# BIOMEDICAL IMAGE SEGMENTATION: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW OF DEEP LEARNING BASED OBJECT DETECTION METHODS

#### A PREPRINT

Fazli Wahid $^{1,2}$  $^{1,2}$  $^{1,2}$ ©, Yingliang Ma $^{2}$ [\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5770-5843)©, Dawar Khan $^{3}$  \* ©, Muhammad Aamir $^{1}$ ©, and Syed U. K. Bukhari $^{4}$ 

 $1$  College of Science and Engineering, School of Computing, University of Derby, United Kingdom. E-mail: f.wahid@derby.ac.uk

<sup>2</sup> School of Computing Sciences, University of East Anglia, United Kingdom.

<sup>3</sup>King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Saudi Arabia.

E-mail: dawar.khan@kaust.edu.sa.

4 Idrak AI Ltd, United Kingdom (Email: drusama@idrakai.com).

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding authors: yingliang.ma@uea.ac.uk; dawar.khan@kaust.edu.sa



Figure 1: Overview of the Research Methodology and Search Results: A step-by-step flow from article search to final selection and quality assessment, leading to the results.

#### ABSTRACT

Biomedical image segmentation plays a vital role in diagnosis of diseases across various organs. Deep learning-based object detection methods are commonly used for such segmentation. There exists an extensive research in this topic. However, there is no standard review on this topic. Existing surveys often lack a standardized approach or focus on broader segmentation techniques. In this paper, we conducted a systematic literature review (SLR), collected and analysed 148 articles that explore deep learning object detection methods for biomedical image segmentation. We critically analyzed these methods, identified the key challenges, and discussed the future directions. From the selected articles we extracted the results including the deep learning models, targeted imaging modalities, targeted diseases, and the metrics for the analysis of the methods. The results have been presented in tabular forms. The results are presented in three major categories including two stage detection models, one stage detection models and point-based detection models. Each article is individually analyzed along with its pros and cons. Finally, we discuss open challenges, benefits, and future directions. This SLR aims to provide the research community with a quick yet deeper understanding of these segmentation models, ultimately facilitating the development of more powerful solutions for biomedical image analysis.

*Keywords* Biomedical Image Segmentation · Biomedical Imaging Modalities · Deep Learning · Object Detection based Segmentation · Systematic Literature Review

# 1 Introduction

Biomedical image segmentation plays a vital role in the analysis and diagnosis of diseases related to various organs. In this regard, the accurate identification of the region of interest in the biomedical images is a significant phase for identifying affected regions in the image [\[1\]](#page-18-0). There are several alternative methods to achieve this segmentation. object detection based methods is a type of instance segmentation that is very common in this domain. Deep learning-based object detection methods [\[2,](#page-18-1) [3\]](#page-18-2) offer significant advantages over the other alternatives. Considering the prominence of deep learning based object detection-based methods for biomedical image segmentation, we believe a comprehensive review of this field can be a a valuable work to provide a quick insight into the field. Although, there are few reviews (section [1.2\)](#page-1-0) in the related topic, there is no standard review on the target direction.

Inspired by the systematic literature review (SLR) methodology (section [2\)](#page-3-0) from software engineering domain [\[4\]](#page-18-3), this paper presents a comprehensive SLR of the object detection based deep learning methods used for biomedical image segmentation. Following SLR guidelines, we collected 150 articles (primary studies) in an unbiased manner. After collecting the primary studies, we extracted the results. The results include the deep learning models, modalities, a list of diseases, and the metrics used for the analysis of the segmentation methods. We also provide critical analysis of the methods and presented each of the article with their pros and cons.

# 1.1 Background and Motivation

Segmentation of biomedical images can be categorized into two main categories including semantic segmentation and instance segmentation. In semantic segmentation, each pixel of an image is analyzed and classified into a particular class. This type of segmentation particularly helps in capturing the quantitative shapes of objects (in the case of biomedical imaging, a disease or affected region) in the image [\[5\]](#page-18-4). The deep learning models applied for semantic segmentation are typically found in three categories including region-based (RB) segmentation [\[6\]](#page-18-5), fully convolutional neural network (FCN) [\[7,](#page-18-6) [8,](#page-18-7) [9\]](#page-18-8), and weakly supervised or semi-supervised learning (SSL) approaches [\[10,](#page-18-9) [11\]](#page-18-10).

