

**2022**

# USE OF FORCE

## INCIDENT REPORTING

**Rob Bonta, Attorney General**  
**California Department of Justice**  
**California Justice Information Services Division**  
**Justice Data & Investigative Services Bureau**  
**Criminal Justice Statistics Center**





**2022**

# **USE OF FORCE**

**INCIDENT REPORTING**

# The Role of the Criminal Justice Statistics Center is to:

- Collect, analyze, and report statistical data that provide valid measures of crime and the criminal justice process.
- Examine these data on an ongoing basis to better describe crime and the criminal justice system.
- Promote the responsible presentation and use of crime statistics.



**CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**  
**Rob Bonta, Attorney General**

# Executive Summary

## Use of Force


### Incident Reporting 2022

*Use of Force Incident Reporting 2022* presents a summary overview of use of force and discharge of firearm incidents, as defined in Government Code (GC) section 12525.2.\* This report details use of force incidents that occurred in the 2022 calendar year, as disclosed by California law enforcement agencies (LEAs) to the California Department of Justice (DOJ). The following summary presents information on reported incidents where force was effected by either a law enforcement officer or a civilian or both law enforcement officer and civilian then displays the data specific to civilians followed by data specific to officers. In accordance with the statute’s narrow definition of use of force, the data contained in this report only represent incidents where use of force by an officer or a civilian resulted in either serious bodily injury or death of an officer or a civilian, or the discharge of a firearm by either an officer or a civilian. Therefore, this report does not contain the full spectrum of California’s use of force incidents and readers must exercise caution when making comparisons or generalizations with this data set.

#### INCIDENTS

- In 2022, there were 597 incidents that involved use of force resulting in serious bodily injury or death of a civilian or officer; or the discharge of a firearm (Table 1).
- Of the 597 incidents, 257 reported a discharge of a firearm (Table 8A).
- Of the 597 incidents, 49.4 percent (295) occurred during a call for service, 16.1 percent (96) occurred while either a crime was in progress or while officers were investigating suspicious persons or circumstances, and 14.6 percent (87) resulted from a vehicle/ bike/pedestrian stop (Table 2A).
- Of the 597 incidents, 74.9 percent (447) resulted in an arrest (Table 2B).

**Chart 1**  
**Use of Force, 2022**  
**Breakdown of Reason for Initial Contact by Type**




Source Chart 1: Table 2A  
 Note: 'Other' includes civil assembly, civil disorder, and welfare check.

\* See the Legislation section on page 6 for further detail.


## CIVILIANS

- In 2022, 612 civilians were involved in incidents that involved the discharge of a firearm or use of force resulting in serious bodily injury or death (Table 6A).
- Of the 612 civilians, 91.0 percent (557) were male, 8.0 percent (49) were female, 0.0 percent (0) were transgender, and 1.0 percent (6) fled the scene and their gender was unknown (Table 7).
- Of the 612 civilians, 48.4 percent (296) were Hispanic, 25.3 percent (155) were white, and 19.3 percent (118) were black (Table 7).
- Of the 612 civilians, 32.2 percent (197) were hit by the discharge of a firearm, 23.0 percent (141) and 8.3 percent (51) received force by other control hold/takedown tactics and electronic control device, respectively (Table 8B).
- Of the 612 civilians, 61.4 percent (376) were injured, 15.5 percent (95) were not injured, and 22.1 percent (135) died (Table 21).
- Of the 612 civilians, officers perceived that 352 civilians were armed. Of the 612 civilians, 310 were confirmed to be armed (Tables 15 and 16).

**Chart 2**  
**Use of Force, 2022**  
**Percentage of Civilian Age by Age Group**



**Chart 3**  
**Use of Force, 2022**  
**Illustration of Civilian Race/Ethnicity by Type**




Source Charts 2 and 3: Table 7  
Notes: Unknown designates civilians that fled the scene resulting in the officer's inability to identify their age or race/ethnic group. Percentages may not add to 100.0 due to rounding.


## OFFICERS

- In 2022, 1,375 officers were involved in incidents that involved the discharge of a firearm or use of force resulting in serious bodily injury or death (Table 6A).
- Of the 1,375 officers, 93.1 percent (1,280) were male and 6.9 percent (95) were female (Table 7).
- Of the 1,375 officers, 47.3 percent (651) were white, 39.0 percent (536) were Hispanic, 5.6 percent (77) were black, and 5.5 percent (75) were Asian/Pacific Islander (Table 7).
- Of the 1,375 officers, 46.5 percent (640) did not receive force from a civilian, 17.9 percent (246) received force during physical contact with a civilian, and 11.6 percent (159) received force by the discharge of a firearm from a civilian (Table 8B).
- Of the 1,375 officers, 17.1 percent (236) were injured, 82.5 percent (1,135) were not injured, and 0.3 percent (4) died (Table 32).
- Of the 1,375 officers involved, 1,258 reported using force. Officers reported they used force to effect arrest/take into custody (570), to overcome resistance (359), in defense of a 3rd party (317), or to prevent escape (12) (Table 29).

**Chart 4**  
**Use of Force, 2022**  
**Percentage of Officer Age by Age Group**



**Chart 5**  
**Use of Force, 2022**  
**Illustration of Officer Race/Ethnicity by Type**



Source Charts 4 and 5: Table 7  
 Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 due to rounding.

# Understanding the Data Characteristics and Known Limitations

## BACKGROUND

In 2015, the California Legislature passed Assembly Bill (AB) 71, adding GC section 12525.2. This new statute mandates LEAs in California to report use of force incidents that result in serious bodily injury or death, or involve the discharge of a firearm. Effective January 1, 2016, all LEAs were required to begin collecting data on use of force incidents for submittal to the DOJ beginning January 1, 2017.

## THE SYSTEM

The DOJ consulted with LEAs and stakeholders throughout the state in a series of regional meetings throughout 2015. This collaboration resulted in the development of use of force incident data elements and definitions, as well as a web-based data collection system through which LEAs may enter and submit use of force data to the DOJ. All agencies employing peace officers are required to report even if they have no use of force incidents (zero-reporting).

## DATA LIMITATIONS

- 1) The data contained in the use of force repository are narrowly defined and do not represent the totality of use of force incidents that occur in California. LEAs are only required to report use of force incidents that result in serious bodily injury or death of either the civilian or the officer and all incidents where there is a discharge of a firearm. As such, caution must be exercised when using the data for comparisons or when calculating rates.
- 2) The year 2016 represented the first year of data collection and reporting. While every effort was made to provide access to all LEAs in the state, not all agencies reported. The DOJ anticipates and expects that consistency in reporting will improve with additional training, outreach efforts, and experience.
- 3) More than one officer may be involved in a single incident. Sometimes this includes officers that were not injured or did not physically use force. We include data related to these officers to satisfy GC section 12525.2, subdivisions (b) 5-7.



- 4) Incidents reported after the close of the reporting year are not included in the data set and are noted here:

The Montebello Police Department discovered an unreported incident that occurred August 16, 2022, which included a radio call of a vehicle colliding into a residence. The civilian was uncooperative at the scene, which resulted in two officers using non-lethal force. The civilian and one officer sustained minor injuries and the remaining officer received serious bodily injury.

- 5) Montebello Police Department and the Glenn County District Attorney did not submit use of force/discharge of firearm data for 2022.

### **FUTURE ENHANCEMENTS**

Each year of collection provides an opportunity to refine data elements and add useful contextual information. The DOJ will continue to work with stakeholders to provide a platform for improved data collection over time.

### **DEFINITIONS**

**Discharge of a firearm** – Includes any discharge of a firearm during an interaction between a civilian and an officer, regardless of whether any person was injured. A firearm is defined as a weapon that fires a shot by the force of an explosion, e.g., a handgun, rifle, shotgun, and other such device commonly referred to as a firearm. Not included in this definition are electronic control devices; stun guns; BB, pellet, air, or gas-powered guns; or weapons that discharge rubber bullets or bean bags.

**In Custody Event** – When the use of force incident occurs while a civilian is in a custodial setting. The following custody status options are reflected in this data: In Transit, Awaiting Booking, Booked-No Charges Filed, Booked-Awaiting Trial, Out to Court, Sentenced, or Other.

**Injury severity** – Severity levels below “serious bodily injury” are included in the data set due to the fact that reporting is required for any discharge of a firearm.

**Serious bodily injury** is defined in GC section 12525.2. Please see the Legislation section on page 6 for further detail.

## LEGISLATION

Effective January 1, 2016, Chapter 462, Statutes of 2015 (AB 71) added GC section 12525.2 pertaining to the collection and reporting of use of force incidents resulting in serious bodily injury, as defined, discharge of firearm, or death. As such, on January 1, 2016, all LEAs were required to begin collecting use of force incidents for submittal to the DOJ beginning January 1, 2017.

Effective September 20, 2020, Chapter 324, Statutes of 2020 (AB 1196) added GC section 7286.5 pertaining to the use of specific holds and restraints used by law enforcement. Specifically, GC section 7286.5 prohibits a law enforcement agency from authorizing the use of a carotid restraint or a choke hold, as defined.

### GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 12525.2

Statute language as accessed on January 1, 2022, and as it pertains to the 2022 data collection.

- (a) Each law enforcement agency shall annually furnish to the Department of Justice, in a manner defined and prescribed by the Attorney General, a report of all instances when a peace officer employed by that agency is involved in any of the following:
  - (1) An incident involving the shooting of a civilian by a peace officer.
  - (2) An incident involving the shooting of a peace officer by a civilian.
  - (3) An incident in which the use of force by a peace officer against a civilian results in serious bodily injury or death.
  - (4) An incident in which use of force by a civilian against a peace officer results in serious bodily injury or death.
- (b) For each incident reported under subdivision (a), the information reported to the Department of Justice shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following:
  - (1) The gender, race, and age of each individual who was shot, injured, or killed.
  - (2) The date, time, and location of the incident.
  - (3) Whether the civilian was armed, and, if so, the type of weapon.
  - (4) The type of force used against the officer, the civilian, or both, including the types of weapons used.
  - (5) The number of officers involved in the incident.
  - (6) The number of civilians involved in the incident.
  - (7) A brief description regarding the circumstances surrounding the incident, which may include the nature of injuries to officers and civilians and perceptions on behavior or mental disorders.
- (c) Each year, the Department of Justice shall include a summary of information contained in the reports received pursuant to subdivision (a) through the department's OpenJustice Web Portal pursuant to Section 13010 of the Penal Code. This information shall be classified according to the reporting law enforcement jurisdiction. In cases involving a peace officer who is injured or killed,

the report shall list the officer's employing jurisdiction and the jurisdiction where the injury or death occurred, if they are not the same. This subdivision does not authorize the release to the public of the badge number or other unique identifying information of the peace officer involved.

- (d) For purposes of this section, "serious bodily injury" means a bodily injury that involves a substantial risk of death, unconsciousness, protracted and obvious disfigurement, or protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member or organ.

