuracy
- Efficiently execute on hardware to gain speedup

## PRUNING/SPARSITY IS AN ACTIVE RESEARCH AREA

**Publications per Year** 



### SPARSITY TAXONOMY

### **Structure:**

- Unstructured: irregular, no pattern of zeros
- Structured: regular, fixed set of patterns to choose from

### **Granularity:**

- Finest: prune individual values
- Coarser: prune blocks of values
- Coarsest: prune entire layers







## STATE OF SPARSITY RESEARCH

#### **Lots of research in two areas:**

- High amounts (80-95%) unstructured, fine-grained sparsity
- Coarse-grained sparsity for simpler acceleration

#### **Challenges not resolved for these approaches:**

- Accuracy loss
	- High sparsity often leads to accuracy loss of a few percentage points, even after advanced training techniques
- Absence of a training approach that works across different tasks and networks
	- Training approaches to recover accuracy vary from network to network, often require hyper-parameter searches
- Lack of speedup
	- Math: unstructured data struggles to take advantage of modern vector/matrix math instructions
	- Memory access: unstructured data tends to poorly utilize memory buses, increases latency due to dependent sequences of reads
	- Storage overheads: metadata can consume 2x more storage than non-zero weights, undoing some of compression benefits

# SPARSITY SUPPORT INTRODUCED IN NVIDIA AMPERE ARCHITECTURE

## SPARSITY IN A100 GPU

### **Fine-grained structured sparsity for Tensor Cores**

- 50% fine-grained sparsity
- 2:4 pattern: 2 values out of each contiguous block of 4 must be 0

#### **Addresses the 3 challenges:**

- Accuracy: maintains accuracy of the original, unpruned network
	- Medium sparsity level (50%), fine-grained
- Training: a recipe shown to work across tasks and networks
- Speedup:
	- Specialized Tensor Core support for sparse math
	- Structured: lends itself to efficient memory utilization

#### 2:4 structured-sparse matrix



### SPARSE TENSOR CORES

#### **Applicable for:**

- Convolutions
- Matrix multiplies (linear layers, MLPs, recurrent cells, transformer blocks, etc.)

#### **Inputs: sparse weights, dense activations**

#### **Output: dense activations**

#### **Compressed format for the sparse matrix:**

- Do not store two 0s in each block of 4 values -> 50% of original storage
	- If a block contains more than two 0s, some of the 0s will be stored
- Metadata to index the remaining 2 values needed for accessing the dense activations
	- 2 bits per value
	- 12.5% overhead for fp16, compared to 100-200% for CSR format

## 2:4 COMPRESSED MATRIX FORMAT

### At most 2 non-zeros in every contiguous group of 4 values



Data: ½ size

Metadata: 2b per non-zero element

16b data = $> 12.5%$  overhead

8b data => 25% overhead

### Tiling a Large GEMM

Dense Tensor Cores (FP16)

16x16 \* 16x8 matrix multiplication

Replicated and repeated to support large M, N, K









### Larger Tile = More Cycles

Dense Tensor Cores (FP16)

16x32 \* 32x8 matrix multiplication – 2 cycles



B: Dense, KxN



C: Dense, MxN

15

### Pruned Weight Matrix



B: Dense, KxN

### Pruned and Compressed Weight Matrix





### Tiling a Large, Sparse GEMM



B: Dense, KxN

Sparse Tensor Cores – Hardware Magic



Sparse Tensor Cores

**Sparse** Tensor Cores (FP16)

16x32 \* 32x8 effective matrix multiplication – 1 cycle

2x the work with the same instruction throughput





B: Dense, KxN

## TENSOR CORE MATH THROUGHPUT

2x with Sparsity





## SPARSE TENSOR CORES

### Measured GEMM Performance with Current Software



## SPARSE TENSOR CORES

### Measured Convolution Performance With Current Software



End to End Inference Speedup



End to End Inference Speedup



End to End Inference Speedup



### BERT-Large

**1.8x GEMM Performance -> 1.5x Network Performance** Some operations remain dense: Non-GEMM layers (Softmax, Residual add, Normalization, Activation functions, …) GEMMs without weights to be pruned – Attention Batched Matrix Multiplies



## CONVOLUTION SPEEDUPS

### Layers of ResNeXt-101

Some layers are less compute-limited than others



Unique Layers

# TRAINING RECIPE

## GOALS FOR A TRAINING RECIPE

**Maintains accuracy**

**Is applicable across various tasks, network architectures, and optimizers**

**Does not require hyper-parameter searches**

### RECIPE FOR 2:4 SPARSE NETWORK TRAINING

- **1) Train (or obtain) a dense network**
- **2) Prune for 2:4 sparsity**
- **3) Repeat the original training procedure**
	- Same hyper-parameters as in step-1
	- Initialize to weights from step-2
	- Maintain the 0 pattern from step-2: no need to recompute the mask