In the instance segmentation, each pixel of image is assigned to some specific instance which has a significant role in biomedical imaging for the identification and analysis of various types of diseases or same type of disease with different locations in the image. Different types of algorithms are used for instance segmentation e.g., supervised learning (SL) models and semi-supervised learning (SSL) approaches. Each approach has its own drawbacks and benefits associated with them. Recently, fully supervised models have got proper attention for biomedical image instance segmentation which are based on human experts' knowledge for annotations. These models are very laborious and expensive in terms of obtaining dense and accurate annotations [\[12\]](#page-18-11). To overcome the problem of time consumption and human efforts associated with annotation for supervised learning approaches, some semi-supervised models have been proposed which require small set of annotated biomedical image samples as compared to the fully supervised instance segmentation models [\[13\]](#page-19-0). The instance segmentation is mainly categorized into two main types namely proposal-free [\[14\]](#page-19-1) and proposal-based instance segmentation [\[15\]](#page-19-2). The former category is based on morphological properties and instance-aware features whereas the latter is type is based on object detection approach which is further divided into two stage detectors, one stage detectors, and point-based detectors or advanced detectors.

Biomedical image segmentation has gained a significant attention from researchers, resulting in the development of various methods across different categories. Among these, object detection-based methods utilizing deep learning have emerged as particularly effective for biomedical image segmentation. However, unlike primary studies, currently there is no comprehensive review of this specific topic (see [subsection 1.2\)](#page-1-0). To the best of our knowledge, there is no systematic literature review (SLR) on the topic. There are few review articles but they are not following some standard methodologies. Therefore, an SLR is essential to consolidate existing knowledge, identify research gaps, and provide a cohesive understanding of the advancements in this field. This review aims to bridge that gap by thoroughly examining and synthesizing the literature on deep learning-based object detection methods for biomedical image segmentation.

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>1.2 Related Surveys

Table [1](#page-2-0) presents related surveys in the field and their comparison with our current SLR. Many surveys have been conducted related to different types of segmentation methods of biomedical images. All of them cover different types of biomedical image segmentation approaches with keeping in consideration various parameters in their scopes. As shown in Table [1,](#page-2-0) the major attractions of researchers in the last decade have been semantic segmentation using different types of machine learning models, semi-supervised learning-based models, and supervised learning-based models. A very less and insufficient attention has been paid to instance segmentation with further low attention towards object detection-based segmentation models. Table [1](#page-2-0) presents a comparison of all the existing surveys and distinction of our current survey. The main focus of our survey paper will be different types of object detection-based segmentation models applied for segmentation of biomedical imaging which will be the first survey conducted on this worth-attraction and important direction. Furthermore, it is evident that no proper attention has been given to the segmentation of

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



biomedical imaging based on object detection models which are very powerful and recent techniques for the diagnosis of medical images. One similar survey has been conducted by Gui [\[30\]](#page-19-17); however, their focus is on remote sensing, and they did not follow the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology.

The lack of following the SLR or other standard methodologies has been consistent across all existing review articles in the field. This review will be among the pioneer articles in AI-based image processing, particularly in image segmentation. SLR provides a structured predefined set of rules and comprehensive synthesis of research findings. It offers critical insights on a given topi, and thereby yields a well structured survey. It is unbiased as every step from searching to the final results presentation are clearly defined in an organized way.

# 1.3 Contributions

The key contributions include:

- We conducted the first SLR on this topic, where we selected 148 primary studies as our final list and conducted a comprehensive analysis.
- Various types of biomedical imaging modalities extracted from the literature are presented along with their pros and cons for their usage in the disease detection and diagnosis.
- Deep learning models based on object detection algorithms used for biomedical image segmentation are extracted from the selected articles.
- We identified various challenges of object detection-based segmentation methods for biomedical images, and listed few directions for future research with a sketch of potential solutions.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>2 Research Methodology

Inspired by its significance, and quality, we followed the SLR guidelines [\[4\]](#page-18-3) to conduct this review. SLR provides a predefined rules and thereby provides an unbiased framework for conducting a high-quality literature review. This methodology formulates the whole architecture of the review, providing step by step protocols. Our research methodology is further described in the following subsections.

# 2.1 Research Questions

Research questions are the key fillers of the research providing a baseline for analysis the quality and content of the research. This SLR is based on the following research questions (all in the domain of deep learning for objected detection biomedical image segmentation). Each question yields a list of outcomes with critical analysis among them.

- RQ 1: What are the different imaging modalities used for detection and diagnosis of human diseases?
- RQ 2: Which object-detection based deep learning models are applied for the segmentation of different biomedical imaging modalities?
- RQ 3: What are the major problems and issues associated with each object detection based method when applied for biomedical image segmentation?
- RQ 4: What types of human diseases have been detected in biomedical imaging using different segmentation models?
- RQ 5: What are the statistical metrics used evaluation of the segmentation methods, and models used in the domain?

# 2.2 Search Strategy

The search was carried out with the following search string.

(Biomedical OR Medical) AND Segmentation AND ("Deep Learning" OR "Machine Learning") AND ("Object Detection") AND (Disease OR Disorder OR Abnormality)

We searched the articles using the mentioned serach string in the following libraries:

- 1. ACM digital library [acm.org](https://dl.acm.org),
- 2. IEEE Xplore [ieee.org](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org),
- 3. SpringerLink [link.springer.com](https://link.springer.com),
- 4. ScienceDirect [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com/), and
- 5. Wiley [onlinelibrary.wiley.com](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/).