### **GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 7286.5**

- (a) (1) A law enforcement agency shall not authorize the use of a carotid restraint or choke hold by any peace officer employed by that agency.
- (2) A law enforcement agency shall not authorize techniques or transport methods that involve a substantial risk of positional asphyxia.
- (b) As used in this section, the following terms are defined as follows:
- (1) "Carotid restraint" means a vascular neck restraint or any similar restraint, hold, or other defensive tactic in which pressure is applied to the sides of a person's neck that involves a substantial risk of restricting blood flow and may render the person unconscious in order to subdue or control the person.
- (2) "Choke hold" means any defensive tactic or force option in which direct pressure is applied to a person's trachea or windpipe.
- (3) "Law enforcement agency" means any agency, department, or other entity of the state or any political subdivision thereof, that employs any peace officer described in Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 830) of Title 3 of Part 2 of the Penal Code.
- (4) "Positional asphyxia" means situating a person in a manner that compresses their airway and reduces the ability to sustain adequate breathing. This includes, without limitation, the use of any physical restraint that causes a person's respiratory airway to be compressed or impairs the person's breathing or respiratory capacity, including any action in which pressure or body weight is unreasonably applied against a restrained person's neck, torso, or back, or positioning a restrained person without reasonable monitoring for signs of asphyxia.

## LIST OF DATA TABLES

### INCIDENTS

<b>Table 1</b>	Number of Use of Force Incidents by County of Initial Contact .....	<b>10</b>
<b>Table 2A</b>	Reason for Initial Contact and Arrest Made per Incident .....	<b>11</b>
<b>Table 2B</b>	Reason for Initial Contact and Arrest Made per Incident - Percent Distribution by Reason for Contact .....	<b>11</b>
<b>Table 3</b>	Reason for Initial Contact by County.....	<b>12</b>
<b>Table 4</b>	Number of Involved Civilians and Involved Officers per Incident.....	<b>14</b>

### COMBINED CIVILIAN AND OFFICER

<b>Table 5</b>	Incidents with Multiple Locations or on a K-12 Campus .....	<b>15</b>
<b>Table 6A</b>	Number of Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by Single and Multiple Agency Incidents .....	<b>15</b>
<b>Table 6B</b>	Number of Single Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction.....	<b>16</b>
<b>Table 6C</b>	Number of Multiple Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction.....	<b>23</b>
<b>Table 7</b>	Number of Involved Civilians and Officers by Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group .....	<b>27</b>
<b>Table 8A</b>	Number of Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by Type of Incident.....	<b>27</b>
<b>Table 8B</b>	Type of Force Received .....	<b>28</b>
<b>Table 9</b>	Number of Civilians and Officers Injured and the Medical Aid Received.....	<b>29</b>

### CIVILIAN

<b>Table 10</b>	By Race/Ethnic Group, Gender, and Age of Civilian .....	<b>30</b>
<b>Table 11</b>	Type of Force Received by Gender of Civilian .....	<b>31</b>
<b>Table 12</b>	Type of Force Received by Arrests Made and Race/Ethnic Group of Civilian .....	<b>32</b>
<b>Table 13</b>	Type of Force Received by Age of Civilian .....	<b>33</b>
<b>Table 14</b>	Type of Force Received by Civilian by Reason for Initial Contact.....	<b>34</b>
<b>Table 15</b>	Type of Force Received by Civilian and Civilian Perceived Armed by Officer.....	<b>35</b>

<b>Table 16</b>	Type of Force Received by Civilian and Civilian Confirmed Armed by Weapon and Firearm Type .....	<b>36</b>
<b>Table 17</b>	Type of Force Received by Civilian and Civilian Resistance .....	<b>37</b>
<b>Table 18</b>	Observed Signs of Civilian’s Impairment or Disability by Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group .....	<b>38</b>
<b>Table 19</b>	Observed Signs of Civilian’s Impairment or Disability by Type of Force Received .....	<b>39</b>
<b>Table 20</b>	Type of Force and Injury Received by Civilian .....	<b>40</b>
<b>Table 21</b>	Civilian Injury by Type .....	<b>40</b>
<b>Table 22</b>	Civilians with Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group .....	<b>41</b>
<b>Table 23</b>	Civilians with Serious Bodily Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group .....	<b>42</b>
<b>Table 24</b>	Deceased Civilians by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group .....	<b>45</b>
<b>OFFICER</b>		
<b>Table 25</b>	By Race/Ethnic Group, Gender, and Age of Officer .....	<b>48</b>
<b>Table 26</b>	Type of Force Received by Gender of Officer .....	<b>49</b>
<b>Table 27</b>	Type of Force Received by Race/Ethnic Group of Officer.....	<b>50</b>
<b>Table 28</b>	Type of Force Received by Age of Officer .....	<b>51</b>
<b>Table 29</b>	Race/Ethnic Group of Involved Officer by Reason for Use of Force.....	<b>52</b>
<b>Table 30</b>	Officer’s Designated Dress During Incident .....	<b>52</b>
<b>Table 31</b>	Type of Force and Injury Received by Officer .....	<b>53</b>
<b>Table 32</b>	Officer Injury by Type .....	<b>53</b>
<b>Table 33</b>	Officers with Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group .....	<b>54</b>
<b>Table 34</b>	Officers with Serious Bodily Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group .....	<b>56</b>
<b>Table 35</b>	Deceased Officers by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group .....	<b>58</b>
<b>OTHER</b>		
<b>Table 36</b>	Jurisdictions That Reported Zero Primary Incidents .....	<b>59</b>
<b>Table 37</b>	Population Estimates and Sworn Law Enforcement Personnel by County.....	<b>64</b>
<b>Appendix</b>	.....	<b>65</b>

Table 1  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Number of Use of Force Incidents by County of Initial Contact

County	Number	Percent	County	Number	Percent
Statewide .....	597	100.0			
Alameda .....	19	3.2	Placer .....	5	0.8
Alpine .....	0	0.0	Plumas .....	2	0.3
Amador .....	0	0.0	Riverside .....	35	5.9
Butte .....	4	0.7	Sacramento .....	32	5.4
Calaveras .....	1	0.2	San Benito .....	0	0.0
Colusa .....	0	0.0	San Bernardino .....	73	12.2
Contra Costa .....	6	1.0	San Diego .....	53	8.9
Del Norte .....	1	0.2	San Francisco .....	3	0.5
El Dorado .....	3	0.5	San Joaquin .....	8	1.3
Fresno .....	22	3.7	San Luis Obispo .....	2	0.3
Glenn .....	0	0.0	San Mateo .....	5	0.8
Humboldt .....	1	0.2	Santa Barbara .....	6	1.0
Imperial .....	2	0.3	Santa Clara .....	23	3.9
Inyo .....	0	0.0	Santa Cruz .....	1	0.2
Kern .....	20	3.4	Shasta .....	5	0.8
Kings .....	0	0.0	Sierra .....	0	0.0
Lake .....	1	0.2	Siskiyou .....	1	0.2
Lassen .....	0	0.0	Solano .....	10	1.7
Los Angeles .....	162	27.1	Sonoma .....	5	0.8
Madera .....	3	0.5	Stanislaus .....	3	0.5
Marin .....	0	0.0	Sutter .....	2	0.3
Mariposa .....	1	0.2	Tehama .....	2	0.3
Mendocino .....	0	0.0	Trinity .....	0	0.0
Merced .....	3	0.5	Tulare .....	6	1.0
Modoc .....	0	0.0	Tuolumne .....	2	0.3
Mono .....	0	0.0	Ventura .....	4	0.7
Monterey .....	8	1.3	Yolo .....	0	0.0
Napa .....	2	0.3	Yuba .....	7	1.2
Nevada .....	0	0.0			
Orange .....	43	7.2			

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.  
 California Highway Patrol (CHP) incidents are included within the county where each occurred.

Table 2A  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
Reason for Initial Contact and Arrest Made per Incident

Reason for initial contact	Number	Percent	Arrest made		No arrest made	
			Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total .....	597	100.0	447	100.0	150	100.0
Ambush - no warning .....	10	1.7	6	1.3	4	2.7
Call for service .....	295	49.4	223	49.9	72	48.0
Civil assembly .....	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Civil disorder .....	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Consensual encounter/public contact/flag down .....	15	2.5	12	2.7	3	2.0
Crime in progress/investigating suspicious persons or circumstances .....	96	16.1	69	15.4	27	18.0
In-custody event .....	55	9.2	28	6.3	27	18.0
Pre-planned activity (arrest/search warrant, parole/probation search) .....	32	5.4	26	5.8	6	4.0
Vehicle/bike/pedestrian stop .....	87	14.6	78	17.4	9	6.0
Welfare check .....	7	1.2	5	1.1	2	1.3

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Table 2B  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
Reason for Initial Contact and Arrest Made per Incident  
Percent Distribution by Reason for Contact

Reason for initial contact	Number	Percent	Arrest made		No arrest made	
			Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total .....	597	100.0	447	74.9	150	25.1
Ambush - no warning .....	10	100.0	6	60.0	4	40.0
Call for service .....	295	100.0	223	75.6	72	24.4
Civil assembly .....	0	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Civil disorder .....	0	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Consensual encounter/public contact/flag down .....	15	100.0	12	80.0	3	20.0
Crime in progress/investigating suspicious persons or circumstances .....	96	100.0	69	71.9	27	28.1
In-custody event .....	55	100.0	28	50.9	27	49.1
Pre-planned activity (arrest/search warrant, parole/probation search) .....	32	100.0	26	81.3	6	18.8
Vehicle/bike/pedestrian stop .....	87	100.0	78	89.7	9	10.3
Welfare check .....	7	100.0	5	71.4	2	28.6

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Table 3  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
Reason for Initial Contact by County

County	Total	Reason for initial contact									
		Ambush - no warning	Call for service	Civil assembly	Civil disorder	Consensual encounter/public contact/flag down	Crime in progress/investigating suspicious persons or circumstances	In-custody event	Pre-planned activity (arrest/search warrant/parole/probation search)	Vehicle/bike/pedestrian stop	Welfare check
Total	597	10	295	0	0	15	96	55	32	87	7
Alameda	19	0	8	0	0	0	1	9	0	1	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	4	0	1	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0
Calaveras	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	6	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Del Norte	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
El Dorado	3	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Fresno	22	1	15	0	0	0	2	1	0	3	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Imperial	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	20	0	7	0	0	2	5	0	1	5	0
Kings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lassen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	162	3	87	0	0	8	33	5	7	18	1
Madera	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Marin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mariposa	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merced	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Modoc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	8	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
Napa	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	43	0	18	0	0	1	4	9	2	8	1

(continued)



Table 3 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
Reason for Initial Contact by County

County	Total	Reason for initial contact									
		Ambush - no warning	Call for service	Civil assembly	Civil disorder	Consensual encounter/public contact/flag down	Crime in progress/investigating suspicious persons or circumstances	In-custody event	Pre-planned activity (arrest/search warrant, parole/probation search)	Vehicle/bike/pedestrian stop	Welfare check
Placer	5	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
Plumas	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Riverside	35	0	16	0	0	1	3	0	5	10	0
Sacramento	32	0	19	0	0	0	9	1	2	1	0
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	73	2	33	0	0	1	8	6	7	16	0
San Diego	53	1	26	0	0	0	4	18	1	3	0
San Francisco	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Joaquin	8	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
San Luis Obispo	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
San Mateo	5	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	6	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	23	0	12	0	0	0	2	1	3	4	1
Santa Cruz	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Shasta	5	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solano	10	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0
Sonoma	5	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutter	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Tehama	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare	6	1	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Tuolumne	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Ventura	4	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
Yolo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	7	0	1	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	0

Notes: California Highway Patrol (CHP) incidents are included within the county where each occurred.