**Dense weights**







**Retrained 2:4 sparse weights**

Dense matrix W



**Single-shot, magnitude-based pruning**

**For each 1x4 block of weights:**

• Set 2 weights with the smallest magnitudes to 0

**Layer weights to prune: conv, linear**

### At Most 2 Non-zeros in Every Contiguous Group of 4 Values

**Fine-grained structured pruning** 2:4 sparsity: 2 nonzero out of 4 entries  $X$   $\mid$   $X$ 

Dense matrix W Structured-sparse matrix W

= zero value

### At Most 2 Non-zeros in Every Contiguous Group of 4 Values





Dense matrix W Structured-sparse matrix W

= zero value

### At Most 2 Non-zeros in Every Contiguous Group of 4 Values



**Fine-grained structured pruning** 2:4 sparsity: 2 nonaro out of 4 entries

Dense matrix W Structured-sparse matrix W



= zero value

## RECIPE STEP 3: RETRAIN

### **Pruning out 50% of the weight values reduces model accuracy**

#### **Retraining recovers accuracy**

- Adjusts the remaining weights to compensate for pruning
- Requirement intuition:
	- Need enough updates by optimizer to compensate for pruning
	- Updates need high-enough learning rates to compensate

### **Simplest retraining:**

- Repeat the training session, starting with weight values after pruning (as opposed to random initialization)
- All the same training hyper-parameters
- Do not update weights that were pruned out

### EXAMPLE LEARNING RATE SCHEDULE



## STEP 3 FOR NETWORKS TRAINED IN MULTIPLE PHASES

### **Some networks are trained in multiple phases**

- Pretrain on one task and dataset, then train (fine-tune) on another task and dataset
- Examples:
	- Retinanet for object detection: 1) train for classification on ImageNet, 2) train for detection on COCO
	- BERT for question answering: 1) train for language modeling on BooksCorpus/Wikipedia, 2) train for question answering on SQuAD

### **In some cases Step 3 can be applied to only the last phase of original training**

- Shortens retraining to recover accuracy
- Generally requires that the last phase(s):
	- Perform enough updates
	- Use datasets large enough to not cause overfitting
- When in doubt retrain from the earliest phase, carry the sparsity through all the phases

## STEP3: DETECTOR EXAMPLE

Detection Dataset is Large Enough to Provide Enough Updates and Not Overfit



### STEP3: BERT SQUAD EXAMPLE

Squad Dataset and Fine-tuning is Too Small to Compensate for Pruning on its Own



## SPARSITY AND QUANTIZATION

### Apply Sparsity Before Quantizing

- **Quantization**  $\blacktriangleright$
- Generate a floating-point network  $\blacktriangleright$

Apply quantization (calibration, fine-tuning)  $\blacktriangleright$ 

- **Quantization+Sparsity**
- Generate a floating-point network  $\blacktriangleright$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Prune
- **Apply quantization (calibration, fine-tuning)**

## SPARSITY AND QUANTIZATION

### Post-Training Quantization

Post-training calibration follows the sparse fine-tuning



## SPARSITY AND QUANTIZATION

### Quantization Aware Training

Fine-tune for sparsity before fine-tuning for quantization



# ACCURACY EVALUATION

## **ACCURACY**

### **Overview**

Tested 34 networks, covering a variety of AI domains, with the described recipe

Run one test without sparsity and one test with sparsity, compare results

Results : accuracy is ~same (within prior observed run-to-run variation of networks)

FP16 networks trained with mixed precision training

INT8 networks generated by:

1<sup>st</sup>: Retrain a sparse FP16 network first

2<sup>nd</sup>: Apply traditional quantization techniques:

Post-training calibration

Quantization-Aware fine-tuning

### IMAGE CLASSIFICATION

### ImageNet



## IMAGE CLASSIFICATION

### ImageNet



## SEGMENTATION/DETECTION

### COCO 2017, bbox AP



## NLP - TRANSLATION

### EN-DE WMT'14



## NLP – LANGUAGE MODELING

### Transformer-XL, BERT



## COMPARING 2:4 TO OTHER ALTERNATIVES

### **Alternatives for 50% smaller models:**

- Reduce layer width: model still dense, requires no special hardware
- Block-sparsity: easier to accelerate
- Unstructured fine-grained sparsity: upper bound on accuracy

**Let's compare with 2:4 structured sparsity**

Simpler Networks



### Simpler Networks – From Scratch



Halving the hidden size of encoders gives a smaller, dense network that is simple to accelerate, but the network itself is much worse.

Simpler Networks – Fine-Tuned



Pruning the full network to 50% sparsity with 32x32 blocks then fine tuning can be accelerated on most parallel hardware, but the network performs poorly.

Note: For this and the following pruning techniques, we use the same model size no growing the model as we prune.

### Simpler Networks – Fine-Tuned



Structured Sparsity is easy to accelerate with A100 and converges to nearly the same loss - final accuracy on SQuAD v1.1 is equivalent to dense.

Simpler Networks – Fine-Tuned



Completely unstructured, fine-grained sparsity has similar loss compared to enforcing a 2:4 structure, but at only 50% sparse, it is incredibly hard to exploit.