In addition, we identified some article via manual search from other venues, such as *Eurographics digital library* [diglib.eg.org/](http://diglib.eg.org/) and [arxiv.org](https://arxiv.org/).

All these databases have a vast diversity in the number of publications both from scientific field as well as clinical field. The first search of relative literature was performed in November 2023.

# 2.3 Article's Selection Criteria

The articles were selected based in two stages. The primary selection was based on the title, abstract and the related keywords. All the articles were reviewed by authors for finding the relevance of inclusion or exclusion according to criteria specified. In this stage, we selected 340 research articles. The final selection is based on the reading the full paper. In this stage a total of 139 (out of 340) articles were selected. In addition, 24 articles were selected through snowballing and manual search, making a total of 163 articles, which were then passed through quality assessment in the next step. The articles were included/included on the following criteria.

- We included peer-reviewed journal and conference papers, as well as non-peer-reviewed preprints, but excluded non-peer-reviewed reports and books.
- We included the articles with major focus on object detection-based segmentation methods and excluded those related with general segmentation approaches and methods related specifically to clinical systems.
- Previously conducted surveys and reviews were also excluded from the study.
- Research articles related to approaches of semantic segmentation and methods based on proposal-free were also excluded.
- Due to rapid development in the field of deep learning models and automatic segmentation methods in the last one decade, it is believed that including older articles will not be so fruitful and necessary. Resultantly, the research articles published after 2013 have been included and the older articles were excluded.
- We excluded non-English articles.
- We excluded articles on quality assessment.

# 2.4 Article's Quality Assessment

In addition, to the above selection criteria, we excluded 15 articles based on their quality. To evaluate the quality and relevance of each article for our study, we used a quantitative metric indicating articles quality. The metric was calucalted for each article as:

- 1. Citation Frequency: Number of citations per year on Google Scholar.
	- Good (1): 8+ citations per year (or articles published after 2020)
	- Average (0.5): 5+ citations per year
	- Poor (0): Less than 5 citations per year
- 2. Publication Venue: Quality and relevance of the journal or conference.
	- Good (1): Published in a high-quality, relevant journal or conference
	- Average (0.5): Published in a multidisciplinary venue
	- Poor (0): Published in a low-quality or irrelevant venue
- 3. Methodology: Novelty and article presentations.
	- Good (1): Novel and well written/presented.
	- Average (0.5): Moderately novel and/or Moderately presented
	- Poor (0): Lower novelty and/or poor writing/presentation
- 4. Results Analysis: Accuracy and clarity of results analysis.
	- Good (1): Thorough and accurate analysis with clear comparisons or theoretical proofs
	- Average (0.5): Moderate analysis with some clarity issues
	- Poor (0): Inadequate analysis

Each question was rated with either 1, 0.5 or 0; and then the accumulative score was used as quality metric. There were 15 articles scoring the accumulative value below 2 and they were excluded from our selection.

# 2.5 Extracted Information from the Papers

Information strictly related to object detection based biomedical imaging from each paper was extracted which includes following specifications.

- Any pre-processing method applied for enhancing the quality of biomedical images before actual processing by the relative models.
- The overall methodology adopted for automatic segmentation of biomedical images.
- The implementation details including programming languages, software tools, applications, and hardware specifications.
- Dataset's description including total size, training and testing ratios, and cross validation if available.
- Performance evaluation metrics
- Comparative analysis with other models if they have performed and available.

Different manuscripts have performed their performance evaluation using different parameters but few of these standard parameters include accuracy, True Positive Rate (TPR, also called sensitivity), True Negative Rate (TNR, also called specificity), False Discovery Rate (FDR), Jaccard Index (JI), Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC), Hausdorff Distance (HD), Intersection Over Union (IOU), area under receiver operating characteristic curve, and Kappa. Except the HD, the higher value of the metric indicates better performance and lower value indicates worse performance. In case of HD, lower value represents better performance and higher value shows worse performance [\[31\]](#page-19-18).

## 2.6 Presentation of the Findings

The information collected from the selected articles are categories into three categories. First, the imaging modalities and the deep learning models identified in the literature are presented in section [3](#page-5-0) and section [4,](#page-6-0) respectively. Then, section [5](#page-8-0) presents all the segmentation methods in detail, discussing their pros and cons, and summarizes various findings in tabular form. Section [6](#page-15-0) highlights various research challenges and research gaps for future research. Finally, the paper is concluded in section [7.](#page-18-12)

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>3 BIOMEDICAL IMAGING MODALITIES

There are many types of biomedical images' modalities applied for detection, diagnosis, and treatment of various types of diseases. Depending on the capturing mechanisms, materials and equipment used, and the procedure followed for their diagnosis, these images have been categorized in different classes which are all covered in this section. Various types of biomedical imaging modalities are shown in Figure [2,](#page-5-1) and described below.