Table 4  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Number of Involved Civilians and Involved Officers per Incident

Number of involved officers	Total	Number of involved civilians				
		1	2	3	4	5 and over
Total .....	597	584	11	2	0	0
1 .....	258	255	3	0	0	0
2 .....	149	146	2	1	0	0
3 .....	79	77	2	0	0	0
4 .....	50	48	1	1	0	0
5 .....	27	25	2	0	0	0
6 .....	17	16	1	0	0	0
7 .....	7	7	0	0	0	0
8 and over .....	10	10	0	0	0	0

Table 5  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Incidents with Multiple Locations or on a K-12 Campus

Yes/No	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Multiple locations			
Total .....	597	612	1,375
Yes .....	10	11	32
No .....	587	601	1,343
K-12 campus			
Total .....	597	612	1,375
Yes .....	2	2	3
No .....	595	610	1,372

Table 6A  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Number of Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by Single and Multiple Agency Incidents

Agencies involved	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Total .....	597	612	1,375
Single agency incidents .....	568	583	1,249
Multiple agency incidents .....	29	29	126

Table 6B  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Single Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Total .....	568	583	1,249
Alameda County .....	18	19	44
Sheriff's Dept .....	13	13	38
Fremont .....	1	1	1
Hayward .....	2	2	2
Pleasanton .....	1	1	2
San Leandro .....	1	2	1
Alpine County .....	0	0	0
Amador County .....	0	0	0
Butte County .....	4	5	14
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	3
CA Alcoholic Beverage Control <sup>1</sup> .....	1	2	6
Chico .....	2	2	5
Calaveras County .....	0	0	0
Colusa County .....	0	0	0
Contra Costa County .....	5	5	16
Antioch .....	1	1	2
Oakley .....	1	1	7
Pittsburg .....	2	2	6
Richmond .....	1	1	1
Del Norte County .....	1	1	2
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	2
El Dorado County .....	2	2	2
South Lake Tahoe .....	2	2	2
Fresno County .....	21	21	47
Sheriff's Dept .....	7	7	18
Clovis .....	2	2	9
Coalinga .....	1	1	1
Fowler .....	1	1	2
Fresno .....	7	7	11

(continued)

Table 6B - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Single Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Fresno County - continued			
Orange Cove .....	1	1	2
Reedley .....	1	1	2
Selma .....	1	1	2
Glenn County .....	0	0	0
Humboldt County .....	1	1	2
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	2
Imperial County .....	2	2	8
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	3
El Centro .....	1	1	5
Inyo County .....	0	0	0
Kern County .....	17	17	47
Sheriff's Dept .....	4	4	9
Arvin .....	1	1	1
Bakersfield .....	12	12	37
Kings County .....	0	0	0
Lake County .....	1	1	1
Lakeport .....	1	1	1
Lassen County .....	0	0	0
Los Angeles County .....	149	156	352
Sheriff's Dept .....	52	57	103
Arcadia .....	1	1	1
Azusa .....	2	3	5
Bell Gardens .....	1	1	1
Covina .....	2	2	5
Culver City .....	1	1	1
El Monte .....	1	1	9
Gardena .....	2	2	2
Glendale .....	2	2	5
Huntington Park .....	3	3	3

(continued)

Table 6B - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Single Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Los Angeles County - continued			
Inglewood .....	1	1	2
Long Beach .....	4	4	11
Los Angeles .....	63	64	182
Ontario <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	1
Pasadena .....	1	1	6
Pomona .....	1	1	1
San Fernando .....	2	2	5
Santa Monica .....	5	5	5
South Gate .....	2	2	2
Whittier .....	2	2	2
Madera County .....	3	3	3
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	1
Madera .....	2	2	2
Marin County .....	0	0	0
Mariposa County .....	1	1	1
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	1
Mendocino County .....	0	0	0
Merced County .....	1	1	1
Merced .....	1	1	1
Modoc County .....	0	0	0
Mono County .....	0	0	0
Monterey County .....	7	7	15
Sheriff's Dept .....	3	3	5
King City .....	1	1	3
Salinas .....	3	3	7
Napa County .....	2	2	2
Probation Dept .....	1	1	1
Napa .....	1	1	1
Nevada County .....	0	0	0

(continued)

Table 6B - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Single Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Orange County .....	43	44	98
Sheriff's Dept .....	16	17	52
Anaheim .....	6	6	13
CA Dept of Justice <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	1
Costa Mesa .....	1	1	3
Fullerton .....	2	2	3
Newport Beach .....	4	4	6
Orange .....	7	7	9
Santa Ana .....	1	1	2
Westminster .....	5	5	9
Placer County .....	4	4	5
Sheriff's Dept .....	4	4	5
Plumas County .....	2	2	3
Sheriff's Dept .....	2	2	3
Riverside County .....	31	31	69
Sheriff's Dept .....	7	7	18
District Attorney .....	1	1	1
Corona .....	3	3	3
Hemet .....	9	9	13
Riverside .....	11	11	34
Sacramento County .....	29	30	46
Sheriff's Dept .....	13	13	21
Citrus Heights .....	1	1	2
Sacramento .....	15	16	23
San Benito County .....	0	0	0
San Bernardino County .....	67	69	132
Sheriff's Dept .....	26	26	36
Probation Dept .....	3	3	13
CA Parks and Recreation <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	1
Fontana .....	4	4	9
Montclair .....	1	1	1
Ontario .....	4	4	8
Rialto .....	4	4	9

(continued)

Table 6B - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Single Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
San Bernardino County - continued			
San Bernardino .....	23	25	54
Upland .....	1	1	1
San Diego County .....	48	48	116
Sheriff's Dept .....	32	32	89
Chula Vista .....	1	1	1
San Diego .....	14	14	23
San Diego Harbor .....	1	1	3
San Francisco County .....	2	3	8
San Francisco .....	2	3	8
San Joaquin County .....	6	6	11
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	1
Stockton .....	4	4	8
Tracy .....	1	1	2
San Luis Obispo County .....	1	1	2
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	2
San Mateo County .....	5	5	16
Redwood City .....	2	2	8
San Francisco <sup>1</sup> .....	2	2	7
South San Francisco .....	1	1	1
Santa Barbara County .....	6	6	7
Sheriff's Dept .....	2	2	3
Santa Maria .....	4	4	4
Santa Clara County .....	22	22	37
Sheriff's Dept .....	2	2	5
Gilroy .....	1	1	2
San Jose .....	17	17	26
Santa Clara .....	1	1	3
Sunnyvale .....	1	1	1
Santa Cruz County .....	0	0	0
Shasta County .....	4	4	10
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	1
Redding .....	3	3	9

(continued)



Table 6B - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Single Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Sierra County .....	0	0	0
Siskiyou County .....	0	0	0
Solano County .....	9	9	19
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	3
Benicia .....	2	2	4
Fairfield .....	2	2	6
Vacaville .....	4	4	6
Sonoma County .....	5	5	9
Sheriff's Dept .....	4	4	7
Santa Rosa .....	1	1	2
Stanislaus County .....	3	3	3
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	1
Modesto .....	2	2	2
Sutter County .....	2	2	5
Yuba City .....	2	2	5
Tehama County .....	2	2	14
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	13
Red Bluff .....	1	1	1
Trinity County .....	0	0	0
Tulare County .....	4	4	10
Tulare .....	3	3	8
Woodlake .....	1	1	2
Tuolumne County .....	0	0	0
Ventura County .....	4	4	5
Sheriff's Dept .....	2	2	2
Simi Valley .....	2	2	3
Yolo County .....	0	0	0
Yuba County .....	5	5	5
Sheriff's Dept .....	5	5	5

(continued)

Table 6B - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Single Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
California Highway Patrol .....	29	30	62
Alameda .....	1	1	2
El Dorado .....	1	1	3
Kern .....	1	1	2
Los Angeles .....	10	10	29
Merced .....	1	1	2
Placer .....	1	1	1
Sacramento .....	1	1	1
San Bernardino .....	3	3	4
San Diego .....	2	2	3
San Francisco .....	1	1	4
San Luis Obispo .....	1	1	1
Santa Clara .....	1	1	2
Santa Cruz .....	1	2	2
Shasta .....	1	1	1
Tulare .....	1	1	1
Tuolumne .....	1	1	3
Yuba .....	1	1	1

<sup>1</sup>Jurisdictions are listed under the county where the incident occurred.

