

1 **Oak protein profile alterations upon root colonization by an**
2 **ectomycorrhizal fungus**

3 Mónica Sebastiana¹, Joana Martins², Andreia Figueiredo¹, Filipa Monteiro¹, Jordi
4 Sardans^{3,4}, Josep Peñuelas^{3,4}, Anabela Silva¹, Peter Roepstorff⁵, Maria Salomé Pais¹,
5 Ana Varela Coelho²

6

7 ¹ Plant Functional Genomics Unit, Biosystems & Integrative Sciences Institute (BioISI),
8 Faculty of Sciences, Lisbon University, Campo Grande, Edifício C2, piso 4, 1749-016
9 Lisboa, Portugal.

10 ² Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica António Xavier, Universidade Nova de
11 Lisboa, Avenida da Republica, 2780-157 Oeiras, Portugal.

12 ³ CSIC, Global Ecology Unit, CREAM-CSIC-UAB, Cerdanyola del Vallès 08193,
13 Catalonia, Spain

14 ⁴ CREAM, Cerdanyola del Vallès 08193, Catalonia, Spain.

15 ⁵ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Southern Denmark,
16 Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense M, Denmark.

17

18 E-mail addresses:

19 Mónica Sebastiana (corresponding author) – mgsebastiana@fc.ul.pt, Phone: + 351 21
20 7500163; Fax: + 351 21 7500172

21 Joana Martins - jfmartins88@gmail.com

22 Andreia Figueiredo – aafigueiredo@fc.ul.pt

23 Filipa Monteiro – fimonteiro@fc.ul.pt

24 Jordi Sardans - j.sardans@creaf.uab.cat

25 Josep Penuelas - josep.penuelas@uab.cat

26 Anabela Silva – arsilva@fc.ul.pt

27 Peter Roepstorff - roe@bmb.sdu.dk

28 Maria Salomé Pais - msalomepais@gmail.com

29 Ana Varela Coelho - varela@itqb.unl.pt

30

31 **Abstract**

32 An increased knowledge on the real impacts of ectomycorrhizal symbiosis in forest
33 species is needed to optimize forest sustainable productivity and thus to improve forests
34 services and their capacity to act as carbon sinks. In this study we investigated the
35 response of an oak species to ectomycorrhizae formation using a proteomics approach
36 complemented by biochemical analysis of carbohydrates levels. Comparative proteome
37 analysis between mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal cork oak plants revealed no
38 differences at the foliar level. However, the protein profile of 34 unique oak proteins
39 was altered in the roots. Consistent with the results of the biochemical analysis, the
40 proteome analysis of the mycorrhizal roots suggests a decreasing utilization of sucrose
41 for the metabolic activity of mycorrhizal roots which is consistent with an increased
42 allocation of carbohydrates from the plant to the fungus in order to sustain the
43 symbiosis. In addition, a promotion of protein unfolding mechanisms, attenuation of
44 defense reactions, increased nutrient mobilization from the plant-fungus interface (N
45 and P), as well as cytoskeleton rearrangements and induction of plant cell wall
46 loosening for fungal root accommodation in colonized roots, are also suggested by the
47 results. The suggested improvement in root capacity to take up nutrients accompanied
48 by an increase of root biomass without apparent changes in aboveground biomass
49 strongly re-enforce the potential of mycorrhizal inoculation to improve cork oak forest
50 resistance capacity to cope with coming climate change.

51

52 **Keywords:** cork oak; ectomycorrhizae; symbiosis; proteome; mass spectrometry;
53 differential in gel electrophoresis (DIGE)

54

55 **Acknowledgments:**

56 The authors would like to acknowledge Dr. Andrea Lorentzen from the Department of
57 Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of the University of Southern Denmark, for the
58 help provided with protein identification. This study was supported by Fundação para a
59 Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT/MCTES/PIDDAC, Portugal) with the project PTDC/AGR-
60 AAM/105531/2008. JS and JP were funded by the European Research Council Synergy
61 grant ERC-2013-SyG 610028-IMBALANCE-P.

62 **1. Introduction**

63 The ectomycorrhizal (ECM) symbiosis is a mutualistic association between the fine
64 roots of trees and soil inhabiting fungi, typically found in temperate and boreal forests.
65 The intimate contact between the two partners that occurs in ectomycorrhizae results
66 from a synchronized plant-fungus development with the final goal of nutrient transfer:
67 the fungus provides the plant with mineral nutrients, which in turn, supplies the fungus
68 with photosynthetically derived carbohydrates. In ectomycorrhizae, the fine hyphae of
69 the symbiotic fungi can explore soil niches that are inaccessible to plant roots and
70 absorb nutrients, significantly contributing to host nutrition, in particular under
71 conditions of abiotic stress (Smith and Read 1997). Besides increasing plant growth,
72 ectomycorrhizae also seem to bring other benefits to the plant, like a more efficient
73 uptake of water and higher resistance to pathogens and environmental stresses (Smith
74 and Read 1997). The use of mycorrhizal fungi in plant production systems constitutes a
75 promising strategy to enhance plant productivity with low impact on the environment.
76 More detailed information on the molecular processes in ECM host trees is relevant
77 owing to their ecological significance, the economic importance of the tree species
78 involved and the interest in exploiting this symbiosis to maximize tree productivity and
79 sustainability. Molecular studies, including large scale gene profiling experiments have
80 shown that the morphological and physiological changes associated with
81 ectomycorrhizae development are accompanied by changes in gene expression in both
82 partners (Johansson et al. 2004; Heller et al. 2008; Flores-Monterroso et al. 2013).
83 However, considering only genes showing differential RNA accumulation will not
84 detect all the important functions in ectomycorrhizae biology. Proteomics has the ability
85 to complement transcriptomics by characterizing gene products (proteins) and their
86 response to a variety of changing biological and environmental factors. Two-
87 dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) is a powerful technique which enables the
88 separation of complex mixtures of proteins according to their isoelectric point (*pI*) and
89 molecular mass (*Mr*). Several 2-DE pioneering studies were performed to analyze ECM
90 symbiosis in the early 1990's (Hilbert et al. 1991; Burgess and Dell 1996) allowing
91 researchers to detect several fungal symbiosis related (SR) proteins, up-accumulated or
92 newly induced in ECM roots, as well as down-accumulated plant polypeptides, by
93 comparison to control roots and mycelium. However, very few proteins were identified
94 due to the limitation of both electrophoretic and identification methods. Improvements

95 in 2-DE and mass spectrometry, and the development of genomic sequence databases
96 for peptide mass matches made it possible to achieve a high throughput of plant protein
97 identification (Bestel-Corre et al. 2004). Recent advances in sequencing technologies
98 and the subsequent implementation of genomic and transcriptomic databases of an
99 increasing number of organisms, some of them establishing mycorrhizal symbiosis, such
100 as *Populus*, *Quercus*, *Pisolithus*, *Laccaria* or *Tuber*, have opened new opportunities for
101 identifying proteins with confidence by using mass spectrometry. Differential in gel
102 electrophoresis (DIGE) is a method that can be used to accurately quantify protein
103 accumulation differences under various conditions. Using the DIGE technology,
104 proteome analysis can be carried out similarly to a microarray experiment in that two
105 samples are compared on one gel by analyzing the ratio of two fluorescent labels
106 between two samples for each protein (Unlu et al. 1997). In this work we investigated
107 the differences in the protein profiles between mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal cork
108 oak (*Quercus suber*) plants upon inoculation with the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Pisolithus*
109 *tinctorius*. Our aim was to identify plant proteins differentially regulated by the
110 interaction with the fungal symbiont, analyze their function, and contribute to gain
111 insights into the molecular events occurring in the plant during ECM colonization. In
112 order to fulfill this goal we compared mycorrhizal and non-symbiotic root and foliar
113 tissues using the 2D-DIGE technique to quantify differences in protein abundance. The
114 differentially accumulated proteins were excised from 2D-gels and subjected to mass
115 spectrometry and database searches for protein identification. Since proteins involved in
116 carbon metabolic pathways were one of the most affected protein groups following
117 inoculation with *P. tinctorius* we also analyzed soluble sugars, starch and % C in
118 mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal roots.

119 **2. Materials and Methods**

120 **2.1. Plant and fungal material**

121 *P. tinctorius* (strain Pt23 in the collection of the Plant Functional Genomics Group,
122 Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon) was grown on BAF agar medium and
123 subsequently in a peat-vermiculite mixture moistened with liquid BAF medium as
124 described previously (Sebastiania et al. 2013a). *Q. suber* seeds were germinated in a
125 greenhouse, in plastic trays containing soil acquired from a gardening store (Siro®
126 Universal, Portugal; 80-150 mg/L N, 80-150 mg/L P₂O₅, 300-500 mg/L K₂O, pH
127 (CaCl₂) 5.5-6.5, organic matter > 70%). After germination, three months old plantlets

128 were transferred to 1,5 L pots containing soil and simultaneously inoculated with *P.*
129 *tinctorius* peat-vermiculite inoculum (3 months old), according to Sebastiana et al.
130 (2013a). Control plants were treated with a non-inoculated peat-vermiculite mixture.
131 Plants were grown in pots in a greenhouse and watered once a week with 500 mL of tap
132 water. No fertilization was applied.

133 **2.2 Plant harvest and biomass determination**

134 Two months after inoculation roots and leaves from inoculated and non-inoculated
135 plants were collected. Visual inspection for the presence of mycorrhizal root tips
136 enabled to detect five plants in the non-inoculated treatment presenting mycorrhizal
137 roots from an unknown morphotype. These plants were discarded. In total, 25
138 mycorrhizal and 20 non-mycorrhizal plants were sampled. Roots were rinsed to
139 eliminate soil particles, first with running tap water, and then with deionized water.
140 Excess water was removed with filter paper. For each plant, the weight of the root and
141 leaves was recorded for biomass determination. In order to account for the dilution
142 effect in mycorrhizal plants, due to fact that only a limited number of roots in a root
143 system are in fact colonized, only secondary roots presenting ECM root tips were
144 sampled for protein extraction and biochemical analysis. Roots and leaves were frozen
145 in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for further analysis.

146 **2.2. Protein extraction**

147 4-5 biological replicates of mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal, each consisting of a pool
148 of roots or leaves from 5 replicated plants from each group, were subjected to protein
149 extraction and separation by 2-DE DIGE. Frozen material was grounded to a fine
150 powder in a mortar using liquid nitrogen. Protein extraction (1 g roots/leaves) was
151 performed according to the phenol protocol, as described previously (Sebastiana et al.
152 2013b). Protein quantity was measured with the 2-DE Quant Kit (GE Healthcare) using
153 BSA as a standard. Protein extracts were concentrated using the 2-DE Clean-Up kit (GE
154 Healthcare) and used for the 2-DE DIGE analysis.