1) Medical Radiology Images: Although, medical radiology is a major branch of medical sciences that deals with

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

Figure 2: Biomedical Imaging Modalities

detection, diagnosis, and treatment of various types of diseases using imaging technology, based on some standardized characterization of few imaging modalities, they have been classified under this category. The important medical radiology imaging modalities include X-rays, Computer Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), and angiography images which are special types of X-rays or CT images [\[32\]](#page-19-19).

2) Ultrasound Images (US): The second important category of medical images modalities is ultrasound images which are used for diagnosis of various organs e.g., abdomen, kidneys, breast, spleen, liver, pancreas, and lungs. The important modalities of ultrasound are sonography images, color doppler, automatic breast ultrasound (ABUS), contrast enhanced ultrasound (CEUS), tissue elasticity, shear wave elastography, 3D ultrasound, and power doppler [\[33\]](#page-19-20).

3) Endoscopy and Colonoscopy Images: The colonoscopy and endoscopy images are used for the diagnosis and treatment of gastrointestinal tract which starts from mouth, goes through pharynx and oesophagus, stomach and small intestine, large intestine and colon. The important categories of these images include white light endoscopy (WLE), narrowband imaging (NBI), optical coherence tomography (OCT), confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE), endoscopic ultrasound (EUS), photoacoustic endoscopy (PAE), and photoacoustic endoscope ultrasound miniprobe (PAE-UE) [\[34\]](#page-20-0).

4) Ophthalmology Imaging Modalities: Ophthalmology is a branch of medical sciences that deals with the analysis, diagnosis, treatment and recovery of disorders associated with eyes. For the diagnosis and treatments of different eye

parts, various types of imaging modalities are used which have different properties depending on what parts of eyes are considered and which types of diseases are targeted. The important imaging modalities used for the diagnosis and treatment of eyes include fundus photography, scanning laser ophthalmoscope (SLO), optical coherence tomography (OCT), and PAOM [\[35\]](#page-20-1).

5) Dermatology Imaging: Dermatology is a branch of medical sciences that deals with the skin. The field has responsibility of both the medicinal purpose as well as surgical purpose. There are many imaging modalities that used for diagnosis and treatment of skin related diseases e.g., dermoscopy (related to skin), trichoscopy (related to scalp and hair), and onychoscopy (related to nails) [\[36\]](#page-20-2).

6) Functional Imaging: MRI, CT, US, positron emission tomography (PET), and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) are functional images because these are used for analysing brain functionalities [\[37\]](#page-20-3).

7) Microscopic Imaging: The basic concept of microscopic imaging is that it uses microscope for capturing the images of different body parts at very deep and detailed cellular levels for the detection and diagnosis of various abnormalities in the organs. There are many types of microscopic imaging modalities but important of them include selective plane illumination microscopy (SPIM), structured structure illumination microscopy (SSIM), two photon intravital microscopy (2P-IVM), two photon micro endoscopy (2P-ME) [\[38\]](#page-20-4).

8) Histopathology Imaging: Histopathology is the study of the signs of the disease using the microscopic examination of a biopsy or surgical specimen that is processed and fixed onto glass slides. The images used in this process are called histopathology images. To visualize different components of the tissue under a microscope, the sections are dyed with one or more stains. The histopathology images are used for the diagnosis of different human orgnas e.g., breast [\[39\]](#page-20-5). 9) Molecular and Cellular Imaging Molecular and cellular images are very small types of images which are used for studying the finer details of structures of molecules and cells. These images are actually the microscopic images but used for the study of cells and molecules e.g., red blood celles (RBC), whie blood celles (WBC), yeast, crystal, and epithelium [\[40\]](#page-20-6).

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>4 DEEP LEARNING MODELS

The applications of deep learning in biomedical images and videos analysis have been the focus of research community due to their capability of processing complex data in an efficient manner [\[41\]](#page-20-7). The biomedical image processing tasks by applying deep learning models are divided into four categories namely image classification, object detection, instance segmentation, and semantic segmentation. In semantic segmentation, each pixel inside the image is assigned to a specific class e.g., each pixel in liver will be assigned to tumour, parenchyma, or blood vessels. In case of instance segmentation, each individual object of a specific class is separately identified [\[42\]](#page-20-8). The object detection architectures are divided into three categories namely two-stage detection models [\[43\]](#page-20-9), single-stage detection models [\[44\]](#page-20-10), and points-based detection models (which are also known as advanced detectors by many researchers).