Table 6C

**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Multiple Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Total .....	29	29	126
Alameda County .....	0	0	0
Alpine County .....	0	0	0
Amador County .....	0	0	0
Butte County .....	0	0	0
Calaveras County .....	1	1	12
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	5
California Highway Patrol <sup>1</sup> .....	-	-	1
Tuolumne County Sheriff's Dept <sup>1</sup> .....	-	-	6
Colusa County .....	0	0	0
Contra Costa County .....	1	1	5
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	3
Oakley .....	-	-	2
Del Norte County .....	0	0	0
El Dorado County .....	0	0	0
Fresno County .....	1	1	4
Selma .....	1	1	1
Sheriff's Dept .....	-	-	1
Fresno .....	-	-	2
Glenn County .....	0	0	0
Humboldt County .....	0	0	0
Imperial County .....	0	0	0
Inyo County .....	0	0	0
Kern County .....	2	2	11
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	2
Tehachapi .....	-	-	1
McFarland .....	1	1	7
Delano .....	-	-	1
Kings County .....	0	0	0
Lake County .....	0	0	0

(continued)

Table 6C - continued

**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Multiple Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Lassen County .....	0	0	0
Los Angeles County .....	3	3	13
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	2
Gardena .....	-	-	1
California Highway Patrol <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	4
Sheriff's Dept .....	-	-	2
California Highway Patrol <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	2
Inglewood .....	-	-	2
Madera County .....	0	0	0
Marin County .....	0	0	0
Mariposa County .....	0	0	0
Mendocino County .....	0	0	0
Merced County .....	1	1	4
California Highway Patrol <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	2
Sheriff's Dept .....	-	-	2
Modoc County .....	0	0	0
Mono County .....	0	0	0
Monterey County .....	1	1	3
Sand City .....	1	1	2
Seaside .....	-	-	1
Napa County .....	0	0	0
Nevada County .....	0	0	0
Orange County .....	0	0	0
Placer County .....	0	0	0
Plumas County .....	0	0	0
Riverside County .....	4	4	12
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	2
Hemet .....	-	-	1
Riverside .....	-	-	1
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	1
District Attorney's Office .....	-	-	1
Cathedral City .....	-	-	1

(continued)

Table 6C - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Multiple Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Riverside County - continued			
Hemet .....	-	-	1
California Highway Patrol <sup>1</sup> .....	2	2	2
Sheriff's Dept .....	-	-	2
Sacramento County .....	2	2	10
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	2
Citrus Heights .....	-	-	3
Sacramento .....	1	1	4
Sheriff's Dept .....	-	-	1
San Benito County .....	0	0	0
San Bernardino County .....	3	3	11
Fullerton <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	1
Sheriff's Dept .....	-	-	1
Riverside <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	2
Riverside County Probation Dept .....	-	-	1
Colton .....	1	1	5
Sheriff's Dept .....	-	-	1
San Diego County .....	3	3	13
California Highway Patrol <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	4
Carlsbad .....	-	-	1
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	4
San Diego .....	-	-	1
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	2
Chula Vista .....	-	-	1
San Francisco County .....	0	0	0
San Joaquin County .....	2	2	6
California Highway Patrol <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	1
Sheriff's Dept .....	-	-	2
California Highway Patrol <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	2
CA Dept of Corrections and Rehab <sup>1</sup> .....	-	-	1
San Luis Obispo County .....	0	0	0
San Mateo County .....	0	0	0
Santa Barbara County .....	0	0	0

(continued)

Table 6C - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Multiple Agency Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by County and Jurisdiction

County and jurisdiction	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Santa Clara County .....	0	0	0
Santa Cruz County .....	0	0	0
Shasta County .....	0	0	0
Sierra County .....	0	0	0
Siskiyou County .....	1	1	4
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	2
Mount Shasta .....	-	-	2
Solano County .....	1	1	6
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	1
Fairfield .....	-	-	5
Sonoma County .....	0	0	0
Stanislaus County .....	0	0	0
Sutter County .....	0	0	0
Tehama County .....	0	0	0
Trinity County .....	0	0	0
Tulare County .....	1	1	6
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	3
Tulare .....	-	-	3
Tuolumne County .....	1	1	2
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	1
California Highway Patrol <sup>1</sup> .....	-	-	1
Ventura County .....	0	0	0
Yolo County .....	0	0	0
Yuba County .....	1	1	4
California Highway Patrol <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	2
Sheriff's Dept .....	-	-	1
CA Dept of Parks and Recreation <sup>1</sup> .....	-	-	1

Note: Dash indicates incidents and civilians are accounted for in the primary agency listing.  
<sup>1</sup>Jurisdictions are listed under the county where the incident occurred.

Table 7  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Involved Civilians and Officers by Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

Gender, age group, and race/ethnic group	Civilians		Officers	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Gender total.....	612	100.0	1,375	100.0
Male.....	557	91.0	1,280	93.1
Female.....	49	8.0	95	6.9
Transgender.....	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>1</sup> .....	6	1.0	-	-
Age total.....	612	100.0	1,375	100.0
0-17.....	9	1.5	0	0.0
18-20.....	25	4.1	0	0.0
21-30.....	183	29.9	524	38.1
31-40.....	211	34.5	602	43.8
41-50.....	108	17.6	199	14.5
51-60.....	49	8.0	49	3.6
61 and over.....	21	3.4	1	0.1
Unknown <sup>1</sup> .....	6	1.0	-	-
Race/ethnic group total.....	612	100.0	1,375	100.0
American Indian.....	3	0.5	3	0.2
Asian Indian.....	3	0.5	2	0.1
Asian/Pacific Islander.....	19	3.1	75	5.5
Black.....	118	19.3	77	5.6
Hispanic.....	296	48.4	536	39.0
White.....	155	25.3	651	47.3
Multi-race.....	1	0.2	7	0.5
Other.....	11	1.8	24	1.7
Unknown <sup>1</sup> .....	6	1.0	-	-

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup> Unknown designates civilians that fled the scene resulting in the officers' inability to identify their gender, race, and age.

Table 8A  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Number of Incidents, Civilians, and Officers by Type of Incident

Type of incident	Number of incidents	Number of involved civilians	Number of involved officers
Total .....	597	612	1,375
Discharge of a firearm .....	257	268	610
Use of force .....	340	344	765

Notes: While 610 officers were involved in 257 incidents involving the discharge of a firearm, only 159 officers were the actual recipients of the discharge of a firearm force type. See Table 8B.

While 268 civilians were involved in 257 incidents involving the discharge of a firearm, only 250 civilians were the actual recipients of the discharge of a firearm force type. See Table 8B.

Table 8B  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force Received

Type of force	Number	Percent
Received by civilian		
Total .....	612	100.0
Blunt impact weapon .....	11	1.8
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	197	32.2
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	53	8.7
Electronic control device .....	51	8.3
Impact projectile .....	20	3.3
K-9 contact .....	63	10.3
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	1	0.2
Officer vehicle contact .....	1	0.2
Other control hold/takedown .....	141	23.0
Other physical contact (hands, fists, feet, etc.) .....	51	8.3
No force received .....	23	3.8
Received by officer		
Total .....	1,375	100.0
Blunt impact weapon .....	14	1.0
Civilian physical contact .....	246	17.9
Civilian vehicle contact .....	24	1.7
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	13	0.9
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	146	10.6
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	82	6.0
Other dangerous weapon .....	51	3.7
Threat of firearm .....	159	11.6
No force received .....	640	46.5

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in civilian table: (1) Carotid restraint control hold, (2) Chemical spray (e.g. oleoresin capsicum/ capsaicin spray [OC/CS]), (3) Other dangerous weapon, and (4) Threat of firearm.

Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in officer table: (1) Animal, (2) Chemical spray (e.g. OC/OS), (3) Electronic control device, and (4) Impact projectile. For additional information, see Appendix 1.



Table 9  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Number of Civilians and Officers Injured and the Medical Aid Received

Type of medical aid received	Civilian		Officer	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total .....	612	100.0	1,375	100.0
Admitted to hospital - critical injuries .....	74	12.1	19	1.4
Admitted to hospital - other circumstance .....	44	7.2	6	0.4
Admitted to hospital - precautionary measure only .....	18	2.9	2	0.1
Died on scene or in transit .....	96	15.7	1	0.1
Medical assistance - treated at facility and released .....	252	41.2	115	8.4
Medical assistance - treated on scene .....	23	3.8	31	2.3
No medical assistance or refused assistance .....	4	0.7	66	4.8
No injury .....	95	15.5	1,135	82.5
Unknown <sup>1</sup> .....	6	1.0	-	-

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup> Unknown designates civilians that fled the scene resulting in the officers' inability to know if medical assistance was sought.

Table 10  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 By Race/Ethnic Group, Gender, and Age of Civilian

Race/ethnic group	Total	Gender			Age										61 and over	Unknown <sup>1</sup>	
		Male	Female	Trans-gender	Unknown <sup>1</sup>	0-17	18-20	21-25	26-30	31-35	36-40	41-45	46-50	51-55			56-60
Total	612	557	49	0	6	9	25	75	108	135	76	66	42	29	20	21	6
American Indian	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian Indian	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Asian/Pacific Islander	19	18	1	0	0	1	1	1	4	2	4	2	2	1	1	0	0
Black	118	107	11	0	0	1	7	22	24	25	14	11	5	2	1	6	0
Hispanic	296	281	15	0	0	5	15	37	57	79	39	28	15	7	10	4	0
White	155	134	21	0	0	2	2	14	19	24	18	22	17	18	8	11	0
Multi-race	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Other	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	0
Unknown <sup>1</sup>	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

<sup>1</sup> Unknown designates civilians that fled the scene resulting in the officers' inability to identify their gender, race, and age.

Table 11  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force Received by Gender of Civilian

Type of force	Total	Gender			
		Male	Female	Trans- gender	Unknown <sup>1</sup>
Total .....	612	557	49	0	6
Blunt impact weapon .....	11	11	0	0	0
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	197	187	10	0	0
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	53	46	4	0	3
Electronic control device .....	51	50	1	0	0
Impact projectile .....	20	16	4	0	0
K-9 contact .....	63	55	8	0	0
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	1	1	0	0	0
Officer vehicle contact .....	1	1	0	0	0
Other control hold/takedown .....	141	125	16	0	0
Other physical contact (hands, fists, feet, etc.) .....	51	48	3	0	0
No force received .....	23	17	3	0	3

Note: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in this table: (1) Carotid restraint control hold, (2) Chemical spray (e.g. OC/CS), (3) Other dangerous weapon, and (4) Threat of firearm.

For additional information, see Appendix 1.

<sup>1</sup> Unknown designates civilians that fled the scene resulting in the officers' inability to identify their gender.

Table 12  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force Received by Arrests Made and Race/Ethnic Group of Civilian

Type of force	Arrests made		Race/ethnic group									
	Total	No	Yes	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other	Unknown <sup>1</sup>
Total .....	612	150	462	3	3	19	118	296	155	1	11	6
Blunt impact weapon .....	11	1	10	0	0	0	1	5	5	0	0	0
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	197	100	97	1	0	7	35	103	47	0	4	0
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	53	7	46	1	0	2	14	20	12	0	1	3
Electronic control device .....	51	5	46	0	0	1	10	24	14	1	1	0
Impact projectile .....	20	1	19	0	2	0	1	10	6	0	1	0
K-9 contact .....	63	1	62	0	0	2	11	36	14	0	0	0
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Officer vehicle contact .....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Other control hold/takedown .....	141	21	120	0	1	6	31	63	37	0	3	0
Other physical contact (hands, fists, feet, etc.) ....	51	9	42	1	0	0	10	24	16	0	0	0
No force received .....	23	5	18	0	0	1	5	9	4	0	1	3

Note: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in this table: (1) Carotid restraint hold, (2) Chemical spray (e.g., OC/CS), (3) Other dangerous weapon, and

(4) Threat of firearm. For additional information, see Appendix 1.

<sup>1</sup> Unknown designates civilians that fled the scene resulting in the officers' inability to identify their race.