155 **2.3. 2-DE DIGE**

156 The experiment included two different comparisons: (1) mycorrhizal roots versus non-
157 mycorrhizal roots and (2) “mycorrhizal” leaves versus “non-mycorrhizal” leaves.
158 Before electrophoresis protein samples were labelled with the CyDye DIGE Fluors

159 (Cy5, Cy3 and Cy2; GE Healthcare). Before the labelling reaction, the pH of the
160 extracted protein solution was adjusted to 8.5 with 100 mM NaOH solution. Each
161 protein sample, consisting of 30 µg of root tissue / 50 µg of leaf tissue, was labelled
162 with Cy3 or Cy5, using the CyDye DIGE Fluor minimal dyes (GE Healthcare),
163 according to manufactures' instructions. The internal control was prepared by mixing
164 equal quantities of protein extract from each biological replicate, and labelling with Cy2
165 dye. The two samples plus the internal control were combined and mixed with sample
166 buffer [8M urea, 4% (w/v) CHAPS, 130 mM DTE, 1.5% (v/v) pharmalytes pH 4-6.5] in
167 1:1 proportion. Rehydration buffer [8M urea, 4% (w/v) CHAPS, 13 mM DTE, 0.75%
168 (v/v) pharmalytes pH 4-6,5] was then added to a final volume of 400 µl. Isoelectric
169 focusing of the combined protein samples was performed using 24 cm immobilized 4-7
170 pH gradient IPG strips (GE healthcare). Briefly, IPG strips were rehydrated by active
171 rehydration for 18 h at 30 V. Isoelectric focusing (IEF) was carried out with an IPGphor
172 system (GE Healthcare), at 20 °C, maximum current of 50 µA/strip, and according to
173 the following program: 1 h 150 V, 2 h 250 V, 2 h gradient from 250 V to 1000 V, 2 h
174 1000 V, 2 h gradient from 1000 V to 4000 V, 3 h 4000 V, 3 h gradient from 4000 V to
175 8000 V, 8 h 8000 V; complete run total voltage of 101 kVh. The samples were reduced
176 at room temperature by gentle agitation for 15 minutes in equilibration buffer [6M Urea,
177 2% (w/v) SDS, 50mM Tris pH 8.8, 0.02% (w/v) bromophenol blue, 30% (v/v) glycerol]
178 with 2% (w/v) DTE, followed by alkylation with 3% (w/v) iodoacetamide in the same
179 buffer. Next, SDS-PAGE was performed using 12.5% polyacrylamide gels using the
180 EttanDALTwelve system (GE Healthcare). Separation was performed overnight at
181 20°C with 1st step at 80 V, 10 mA/gel and 1 W/gel, and 2nd step at 100 V, 17mA/gel and
182 1.5 W/gel. 2D-DIGE gels were scanned using low-fluorescence glass plates at a
183 resolution of 100 µm. Images of the Cy3, Cy2 and Cy5-labeled samples were acquired
184 in the Laser-based scanner FLA-5100 (FujiFilm) using 532 and 635 nm excitation lasers
185 (DGR1double filter) for Cy3 and Cy5 respectively, and 473 nm excitation laser (LPB
186 filter) for Cy2 under Image Reader FLA 500 version 1.0 (FujiFilm). All combinations
187 of pairwise comparisons between the samples were included, as recommended in the
188 GE Healthcare user manual. A dye swap between Cy3 and Cy5 was used to avoid
189 problems associated with preferential labelling.

190 **2.4. Quantitative analysis of protein spots**

191 Gel images were exported into the Progenesis SameSpot V3.31 image analysis system
192 (Nonlinear Dynamics), where quantitative analysis of protein spots was performed.
193 Automatic and subsequent manual editing, aligning, matching procedures and spot
194 volume normalization were done as part of the Progenesis SameSpots workflow. Spot
195 volume were normalized to the total spots volume. A spot was considered to be
196 significantly differentiated between mycorrhizal and non-symbiotic tissues when one-
197 way ANOVA $P \leq 0.05$ and power value ≥ 0.7 . Normalized volumes of significant spots
198 of the ECM root samples were corrected to account for the 0.93: 0.07 plant-fungus
199 relative biomass in the ECM tissues analysed (determined by the ergosterol assay; see
200 below). By this procedure we normalized the Cy dye intensity values to account for the
201 different root protein quantity present in mycorrhizal tissue (93% root protein and 7%
202 *P. tinctorius* protein) and non-symbiotic tissue (100% root protein). Fold change of
203 significant spots was calculated as the ratio between ECM corrected spot volumes and
204 non-symbiotic spot volumes (Online resource 1).

205 **2.5. MS analysis and protein identification**

206 Preparative Comassie 2-DE gels loaded with 400 μg of protein were used for spot
207 picking. Differentially accumulated protein spots were excised from the gel and washed
208 by shaking (150 rpm) first in mili-Q water for 15 minutes, and then in 50% (v/v)
209 acetonitrile for 15 minutes at 56°C, until complete removal of the Comassie Brilliant
210 Blue. Gel pieces were then dehydrated by treatment with 100% acetonitrile (ACN)
211 during 15 minutes at 37°C, and vacuum-dried. Proteins were *in gel* digested using
212 trypsin. Briefly, gel pieces were incubated in digestion solution containing 6.7 ng/ μL of
213 trypsin (Promega) in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 8.0, for 15 minutes at RT and
214 then for 45 minutes at 4°C. After removal of excess digestion solution, gel pieces were
215 incubated with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 8.0, overnight at 37°C. Finally the
216 digestion was stopped by addition of formic acid to a final concentration of 5% (v/v).
217 The tryptic peptides were concentrated and prepared for MS analysis according to
218 Gobom et al. (1999) using homemade reverse phase micro columns. Briefly, a GEloder
219 tip (Eppendorf) was packed with Poros R2 media (Applied Biosystems) and after an
220 equilibration with 20 μl 5% (v/v) formic acid, the peptide solution (10-15 μl) was
221 loaded on the column and washed with 20 μl 5% (v/v) formic acid, 50% (v/v) ACN. The
222 bounded peptides were eluted with 0.7 μL of α -cyano- 4-hydroxycinnamic acid
223 (CHCA, Sigma) matrix [5 mg/mL in 50% ACN (v/v), 5% formic acid (v/v)] and

224 dropped onto the MALDI plate. Peptide mass spectra were acquired using a MALDI-
225 TOF/TOF 4800 plus MS/MS (Applied Biosystems). Data was acquired in positive MS
226 reflector using a *PepMix* (LaserBio Labs) to calibrate the instrument. Each reflector MS
227 spectrum was collected in a result-independent acquisition mode, using 750 shots per
228 spectra in 800-4000 m/z range and fixed laser intensity to 3200 V. Fifteen of the
229 strongest precursors were selected for MS/MS and the analyses were performed using
230 CID (Collision Induced Dissociation) assisted with a collision energy of 1 kV and a gas
231 pressure of 1×10^{-6} torr. For each MS/MS spectrum, 1400 laser shots were collected,
232 using a fixed laser intensity of 4300 V. Processing and interpretation of the MS and
233 MS/MS spectra were performed with 4000 Series Explored™ Software (Applied
234 Biosystem). The mass spectrometry proteomics data has been deposited in the
235 ProteomeXchange Consortium (Vizcaino et al. 2014) via the PRIDE partner repository
236 with the dataset identifier PXD003009.

237 **2.6. Protein identification and annotation**

238 Tandem mass spectral data were submitted to database searching using Mascot (Matrix
239 Science, version 2.2.07) and ProteinPilot (Applied Biosystems, version 3.0, rev.
240 114732) with the following parameter settings: trypsin cleavage; one missed cleavage
241 allowed; peptide mass tolerance of 50 ppm; fragment mass tolerance of 0.5 Da;
242 oxidation, carbamidomethyl and deamidated as variable amino acid modifications. The
243 following databases were used: cork oak EST consortium database available at the
244 CorkOakDB portal (www.corkoakdb.org; 159290 EST deduced peptide sequences), oak
245 gene index EST database (OGI_release_2.0) available at the Gene Index Project portal
246 (<http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/>; 42144 EST sequences), red oak and white oak
247 and Sanger ESTs (Oall unigene v2) from the Fagaceae Genomics Web portal
248 (<http://www.fagaceae.org/>; 480360 EST sequences), and the predicted peptide
249 sequences of *P. tinctorius* genome available at the JGI fungi portal
250 (<http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/programs/fungi/index.jsf>; 223134 sequences). Protein score
251 confidence interval percentage and total ion score confidence interval percentage were
252 both set above 95%. Proteins were considered if having a MASCOT protein score
253 above 65 ($p < 0.05$) and at least one peptide with MS/MS identification. If only one
254 peptide matched MS/MS data it was verified manually. Quality criteria for manual
255 confirmation of MS/MS spectra were the assignment of major peaks, occurrence of
256 uninterrupted y- or b-ion series at least with 3 consecutive amino acids and the presence

257 of a2/b2 ion pairs. In case of successful matching with the database, sequence
258 annotation was verified by performing Blastp in the NCBIInr
259 (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>). The ExPasy translate tool
260 (<http://web.expasy.org/translate/>) was used to translate nucleotide sequences into amino
261 acid sequences, when needed. Gene ontology (GO) annotation was obtained using the
262 QuickGO annotation tool (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/GAnnotation>) with the Plant
263 GO slim (goslim_plant) to map annotations. The UniProtKB (<http://www.uniprot.org/>)
264 accession number of each differentially accumulated protein, retrieved by Blast p search
265 against the UniProtKB database, was used for this analysis. Interpro
266 (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/>) was used for classification of proteins into families and
267 prediction of domains and important sites. Proteins were assigned to functional
268 categories using GO annotation, UniProtKB functional information and literature
269 references on similar proteins. Subcellular localization of proteins was predicted using
270 LocTree3 (<https://roslab.org/services/loctree3/>) and MemPype
271 (<http://mu2py.biocomp.unibo.it/mempype>). The presence of N-terminal signal peptide
272 sequences that targets proteins for translocation across the secretory pathway was
273 predicted using ProP 1.0 (<http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ProP/>) and Phobius
274 (<http://phobius.binf.ku.dk/>). Integral membrane proteins (containing transmembrane
275 spans) were predicted using the MemPype server. ER-membrane retention signal
276 presence was predicted using the Wolf Psort program
277 (http://www.genscript.com/psort/wolf_psort.html).

278 **2.7. Ergosterol assay**

279 Alterations in the relative accumulation of proteins in ECM roots were estimated by
280 comparison with non-mycorrhizal roots. However, since protein extracts from colonized
281 roots consist of a mixture of proteins from both symbiotic partners, an adjustment is
282 necessary considering the proportion of plant and fungal biomass in ECM root tissue
283 (Simoneau et al. 1993). The proportion of fungal biomass in the symbiotic roots was
284 estimated by measuring fungal ergosterol (Martin et al. 1990). Since ergosterol is found
285 mainly in the membranes of fungi and is rarely present in vascular plants it is commonly
286 used for measurement of fungal biomass in ECM roots. 1-month-old aseptically grown
287 *P. tinctorius* mycelia, mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal roots from the same set of
288 plants used for protein extraction, were pulverized in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80

289 °C. The ergosterol content of each sample was measured according to (Grant and West
290 1996). For the measurement, 2 g of freeze dried sample were extracted with 16 mL
291 methanol, vortexed and then ultrasonicated (bath) for 30 min and centrifuged for 10 min
292 at 1600 g. The supernatant was removed and the remaining pellet washed twice with the
293 same volume of methanol supernatants, (16 + 16 mL). To the combined methanol
294 supernatants, 8 mL 4% KOH (in 96% ethanol) was added and the mixture was reacted
295 for 30 min at 80 °C. Distilled water and hexane phase (16 mL each) were then added
296 and the hexane phase was separated. After a repeated hexane extraction the combined
297 hexane phases were dried in a water rotatory vacuum pump or by lyophilisation. The
298 extracted material was dissolved in 2 mL methanol, vortexed and filtered through a 45
299 µm filter, and analyzed by HPLC (WATERS 2965 Separations Module, Milford, MA,
300 USA) with a PDA detector (WATERS 2996). Briefly, the extract was separated on a 30
301 x 5 mm Nova Pak C18 (WAT052834) reverse-phase column packed with ODS 4 µm
302 preceded by a Nova Pak C18 15220 guard column (WATERS), eluded by using a pure
303 methanol (HPLC grade) mobile phase, with a flow rate of 2 mL min⁻¹ and measured at
304 282 nm. The retention time of ergosterol was 1.9-2.0 min. Ergosterol content was
305 determined by comparing sample peak areas with those of external standards (Fluka).
306 Ergosterol was confirmed by comparing retention times and absorption spectrum with
307 external standard and by co-injection of samples plus standard ergosterol. The amount
308 of ergosterol in non-mycorrhizal roots (0.037 µg/mg root DW), probably due to
309 microorganisms present in the roots of nursery potted plants, was subtracted from the
310 amount in *P. tinctorius* inoculated roots (0.070 µg/mg root DW) to calculate ergosterol
311 content of mycorrhizal roots used for the experiment (0.033 µg/mg root DW).
312 Estimation of the fungal biomass in inoculated roots was determined based on the
313 ergosterol content from the free living *P. tinctorius* mycelium growing in petri dish
314 under optimal conditions (0.470 µg/mg DW), which was considered 100%. From the
315 0.033 µg/mg root DW ergosterol value obtained for the mycorrhizal roots we estimated
316 a fungal biomass of 7%. Therefore a correction factor was applied in the fold change
317 calculation for the differentially accumulated proteins and transcripts, considering a
318 plant:fungal biomass proportion of 0.93:0.07.