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

Figure 3: Object detection based deep learning models for biomedical imaging segmentation [\[44\]](#page-20-10)

The important two-stage detection approach has different models e.g., R-CNN, Fast-RCNN, Faster-RCNN, Mask-RCNN, Cascade-RCNN, FCN, and R-FCN. The one-stage well-known models are YOLO, SSD, YOLOv2/v3/v4, RefineDet, and RetinaNet. The points-based models include CornerNet, CornerNet-Lite, CenterNet, and FoveaBox as shown in Figure [3.](#page-6-1) All are discussed in detail in the coming sections along with their structure, function, applications in biomedical imaging, and their pros and cons [\[44\]](#page-20-10).

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>4.1 Two-stage Detection Models

As explained in previous sections, the two-stage detection algorithms follow two steps for detection of specific object in the images in common, and boundary boxed areas for possible diseases in specific relevance to this work. In this section, the two stage detection models are discussed in detail.

*1) Region Based Convolutional Neural Network (R-CNN):* R-CNN is a two-stage object detection model used for the identification of different objects in an image. In case of biomedical imaging, it is used for the identification of different normal and diseased parts in the image. The R-CNN has been introduced to decrease the number of regions for reducing computation task. The detailed architecture and working mechanism of R-CNN are shown in [\[45\]](#page-20-11).

*2) Faster R-CNN:* Faster R-CNN is one of the most widely applicable two stage detection approach consisting of few important components. It has data layer, standard CNN, region proposal network (RPN) layer, Region of interest (ROI), ROI pooling layer, fully connected layer, and the classification layer. In the data layer, the input images are fed to the network for processing. The structure and function of faster R-CNN have been explained in [\[46\]](#page-20-12). *3) Mask R-CNN:* The mask R-CNN is a deep learning model used for object detection-based segmentation of images in computer vision. The output of mask R-CNN comes in three forms namely the class label of the object, the boundary box of the object, and the mask. The standard architecture of mask R-CNN consists of mainly three components which are the network backbone, region proposal network (RPN), and the ROIAlign. The tasks of each of these modules are outlined in [\[47\]](#page-20-13). *4) Cascade R-CNN:* As highlighted by the authors in [\[48\]](#page-20-14), cascade RCNN has been developed to resolve the issues of overfiting and intersection over union threshold value problem by training the detectors in a sequential manner with the increasing value of threshold in which the output of one detector is given as training to the next detector. This sequential training of detectors resolves both the issues of overfitting and the quality mismatch problem [\[48\]](#page-20-14).

*5) Feature Pyramid Network (FPN):* Detection of objects with varying scales is very difficult and challenging task especially for small objects. Pyramids of same image of different scales can be used for object detection but this is a very time-consuming task and requires a lot of memory. As an alternative, we can use pyramid of features of the image and this pyramid can be used for object detection. FPN has been created as a feature extractor for achieving high accuracy and speed of processing as explained in [\[49\]](#page-20-15).

*6) Region Based Fully Convolutional Network (R-FCN):* R-FCN is a two-stage object detection model consisting of region-proposals and classification of regions. In R-FCN, the regions are extracted by region proposal network which fully connected convolutional neural network. In the working procedure of R-FCN, the image is read by the convolutional layer which create feature maps. The feature maps are used for creation of region proposal network which consists of many regions of interest. The convolutional layers after the feature maps creates each region of interest. After the extraction of region of interest, pooling is applied to generate the vote for each region of interest [\[50\]](#page-20-16).

# 4.2 One-stage Detection Models

In contrast to two-stage detection algorithms which consists of two stages namely region proposals and classification or object detection, the one stage detection algorithm carries out the detection task in only one stage. It uses the concept of anchors and grid box for the localization of the objects inside the image. There are many one-stage algorithms e.g., YOLO, SSD, RefineNet, and RetinaNet. All these models are discussed in this section with finer detail.

*1) You Only Look Once (YOLO):* In the two-stage object detection algorithms e.g., Fast R-CNN, the model first identifies the regions of interest with the help of RPN and in the second stage each region of interest is given class labels for detection. In case of YOLO, both these functions are performed simultaneously with a fully connected convolutional layer. After YOLO was introduced in 2015, so far, few versions have been developed each having different pros and cons. The current variants of YOLO include YOLOV2 to YOLOV8. The working mechanism of YOLO consists of few stages [\[51,](#page-20-17) [52,](#page-20-18) [53,](#page-20-19) [54,](#page-21-0) [55,](#page-21-1) [56,](#page-21-2) [57\]](#page-21-3).

*2) Single Shot Detector (SSD):* SSD is a one-stage object detection model consisting of two parts namely the backbone and the SSD head. The backbone is a type of pre-trained convolutional neural network used for feature extraction. The SSD head is one convolutional layer of few convolutional layers that generates the bounding box and class of the object. The complete working procedure of SSD is shown in [\[58,](#page-21-4) [59,](#page-21-5) [60,](#page-21-6) [61,](#page-21-7) [62,](#page-21-8) [63\]](#page-21-9).