### Simpler Networks – Fine-Tuned



75% unstructured sparsity could be accelerated with standard techniques, but it is still tricky.

However, it does not approach the quality of the dense baseline.

Simpler Networks – Fine-Tuned



Of these options, 2:4 structured sparsity is the only technique that both maintains network quality *and* is easy to accelerate on A100

# ASP: AUTOMATIC SPARSITY FOR RETRAINING IN FRAMEWORKS

APEX's **A**utomatic **SP**arsity: ASP

Conceptually simple – 3 step recipe

Simple in practice – 3 lines of code

NVIDIA's APEX library

AMP = Automatic Mixed Precision

**ASP** = **A**utomatic **SP**arsity

### APEX's **A**utomatic **SP**arsity: ASP

import torch

```
device = torch.device('cuda')
```
model = TheModelClass(\*args, \*\*kwargs) # Define model structure

optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.9) # Define optimizer

```
x, y = DataLoader(...) #load data samples and labels to train the model
for t in range(500):
   y pred = model(x)
    loss = loss fm(y pred, y)optimizer.zero_grad()
   loss.backward()
   optimizer.step()
```
torch.save(model.state dict(), 'dense model.pth')

APEX's **A**utomatic **SP**arsity: ASP

```
import torch
from apex.contrib.sparsity import ASP
device = torch.device('cuda')
model = TheModelClass(*args, **kwargs) # Define model structure
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.9) # Define optimizer
x, y = DataLoader(...) #load data samples and labels to train the model
for t in range(500):
    y pred = model(x)
    loss = loss fm(y pred, y)optimizer.zero_grad()
   loss.backward()
   optimizer.step()
                                                                            NVIDIA's Sparsity library
```
torch.save(model.state dict(), 'pruned model.pth') # checkpoint has weights and masks

APEX's **A**utomatic **SP**arsity: ASP

```
import torch
from apex.contrib.sparsity import ASP
device = torch.device('cuda')
model = TheModelClass(*args, **kwargs) # Define model structure
model.load_state_dict(torch.load('dense_model.pth'))
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.9) # Define optimizer
x, y = DataLoader(...) #load data samples and labels to train the model
for t in range(500):
    y pred = model(x)
    loss = loss fm(y pred, y)optimizer.zero_grad()
   loss.backward()
   optimizer.step()
                                                                            Load the trained model
```
torch.save(model.state dict(), 'pruned model.pth') # checkpoint has weights and masks

APEX's **A**utomatic **SP**arsity: ASP

```
import torch
from apex.contrib.sparsity import ASP
device = torch.device('cuda')
model = TheModelClass(*args, **kwargs) # Define model structure
model.load_state_dict(torch.load('dense_model.pth'))
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.9) # Define optimizer
ASP.prune_trained_model(model, optimizer)
x, y = DataLoader(...) #load data samples and labels to train the model
for t in range(500):
                                                                                to mask weights and gradients,<br>Compute sparse masks:
                                                                               Init mask buffers, tell optimizer
```
 $y$  pred = model(x)  $loss = loss fm(y pred, y)$ optimizer.zero\_grad() loss.backward() optimizer.step()

torch.save(model.state dict(), 'pruned model.pth') # checkpoint has weights and masks

PyTorch sparse fine-tuning loop PyTorch sparse fine-tuning loop

compute sparse masks: Universal Fine Tuning

APEX's **A**utomatic **SP**arsity: ASP



torch.save(model.state dict(), 'pruned model.pth') # checkpoint has weights and masks

PyTorch sparse fine-tuning loop PyTorch sparse fine-tuning loop

# DIRECTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

## SHORTEN RETRAINING

For some networks we were able to shorten retraining (Step-3) to a fraction of Step-1

However, these shortened hyper-parameters didn't apply to all networks

**Further research:** investigate shorter, universal recipes



## ACCELERATE TRAINING WITH SPARSITY

### **Sparse Tensor Cores can accelerate Step-3 (sparse retraining)**

### **Can we eliminate Step-1?**

• Recipe for training with sparsity from scratch (randomly initialized weights)

### **Research questions:**

- How long to train densely ("dense warmup")?
- Whether to periodically re-prune, if so: how frequently?
- How to use sparsity to accelerate weight gradient computation?
	- Input matrices are dense (activations and activation gradients), output is weight gradients (could be sparse)

**Lots of active research, but still lacking a simple, general recipe**



## **SUMMARY**

### Structured Sparsity gives Fast, Accurate Networks

We moved fine-grained weight sparsity from research to production

Fine-grained structured sparsity is:

- 50% sparse, 2 out of 4 elements are zero
- Accurate with our 3-step universal fine-tuning recipe
	- Simple recipe: train dense, prune, re-train sparse
	- Across many tasks, networks, optimizers
- Fast with the NVIDIA Ampere Architecture's Sparse Tensor Cores
	- Up to 1.85x in individual layers
	- Up to 1.5x in end-to-end networks



 $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$