319 **2.8. Real-time PCR analysis**

320 The following transcripts corresponding to differentially accumulated proteins were
321 used for real-time PCR analysis: PDI, CPN60, RAD23c-like, SUMO, TIL and
322 proteasome subunit alpha type-5-like (Online resource 2). Total RNA was extracted
323 from the same samples used for proteome analysis according to (Wan and Wilkins
324 1994). mRNA purification was performed with the Dynabeads mRNA purification kit
325 (Ambion). cDNA synthesis was done according to (Monteiro et al. 2013). Specific
326 primers for the selected transcripts were designed with Primer Express software version
327 3.0 (Applied Biosystems, Sourceforge, USA). Quantitative real-time PCR experiments
328 were carried out using Maxima™ SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (2×) kit (Fermentas,
329 Ontario, Canada) in a StepOne™ Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems,
330 Sourceforge, USA) as described in (Monteiro et al. 2013). To normalize expression
331 data the elongation factor 1 α (EF1 α) was used (Online resource 2). Gene expression
332 level was calculated by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (Schmittgen and Livak 2008).

333 **2.9. Determination of starch, soluble sugars and carbon concentration**

334 Three biological replicates (5 plants each) of N₂ frozen grounded roots (0.1 g FW) from
335 mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal roots used for protein extraction, were also used for
336 soluble sugars, starch and carbon concentration determination. Soluble sugars were
337 extracted according to Guy et al. (1992) and their content determined by enzymatic
338 assay using the sucrose/D-glucose/D-fructose UV-method test kit (Boehringer
339 Mannheim/R-Biopharm) at 340 nm. Sucrose, glucose and fructose concentrations were
340 expressed as glucose equivalents. The insoluble fraction was assayed for starch after
341 acid hydrolysis with 30% HCl at 90°C for 20 min, followed by measurement of released
342 D-glucose at 340nm using the D-glucose HK, UV method test kit (Nzytech), after
343 neutralization with KOH 5M. Starch concentration was expressed as glucose
344 equivalents. Non-structural carbohydrate concentration was defined as the total amount
345 of soluble sugars (glucose, sucrose and fructose) and starch content. For the carbon
346 elemental analysis, frozen root material was dried at 70° C for 72 h and ground in a mill
347 (Retsch Germany) to a homogenous fine powder for isotopic analysis. After grinding,
348 samples were used for carbon (C) percentage calculation, according to Rodrigues et al.
349 (2010), on a EuroEA 3000 Elemental Analyzer (EuroVector, Milano), with a TDC
350 detector, at the Stable Isotopes and Instrumental Analysis Facility, Faculty of Sciences,
351 Lisbon University. C concentration was defined as % of dry weight.

352 **2.10. Statistical analysis**

353 Statistical analysis of biomass, starch, soluble sugars and % C was performed using the
354 SPSS 20.0 software package. Data were analysed for normality by the Shapiro-Wilk
355 test. A t-test for 2 independent samples was applied to analyse results from root and leaf
356 biomass, fructose, starch and carbon concentrations. The Mann-Whitney test for non-
357 parametric data was used to analyse the results from the sucrose and glucose
358 concentration. For the analysis of the 2DE-DIGE results, means of protein spot-
359 normalized volumes were compared between mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal samples
360 using one-way ANOVA test included in Progenesis SameSpots statistical package. The
361 accepted significance level for all the tests was $p < 0.05$.

362

363 **3. Results and Discussion**

364 **3.1. Ectomycorrhizae establishment and plant biomass**

365 Two months after inoculation, inoculated plants showed distinct ectomycorrhizal root
366 tips presenting the typical *P. tinctorius* ectomycorrhizae root morphotype (e.g. bright
367 yellow with a thick fungal mantle) (Cairney and Chambers 1997), showing that this
368 isolate was efficient in establishing symbiosis with cork oak (Online resource 3 c).
369 Microscopic observations of inoculated roots showed a thick fungal mantle and a
370 developed Hartig net surrounding epidermal root cells, indicative of a fully developed
371 symbiosis (Online resource 3 d). In accordance, inoculated plants showed a significantly
372 higher root biomass relative to the control non-inoculated plants (Table 1). Increases in
373 root biomass following inoculation is a well-known effect of ECM symbiosis and has
374 been related to an increased root branching promoted by a fungus-induced accumulation
375 of auxin at the root apex (Felten et al. 2009). In contrast, foliar biomass was not altered
376 by the inoculation with *P. tinctorius* (Table 1). This is probably related to the early
377 interaction time-point used in our experiment, since plants were harvested 2 months
378 after inoculation. Increases in leaf biomass and leaf area following mycorrhizal
379 inoculation of oak have been reported to occur 2 years after inoculation (Dickie et al.
380 1997, Fini et al. 2011; Sebastiana et al. 2013a), when higher degrees of root
381 colonization increase nutrient transfer that results in increased photosynthetic capacity
382 (Carney and Chambers, 1997).

3.2. Accumulation profile of root and leaf proteins in response to ECM symbiosis

The accumulation profile of cork oak root and leaf proteins in response to symbiosis establishment with *P. tinctorius* was analyzed by comparing symbiotic and non-symbiotic tissues using 2D-DIGE analysis (Fig. 1 and Online Resource 4). 2D gels of proteins labeled with Cy dyes showed 378 spots across root gels and 1171 spots across leaf gels in the 4-7 pI range. Protein spot volume were statistically compared between symbiotic and non-symbiotic conditions and, in the leaves no significant alterations in protein accumulation were detected, suggesting that ECM symbiosis does not induce alteration in protein accumulation in the above-ground parts of the host plant. This contrasts with data from roots colonized with arbuscular mycorrhizae where transcriptomic analysis detected a systemic effect on gene expression which was detected in shoots, leaves and fruits (Fiorilli et al. 2009; Zouari et al. 2014). At the metabolite level, studies have revealed that leaves from ECM plants display changes in some metabolites accumulation, including amino acids and fatty acids (Luo et al. 2011). However, our results suggest that these alterations do not result from differential protein accumulation in leaves. However, we cannot exclude the possibility of an ECM effect in leaves of older plants since our plants were harvested 2 months after inoculation. In contrast, the root gels showed 66 protein spots that altered their abundance after ectomycorrhizae establishment (Fig. 1). A total of 58 differentially expressed protein spots were successfully excised from the root DIGE gels and subjected to mass spectrometry for protein identification.

3.3. Identification of differentially accumulated proteins

Using MALDI-TOF/TOF tandem mass spectrometry we successfully identified 50 differentially expressed protein spots, which corresponded to 41 unique proteins. Among the differentially expressed protein spots detected in ECM roots, 8 matched to *P. tinctorius* proteins, corresponding to 6 unique proteins, with 2 different proteins found twice (Online resource 5). Some of the identified *P. tinctorius* proteins (spot 330, 331, 585) showed a significant degree of similarity (NCBI blast p E value $< 1 \times 10^{-120}$) to proteins which are known to be involved in ECM symbiosis development, such as the 32 kDa-cell wall symbiosis regulated acidic polypeptide (SRAP 32) and its precursor protein (Hilbert et al. 1991). Transcripts encoding SRAPs are strongly accumulated during *P. tinctorius* ectomycorrhizae formation, when fungal hyphae form the mantle

416 around root tips (Burgess and Dell 1996). There is no evidence of sequence homologies
417 between SRAPs and previously identified proteins but the presence of an Arg-Gly-Asp
418 (RGD) motif found in cell adhesion-proteins, suggests a role in cell-cell adhesion
419 needed for aggregation of hyphae in ECM roots (Laurent et al. 1999). We also identified
420 two *P. tinctorius* proteins with some sequence homology (NCBI blast p E value < 1 e⁻⁶)
421 to serine protease inhibitors (spot 480, 582, and 593). Fungal protease inhibitor proteins
422 are highly expressed during ectomycorrhizae formation by *Laccaria bicolor* suggesting
423 that these proteins may play a role in counteracting plant secreted proteases expressed
424 during fungal apoplastic growth (Vincent et al. 2012), partially explaining the low level
425 of plant defense reactions observed in the colonized host roots (Martin and Nehls 2009).
426 However, we didn't identify any plant proteases in our 2-DE gels.

427 Since our main interest was the alteration of the host plant proteome in response to
428 ectomycorrhizae establishment, only spots identified as plant proteins were analyzed
429 further. Although the genomic sequence of *Q. suber* has not yet been characterized, we
430 were still able to identify 42 cork oak protein spots via homology with translated protein
431 sequences from 454 sequence databases from cork oak and other oak species, such as *Q.*
432 *petraea*, *Q. rubor*, *Q. alba* and *Q. rubra*. The 42 oak protein spots identified in our
433 experiment matched to 34 unique proteins, with 5 proteins found twice or more (Table
434 2). Most of these multiple spots differed from each other in their pI values forming a
435 line of spots with the same molecular weight. This pattern on the gel indicates multiple
436 protein species and/or post translational modifications (PTMs), such as changes in
437 charge state caused by phosphorylation. In this group we identified several proteins that
438 have been reported to exist in multiple forms, such as protein disulphide-isomerase
439 (spot 630, 634, 635, and 636) (Selles et al. 2011), purple acid phosphatase (spot 81 and
440 82) (Wang et al. 2011), UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (spot 149 and 653) (Chen et
441 al. 2007), and actin (spot 202 and 205) (Slajcherova et al. 2012). The existence of
442 multiple forms of these proteins in our experiment suggests an important role in plant
443 responses to ectomycorrhiza establishment.

444 Gene ontology (GO) annotation of the biological processes affected by the interaction of
445 cork oak roots with *P. tinctorius* is shown in Figure 2. As expected, "metabolic process"
446 and "cellular process" were the most abundant categories. More than 65% of the
447 proteins were assigned with the GO annotations "carbohydrate metabolic process",

448 “protein metabolic process” and “transport”. Another relevant category was “response
449 to stress”.

450 Functional analysis and database searches revealed a putative involvement of the
451 identified proteins in several cellular pathways such as, carbon and energy metabolism,
452 protein folding, stability and degradation, stress and defense, nutrient acquisition, lipid
453 transport/metabolism, cell wall remodelling and cytoskeleton.