*3) RefineDet:* It is a single stage detection model that carries out the object detection process in only one stage. The simple structure of RefineDet consists of two parts namely anchor refinement module (ARM) and object detection module (ODM). There are pre-defined anchor boxes with fixed sizes, ratios and locations. The ARM tries to remove negative anchors for reducing search space to classify and adjust the sizes and locations of anchor boxes for better initialization for the regressor [\[64\]](#page-21-10).

*4) RetinaNet:* It is another one-stage detection model consisting of one backbone and two subnetworks. The backbone is a convolutional neural network like ResNet used for feature map generation of the whole image. The first subnetwork performs the classification based on the outputs of backbone model whereas the second subnetwork is responsible for identification of bounding boxes and the class of each bounding box [\[65,](#page-21-11) [64,](#page-21-10) [66,](#page-21-12) [67,](#page-21-13) [68\]](#page-21-14).

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

Figure 4: A chart showing the models (light blue color) and modalities (light green color). The numeric values indicates the number of papers who have used these models/modalities.

#### 4.3 Point Based Detection Models

There are some object detection algorithms which can applied in procedures sightly different both from one-stage detection techniques as well as two-stage detection techniques. All these algorithms have been placed in a separate category. Their working mechanisms and power are higher than both the already discussed two-stage detectors as well as one-stage detectors. Therefore, they have been categorized as point based detectors because they use specific points for detection of objects in images. Some of these detectors are discussed in this section

1) CornerNet: In cornerNet, the bounding boxes of objects inside image are detected using pair key points namely top-left corner and bottom right corner. This process of finding keypoints is performed by using convolutional neural network. By using this procedure, the need for designing anchor boxes which are applied in previously discussed one-stage detecting approaches is eliminated [\[69\]](#page-21-15).

2) CornerNet-Lite: CornerNet-Lite is a combined architecture of two different variants of standard cornerNet namely CornerNet-Saccade and CornerNet-Squeeze [\[70\]](#page-21-16). The first variant applies the concept of attention mechanism for eliminating the need of processing all pixels of an image whereas the main responsibility of the CornerNet-Squeeze is to introduce a powerful backbone architecture.

3) CenterNet: In this architecture, the objects are identified by finding their central points. From these central points, the other properties of objects like orientation, location, size, and even pose of objects are found. The resulting architecture also known as CenterNet is faster, simpler, and more accurate than the traditional bounding box-based object detection models [\[71\]](#page-21-17).

4) Foveabox: FoveaBox is flexible, accurate, and anchor free architecture for object detection. All the previous anchor-based object detection models utilize anchors to detect objects inside an image, their performance and ability of generalization are limited to the design of anchors. In contrast, the Foveabox directly identifies boundary boxes and the existence of objects in the image without refereeing to the pre-defined anchors [\[72\]](#page-21-18).

# <span id="page-8-0"></span>5 SELECTED ARTICLES AND ANALYSIS

In this section, we discuss all the selected articles with in-depth analysis of the extracted information from each article. Each article is briefly described with its pros and cons. The articles are classified in three categories including two-stage detection, one-stage detection, and point based. In addition, modalities and diseases concerned with each model are discussed in detail. The challenges faced by these models, and their pros and cons have also been presented. Figure [4](#page-8-1) shows different models and concerned modalities in a chart; where as Figure [5](#page-9-0) present a chart of the modalities and concerned diseases. Figure [6](#page-12-0) shows the year-wise distribution of the selected articles.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 5: A chart showing the modalities (light green) and diseases (yellow). The numeric values indicates the number of papers with each modality.

## 5.1 Articles related to Two-stage Detection

As described in section [4.1,](#page-7-0) two-stage object detection models include R-CNN, Fast R-CNN, Faster R-CNN, Mask R-CNN, Cascade R-CNN, FPN, and R-FCN. This section discusses the application of these models for the segmentation of biomedical images.

For example, a modified CNN called region-based convolutional neural network (R-CNN) multi-task model is used for the segmentation of histological images to detect prostate cancer [\[73\]](#page-21-19), and for the detection of subretinal hemorrhage in OCT images [\[74\]](#page-22-0). MITOS-RCNN [\[75\]](#page-22-1) is another approach that uses R-CNN for the detection of breast cancer in the histopathological images. The R-CNN has also been used for segmenting pathological images to detect various tumors such as Glioblastoma Multiforme (GBM), Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma (HNSCC), Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC), and Lower Grade Glioma (LGG) [\[76\]](#page-22-2). Similarly, mask scoring R-CNN [\[77\]](#page-22-3) is used for breast cancer detection in automatic breast ultrasound (ABUS) imaging. Zhang et al. [\[78\]](#page-22-4) proposed an end to end cell R-CNN for the analysis of pathological images. Their segmentation is based on global convolutional neural network (GCN).