Table 13  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force Received by Age of Civilian

Type of force	Age													
	0-17	18-20	21-25	26-30	31-35	36-40	41-45	46-50	51-55	56-60	61-65	66-70	71 and over	Unknown <sup>1</sup>
Total	9	25	75	108	135	76	66	42	29	20	13	3	5	6
Blunt impact weapon	0	1	0	1	5	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Discharge of firearm (hit)	1	11	24	34	39	27	27	11	8	9	1	3	2	0
Discharge of firearm (miss)	1	4	7	11	10	5	5	5	2	0	0	0	0	3
Electronic control device	1	0	8	9	11	8	6	4	3	1	0	0	0	0
Impact projectile	0	0	1	3	4	1	3	4	3	1	0	0	0	0
K-9 contact	2	1	5	12	19	8	4	5	2	2	3	0	0	0
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officer vehicle contact	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other control hold/takedown	2	6	22	21	31	20	15	8	7	2	6	0	1	0
Other physical contact (hands, fists, feet, etc.)	1	1	5	12	13	3	3	3	3	4	2	0	1	0
No force received	1	1	3	5	2	2	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	3

Note: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in this table: (1) Carotid restraint control hold, (2) Chemical spray (e.g., OC/CS), (3) Other dangerous weapon, and (4) Threat of firearm. For additional information, see Appendix 1.

<sup>1</sup> Unknown designates civilians that fled the scene resulting in the officers' inability to identify their age.

**Table 14  
USE OF FORCE, 2022  
Type of Force Received by Civilian by Reason for Initial Contact**

Type of force	Total	Reason for initial contact									
		Ambush - no warning	Call for service	Civil assembly	Civil disorder	Civil encounter/public contact/flag down	Crime in progress/investigating suspicious persons or circumstances	In-custody event	Pre-planned activity (arrest/search warrant/parole/probation search)	Vehicle/bike/pedestrian stop	Welfare check
Total .....	612	10	299	0	0	16	97	56	36	91	7
Blunt impact weapon .....	11	1	5	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	197	5	118	0	0	4	37	0	13	16	4
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	53	0	21	0	0	0	13	0	7	12	0
Electronic control device .....	51	0	27	0	0	2	5	4	2	10	1
Impact projectile .....	20	0	9	0	0	0	4	2	3	2	0
K-9 contact .....	63	0	37	0	0	0	11	0	4	11	0
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officer vehicle contact .....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other control hold/takedown .....	141	1	58	0	0	7	14	26	3	30	2
Other physical contact (hands, fists, feet, etc.) .....	51	0	14	0	0	1	8	22	3	3	0
No force received .....	23	3	8	0	0	1	4	1	1	5	0

Note: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in this table: (1) Carotid restraint control hold, (2) Chemical spray (e.g. OC/CS), (3) Other dangerous weapon, and (4) Threat of firearm. For additional information, see Appendix 1.

Table 15  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force Received by Civilian and Civilian Perceived Armed by Officer

Type of force	Total	Perceived armed by officer		Perceived weapon <sup>1</sup>			
		No	Yes	Firearm	Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument	Other dangerous weapon	Unknown
Total .....	612	260	352	210	91	42	23
Blunt impact weapon .....	11	8	3	0	2	1	0
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	197	13	184	116	51	18	4
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	53	11	42	37	2	2	1
Electronic control device .....	51	29	22	9	8	8	3
Impact projectile .....	20	5	15	1	9	5	1
K-9 contact .....	63	22	41	21	12	3	6
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Officer vehicle contact .....	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Other control hold/takedown .....	141	120	21	11	5	2	4
Other physical contact (hands, fists, feet, etc.) ..	51	42	9	3	1	2	3
No forced received .....	23	10	13	11	0	1	1

Note: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in this table: (1) Carotid restraint control hold, (2) Chemical spray (e.g. OC/CS), (3) Other dangerous weapon, and (4) Threat of firearm. For additional information, see Appendix 1.

<sup>1</sup> More than one weapon can be reported as perceived. Consequently, the number of perceived weapons is higher than the number of 'yes' responses for perceived armed by officer.

Table 16  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
Type of Force Received by Civilian and Civilian Confirmed Armed by Weapon and Firearm Type

Type of force	Civilian confirmed armed						Confirmed firearm type					
	Confirmed armed			Confirmed weapon <sup>1</sup>			Handgun	Handgun and rifle	Handgun and shotgun	Rifle	Shotgun	Other Firearm
	No	Yes	Fled	Firearm	Firearm replica	Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument						
Total .....	296	310	6	158	21	90	127	4	1	17	5	4
Blunt impact weapon .....	7	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	23	174	0	89	14	53	77	2	1	7	1	1
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	12	38	3	30	3	2	21	0	0	6	3	0
Electronic control device .....	35	16	0	2	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	1
Impact projectile .....	7	13	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
K-9 contact .....	37	26	0	13	3	8	10	0	0	2	0	1
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officer vehicle contact .....	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Other control hold/takedown .....	123	18	0	10	0	7	10	0	0	0	0	0
Other physical contact (hands, fists, feet, etc.) .....	44	7	0	4	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	1
No force received .....	8	12	3	9	0	1	5	1	0	2	1	0

Note: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in the first column of this table: (1) Carotid restraint control hold, (2) Chemical spray (e.g., OC/CS), (3) Other dangerous weapon, and (4) Threat of firearm. For additional information, see Appendix 1.

<sup>1</sup> More than one weapon can be reported as confirmed. Consequently, the number of confirmed weapons is higher than the number of 'yes' responses for confirmed armed.



Table 17  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force Received by Civilian and Civilian Resistance

Type of force	Total	Civilian resisted	
		No	Yes
Total .....	612	29	583
Blunt impact weapon .....	11	0	11
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	197	14	183
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	53	4	49
Electronic control device .....	51	0	51
Impact projectile .....	20	0	20
K-9 contact .....	63	1	62
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	1	0	1
Officer vehicle contact .....	1	0	1
Other control hold/takedown .....	141	0	141
Other physical contact (hands, fists, feet, etc.) ...	51	0	51
No force received .....	23	10	13

Note: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in this table: (1) Carotid restraint control hold, (2) Chemical spray (e.g. OC/CS), (3) Other dangerous weapon, and (4) Threat of firearm. For additional information, see Appendix 1.

Table 18  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Observed Signs of Civilian's Impairment or Disability by Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

Gender, age group, and race/ethnic group	Total	Observed signs of impairment or disability							
		Total	None	Signs of alcohol impairment	Signs of developmental disability	Signs of drug impairment	Signs of erratic behavior	Signs of mental disability	Signs of physical disability
Gender total	606	712	257	82	2	98	201	71	1
Male	557	649	244	73	1	90	182	58	1
Female	49	63	13	9	1	8	19	13	0
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age group total	606	712	257	82	2	98	201	71	1
Under 18	9	11	6	0	1	0	3	1	0
18-25	100	110	52	9	1	9	28	10	1
26-35	243	282	118	32	0	32	75	25	0
36-45	142	171	44	21	0	34	56	16	0
46-55	71	87	27	10	0	15	22	13	0
56 and over	41	51	10	10	0	8	17	6	0
Race/ethnic group total	606	712	257	82	2	98	201	71	1
American Indian	3	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
Asian Indian	3	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Asian/Pacific Islander	19	24	5	2	0	3	10	4	0
Black	118	131	60	10	0	16	31	14	0
Hispanic	296	342	137	43	2	41	90	28	1
White	155	195	47	26	0	34	63	25	0
Multi-race	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Other	11	12	5	0	0	3	4	0	0

Notes: More than one observed sign of impairment or disability can be reported per civilian. Consequently, the number of impairment or disability observations is higher than the number of involved civilians. Civilians who fled the scene are not included.

Table 19  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
Observed Signs of Civilian's Impairment or Disability by Type of Force Received

Type of force	Total	Observed signs of impairment or disability									
		Total	None	Signs of alcohol impairment	Signs of developmental disability	Signs of drug impairment	Signs of erratic behavior	Signs of mental disability	Signs of physical disability		
Total .....	612	712	257	82	2	98	201	71	1		
Blunt impact weapon .....	11	15	3	2	0	3	5	2	0		
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	197	225	84	11	0	19	81	30	0		
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	53	53	28	2	0	5	14	4	0		
Electronic control device .....	51	69	6	13	0	23	20	6	1		
Impact projectile .....	20	28	6	4	0	5	7	6	0		
K-9 contact .....	63	69	33	8	0	8	17	3	0		
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Officer vehicle contact .....	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Other control hold/takedown .....	141	173	55	34	1	23	43	17	0		
Other physical contact (hands, fists, feet, etc.) .....	51	55	28	6	0	8	11	2	0		
No force received .....	23	23	12	2	1	4	3	1	0		

Notes: More than one observed sign of impairment or disability can be reported per civilian. Consequently, the number of impairment or disability observations is higher than the number of involved civilians.  
 Civilians who fled the scene are not included.  
 Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in this table: (1) Carotid restraint control hold, (2) Chemical spray (e.g., OC/CS), (3) Other dangerous weapon, and (4) Threat of firearm. For additional information, see Appendix 1.

Table 20  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force and Injury Received by Civilian

Type of force	Total	No injury		With injury		Unknown <sup>1</sup>
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Total .....	612	95	100.0	511	100.0	6
Blunt impact weapon .....	11	0	0.0	11	2.2	0
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	197	0	0.0	197	38.6	0
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	53	35	36.8	15	2.9	3
Electronic control device .....	51	5	5.3	46	9.0	0
Impact projectile .....	20	0	0.0	20	3.9	0
K-9 contact .....	63	1	1.1	62	12.1	0
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	1	0	0.0	1	0.2	0
Officer vehicle contact .....	1	0	0.0	1	0.2	0
Other control hold/takedown .....	141	26	27.4	115	22.5	0
Other physical contact (hands, fists, feet, etc.) ..	51	12	12.6	39	7.6	0
No force received .....	23	16	16.8	4	0.8	3

Notes: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in this table: (1) Carotid restraint control hold, (2) Chemical spray (e.g. OC/CS), (3) Other dangerous weapon, and (4) Threat of firearm. For additional information, see Appendix 1.  
 Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

<sup>1</sup> Unknown designates civilians that fled the scene resulting in the officers' inability to determine if civilian sustained injury.

Table 21  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Civilian Injury by Type

Injury type	Number	Percent
Total .....	612	100.0
Death .....	135	22.1
Injury .....	37	6.0
Serious bodily injury .....	339	55.4
No injury .....	95	15.5
Unknown <sup>1</sup> .....	6	1.0

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Injury sustained may not be a direct result of use of force.

<sup>1</sup> Unknown designates civilians that fled the scene resulting in the officers' inability to identify their injury type.