454 In order to better characterize the mycorrhizal responsive proteins identified in our
455 experiment we analyzed their sequences for sub-cellular location prediction (Table 2).
456 Proteins predicted to be cytoplasm-located were mostly found to be involved in carbon
457 metabolism, such as glycolysis, stress and defense response, cell wall organization and
458 the cytoskeleton. Secretory pathway proteins included endoplasmic reticulum located
459 proteins involved in protein processing, such as folding and degradation (e.g. protein
460 disulfide-isomerase, ubiquitin receptor RAD23d-like), extracellular proteins putatively
461 involved in nutrient transfer (e.g. purple acid phosphatase, acid phosphatase 1-like), and
462 proteins related to lipid transport/metabolism (e.g. membrane steroid-binding protein,
463 phosphatidylglycerol/phosphatidylinositol transfer protein).

464 When analyzing the differentially accumulated proteins for their association to
465 membranes using MemPype (Table 2), 4 proteins were predicted to be integral
466 membrane proteins containing transmembrane helices for anchoring to membranes of
467 the endomembrane system (membrane steroid-binding protein and
468 phosphatidylglycerol/phosphatidylinositol transfer protein), mitochondria
469 (mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase) and the cell membrane (sinapyl alcohol
470 dehydrogenase-like).

471 In the next sections we will discuss the possible role of the identified proteins in the
472 context of ECM symbiosis.

473 **3.4. Carbon/energy metabolism and cell wall remodeling**

474 A decreased accumulation was detected for several proteins involved in carbon flux
475 through oxidative degradation pathways, like the glycolysis and the TCA cycle [e.g.
476 fructokinase (spot 268), enolase (spot 133, 137, 656), fructose bisphosphate aldolase
477 (spot 210), triosephosphate isomerase (spot 366) and malate dehydrogenase (spot 286)].

478 We also observed lower levels for several proteins involved in generation of energy,

479 such as homologues to mitochondrial ATP synthase subunits (spot 145, 414, 655),
480 which are part of the F1F0 ATPase enzymatic complex that catalyzes the final step of
481 ATP synthesis in the mitochondrial respiratory chain. Previous microarray studies on
482 ECM roots have shown that the transcript levels of genes encoding enzymes in the TCA
483 cycle and the respiratory chain were decreased in the plant partner (Johansson et al.
484 2004; Frettinger et al. 2007; Flores-Monterroso et al. 2013). Furthermore, studies have
485 reported that ECM roots have lower respiratory rates when compared with non-
486 symbiotic roots (Martins et al. 1997), a phenomenon that could involve a lower carbon
487 flow through glycolysis and the TCA cycle in colonized roots. Also in arbuscular
488 mycorrhizae (AM) symbiosis, proteomic and transcriptomic studies have revealed a
489 decreased expression of genes and proteins involved in glucose breakdown pathways
490 (Cangahuala-Inocente et al. 2011; Abdallah et al. 2014; Xu et al. 2015). Reduced
491 abundance of C assimilative enzymes and electron transport chain proteins is suggestive
492 of a lower sugar availability in colonized roots which agrees with the increased carbon
493 sink promoted by the ECM fungus in symbiotic roots. In ECM root systems, up to 50%
494 of the carbon fixed during photosynthesis can be “lost” to feed the fungal partner that,
495 unlike wood decomposers, has a limited capacity to use carbohydrates present in the
496 humus and litter layers of forest soils and, as so depends on the host fixed C (Nehls et
497 al. 2010). This is consistent with the lower levels of soluble sugars, especially sucrose,
498 found in cork oak mycorrhizal roots, compared with the non-symbiotic roots (Table 1).
499 It is commonly accepted that in ectomycorrhizae established with basidiomycotic fungi
500 like *P. tinctorius*, plant derived sucrose in the plant-fungus interface is hydrolyzed by
501 plant cell wall invertases into hexoses, from which glucose seems to be preferred by the
502 mycobiont (Nehls et al. 2010). This carbon drain to the fungal partner, suggested by our
503 results, does not seem to result in biomass loss since foliar biomass was unaltered and
504 root biomass was even increased by the inoculation with *P. tinctorius* (Table 1). This is
505 suggestive of a fully functional symbiotic relationship between *Q. suber* and *P.*
506 *tinctorius* under our experimental conditions. Together with the apparent decreased
507 activity of glycolysis and TCA cycle related proteins, we also notice decreased levels
508 for UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (UGPase) (spot 138, 149, 653) an enzyme which
509 in roots is involved in sucrose breakdown for starch biosynthesis using the UDPG
510 produced by Suc synthase (SuSy) (Kleczkowski et al. 2004). Down-accumulation of
511 this protein in our experiment could suggest a carbon decreased flow towards starch

512 accumulation in ECM roots. However, our results do not support this assumption since
513 starch levels were not decreased in mycorrhizal roots compared with non-inoculated
514 roots (Table 1). In fact, starch levels were identical in mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal
515 roots, suggesting that the plant is not mobilizing stored sugar pools for transfer to the
516 symbiotic fungus. Besides its role in sucrose metabolism, this enzyme is also involved
517 in the synthesis of UDPG for cell wall polysaccharide synthesis (Kleczkowski et al.
518 2004). Interestingly, we also detected decreased levels of sinapyl alcohol dehydrogenase
519 (spot 240) and isoflavone reductase (spot 281), both involved in the phenylpropanoid
520 pathway that leads to the production of lignin and lignan, cell wall phenolic compounds
521 that confer structural support and vascular integrity (Dixon et al. 1995; Li et al. 2001).
522 Production of phenolic compounds and cell wall lignification are found in interactions
523 between plant pathogens and resistant hosts (Miedes et al. 2014). Evidence suggests that
524 ECM colonization down-regulates the phenylpropanoid pathway in roots, since
525 decreased deposition of cell wall phenolics and inhibition of genes encoding enzymes of
526 the phenylpropanoid pathway have been reported in pine and poplar ECM roots (Heller
527 et al. 2008; Luo et al. 2009). The decreased levels of these proteins observed in our
528 experiment and the molecular data referred above are perfectly in agreement with
529 ultrastructural data of Duddridge (1986) showing that, in incompatible ECM
530 interactions both lignification and cell wall appositions are induced in the “host” plant.
531 This strongly suggests an induced “softening” of the plant cell wall in ECM roots,
532 probably in order to facilitate the progression of the fungal hyphae in the root apoplast
533 and the establishment of the plant-fungus interface for nutrient transport and exchange
534 (compatible interaction). This is in line with the lower % C observed for the *P.*
535 *tinctorius* colonized roots compared to the non-colonized roots (Table 1), since the
536 majority of the cellular carbon pool is associated with the cell wall material, such as
537 cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Since the amount of non-structural carbohydrates
538 does not vary between mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal cork oak roots (Table 1), the %
539 C difference between inoculation treatments probably reflects different contents of
540 structural carbohydrates related to the cell wall. It can be hypothesized that suppression
541 of pathways that lead to cell wall re-enforcement could be adopted by the plant as a
542 means to facilitate symbiosis since ECM fungi apparently have lost the enzymatic
543 capacity to degrade plant cell walls (Martin et al. 2008, 2010). In addition, the decreased
544 abundance of cell wall phenolic-related enzymes can be interpreted also as an

545 attenuation of a defensive reaction in cork oak allowing *P. tinctorius* hyphae to
546 accommodate between root cells.

547 **3.5. Protein folding, stability and degradation**

548 Among the down-accumulated spots, two proteins with chaperone activity, namely a
549 protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) (spot 630, 634, 635, 636) and a protein showing
550 sequence homology to the Rubisco large subunit-binding protein (spot 118) were
551 identified. PDIs are involved in the oxidative-folding of nascent proteins in the
552 endoplasmic reticulum catalysing the post-translational redox formation of disulfide
553 bonds, acting also as chaperones by inhibiting the aggregation of misfolded proteins
554 (Wilkinson and Gilbert 2004). Generally, they function with other chaperones to form
555 functional proteins. The Rubisco large subunit-binding protein belongs to the
556 chaperonin Cpn60/TCP-1 family which comprises proteins essential for the correct
557 folding and assembly of polypeptides into oligomeric structures, acting also to stabilize
558 or protect disassembled proteins under stress conditions (Hemmingsen et al.1988;
559 Prasad and Stewart, 1992). There are evidences supporting a role of molecular
560 chaperones in the interaction of plants and microorganisms. For example, PDIs are
561 strongly up-regulated during pathogen attack (Ray et al. 2003, Caplan et al. 2009) and
562 studies suggest a role of ER chaperones in the secretion of pathogenesis-related proteins
563 (Wang et al. 2005) and in the folding of membrane receptor-like kinases required for
564 innate immunity (Caplan et al. 2009). Interestingly, molecular chaperones also seem to
565 be involved in mutualistic interactions such as the one between *Arabidopsis* and the
566 endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica*, which colonizes roots conferring beneficial
567 effects to host plants (Qiang et al. 2012). Studies have shown that *Arabidopsis* proteins
568 with chaperone activity, such as PDIs, are suppressed by *P. indica* resulting in an
569 impairment of root ER function suggested to disturb the secretion of antimicrobial
570 proteins as a means of suppressing plant defences (Qiang et al. 2012). It is well known
571 that mycorrhizal fungi are able to suppress the host plant defense system, by a yet
572 unknown mechanism. Although our experimental system is very different from the
573 *Arabidopsis-P. indica* interaction since *Arabidopsis* is not a mycorrhizal plant, an
574 ectomycorrhizal fungus-induced suppression of protein folding/chaperone activity in the
575 host cell could contribute to inhibit the secretion of proteins participating in defense
576 reactions. Another protein identified in our study, which is also involved in post-
577 translational redox-based modifications was identified as a thioredoxin-like protein

578 CXXS1 (spot 579) and on the contrary showed increased accumulation in colonized
579 roots. Thioredoxins are disulfide reductases that modulate the catalytic activity of their
580 target proteins by reducing disulfide bonds (conversion of S-S to -SH) (Meyer et al.
581 1999). The thioredoxin identified in our work shows sequence homology with the
582 cytosolic monocysteinic (WCXXS) thioredoxins from the subgroup H, present in all
583 higher plants (Serrato et al. 2008). A thioredoxin H from *Arabidopsis* plays an
584 important role in plant defense by catalyzing the oligomer-to-monomer switch of the
585 protein NPR1 involved in salicylic acid signaling (Tada et al. 2008). The down-
586 accumulation of PDI and up-accumulation of TRX in our study suggests that in cork
587 oak roots, a fungus-induced pathway counteracting protein oligomer formation (folding)
588 and promoting the formation of protein monomers (unfolding) is active.

589 Two spots corresponding to proteins involved in the ubiquitin/proteasome system were
590 identified, and included an up-accumulated subunit from the 26S proteasome (spot 381)
591 and a down-accumulated ubiquitin receptor RAD23 related protein (spot 160). The
592 ubiquitin/proteasome system is responsible for the selective degradation of proteins in
593 which substrates, marked by the covalent attachment of Ub, are degraded by the 26 S
594 proteasome (Book et al. 2010). Proteins from the RAD23 family are known to regulate
595 the degradation of ubiquitinated proteins by a mutually contradictory mechanism in
596 which their UBA domains are reported to suppress the degradation of ubiquitinated
597 proteins by the proteasome, and their Ubl domains are otherwise enhancing proteasome
598 degradation (Lambertson et al. 2003; Raasi and Picard 2003). Decreased levels of
599 proteins with chaperone activity, such as Cpn60, and increased accumulation of proteins
600 involved in the proteasome complex were also reported to occur in AM symbiosis
601 between grapevine and two *Glomus* species (Cangahuala-Inocente et al. 2011). Our
602 results agree with these observations and suggest an impairment in protein folding
603 activity accompanied by an activation of protein degradation pathways to eliminate
604 unfolded proteins in colonized roots.