Two-stage CNN model [\[79\]](#page-22-5) is used for the segmentation of hard exudate lesions in colour fundus images. Similarly, a combination of convolutional neural network and the level sets was proposed by Huang et al [\[80\]](#page-22-6) is used for the segmentation of skin images for the detection of lesions. Yuan and Lo [\[81\]](#page-22-7) proposed an enhanced version of convolutional neural network for the segmentation of dermoscopic images to detect lesions in the skin. The faster R-CNN has been used in another article [\[82\]](#page-22-8) for the detection of cells in the microscopic images. Another alternative enhanced model of faster R-CNN [\[83\]](#page-22-9) has been used for segmentation of angiography images to detect pulmonary embolism. Similarly, Huang-Nan et al [\[84\]](#page-22-10) used fast R-CNN in combination with transfer learning for foot images to detect diabetic foot ulcers. Faster R-CNN has also been used for the detection of polyp in the colonoscopy images [\[85\]](#page-22-11), the segmentation of ultrasound images to detect thyroid nodules [\[86\]](#page-22-12), segmentation of breast cancer [\[87\]](#page-22-13), , and segmentation of oncologic FDG PET images to detect lesion [\[88\]](#page-22-14).

Recently, a hybrid model [\[89\]](#page-22-15) composed of 3D U-Net and Faster R-CNN is proposed which has been used for the segmentation of heart CT and MRI images for the detection of cardiovascular diseases. Krenzer et al. [\[90\]](#page-22-16) applied three different deep learning models for the detection and segmentation of gastroenterological diseases in the endoscopy images. Khan et al. [\[91\]](#page-22-17) proposed a model named SMDetector which is based on faster R-CNN for the mitotic detection in the histopathological images of breast cancer. Similarly, Zhang et al. [\[92\]](#page-22-18) suggested the use of faster R-CNN for the segmentation of microscopic images to detect cancer cells. The authors have applied faster R-CNN and the circle scanning algorithm for improving the detection of cancer cells. An enhanced mask R-CNN was applied by the authors in work presented in [\[93\]](#page-22-19). The proposed model was applied to 4341 CT images of heart, lungs, CTV, and PTV with data division of 80 % for training and 20 % for testing. In another study, mask R-CNN was used for the image segmentation to find different oral diseases. The model was applied to two sets of oral images for detection of two conditions being cold sore and canker sore [\[94\]](#page-23-0). Similarly, the mask R-CNN was applied for the detection of gastric cancer in the endoscopic images [\[95\]](#page-23-1). In another attempt, the authors used improved version of mask R-CNN for the segmentation of MRI images for knee and hip assessments [\[96\]](#page-23-2).

Liu and Li [\[97\]](#page-23-3) applied mask R-CNN for the segmentation of ultrasound images. They introduced some modification in the RPN for improving the segmentation accuracy of the mask R-CNN model. Similarly, a combination of mask R-CNN and 3D U-Net is used for the segmentation of CT images of pancreas [\[98\]](#page-23-4). Furthermore, mask R-CNN has also been used for segmentation of lungs nodule [\[99\]](#page-23-5), and 3D visualization of pulmonary nodule [\[100\]](#page-23-6). The proposed model [\[99\]](#page-23-5) consists of backbone network, RPN, ROI Align and fully convolutional neural network. The authors in [\[101\]](#page-23-7) proposed a combination of mask R-CNN and holistically nested edge detection (HED) model for the segmentation of MRI and CT images of liver.

Recently, Zhiyong et al. [\[102\]](#page-23-8) proposed a hybrid model of mask R-CNN and inception-V3 for the segmentation of ultrasound images to detect prostate cancer. In the proposed model sobel convolution has been applied for sharpening the input images. Similarly, Yuanhao et al. [\[103\]](#page-23-9) performed the classification and segmentation of ultrasound images for detection of breast tumour. They applied superpixel elastic deformation approach for data augmentation and mask R-CNN for segmentation of the ultrasound images for possible identification of breast tumour. Another study used mask R-CNN architecture for the segmentation of sonogram images to detect breast cancer [\[104\]](#page-23-10). This architecture [\[104\]](#page-23-10) includes a CNN for feature map generation, an RPN for extracting regions of interest, and ROI Align for extracting features of each ROI. In the proposed model, the RPN has been combined with fast R-CNN.