Table 22  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Civilians with Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and Jurisdiction	Total	Gender			Age						Race/ethnic group							
		Male	Female	Trans-gender	Under 18	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other
Total	37	31	6	0	0	11	14	9	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	2
Alameda County	3	2	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept.	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Leandro	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Chico	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno County	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept.	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Selma	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles County	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept.	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arcadia	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Azusa	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Los Angeles	9	8	1	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	1	0	0	1
Pomona	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
South Gate	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Orange County	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept.	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside County	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Hemet	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino County	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Diego County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
San Diego	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara County	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
San Jose	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alcoholic Beverage Control	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
California Highway Patrol	5	4	1	0	1	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0
Multiple Agencies	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
California Highway Patrol/ Dept. of Corrections and Rehabilitation	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

Table 23  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Civilians with Serious Bodily Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and jurisdiction	Gender			Age							Race/ethnic group							
	Total	Male	Female	Trans-gender	Under 18	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other
Total	339	316	23	0	7	46	133	85	43	25	0	3	8	62	173	85	1	7
Alameda County	5	5	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Hayward	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Leandro	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Butte County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Chico	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa County	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Antioch	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Pittsburg	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Richmond	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
El Dorado County	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
South Lake Tahoe	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clovis	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Fresno	3	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Imperial County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
El Centro	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kern County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Arvin	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Bakersfield	7	7	0	0	2	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	0
Lake County	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Lakeport	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Los Angeles County	38	35	3	0	1	7	17	6	4	3	0	0	2	5	29	2	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Covina	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Glendale	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Long Beach	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Los Angeles	29	27	2	0	0	5	10	11	1	2	0	0	0	10	15	4	0	0
Santa Monica	5	4	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	2
Whittier	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Madera County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Madera	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Merced County	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Merced	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Monterey County	3	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Salinas	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Napa County	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Napa	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Orange County	9	8	1	0	0	2	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	4	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Anaheim	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Costa Mesa	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Fullerton	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0

(continued)

Table 23 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Civilians with Serious Bodily Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and jurisdiction	Gender			Age						Race/ethnic group								
	Total	Male	Female	Trans- gender	Under 18	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-Race	Other
Orange County - continued																		
Newport Beach .....	4	2	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
Orange .....	7	6	1	0	0	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	0
Westminster .....	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Placer County																		
Sheriff's Dept .....	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Plumas County																		
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Riverside County																		
District Attorney's Office .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Corona .....	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Hemet .....	6	6	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	0	0
Riverside .....	11	11	0	0	0	2	4	3	2	0	0	1	2	7	1	0	0	0
Sacramento County																		
Sheriff's Dept .....	11	11	0	0	0	2	4	2	3	0	0	1	4	4	2	0	0	0
Sacramento .....	12	11	1	0	1	2	5	1	2	1	0	1	7	2	2	0	0	0
San Bernardino County																		
Sheriff's Dept .....	15	15	0	0	0	0	7	5	1	2	0	0	3	5	7	0	0	0
Probation Dept .....	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Fontana .....	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Ontario .....	3	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
Rialto .....	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino																		
Upland .....	17	15	2	0	1	4	5	6	0	1	0	0	4	13	0	0	0	0
San Diego County																		
Sheriff's Dept .....	23	23	0	0	0	2	8	6	4	3	0	2	4	10	6	0	1	1
San Diego .....	4	2	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0
San Diego Harbor .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Francisco County																		
San Francisco .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Joaquin County																		
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Stockton .....	3	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Tracy .....	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo County																		
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Mateo County																		
Redwood City .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
South San Francisco																		
Santa Barbara County																		
Sheriff's Dept .....	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Santa Maria .....	3	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara County																		
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Gilroy .....	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Jose .....	10	9	1	0	0	1	3	2	4	0	0	2	0	3	4	0	0	0
Santa Clara																		
Sunnyvale .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

(continued)

Table 23 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Civilians with Serious Bodily Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and Jurisdiction	Total		Gender		Age							Race/ethnic group						
	Total	Male	Female	Trans- gender	Under 18	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other
Shasta County	3	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Redding .....																		
Solano County	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Fairfield .....																		
Vacaville .....	4	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0
Sonoma County	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept .....																		
Santa Rosa .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus County	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Modesto .....																		
Sutter County	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Yuba City .....																		
Tehama County	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Red Bluff .....																		
Tulare County	3	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Tulare .....																		
Ventura County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept .....																		
Simi Valley .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Yuba County	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept .....																		
California Highway Patrol	12	10	2	0	0	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	0	0	0
Multiple Agencies <sup>1</sup>																		
Kern County	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
McFarland/Delano .....																		
Riverside County	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept/District Attorney's Office/ Cathedral City/Hemet .....																		
Sacramento County	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept/Citrus Heights .....																		
San Diego County	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept/Chula Vista .....																		
California Highway Patrol/Carlsbad	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
California Highway Patrol/Inglewood	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
California Highway Patrol/Los Angeles County Sheriff's Dept	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
California Highway Patrol/Merced County Sheriff's Dept	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
California Highway Patrol/Riverside County Sheriff's Dept	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
California Highway Patrol/Yuba County Sheriff's Dept/CA Dept of Parks and Rec	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup>Agencies are listed in the county of the primary agency.



Table 24

**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Deceased Civilians by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and Jurisdiction	Total		Gender		Age						Race/ethnic group						
	Male	Female	Trans-gender	Under 18	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other
Total	128	7	0	0	20	51	33	17	14	1	0	6	20	69	38	0	1
Alameda County	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Hayward	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Pleasanton	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Contra Costa County	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Oakley	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Del Norte County	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Fresno County	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clovis	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Fresno	4	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0
Orange Cove	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Reedley	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Imperial County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kern County	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Bakersfield	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Los Angeles County	10	9	1	0	1	2	4	2	1	0	2	1	1	7	1	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Azusa	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Covina	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Culver City	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
El Monte	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Glendale	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Huntington Park	3	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0
Inglewood	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Long Beach	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Los Angeles	15	0	0	0	5	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	12	1	0	0
Pasadena	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Madera County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Madera	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Mariposa County	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey County	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
King City	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Salinas	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Orange County	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sheriff's Dept	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Anaheim	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

(continued)

Table 24 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Deceased Civilians by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and jurisdiction	Total		Gender		Age						Race/ethnic group						
	Male	Female	Trans- gender	Under 18	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other
Orange County - continued																	
Santa Ana .....	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Westminster .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Riverside County																	
Sheriff's Dept .....	6	0	0	0	1	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0
Corona .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sacramento County																	
Sheriff's Dept .....	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Citrus Heights .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sacramento .....	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
San Bernardino County																	
Sheriff's Dept .....	8	0	0	0	1	5	1	1	0	0	0	3	1	1	4	0	0
Fontana .....	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Ontario .....	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
Rialto .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Bernardino .....	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
San Diego County																	
Sheriff's Dept .....	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Chula Vista .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
San Diego .....	6	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	0
San Francisco County																	
San Francisco .....	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
San Joaquin County																	
Stockton .....	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo County																	
Redwood City .....	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara County																	
Santa Maria .....	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Santa Clara County																	
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Jose .....	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Solano County																	
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sonoma County																	
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Stanislaus County																	
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Modesto .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tulare County																	
Woodlake .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ventura County																	
Simi Valley .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

(continued)

Table 24 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Deceased Civilians by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and Jurisdiction	Gender			Age						Race/ethnic group									
	Total	Male	Female	Trans-gender	Under 18	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other	
	California Highway Patrol	6	6	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	0
Multiple Agencies <sup>1</sup>																			
Calaveras County																			
Sheriff's Dept/California Highway Patrol/	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tuolumne County Sheriff's Dept																			
Contra Costa County																			
Sheriff's Dept/Oakley	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kern County																			
Sheriff's Dept/Tehachapi	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Los Angeles County																			
Sheriff's Dept/Gardena	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey County																			
Sand City/Seaside	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside County																			
Sheriff's Dept/Hemet/Riverside	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino County																			
Colton/Sheriff's Dept	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Diego County																			
Sheriff's Dept/San Diego	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou County																			
Sheriff's Dept/Mount Shasta	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Solano County																			
Sheriff's Dept/Fairfield	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare County																			
Sheriff's Dept/Tulare	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Tuolumne County																			
Sheriff's Dept/California Highway Patrol	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup>Agencies are listed under the county where the incident occurred.

Table 25  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 By Race/Ethnic Group, Gender, and Age of Officer

Race/ethnic group	Total	Gender		Age											
		Male	Female	18-20	21-25	26-30	31-35	36-40	41-45	46-50	51-55	56-60	61-70		
		1,280	95	0	117	407	366	236	113	86	41	8	1		
American Indian .....	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0				
Asian Indian .....	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Asian/Pacific Islander .....	75	4	6	14	27	12	8	5	2	1	0				
Black .....	77	5	6	20	20	11	9	6	5	0	0				
Hispanic .....	536	49	45	183	141	89	32	31	12	3	0				
White .....	651	35	59	174	172	117	61	41	22	4	1				
Multi-race .....	7	0	0	1	1	3	1	1	0	0	0				
Other .....	24	2	1	12	4	3	2	2	0	0	0				

Table 26  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force Received by Gender of Officer

Type of force	Total	Gender	
		Male	Female
Total .....	1,375	1,280	95
Blunt impact weapon .....	14	13	1
Civilian physical contact .....	246	223	23
Civilian vehicle contact .....	24	24	0
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	13	13	0
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	146	137	9
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	82	78	4
Other dangerous weapon .....	51	47	4
Threat of firearm .....	159	150	9
No force received .....	640	595	45

Notes: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in officer table: (1) Animal, (2) Chemical spray (e.g. OC/CS), (3) Electronic control device, and (4) Impact projectile.

Table 27  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force Received by Race/Ethnic Group of Officer

Type of force	Total	Race/ethnic group							Other
		American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	
Total .....	1,375	3	2	75	77	536	651	7	24
Blunt impact weapon .....	14	0	0	0	0	5	7	0	2
Civilian physical contact .....	246	1	1	17	17	99	103	1	7
Civilian vehicle contact .....	24	0	0	0	1	16	7	0	0
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	13	0	0	1	1	9	2	0	0
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	146	0	0	3	5	53	78	2	5
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	82	0	0	2	2	41	37	0	0
Other dangerous weapon .....	51	0	1	2	7	19	22	0	0
Threat of firearm .....	159	0	0	11	6	63	77	2	0
No force received .....	640	2	0	39	38	231	318	2	10

Notes: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in officer table: (1) Animal, (2) Chemical spray (e.g. OC/CS), (3) Electronic control device, and (4) Impact projectile.

Table 28  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force Received by Age of Officer

Type of force	Total	Age									
		18-20	21-25	26-30	31-35	36-40	41-45	46-50	51-55	56-60	61-75
Total .....	1,375	0	117	407	366	236	113	86	41	8	1
Blunt impact weapon .....	14	0	0	6	4	3	0	1	0	0	0
Civilian physical contact .....	246	0	18	80	60	45	21	14	8	0	0
Civilian vehicle contact .....	24	0	2	5	8	6	1	2	0	0	0
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	13	0	0	7	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	146	0	12	41	39	19	16	15	3	0	1
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	82	0	3	18	26	14	8	9	3	1	0
Other dangerous weapon .....	51	0	4	16	15	8	2	2	4	0	0
Threat of firearm .....	159	0	13	55	37	31	11	9	3	0	0
No force received .....	640	0	65	179	172	110	53	34	20	7	0

Note: Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in officer table: (1) Animal, (2) Chemical spray (e.g., OC/CS), (3) Electronic control device, and (4) Impact projectile.