605 **3.6. Stress and defense**

606 Within the stress and defense category we observed alterations in spot abundance for
607 five different proteins. ROS (Reactive Oxygen Species) scavenging enzymes, such as
608 superoxide dismutase (spot 548, 549) showed increased levels in colonized roots.
609 Superoxide dismutase acts against ROS by converting highly reactive superoxide anion

610 radicals to H₂O₂ and O₂. Our results are in agreement with the Baptista et al. (2007) and
611 Alvarez et al. (2009), who reported increased levels of antioxidant enzymes in roots
612 colonized by ECM fungi. Increased levels of these proteins in ECM roots would
613 improve their capacity to cope with oxidative stress and agree with the reported lower
614 accumulation of ROS in mycorrhizal roots when compared with roots infected by
615 pathogenic fungi (Espinoza et al. 2014). Several studies concluded that contact of roots
616 with mycorrhizal fungi can evoke an unspecific redox defense reaction (Garcia-Garrido
617 and Ocampo 2002; Baptista et al. (2007), although attenuated when compared with the
618 oxidative burst elicited by pathogenic fungi (Espinoza et al. 2014). Also up-
619 accumulated in roots under our experimental conditions was a small ubiquitin-like
620 modifier (SUMO) protein (spot 594). SUMOs are regulatory proteins that can be
621 covalently attached in a reversible manner to target proteins as a post-translational
622 modification (SUMOylation) and thereby modify protein function. In plants, SUMO has
623 been shown to be involved in stress responses, pathogen defense, abscisic acid signaling
624 and flower induction (Novatchkova et al. 2004). Nothing is known about the role of
625 SUMOylation in symbiotic interactions but a SUMO protein was transcriptionally
626 induced in legume symbiotic nodules (Rose et al. 2012). This type of modification
627 could be regulating the activity, stability or sub-cellular localization of proteins
628 involved in the response of plants to ECM fungi (Miura et al. 2007). Among the down-
629 accumulated proteins in the stress and defense category, a protein spot (416)
630 corresponding to a putative temperature induced lipocalin (TIL) was identified in our
631 study. TILs belong to a poorly understood family of proteins predicted to act in the
632 protection of cells against membrane lipid peroxidation during oxidative stress
633 conditions in plants and animals (Charron et al. 2008; Boca et al. 2014). Also down-
634 accumulated were 3 spots corresponding to a major latex-like protein (MLP) (spots 437,
635 646 and 647). MLPs belong to the Bet v I family and constitute a poorly known protein
636 family, found only in plants that have been associated with pathogen defense responses,
637 response to wounding or abiotic stress (Liu et al. 2006). The biological function of these
638 proteins is unknown but an involvement in lipid binding, such as trafficking of
639 membrane components was suggested (Radauer et al. 2008). This agrees with the
640 predicted ER membrane retention signals KKXX-like motifs (IAKA and HITK; Online
641 resource 5) detected in the C-terminus of the MLPs identified as differentially
642 accumulated in colonized corks roots. Proteins with homology to the Bet v I family

643 have been detected in other ECM systems, and just like in our study they have been
644 reported to be down-regulated in ECM roots (Heller et al. 2008). The decreased
645 accumulation of proteins with a suggested role in pathogen defense is in good
646 agreement with the reported inhibition of plant defense genes in mycorrhizae (Le Quéré
647 et al. 2005; Xu et al. 2015) as a way to suppress defence reactions against symbiotic
648 fungi and facilitate its establishment in the roots, although the mechanism remains
649 unknown.

650 **3.8. Lipid metabolism/transport**

651 Increased levels of two proteins putatively involved in lipid metabolism and transport
652 were recorded. One example was a membrane steroid-binding protein 2-like (spot 311).
653 Noteworthy, a *Medicago truncatula* membrane steroid-binding protein MtMSBP1, was
654 reported to be critical for AM arbuscular mycorrhiza establishment (Kuhn et al. 2010).
655 Like the MSBP1 from *M. truncatula*, the protein identified in our experiment was also
656 predicted to contain a single N-terminal transmembrane region (amino acids 18-42) for
657 membrane anchoring, a conserved cytochrome b5-like heme/steroid binding domain
658 (Pfam motif PF00173) for steroid binding and a conserved tryptophan residue for
659 progesterone binding (Trp 150) (Online resource 5). In addition, a C-terminal ER-
660 membrane retention signal KKXX-like motif (DVAK; Online resource 5) was also
661 detected, suggesting that, like MSBP1 from *M. truncatula*, the protein identified in cork
662 oak could also be an ER integral membrane protein. These data suggest that these two
663 proteins could be functional orthologous necessary for the response of plants to both
664 ecto- and endo-fungal symbionts. Membrane steroid-binding proteins are presumed to
665 have a conserved role in the control of sterol biosynthesis by binding and regulating
666 cytochrome P450 enzymes in the ER membrane (Hughes et al. 2007). The increased
667 abundance of this protein upon ECM symbiosis may contribute to sustain the delivery
668 of new membrane material to the plant-fungus interface region, or proteins and
669 metabolites in transport vesicles to the plant-fungus interface. Another putative lipid-
670 interacting protein, which was the most strongly accumulated protein in cork oak roots
671 after *P. tinctorius* inoculation, and was also predicted to be an internal membrane
672 protein, showed high sequence similarity to phosphatidylglycerol/phosphatidylinositol
673 transfer proteins (PG/PI-TP) (spot 583) from several plants. This protein contains the
674 MD-2 related lipid-recognition domain (Pfam domain PF02221) (Online resource 5),

675 from the ML family of proteins which are involved in the interaction with specific lipids
676 and lipid recognition (Inohara and Nuñez 2002). The protein identified in our
677 experiment contains a predicted transmembrane helix for anchoring to internal
678 membranes (amino acids 37-54; Online resource 5) which is suggestive of a protein
679 localization at the secretory pathway. The ML family contains multiple members of
680 unknown function in animals and plants. In animals they have been implicated in
681 regulation of lipid metabolism, response to pathogen components such as
682 lipopolysaccharides, and other cellular functions involving lipid recognition (Inohara
683 and Nuñez 2002). These results suggest that ECM fungal colonization induces major
684 alterations in internal membranes of the host root cells. The establishment of the plant-
685 fungus interface for nutrient exchange is probably accompanied by a reorganization of
686 the plasma membrane in both partners, implying an increased formation of transport
687 vesicles for sustaining the delivery of new membrane material and/or
688 extracellular/plasma membrane proteins.

689 **3.9. Nutrient exchange**

690 A glycine cleavage system H protein (spot 439), which is part of the mitochondrial
691 glycine decarboxylase complex (GDC), was accumulated in our experiment. GDC is an
692 essential component of glycine catabolism in non-photosynthetic tissues, where it plays
693 a role in organic nitrogen assimilation in root tissues (Hartung and Ratcliffe 2002).
694 Ectomycorrhizal fungi are very important for their host N nutrition, since in temperate
695 and boreal forest ecosystems concentration of mineral N forms in the soil is often very
696 low due to the reduced levels of N mineralization. N translocation from the soil through
697 the fungus and to the plant is a defining characteristic of this symbiosis (Müller et al.
698 2007). The process involved in the transference of N from the fungus to the symbiotic
699 tissues is still poorly understood but there is evidence that amino acids are released from
700 the fungal cells to the plant apoplast, where specific transporters translocate them to the
701 symbiotic roots for assimilation (Müller et al. 2007). The increased levels of this protein
702 in *P. tinctorius* colonized roots supports a role of organic N (amino acids) as a major N
703 form for translocation into the host roots, also suggested from transcriptomic studies in
704 *Q. suber-P. tinctorius* mycorrhizae (Sebastiana et al. 2014).

705 A protein showing homology to purple acid phosphatases (spot 81, 82) from several
706 plants was down accumulated, in agreement with previous results from EST sequencing

707 and microarray analysis on ECM roots showing a repression of genes involved in soil
708 phosphorus transport and acquisition (Heller et al. 2008; Luo et al. 2009; Flores-
709 Monterroso et al. 2013; Sebastiana et al. 2014). Sequence analysis revealed a signal
710 peptide motif (1-16 amino acids) and a secretory pathway location, which is in
711 accordance with the notion that purple acid phosphatases (PAPs) are secreted outside
712 the root cells to the extracellular environment, where they hydrolyze various
713 phosphates, including inorganic pyrophosphate (Tran et al. 2010). Decreased levels of
714 these proteins in roots after mycorrhiza establishment with *P. tinctorius* suggests a
715 disinvestment in soil phosphorus up-take pathways by the colonized roots since
716 phosphorus can be supplied directly by the fungus, evidenced from phosphorus
717 increases in roots after long-term ECM colonization (Luo et al. 2009). In accordance,
718 we detected increased volumes for two protein spots corresponding to a plant acid
719 phosphatase (spot 308, 310), which are proteins reported to be involved in P transfer
720 from the fungus to the host plant (Alvarez et al. 2012). The majority of soil P is not
721 available to plants because it is sequestered in organic forms (Hinsinger 2001). In
722 ectomycorrhizae, phosphatase enzymes produced by the fungal symbiont play a role in
723 the conversion of soil organic P compounds into plant accessible forms such as
724 polyphosphate-P (poly-P), which concentrate into fungal tissues (Cairney 2011). The
725 limited substrate specificity of acid phosphatases allows these enzymes to target the
726 poly-P in the Hartig Net region for P transfer from the fungus to the host plant (Alvarez
727 et al. 2012). Increased accumulation of acid phosphatases was also found to occur in
728 *Medicago truncatula* in response to AM symbiosis (Valot et al. 2005) and during
729 symbiotic nodule development in soybean (Penheiter et al. 1998), suggesting that these
730 proteins are common to the different symbiotic programs in plants. We also detected
731 increased levels of a protein showing sequence similarity to the copper transport protein
732 ATOX1 (spot 589). In *Arabidopsis*, the copper chaperone ATX1 (the homolog of the
733 mammalian ATOX1 and yeast ATX1) is involved in copper homeostasis conferring
734 tolerance to both excess and deficiency of copper (Shin et al. 2012). Little is known
735 about the physiological significance of copper chaperones in plants, besides their
736 putative dual involvement in copper trafficking and detoxification (Harrison et al.
737 1999). The *P. tinctorius* strain used in our study was isolated in a copper mine area,
738 where very high levels of copper metal are present in the soil (Sebastiana et al. 2013a).
739 Adaptation to this condition probably results in an increased capacity to absorb and

740 accumulate copper into fungal tissues. The *P. tinctorius* mycelium colonizing cork oak
741 roots could be actively involved in translocating copper from the surrounding soil to the
742 vicinity of the roots which could result in the increased accumulation of a copper
743 chaperone in the colonized roots.

744 **3.7. Cytoskeleton**

745 Cytoskeletal proteins were mainly down-accumulated in cork oak ECM roots, including
746 two spots corresponding to actin (spot 202, 205), and one spot corresponding to the
747 actin-binding protein, profilin (spot 580), which regulates actin polymerization.
748 Ultrastructural studies using immunological methods have revealed that in heavily
749 colonized portions of ECM roots, cytoskeleton actin filaments disappear (Timonen and
750 Peterson 1993), which agrees with the down accumulation of actin protein observed in
751 our experiment and suggests a cytoskeleton rearrangement in ECM colonized root cells.
752 Down accumulation of actin was also reported in grapevine roots heavily colonized by
753 an endomycorrhizal fungus (Cangahuala-Inocente et al. 2011). Microorganisms can
754 interact with the plant cytoskeleton, as observed for an effector molecule from a plant
755 pathogen (*Pseudomonas syringae*), shown to disrupt the actin cytoskeleton of
756 *Arabidopsis* cells and resulting in inhibition of endocytosis and trafficking to the
757 vacuoles as a way to elude plant defense responses (Kang et al. 2014). Remarkably, this
758 pathogen seems to target actin 7 (ACT7) (Jelenska et al. 2014), the same which we
759 found to be down accumulated upon *P. tinctorius* colonization of ECM cork oak roots.
760 By negatively interfering with the actin cytoskeleton, *P. tinctorius* could disturb
761 vesicular protein secretion of antimicrobial proteins.

762 **4. Correlation between protein and mRNA transcription levels**

763 Several transcripts encoding differentially accumulated proteins identified in our 2D
764 experiment (PDI, CPN60, TIL, RAD23-like, proteasome subunit alpha type-5-like and
765 SUMO) were analyzed by real-time PCR in order to evaluate the correlation with the
766 results from the proteomics analysis (Fig. 3). Most of them showed the same
767 accumulation profile as the one detected for the proteins in the 2D electrophoresis
768 analysis, showing that our results are consistent.

769 **5. Conclusions**

770 Using 2D-DIGE and MS technologies we investigated the differences in the protein
771 profiles between *P. tinctorius* mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal cork oak plants. The
772 detection of differentially accumulated proteins only at the root level and not at the
773 foliar level suggests that the response of plants to ectomycorrhizal inoculation is mainly
774 a local effect, as opposed to a systemic effect from the roots to the aerial parts, contrary
775 to what generally occurs in arbuscular mycorrhizae. At the root level, the results
776 allowed us to gain novel insights into the molecular events involved on host plant
777 response to ECM fungal colonization. Most of the proteins detected in ECM roots had
778 lower accumulation levels when compared with the non-symbiotic roots. This strongly
779 suggests a decreased metabolic activity in mature ECM roots. Studies on mycorrhizal
780 herbaceous plants, like *Medicago*, have reported a major up-accumulation of root
781 proteins, compared with down-accumulated proteins, following AM colonization
782 (Bestel-Corre et al. 2002; Aloui et al. 2008). However, on grapevine, a woody species
783 like cork oak, a proteomic study revealed a generalized down-accumulation of root
784 proteins following AM colonization (Cangahuala-Inocente et al. 2011). This, and the
785 fact that many of the proteins identified in our study were also detected in AM
786 grapevine, suggests that in woody plants mycorrhization results in a different
787 reprogramming of the host genes in order to accommodate the fungal symbiont
788 (Cangahuala-Inocente et al. 2011). Proteins that showed decreased levels following
789 mycorrhiza formation were mainly implicated in carbohydrate and energy metabolism,
790 protein folding/assembling, cell wall re-enforcement, defense, cytoskeleton biogenesis
791 and soil Pi acquisition. Conversely, proteins related to the antioxidant defense system, N
792 assimilation, membrane lipid transport/metabolism and P transfer from the symbiotic
793 fungus had their levels increased. A schematic overview of the major findings from our
794 proteomics study is shown in Fig. 4. Our results suggest a decreased activity of
795 metabolic pathways, like glycolysis, the TCA cycle and the respiratory chain, which
796 could be related to the transfer of carbon to “feed” the fungal symbiont that occurs in
797 ectomycorrhizae. This suggestion is supported by a decrease in soluble sugar content in
798 mycorrhizal roots when compared with non-mycorrhizal roots. In the context of mineral
799 nutrient exchange, a nitrogen assimilation pathway involving the transfer of amino acids
800 into the host root is suggested by the results. In addition, a disinvestment in soil P
801 assimilation and activation of enzymes related to the transfer of P from the ECM fungus
802 to the host plant was detected. A cell wall softening of the colonized roots is evidenced

803 from the proteomics and analysis of total carbon concentration, which could facilitate
804 the progression of the fungal mycelium in the apoplast during the formation of the
805 Hartig net for mutual nutrient exchange. Furthermore, a previously unreported
806 mechanisms promoting the unfolding of proteins in colonized roots is suggested, which
807 could be related to the known fungal-induced inhibition of defense responses in ECM
808 symbiotic plants. These findings represent a step forward towards a better
809 understanding of ECM symbiosis on forest trees and constitute an indication of the
810 benefits of promoting mycorrhization of cork oak forests, especially in the context of
811 climate change. According to our results mycorrhizal colonization increases root
812 biomass which could have a positive impact on the global capacity to up-take soil
813 nutrients. Taken together, results from this study further suggest that ectomycorrhizal
814 symbiosis can have very positive-role effects in coming scenarios of increasing aridity
815 and extreme climatic events.

816 **Conflict of Interest**

817 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

818 **References**

- 819 Abdallah C, Valot B, Guillier C, Mounier A, Balliau T, Zivy M, van Tuinen D, Renaut
820 J, Wipf D, Dumas-Gaudot E, Recorbet G (2014) The membrane proteome of *Medicago*
821 *truncatula* roots displays qualitative and quantitative changes in response to arbuscular
822 mycorrhizal symbiosis. J proteomics 108:354-368. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2014.05.028
- 823 Alvarez M, Huygens D, Díaz LM, Villanueva CA, Heyser W, Boeckx P (2012) The
824 spatial distribution of acid phosphatase activity in ectomycorrhizal tissues depends on
825 soil fertility and morphotype, and relates to host plant phosphorus uptake. Plant Cell
826 Env 35:126–135. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02422.x
- 827 Alvarez M, Huygens D, Fernandez C, Gacitua Y, Olivares E, Saavedra I, Alberdi M,
828 Valenzuela E (2009) Effect of ectomycorrhizal colonization and drought on reactive
829 oxygen species metabolism of *Nothofagus dombeyi* roots. Tree Physiol 29:1047–1057.
830 doi:10.1093/treephys/tpp038
- 831 Baptista P, Martins A, Pais MS, Tavares RM, Lino-Neto T (2007) Involvement of
832 reactive oxygen species during early stages of ectomycorrhiza establishment between

833 *Castanea sativa* and *Pisolithus tinctorius*. Mycorrhiza 17:185-193. doi:10.1007/s00572-
834 006-0091-4

835 Bestel-Corre G, Dumas-Gaudot E, Gianinazzi S (2004) Proteomics as a tool to monitor
836 plant-microbe endosymbioses in the rhizosphere. Mycorrhiza 14:1-10.
837 doi:10.1007/s00572-003-0280-3

838 Boca S, Koestler F, Ksas B, Chevalier A, Leymarie J, Fekete A, Mueller MJ, Havaux M
839 (2014) *Arabidopsis* lipocalins AtCHL and AtTIL have distinct but overlapping
840 functions essential for lipid protection and seed longevity. Plant Cell Environ 37:368-
841 381. doi:10.1111/pce.12159

842 Book AJ, Gladman NP, Lee S, Scalf M, Smith LM, Vierstra RD (2010) Affinity
843 purification of the *Arabidopsis* 26 S proteasome reveals a diverse array of plant
844 proteolytic complexes. J Biol Chem 285:25554–25569. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.136622

845 Burgess T, Dell B (1996) Changes in protein biosynthesis during the differentiation of
846 *Pisolithus–Eucalyptus grandis* ectomycorrhiza. Can J Bot 74:553–560.

847 Cairney JWG (2011) Ectomycorrhizal fungi: the symbiotic route to the root for
848 phosphorus in forest soils. Plant and Soil 344:51–71. doi:10.1007/s11104-011-0731-0

849 Cairney JWG, Chambers SM. (1997) Interactions between *Pisolithus tinctorius* and its
850 hosts: a review of current knowledge. Mycorrhiza 7:117-131.
851 doi:10.1007/s005720050172

852 Cangahuala-Inocente GC, Da Silva MF, Johnson JM, Manga A, van Tuinen D, Henry
853 C, Lovato PE, Dumas-Gaudot E (2011) Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis elicits
854 proteome responses opposite of P-starvation in SO₄ grapevine rootstock upon root
855 colonisation with two *Glomus* species. Mycorrhiza 21:473–493. doi:10.1007/s00572-
856 010-0352-0

857 Caplan JL, Zhu X, Mamillapalli P, Marathe R, Anandalakshmi R, Dinesh-Kumar SP
858 (2009) Induced ER-chaperones regulate a novel receptor-like kinase to mediate a viral
859 innate immune response. Cell Host Microbe 19:457-469.
860 doi:10.1016/j.chom.2009.10.005

861 Charron JB, Ouellet F, Houde M, Sarhan F (2008) The plant Apolipoprotein D ortholog
862 protects *Arabidopsis* against oxidative stress. BMC Plant Biol 8:86. doi:10.1186/1471-
863 2229-8-86

864 Chen R, Zhao X, Shao Z, Zhu L, He G (2007) Multiple isoforms of UDP-glucose
865 pyrophosphorylase in rice. *Physiol Plant* 12:725–736. doi:10.1111/j.1399-
866 3054.2007.00865.x

867 Dickie IA, Montgomery RA, Reich PB, Schnitzer SA (2007) Physiological and
868 phenological responses of oak seedlings to oak forest soil in the absence of trees. *Tree*
869 *Physiol* 27:133-140. doi:10.1093/treephys/27.1.133

870 Dixon RA, Harrison MJ, Paiva NL (1995) The isoflavonoid phytoalexin pathway: from
871 enzymes to genes to transcription factors. *Physiol Plant* 93:385–392. doi:
872 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1995.tb02243.x

873 Duddridge JA (1986) The development and ultrastructure of ectomycorrhizas. III.
874 Compatible and incompatible interactions between *Suillus grevillei* (klotzsch) sing. and
875 11 species of ectomycorrhizal hosts *in vitro* in the absence of exogenous carbohydrate.
876 *New Phytol* 103:457-464.

877 Espinosa F, Garrido I, Ortega A, Casimiro I, Alvarez-Tinaut MC (2014) Redox
878 activities and ROS, NO and phenylpropanoids production by axenically cultured intact
879 olive seedling roots after interaction with a mycorrhizal or a pathogenic fungus. *PLoS*
880 *ONE* 9(6):e100132. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100132

881 Felten J, Kohler A, Morin E, Bhalerao RP, Palme K, Martin F, Ditengou FA, Legue V
882 (2009) The ectomycorrhizal fungus *Laccaria bicolor* stimulates lateral root formation in
883 poplar and *Arabidopsis* through auxin transport and signalling. *Plant Physiol* 151:1991–
884 2005. doi:10.1104/pp.109.147231

885 Fini A, Frangi P, Amoroso G, Piatti R, Faoro M, Bellasio C, Ferrini F (2011) Effect of
886 controlled inoculation with specific mycorrhizal fungi from the urban environment on
887 growth and physiology of containerized shade tree species growing under different
888 water regimes. *Mycorrhiza* 21:703-719. doi:10.1007/s00572-011-0370-6

889 Fiorilli V, Catoni M, Miozzi L, Novero M, Accotto GP, Lanfranco L (2009) Global and
890 cell-type gene expression profiles in tomato plants colonized by an arbuscular
891 mycorrhizal fungus. *New Phytol* 184:975–987. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03031.x

892 Flores-Monterroso A, Canales J, de la Torre F, Ávila C, Cánovas FM (2013)
893 Identification of genes differentially expressed in ectomycorrhizal roots during the

894 *Pinus pinaster*–*Laccaria bicolor* interaction. *Planta* 237:1637-1650.
895 doi:10.1007/s00425-013-1874-4

896 Frettinger P, Derory J, Herrmann S, Plomion C, Lapeyrie F, Oelmüller R, Martin
897 F, Buscot F (2007) Transcriptional changes in two types of pre-mycorrhizal roots and in
898 ectomycorrhizas of oak microcuttings inoculated with *Piloderma croceum*. *Planta*
899 225:331–340. doi:10.1007/s00425-006-0355-4

900 Garcia-Garrido JM, Ocampo J (2002) Regulation of the plant defence response in
901 arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *J Exp Bot* 53:1377-1386.
902 doi:10.1093/jexbot/53.373.1377

903 Gobom J, Nordhoff E, Mirgorodskaya E, Ekman R, Roepstorff P (1999) Sample
904 purification and preparation technique based on nano-scale reversed-phase columns for
905 the sensitive analysis of complex peptide mixtures by matrix-assisted laser
906 desorption/ionization mass spectrometry. *J Mass Spectrom* 34:105–116. doi:
907 10.1002/(SICI)1096-9888(199902)34:2<105::AID-JMS768>3.0.CO;2-4

908 Grant WD, West AW (1986) Measurement of ergosterol, diaminopimelic acid and
909 glucosamine in soil: evaluation as indicators of microbial biomass. *J Microbiol Methods*
910 6:47–54. doi:10.1016/0167-7012(86)90031-X

911 Guy CL, Huber JLA, Huber SC (1992) Sucrose phosphate synthase and sucrose
912 accumulation at low temperature. *Plant Physiol* 100:502-508. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.
913 1104/pp.100.1.502

914 Harrison MD, Jones CE, Dameron CT (1999) Copper chaperones: function, structure
915 and copper-binding properties. *J Biol Inorg Chem* 4:145–153.