Table 29  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Race/Ethnic Group of Involved Officer by Reason for Use of Force

Race/ethnic group of officer	Total	No force used	Officer use of force reason				
			Total	Defense of 3rd party	To effect arrest or take into custody	To overcome resistance	To prevent escape
Total .....	1,375	117	1,258	317	570	359	12
American Indian .....	3	0	3	2	1	0	0
Asian Indian .....	2	0	2	0	0	2	0
Asian/Pacific Islander .....	75	4	71	26	28	17	0
Black .....	77	5	72	14	32	26	0
Hispanic .....	536	39	497	125	224	147	1
White .....	651	64	587	144	274	158	11
Multi-race .....	7	1	6	1	4	1	0
Other .....	24	4	20	5	7	8	0

Table 30  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Officer's Designated Dress During Incident

Officer dress	Number	Percent
Total .....	1,375	100.0
Patrol uniform .....	1,122	81.6
Plainclothes .....	23	1.7
Tactical .....	139	10.1
Utility .....	91	6.6

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.



Table 31  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Type of Force and Injury Received by Officer

Type of force	Total	No injury		With injury	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total .....	1,375	1,135	100.0	240	100.0
Blunt impact weapon .....	14	7	0.6	7	2.9
Civilian physical contact .....	246	102	9.0	144	60.0
Civilian vehicle contact .....	24	12	1.1	12	5.0
Discharge of firearm (hit) .....	13	0	0.0	13	5.4
Discharge of firearm (miss) .....	146	141	12.4	5	2.1
Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument .....	82	66	5.8	16	6.7
Other dangerous weapon .....	51	44	3.9	7	2.9
Threat of firearm .....	159	154	13.6	5	2.1
No force received .....	640	609	53.7	31	12.9

Notes: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Due to the hierarchical severity of the types of force used, the following types do not appear in officer table: (1) Animal, (2) Chemical spray (e.g., OC/CS), (3) Electronic control device, and (4) Impact projectile.

Table 32  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Officer Injury by Type

Injury type	Number	Percent
Total .....	1,375	100.0
Death .....	4	0.3
Injury .....	142	10.3
Serious bodily injury .....	94	6.8
No injury .....	1,135	82.5

Note: Percentages may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Table 33  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Officers with Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and Jurisdiction	Gender		Age					Race/ethnic group						Multi-race	Other
	Male	Female	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White		
<b>Total</b>	132	10	11	87	33	10	1	0	0	8	9	56	66	0	3
Alameda County															
Sheriff's Dept	11	4	1	10	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	6	0	0
Contra Costa County															
Antioch	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Imperial County															
Sheriff's Dept	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Los Angeles County															
Sheriff's Dept	11	1	1	9	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	3	0	0
El Monte	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Huntington Park	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Los Angeles	22	0	2	12	6	2	0	0	1	5	10	6	6	0	0
Pomona	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
San Fernando	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Whittier	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Monterey County															
Sheriff's Dept	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Orange County															
Sheriff's Dept	5	1	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	0
Fullerton	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Orange	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Westminster	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Riverside County															
Sheriff's Dept	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Riverside	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Sacramento County															
Sheriff's Dept	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Sacramento	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
San Bernardino County															
Sheriff's Dept	3	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Fontana	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
San Bernardino	12	0	2	8	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	6	0	1
Upland	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Diego County															
Sheriff's Dept	9	1	0	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	0	0
San Joaquin County															
Stockton	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo County															
Sheriff's Dept	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

(continued)

Table 33 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**

Officers with Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and jurisdiction	Gender		Age					Race/ethnic group							
	Male	Female	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other
	Total														
Santa Clara County	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Jose .....	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Santa Clara .....	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Solano County	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Vacaville .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sonoma County	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Santa Rosa .....	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Stanislaus County	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Modesto .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sutter County	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Yuba City .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Ventura County	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Alcoholic Beverage Control .....	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
California Highway Patrol .....	17	2	2	6	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	8	0	0
CA Dept of Parks and Recreation .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Multiple Agencies <sup>1</sup>															
Monterey County	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sand City/Seaside .....	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sacramento County	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Sheriff's Dept/Sacramento .....	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
California Highway Patrol/CA Dept of Corrections and Rehabilitation .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
California Highway Patrol/ Los Angeles County Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
California Highway Patrol/ Merced County Sheriff's Dept .....	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
California Highway Patrol/ Riverside County Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
California Highway Patrol/ Yuba County Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

<sup>1</sup>Agencies are listed in the county of the primary agency.

Table 34  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Officers with Serious Bodily Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and jurisdiction	Gender		Age					Race/ethnic group							
	Male	Female	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other
	Total														
Total .....	94	14	3	56	23	11	1	0	1	7	6	39	36	0	5
Alameda County															
Sheriff's Dept .....	9	2	1	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	1
Fremont .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Butte County															
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chico .....	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
El Dorado County															
South Lake Tahoe .....	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Fresno County															
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Fowler .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Fresno .....	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Selma .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kern County															
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Los Angeles County															
Sheriff's Dept .....	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Arcadia .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Azusa .....	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Gardena .....	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Los Angeles .....	12	4	2	8	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	2	0	0
San Fernando .....	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
South Gate .....	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Orange County															
Sheriff's Dept .....	5	4	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0
Plumas County															
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Riverside County															
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Hemet .....	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
Sacramento County															
Sacramento .....	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
San Bernardino County															
Sheriff's Dept .....	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Probation Dept .....	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Montclair .....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
San Bernardino .....	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
San Diego County															
Sheriff's Dept .....	10	0	0	5	4	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4	0	0
San Diego .....	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0

(continued)

Table 34 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
**Officers with Serious Bodily Injury by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group**

County and jurisdiction	Gender		Age					Race/ethnic group								
	Total	Male	Female	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other
Santa Clara County	4	3	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
San Jose .....																
Solano County	3	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Benicia .....																
Yuba County	4	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0
Sheriff's Dept .....																
California Highway Patrol .....	7	6	1	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0
Multiple Agencies <sup>1</sup>																
Fresno County	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Selma/Sheriff's Dept/Fresno .....																
San Diego County	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sheriff's Dept/San Diego .....																
California Highway Patrol/	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
CA Dept of Corrections and Rehab .....																
California Highway Patrol/	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
San Joaquin County Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

<sup>1</sup>Agencies are listed in the county of the primary agency.

Table 35  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Deceased Officers by County and Jurisdiction, Gender, Age, and Race/Ethnic Group

County and Jurisdiction	Gender		Age					Race/ethnic group								
	Total	Male	Female	18-25	26-35	36-45	46-55	56 and over	American Indian	Asian Indian	Asian/Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Multi-race	Other
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Los Angeles County																
El Monte .....	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Monterey County																
Salinas .....	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Riverside																
Sheriff's Dept .....	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

Table 36  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Jurisdictions That Reported Zero Primary Incidents

County and Jurisdiction		
Alameda County	District Attorney's Office	Probation Department
District Attorney's Office	Probation Department	Orland
Probation Department	Colusa	
Alameda	Williams	Humboldt County
Albany		Probation Department
Bay Area Rapid Transit	Contra Costa County	Arcata
	Probation Department	CSU Humboldt
Berkeley	Brentwood	Eureka
CSU East Bay	Clayton	Ferndale
East Bay Regional Park	Concord	
Emeryville	Contra Costa Comm. College	Fortuna
Livermore		Rio Dell
	El Cerrito	
Newark	Hercules	Imperial County
Oakland	Martinez	District Attorney's Office
Oakland Housing Authority	Moraga	Probation Department
Piedmont	Pinole	Brawley
UC Berkeley		Calexico
	Pleasant Hill	Imperial
Union City	San Pablo	
	San Ramon	Inyo County
Alpine County	Walnut Creek	Sheriff's Department
Sheriff's Department		Probation Department
	Del Norte County	Bishop
Amador County	Probation Department	
Sheriff's Department	Crescent City	
District Attorney's Office		Kern County
Probation Department	El Dorado County	District Attorney's Office
lone	Sheriff's Department	Probation Department
Jackson	District Attorney's Office	Bear Valley
	Probation Department	California City
Sutter Creek	Placerville	County High School Dist.
		CSU Bakersfield
Butte County	Fresno County	Delano
District Attorney's Office	District Attorney's Office	Ridgecrest
Probation Department	Probation Department	Shafter
Butte Community College	Coalinga State Hospital	Stallion Springs
CSU Chico	CSU Fresno	
Gridley	Firebaugh	Taft
		Tehachapi
Oroville	Huron	
Paradise	Kerman	Kings County
	Kingsburg	Sheriff's Department
Calaveras County	Mendota	Probation Department
District Attorney's Office	Parlier	Avenal
Probation Department		Corcoran
Angels Camp	Sanger	Hanford
Colusa County	Glenn County	Lemoore
Sheriff's Department	Sheriff's Department	

(continued)

Table 36 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Jurisdictions That Reported Zero Primary Incidents

County and Jurisdiction		
Lake County	Redondo Beach	Merced County
Sheriff's Department	San Gabriel	Sheriff's Department
Probation Department	San Marino	District Attorney's Office
Clearlake		Probation Department
	Santa Monica College	Dos Palos
Lassen County	Sierra Madre	Gustine
Sheriff's Department	Signal Hill	
District Attorney's Office	South Pasadena	Livingston
Probation Department	Torrance	Los Banos
Susanville		UC Merced
	UC Los Angeles	
Los Angeles County	Vernon	Modoc County
District Attorney's Office	West Covina	Sheriff's Department
Probation Department		Probation Department
Alhambra	Madera County	Alturas
Baldwin Park	District Attorney's Office	
Bell	Probation Department	Mono County
	Chowchilla	Sheriff's Department
Beverly Hills		Probation Department
Burbank	Marin County	Mammoth Lakes
Cal Poly - Pomona	Sheriff's Department	
Claremont	District Attorney's Office	Monterey County
CSU Dominguez Hills	Probation Department	Probation Department
	Belvedere	Carmel
CSU Long Beach	Central Marin	CSU Monterey Bay
CSU Los Angeles		Del Rey Oaks
CSU Northridge	Fairfax	Gonzales
Downey	Marin Comm. College	
El Camino College	Mill Valley	Greenfield
	Novato	Marina
El Segundo	Ross	Monterey
Glendale Comm. College		Pacific Grove
Glendora	San Rafael	Seaside
Hawthorne	Sausalito	
Hermosa Beach	Tiburon	Soledad
Irwindale	Mariposa County	Napa County
La Verne	District Attorney's Office	Sheriff's Department
Long Beach Fire Dept.-Invest.	Probation Department	District Attorney's Office
Los Angeles Harbor - Port		Calistoga
Los Angeles Unified School Dist.	Mendocino County	Napa Valley College
	Sheriff's Department	St. Helena
Los Angeles World Airport	District Attorney's Office	
Manhattan Beach	Probation Department	Nevada County
Metropolitan State Hospital	Fort Bragg	Sheriff's Department
Monrovia	Ukiah	Probation Department
Monterey Park		Grass Valley
	Willits	Nevada City
Palos Verdes Estates		Truckee
Pasadena Comm. College		