916 Hartung W, Ratcliffe RG (2002) Utilization of glycine and serine as nitrogen sources in
917 the roots of *Zea mays* and *Chamaegigas intrepidus*. *J Exp Bot* 53:2305–2314.
918 doi:10.1093/jxb/erf092

919 Heller G, Adomas A, Li G, Osborne J, van Zyl L, Sederoff R, Finlay RD, Stenlid J,
920 Asiegbu FO (2008) Transcriptional analysis of *Pinus sylvestris* roots challenged with
921 the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Laccaria bicolor*. *BMC Plant Biol* 8:19. doi:10.1186/1471-
922 2229-8-19

923 Hilbert JL, Costa G, Martin F (1991) Ectomycorrhizal synthesis and polypeptide
924 changes during the early stage of eucalypt mycorrhiza development. *Plant Physiol* 97:
925 977–984. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.97.3.977>

926 Hemmingsen SM, Woolford C, van der Vies SM, Tilly K, Dennis DT, Georgopoulos
927 CP, Hendrix RW, Ellis RJ (1988) Homologous plant and bacterial proteins chaperone
928 oligomeric protein assembly. *Nature* 333:330-334. doi:10.1038/333330a0

929 Hinsinger P (2001) Bioavailability of soil inorganic P in the rhizosphere as affected by
930 root-induced chemical changes: a review. *Plant and Soil* 237:173–195.
931 doi:10.1023/A:1013351617532

932 Hughes AL, Powell DW, Bard M, Eckstein J, Barbuch R, Link AJ, Espenshade PJ
933 (2007) Dap1/PGRMC1 binds and regulates cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Cell Metab* 5:
934 143–149. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2006.12.009

935 Inohara N, Nuñez G (2002) ML – a conserved domain involved in innate immunity and
936 lipid metabolism. *Trends Biochem Sci* 27:219-221.
937 doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004\(02\)02084-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004(02)02084-4)

938 Jelenska J, Kang Y, Greenberg JT (2014) Plant pathogenic bacteria target the actin
939 microfilament network involved in the trafficking of disease defense components.
940 *BioArchitecture* 4:149-153. doi:10.4161/19490992.2014.980662

941 Johansson T, Le Quere A, Ahren D, Soderstrom B, Erlandsson R, Lundeberg J, Uhlen
942 M, Tunlid A (2004) Transcriptional responses of *Paxillus involutus* and *Betula pendula*
943 during formation of ectomycorrhizal root tissue. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 17:202-
944 215. doi:10.1094/MPMI.2004.17.2.202

945 Kang Y, Jelenska J, Cecchini NM, Li Y, Lee MW, Kovar DR, Greenberg JT (2014)
946 HopW1 from *Pseudomonas syringae* disrupts the actin cytoskeleton to promote
947 virulence in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Pathog* 10:e1004232.
948 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004232>

949 Kleczkowski LA, Geisler M, Ciereszko I, Johansson H (2004) UDP-glucose
950 pyrophosphorylase. An old protein with new tricks. *Plant Physiol* 134:912-918. doi:
951 10.1104/pp.103.036053

952 Kuhn H, Küster H, Requena N (2010) Membrane steroid-binding protein 1 induced by a
953 diffusible fungal signal is critical for mycorrhization in *Medicago truncatula*. *New*
954 *Phytol* 185:716–733. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03116.x

955 Lambertson D, Chen L, Madura K (2003) Investigating the importance of proteasome-
956 interaction for Rad23 function. *Curr Genet* 42:199–208. doi:10.1007/s00294-002-0350-
957 7

958 Laurent P, Voiblet C, Tagu D, de Carvalho D, Nehls U, De Bellis R, Balestrini R, Bauw
959 G, Bonfante P, Martin F (1999) A novel class of ectomycorrhiza-regulated cell wall
960 polypeptides in *Pisolithus tinctorius*. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* 12:862–871.
961 doi:10.1094/MPMI.1999.12.10.862

962 Le Quéré A, Wright D, Soderstrom B, Tunlid A, Johansson T (2005) Global patterns of
963 gene regulation associated with the development of ectomycorrhiza between birch
964 (*Betula pendula* Roth.) and *Paxillus involutus* (Batsch) Fr. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*
965 18:659-673. doi:10.1094/MPMI-18-0659

966 Li L, Cheng XF, Leshkevich J, Umezawa T, Harding SA, Chiang VL (2001) The last
967 step of syringyl monolignol biosynthesis in angiosperms is regulated by a novel gene
968 encoding sinapyl alcohol dehydrogenase. *Plant Cell* 13:1567-1586. doi:http://dx.doi.org/
969 10.1105/TPC.010111

970 Liu JJ, Ekramoddoullah AKM (2006) The family 10 of plant pathogenesis- related
971 proteins: their structure, regulation, and function in response to biotic and abiotic
972 stresses. *Physiol Mol Plant Pathol* 68:3–13.
973 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2006.06.004

974 Luo ZB, Janz D, Jiang X, Gobel C, Wildhagen H, Tan Y, Rennenberg H, Feussner I,
975 Polle A (2009) Upgrading root physiology for stress tolerance by ectomycorrhizas:
976 insights from metabolite and transcriptional profiling into reprogramming for stress
977 anticipation. *Plant Physiol* 151:1902-1917. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.143735

978 Luo Z-B, Lic K, Gaic Y, Göbeld C, Wildhagene H, Jiange X, Feußnerd I, Rennenberge
979 H, Polle A (2011) The ectomycorrhizal fungus *Paxillus involutus* modulates leaf
980 physiology of poplar towards improved salt tolerance. *Env Exp Bot* 72:304–311. doi:
981 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2011.04.008

982 Martin F, Aerts A, Ahren D et al (2008) Symbiosis insights from the genome of the
983 mycorrhizal basidiomycete *Laccaria bicolor*. Nature 452:88–92.
984 doi:10.1038/nature06556

985 Martin F, Deraruelle C, Hilbert JL (1990) An improved ergosterol assay to estimate
986 fungal biomass in ectomycorrhizas. Mycol Res 94:1059–1064. doi:10.1016/S0953-
987 7562(09)81333-6

988 Martin F, Kohler A, Murat C et al (2010) Périgord black truffle genome uncovers
989 evolutionary origins and mechanisms of symbiosis. Nature 464:1033–1038.
990 doi:10.1038/nature08867

991 Martin F, Nehls U (2009) Harnessing ectomycorrhizal genomics for ecological insights.
992 Curr Opin Plant Biol 12:508–515. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.05.007>

993 Martins A, Casimiro A, Pais MS (1997) Influence of mycorrhization on physiological
994 parameters of micropropagated *Castanea sativa* Mill. plants. Mycorrhiza 7:161-165.
995 doi: 10.1007/s005720050176

996 Meyer Y, Verdoucq L, Vignols F (1999) Plant thioredoxins and glutaredoxins: identity
997 and putative roles. Trends Plant Sci 4:10. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1360->
998 1385(99)01475-2

999 Miedes E, Vanholme R, Boerjan W, Molina A (2014) The role of the secondary cell
1000 wall in plant resistance to pathogens. Front Plant Sci 5:358. doi:
1001 10.3389/fpls.2014.00358

1002 Miura K, Jin JB, Hasegawa PM (2007) Sumoylation, a posttranslational regulatory
1003 process in plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10:495–502. doi:
1004 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2007.07.002>

1005 Monteiro F, Sebastiana M, Pais MS, Figueiredo A (2013) Reference gene selection and
1006 validation for the early responses to downy mildew infection in susceptible and resistant
1007 *Vitis vinifera* cultivars. PLoS ONE 8:e72998. doi:
1008 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072998>

1009 Müller T, Avolio M, Olivi M, Benjdia M, Rikirsch E, Kasaras A, Fitz M, Chalot
1010 M, Wipf D (2007) Nitrogen transport in the ectomycorrhiza association: the *Hebeloma*

1011 *cylindrosporum-Pinus pinaster* model. *Phytochemistry* 68:41-51. doi:
1012 10.1016/j.phytochem.2006.09.021

1013 Nehls U, Gohringer F, Wittulsky S, Dietz S (2010) Fungal carbohydrate support in the
1014 ectomycorrhizal symbiosis: a review. *Plant Biol* 12:292–301. doi: 10.1111/j.1438-
1015 8677.2009.00312.x

1016 Novatchkova M, Budhiraja R, Coupland G, Eisenhaber F, Bachmair A (2004) SUMO
1017 conjugation in plants. *Planta* 220:1–8. doi: 10.1007/s00425-004-1370-y

1018 Penheiter AR, Klucas RV, Sarath G (1998) Purification and characterization of a
1019 soybean root nodule phosphatase expressed in *Pichia pastoris*. *Protein Expr Purif* 14:
1020 125–130. doi: 10.1006/prep.1998.0935

1021 Prasad TK, Stewart CR (1992) cDNA clones encoding *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Zea*
1022 *mays* mitochondrial chaperonin HSP60 and gene expression during seed germination
1023 and heat shock. 18:873-885. doi:10.1007/BF00019202

1024 Qiang X, Zechmann B, Reitz M U, Kogel K H, Schäfer P (2012) The mutualistic fungus
1025 *Piriformospora indica* colonizes *Arabidopsis* roots by inducing an endoplasmic
1026 reticulum stress-triggered caspase-dependent cell death. *Plant Cell* 24:794–809. doi:
1027 10.1105/tpc.111.093260

1028 Raasi S, Pickart CM (2003) Rad23 ubiquitin-associated domains (UBA) inhibit 26 S
1029 proteasome-catalyzed proteolysis by sequestering lysine 48-linked polyubiquitin chains.
1030 *J Biol Chem* 278:8951–8959. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M212841200

1031 Radauer C, Lackner P, Breiteneder H (2008) The Bet v 1 fold: an ancient, versatile
1032 scaffold for binding of large, hydrophobic ligands. *BMC Evol Biol* 8:286. doi:
1033 10.1186/1471-2148-8-286

1034 Ray S, Anderson JM, Urmeev FI, Goodwin SB (2003) Rapid induction of a protein
1035 disulphide isomerase and defense-related genes in wheat in response to the
1036 hemibiotrophic fungal pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Plant Mol Biol* 53:701–
1037 714. doi:10.1023/B:PLAN.0000019120.74610.52

1038 Rodrigues CI, Maia R, Maguas C (2010) Comparing total nitrogen and crude protein
1039 content of green coffee beans (*coffea* spp.) from different geographical origins. *Coffee*
1040 *Sci* 5:197–205.

1041 Rose CM, Venkateshwaran M, Volkening JD et al (2012) Rapid phosphoproteomic and
1042 transcriptomic changes in the rhizobia legume symbiosis. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 11:724–
1043 744. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M112.019208

1044 Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ (2008) Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C
1045 (T) method. *Nat Protoc* 3:1101–1108. doi:10.1038/nprot.2008.73

1046 Sebastiana M, Figueiredo A, Monteiro F et al (2013b) A possible approach for gel-
1047 based proteomic studies in recalcitrant woody plants. *SpringerPlus* 2:210. doi:
1048 10.1186/2193-1801-2-210

1049 Sebastiana M, Pereira V, Alcantara A, Pais M, Silva A (2013a) Ectomycorrhizal
1050 inoculation with *Pisolithus tinctorius* increases the performance of *Quercus suber* L.
1051 (cork oak) nursery and field seedlings. *New Forests* 44:937-949. doi:10.1007/s11056-
1052 013-9386-4

1053 Sebastiana M, Vieira B, Lino-Neto T, Monteiro F, Figueiredo A, Sousa L, Pais MS,
1054 Tavares R, Paulo OS (2014) Oak root response to ectomycorrhizal symbiosis
1055 establishment: RNA-Seq derived transcript identification and expression profiling.
1056 *PLoS ONE* 9:e98376. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098376

1057 Selles B, Jacquot J, Rouhier N (2011) Comparative genomic study of protein disulfide
1058 isomerases from photosynthetic organisms. *Genomics* 97:37-50. doi:
1059 10.1016/j.ygeno.2010.10.001

1060 Serrato AJ, Guillemintot J, Meyer Y, Vignols F (2008) AtCXXS: atypical members of
1061 the *Arabidopsis thaliana* thioredoxin h family with a remarkably high disulfide
1062 isomerase activity. *Physiol Plant* 133:611-622. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2008.01093.x

1063 Shin L, Lo J, Yeh K (2012) Copper chaperone antioxidant protein1 is essential for
1064 copper homeostasis. *Plant Physiol* 159:1099-1110. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.195974

1065 Simoneau P, Viemont JD, Moreau JC, Strullu DG (1993) Symbiosis-related
1066 polypeptides associated with the early stages of ectomycorrhiza organogenesis in birch
1067 (*Betula pendula* Roth). *New Phytol* 124:495-504

1068 Slajcherova K, Fiserova J, Fischer L, Schwarzerova K. (2012) Multiple actin isotypes in
1069 plants: diverse genes for diverse roles? *Front Plant Sci* 3:226. doi:
1070 10.3389/fpls.2012.00226

1071 Smith S, Read D (1997) Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic Press, London.

1072 Tada Y, Spoel SH, Pajerowska-Mukhtar K et al (2008) Plant immunity requires
1073 conformational changes of NPR1 via S-Nitrosylation and Thioredoxins. Science
1074 321:952-956. doi: 10.1126/science.1156970

1075 Timonen S, Peterson RL (1993) Cytoskeleton in mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant and Soil
1076 244:199–210. doi: 10.1023/A:1020209213524

1077 Tran HT, Hurley BA, Plaxton WC (2010) Feeding hungry plants: The role of purple
1078 acid phosphatases in phosphate nutrition. Plant Sci 179:14–27. doi:
1079 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.04.005>

1080 Unlu M, Morgan ME, Minden JS (1997) Difference gel electrophoresis: a single gel
1081 method for detecting changes in protein extracts. Electrophoresis 18:2071–2077. doi:
1082 10.1002/elps.1150181133

1083 Valot B, Dieu M, Recorbet G, Raes M, Gianinazzi S, Dumas-Gaudot E (2005)
1084 Identification of membrane-associated proteins regulated by the arbuscular mycorrhizal
1085 symbiosis. Plant Mol Biol 59:565–580. doi: 10.1007/s11103-005-8269-2

1086 Vincent D, Kohler A, Claverol S, Solier E, Joets J, Gibon J, Lebrun M-H, Plomion C,
1087 Martin F (2012) Secretome of the free-living mycelium from the ectomycorrhizal
1088 basidiomycete *Laccaria bicolor*. J Proteome Res 11:157–171. doi: 10.1021/pr200895f

1089 Vizcaino JA, Deutsch EW, Wang R et al (2014) ProteomeXchange provides globally
1090 coordinated proteomics data submission and dissemination. Nat Biotechnol 32:223-226.
1091 doi:10.1038/nbt.2839

1092 Wan CY, Wilkins TA (1994) A modified hot borate method significantly enhances the
1093 yield of high-quality RNA from cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Anal Biochem 223:7–
1094 12. doi: 10.1006/abio.1994.1538

1095 Wang D, Weaver ND, Kesarwani M, Dong X (2005) Induction of protein secretory
1096 pathway is required for systemic acquired resistance. Science 308:1036–1040. doi:
1097 10.1126/science.1108791

1098 Wang L, Li Z, Qian W, Guo W, Gao X, Huang L, Wang H, Zhu H, Wu J, Wang D, Liu
1099 D (2011) The *Arabidopsis* purple acid phosphatase AtPAP10 is predominantly
1100 associated with the root surface and plays an important role in plant tolerance to

1101 phosphate limitation. *Plant Physiol* 157:1283–1299. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.183723)
1102 111.183723

1103 Wilkinson B, Gilbert HF (2004) Protein disulfide isomerase. *Biochim Biophys Acta*
1104 1699:35–44. doi: 10.1016/j.bbapap.2004.02.017

1105 Xu X-H, Wang C, Li S-X et al (2015) Friend or foe: differential responses of rice to
1106 invasion by mutualistic or pathogenic fungi revealed by RNAseq and metabolite
1107 profiling. *Scientific Reports* 5:13624. doi:10.1038/srep13624

1108 Zouari I, Salvioli A, Chialva M, Novero M, Miozzi L, Tenore GC, Bagnaresi P,
1109 Bonfante P (2014) From root to fruit: RNA-Seq analysis shows that arbuscular
1110 mycorrhizal symbiosis may affect tomato fruit metabolism. *BMC Genomics* 15:221.
1111 doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-221

1112

1113 **Figure captions**

1114 **Fig. 1** 2D-gel electrophoresis analysis of proteins extracted from cork oak roots
1115 colonized by *P. tinctorius* (A) and from non-inoculated roots (B) visualized by
1116 Coomassie blue staining. Proteins differentially accumulated in colonized roots are
1117 marked by arrows and are described in Table 2 and online resource 5. A merged
1118 Cy5/Cy3 2D-gel representative image from the two conditions (mycorrhizal root and
1119 non-mycorrhizal root) (C)

1120 **Fig. 2** Gene ontology (GO) annotation (biological process) of the identified cork oak
1121 root proteins differentially accumulated upon mycorrhiza formation with *P. tinctorius*.
1122 On the X axis is represented the proportion of proteins assigned to each GO functional
1123 category

1124 **Fig. 3** Gene expression levels of selected candidates in roots inoculated with *P.*
1125 *tinctorius* (Inoc) and in non-inoculated roots (non-Inoc). Bars represent standard
1126 deviation. TIL: temperature-induced lipocalin; RAD23: Ubiquitin receptor RAD23c;
1127 PDI: Protein disulfide-isomerase; Cpn60: Chaperonin 60; PROT α 5: Proteasome subunit
1128 alpha type-5; SUMO: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 1

1129 **Fig. 4** Summary of the major molecular events occurring in mature ectomycorrhizal
1130 cork oak root cells colonized by *P. tinctorius*. Proteins identified in this study (Table 2)

1131 are represented by boxes. Red boxes denote up-accumulated proteins. Green boxes
1132 denote down-accumulated proteins. Dashed arrows denote pathways down-regulated by
1133 ECM inoculation, whereas complete arrows refer to up-accumulated pathways. PDI:
1134 protein disulfide-isomerase; TIL: temperature-induced lipocalin; RAD23: Ubiquitin
1135 receptor RAD23c; PROT α 5: Proteasome subunit alpha type-5; MLP: MLP-like protein
1136 328; PG/PI TP: phosphatidylglycerol/phosphatidylinositol transfer protein; MSBP:
1137 Membrane steroid-binding protein 2-like; SOD: Superoxide dismutase; TRX H:
1138 Thioredoxin-like protein CXXS1; GDC: Glycine cleavage system H protein 2; ATPase:
1139 ATPase subunit 1; MDH: Malate dehydrogenase 2; TPI: Triosephosphate isomerase
1140 family protein; FBPA: Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase; ENO: Enolase; FK:
1141 Fructokinase-1; UGPase: UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase; SAD: Sinapyl alcohol
1142 dehydrogenase-like; IR: allergenic isoflavone reductase-like Bet v 6.0102; PAP: Purple
1143 acid phosphatase; AP: Acid phosphatase

1144

1145 **Table captions**

1146 **Table 1** – Root and leaf biomass, concentration of soluble sugars (glucose, sucrose, and
1147 fructose), starch, non-structural carbohydrates and carbon in *Q. suber* roots inoculated
1148 with *P. tinctorius* and in non-inoculated roots. Data indicate means \pm standard deviation
1149 (n= 20-25 for biomass; n=3 for soluble sugars, starch and % C). Different letters in the
1150 same column indicate significant differences between the treatments at $p < 0.05$.

1151 **Table 2** - List of differentially accumulated proteins when comparing *P. tinctorius*
1152 inoculated and non-inoculated roots identified by 2D-electrophoresis and mass
1153 spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/TOF).

1154

1155 **Electronic supplementary material**

1156 **Online Resource 1 (Supplementary Table 1)** Quantitative analysis of the protein
1157 spots. Column A: spot number; Column B: normalised spot volume in mycorrhizal roots
1158 according to Progenesis SameSpot; Column C: spot volume upon correction for the
1159 0.93:0.07 plant-fungal proportion in mycorrhizal roots; Column D: normalised spot
1160 volume in non-mycorrhizal roots according to Progenesis SameSpot; Column E: Fold
1161 Change (FC) between mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal roots calculated as C/D;

1162 Column F: for representation proposes, a $-1/FC$ transformation was applied to FC values
1163 between 0 and 1 (down-accumulated spots).

1164 **Online Resource 2 (Supplementary Table 2)** Target genes for real-time PCR analysis:
1165 accession in cork oak transcriptome database (www.corkoakdb.org), primers sequences,
1166 annealing (Ta) and melting (Tm) temperature.

1167 **Online Resource 3 (Supplementary Fig. 1)** The interaction between *P. tinctorius* and
1168 the roots of cork oak. (a) Example of a colonized (right) and a non-colonized (left)
1169 plant. (b) Non-inoculated roots. (c) Colonized root, 2 months after inoculation with *P.*
1170 *tinctorius*. (d) Transverse section of a colonized root (2 months after inoculation)
1171 showing the fungal mantle (m) surrounding the root and the hartig net (hn) on root
1172 epidermal cells; scale 50 μm (Sebastiana et al. 2014)

1173 **Online Resource 4 (Supplementary Fig. 2)** Representative images of Cy labelled 2-
1174 DE gels for mycorrhizal leaves (A) and non-mycorrhizal leaves (B)

1175 **Online Resource 5 (Supplementary Table 3)** The identity of differentially expressed
1176 protein spots as determined by tandem mass spectrometry

1177

1178