(continued)



Table 36 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Jurisdictions That Reported Zero Primary Incidents

County and Jurisdiction		
Orange County	UC Riverside	Juvenile Probation Department
District Attorney's Office		CSU San Francisco
Probation Department	Sacramento County	San Francisco Comm. College
Brea	District Attorney's Office	
Buena Park	Probation Department	UC San Francisco
CSU Fullerton	CSU Sacramento	
	Elk Grove	San Joaquin County
Cypress	Folsom	District Attorney's Office
Fountain Valley		Probation Department
Garden Grove	Galt	Escalon
Huntington Beach	Los Rios Comm. Coll. Dist.	Lathrop
Irvine	Regional Parks	Lodi
	Twin Rivers Unified School Dist.	
La Habra	Welfare Department	Manteca
La Palma		Ripon
Laguna Beach	San Benito County	San Joaquin Delta College
Los Alamitos	Sheriff's Department	Stockton Unified School Dist.
Placentia	Probation Department	
	Hollister	San Luis Obispo County
Seal Beach		District Attorney's Office
Tustin	San Bernardino County	Probation Department
UC Irvine	District Attorney's Office	Arroyo Grande
	Chaffey Comm. College	Atascadero
Placer County	Chino	Cal Poly - San Luis Obispo
District Attorney's Office	CSU Bernardino	
Probation Department	Fontana Unified School Dist.	Cuesta College
Auburn		Grover Beach
Lincoln	Redlands	Morro Bay
Rocklin	Redlands Fire Dept. - Arson	Paso Robles
		Pismo Beach
Roseville	San Diego County	
	Probation Department	San Luis Obispo
Plumas County	Carlsbad	
District Attorney's Office	Coronado	San Mateo County
Probation Department	CSU San Diego	Sheriff's Department
	CSU San Marcos	District Attorney's Office
Riverside County		Probation Department
Probation Department	El Cajon	Atherton
Banning	Escondido	Belmont
Beaumont	La Mesa	
Blythe	MiraCosta College	Brisbane
Cathedral City	National City	Broadmoor
		Burlingame
Desert Hot Springs	Oceanside	Colma
Indio	Palomar Comm. College	Daly City
Menifee	UC San Diego	
Murrieta		Foster City
Palm Springs	San Francisco County	Hillsborough
	Sheriff's Department	Menlo Park
Riverside Comm. College	Adult Probation Department	Pacifica

(continued)

Table 36 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
 Jurisdictions That Reported Zero Primary Incidents

County and Jurisdiction		
San Bruno	Siskiyou County	Tehama County
San Mateo	District Attorney's Office	District Attorney's Office
	Probation Department	Probation Department
Santa Barbara County	Etna	Corning
District Attorney's Office	Lake Shastina	
Probation Department	Mount Shasta	Trinity County
Allan Hancock College		Sheriff's Department
Guadalupe	Tulelake	Probation Department
Lompoc	Weed	
	Yreka	Tulare County
Santa Barbara		Dinuba
UC Santa Barbara	Solano County	Exeter
	District Attorney's Office	Farmersville
Santa Clara County	Probation Department	Lindsay
District Attorney's Office	Cal Maritime Academy	Porterville
Probation Department	Dixon	
Campbell	Rio Vista	Porterville Developmental Ctr.
Foothill De Anza Comm. College		Sequoias Comm. Coll. Dist.
Los Altos	Suisun	Visalia
	Vallejo	
Los Gatos		Tuolumne County
Milpitas	Sonoma County	District Attorney's Office
Monte Sereno	District Attorney's Office	Probation Department
Morgan Hill	Probation Department	Mother Lode Juvenile Det. Ctr.
Mountain View	Cloverdale	Sonora
	Cotati	
Palo Alto	CSU Sonoma	Ventura County
San Jose State University		District Attorney's Office
West Valley-Mission Comm. Coll.	Healdsburg	CSU Channel Islands
	Petaluma	Oxnard
Santa Cruz County	Rohnert Park	Port Hueneme
Sheriff's Department	Santa Rosa Junior College	Santa Paula
Probation Department	Sebastopol	
Capitola		Ventura
Santa Cruz	Stanislaus County	Ventura Comm. Coll. Dist.
Scotts Valley	District Attorney's Office	
	Probation Department	Yolo County
UC Santa Cruz	Ceres	Sheriff's Department
Watsonville	CSU Stanislaus	District Attorney's Office
	Newman	Probation Department
Shasta County		Davis
District Attorney's Office	Oakdale	UC Davis
Probation Department	Turlock	
Marshal's Office		West Sacramento
Anderson	Sutter County	Winters
	Sheriff's Department	Woodland
Sierra County	District Attorney's Office	
Sheriff's Department	Probation Department	Yuba County
Probation Department		District Attorney's Office

(continued)

Table 36 - continued  
**USE OF FORCE, 2022**  
Jurisdictions That Reported Zero Primary Incidents

---

---

County and Jurisdiction
Probation Department
Marysville
Wheatland
California State Departments
Corrections and Rehabilitation
Fish and Wildlife
Forestry and Fire Protection
Franchise Tax Board
Health Care Services
Insurance
Motor Vehicles
Secretary of State
State Fair

---

Note: Due to multiple-agency incidents, some jurisdictions that had no incidents as a primary agency may appear in the zero report table and also in multiple-agency tables as a sub-agency.

Table 37  
**POPULATION ESTIMATES AND SWORN LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL, 2022**  
 By County

County	Total population	Sworn law enforcement personnel	County	Total population	Sworn law enforcement personnel
Statewide .....	39,028,571	76,130			
Alameda .....	1,638,979	2,860	Placer .....	410,310	485
Alpine .....	1,175	12	Plumas .....	19,252	30
Amador .....	40,052	66	Riverside .....	2,437,925	2,799
Butte .....	206,932	244	Sacramento .....	1,573,364	2,367
Calaveras .....	44,833	65	San Benito .....	65,804	57
Colusa .....	21,923	63	San Bernardino .....	2,182,824	3,269
Contra Costa .....	1,149,586	1,485	San Diego .....	3,279,493	5,366
Del Norte .....	26,604	32	San Francisco .....	834,046	2,692
El Dorado .....	189,382	218	San Joaquin .....	784,420	995
Fresno .....	1,012,350	1,888	San Luis Obispo .....	279,760	690
Glenn .....	28,685	37	San Mateo .....	739,819	990
Humboldt .....	134,417	286	Santa Barbara .....	443,210	765
Imperial .....	179,062	304	Santa Clara .....	1,882,601	3,082
Inyo .....	18,864	48	Santa Cruz .....	264,883	351
Kern .....	908,513	1,403	Shasta .....	180,225	280
Kings .....	151,337	221	Sierra .....	3,190	8
Lake .....	67,391	113	Siskiyou .....	43,462	102
Lassen .....	29,387	65	Solano .....	445,060	574
Los Angeles .....	9,792,167	22,886	Sonoma .....	480,261	584
Madera .....	157,382	187	Stanislaus .....	548,449	892
Marin .....	254,590	424	Sutter .....	99,045	156
Mariposa .....	16,970	65	Tehama .....	64,756	63
Mendocino .....	89,530	155	Trinity .....	15,976	34
Merced .....	285,593	436	Tulare .....	474,950	884
Modoc .....	8,640	16	Tuolumne .....	53,642	67
Mono .....	13,159	52	Ventura .....	829,764	1,300
Monterey .....	434,281	662	Yolo .....	222,430	329
Napa .....	135,541	305	Yuba .....	82,533	156
Nevada .....	100,788	120			
Orange .....	3,149,004	4,543			

Source: Population estimates were provided by the Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance. Sworn law enforcement personnel numbers were obtained from the annual Full-Time Law Enforcement Personnel survey conducted by the Criminal Justice Statistics Center. (Personnel in state regulatory agencies are not included in this table).

Note: Statewide law enforcement personnel numbers include personnel from the California Highway Patrol, California Department of Parks & Recreation, California Department of Developmental Services, Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART), and Union Pacific Railroad.

# Appendix

For each incident described in this publication, there could be a single use of force type or multiple use of force types reported. For statistical purposes, if multiple use of force types occurred in a single incident, a hierarchy of severity was applied to identify the most severe use of force type. The following tables display the hierarchy used in identifying the most severe use of force type. The lower the hierarchy number, the more severe the use of force type.

## USE OF FORCE RECEIVED BY CIVILIANS

HIER-ARCHY NUMBER	USE OF FORCE TYPE
1	Discharge of firearm (hit)
2	Discharge of firearm (miss)
3	Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument
4	Electronic control device
5	K-9 contact
6	Officer vehicle contact
7	Blunt / impact weapon
8	Impact projectile
9	Chemical spray (e.g. OC/CS)
10	Carotid restraint control hold
11	Other dangerous weapon
12	Other control hold/takedown
13	Other physical contact (Use of hands, fists, feet, etc.)
14	Threat of firearm

## USE OF FORCE RECEIVED BY OFFICERS

HIER-ARCHY NUMBER	USE OF FORCE TYPE
1	Discharge of firearm (hit)
2	Discharge of firearm (miss)
3	Knife, blade, or stabbing instrument
4	Electronic control device
5	Animal
6	Civilian vehicle contact
7	Blunt / impact weapon
8	Impact projectile
9	Chemical spray (e.g. OC/CS)
10	Other dangerous weapon
11	Civilian physical contact
12	Threat of firearm

When a use of force incident is reported, a reason for an officer's use of force must also be reported; one or more reasons that led up to the application of force can be reported per officer. For statistical purposes, if multiple reasons are reported, a hierarchy of severity is used to identify the most severe reason. The lower the hierarchy number, the more severe the reason.

## OFFICER'S REASON FOR USE OF FORCE

HIER-ARCHY NUMBER	REASON FOR FORCE
1	Defense of 3rd party
2	To effect arrest or take into custody
3	To overcome resistance
4	To prevent escape

## Acknowledgments

The DOJ is mandated by statute to submit an annual *Use of Force Incident Reporting* report. The Department extends its appreciation to all of the probation departments and law enforcement agencies that provided complete and timely data. This report would not have been possible without their cooperation.

---

California Department of Justice  
California Justice Information Services Division  
Justice Data & Investigative Services Bureau  
Criminal Justice Statistics Center  
P.O. Box 903427 • Sacramento, CA 94203-4270  
<https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov>