For assessing fetal growth in ultrasound images, an advanced version of mask R-CNN, known as Mask R2-CNN [\[105\]](#page-23-11) is used. Furthermore, mask R-CNN has been employed for the segmentation and detection of adenomatous colorectal polyps in colonoscopy images [\[106\]](#page-23-12), the detection of early gastric cancer in endoscopy images [\[107\]](#page-23-13), the automatic segmentation of nuclei in histopathology images [\[108\]](#page-23-14), and the segmentation of fundus images to detect lesions in diabetic retinopathy [\[109\]](#page-23-15). It has been used for the segmentation of dermatology images to detect lesions in the skin [\[111,](#page-24-0) [112\]](#page-24-1), for the segmentation of PET images to detection tumour in human lungs [\[113\]](#page-24-2), and for the analysis of microscopic images [\[115\]](#page-24-3). Few studies improved mask R-CNN with some modifications. For example, a dual mask R-CNN is used for the segmentation of PET and CT images to detect tumour and prostrate [\[114\]](#page-24-4). Another enhanced version of mask R-CNN called URCNN [\[116\]](#page-24-5) is used for the segmentation of microscopic images to detect anthrax and some other tissue diseases. Similarly, another variant is a faster R-CNN [\[117\]](#page-24-6) which is used for the segmentation of microscopic images to detect cancer cells. Further R-CNN's improved variants include cascade R-CNN [\[120\]](#page-24-7) used for the segmentation of ultrasound images to detect thyroid nodules, another variant is used for the segmentation of breast ultrasound images to detect lesions [\[119\]](#page-24-8), a hybrid model of R-CNN and pyramid network (FPN) for the detection and segmentation of different multi-class artifacts in the endoscopy images [\[121\]](#page-24-9), and a cascade R-CNN is used for the detection and segmentation of artefacts in the endoscopic images [\[122\]](#page-24-10).

FPN has also been improve to improve varients including Fourier feature pyramid network (FFPN) used for for the segmentation of ultrasound images [\[123\]](#page-24-11), FedNet for the segmentation of polyp in the endoscopic images [\[124\]](#page-24-12), and FPN with other CNN networks for the detection and segmentation of polyp in the endoscopy images [\[125\]](#page-24-13). Su et al. [\[126\]](#page-24-14), suggested an improved version of CNN named fCNN standing for fast scanning deep convolutional neural network for the segmentation and identification of regions in histopathology images of breast. A recent article [\[118\]](#page-24-15) has tested three two-stages model namely cascade R-CNN, faster R-CNN, and double head R-CNN on ultrasound images of arteries for their segmentation to detect various abnormalities. Another study a multi-task segmentation approach for the segmentation of retina for the detection of diabetic retinopathy [\[127\]](#page-24-16). Similarly, the fully connected convolutional neural networks have been used for the segmentation of retinal images [\[128,](#page-25-0) [129\]](#page-25-1), for the segmentation of PET images [\[132,](#page-25-2) [131\]](#page-25-3) and CT images to detect tumour [\[131\]](#page-25-3). Another approach is the deep convolutional neural network for the segmentation of biopsy images to detect dermis, epidermis, and non-tissue regions [\[130\]](#page-25-4).

Double U-Net [\[133\]](#page-25-5) is an improved version of the standard U-Net in which two U-Nets are stacked on the top of each other. The model was evaluated with four publicly available dataset of different modalities e.g., dermoscopy, colonoscopy, and microscopy [\[133\]](#page-25-5). Attention U-Net and deep convolutional neural network have been used for the segmentation and classification of sonography ultrasound images for the detection of breast cancer [\[134\]](#page-25-6). CE-Net (context encoder network) [\[135\]](#page-25-7) is another model which is applied for the segmentation of 2D medical imaging. Similarly, ColonSegnet [\[136\]](#page-25-8) is used for the detection, localization, and segmentation of polyp in the colonoscopy images.

# 5.1.1 Analysis of Two Stage Detectors

Different imaging modalities that have been the target of two stage detectors include CT images, MRI images, X-rays, ultrasound images, endoscopic images, colonoscopic images, dermoscopic images, histopathology images, pathological images, microscopic images, fundus images, and PET images. In the same fashion, the most frequently applied two stage detectors include mask R-CNN, Faster R-CNN, FCNN, FPN, cascade R-CNN, and RetinaNet, respectively. The most powerful, simple, accurate, and easily implementable models of these architectures is the mask R-CNN. If we critically analyse, the mask R-CNN model provide better results than other two stage detection models. In some cases, the faster R-CNN also provides comparatively better results than other models. Similarly, some fluctuations can also be observed in the results of other two stage detection models. The major factors behind these variations in the results of all models include the size of datasets been processed, the imaging modality, the architecture of the detection model, the pre-processing and post processing mechanisms applied, and the hardware and software specifications used in the experimentation.

<b>ID-Ref</b>	Year	<b>Modality</b>	<b>Model</b>	Disease   Organ	<b>Evaluation Metrics</b>	<b>Results</b>
A001 [76]	2022	Pathological	R-CNN	<b>Tumours</b>	F1-Score, Dice, Hausdorff	0.8216, 0.7088, 11.3141
A002 [73]	2018	Histology	R-CNN	<b>Prostate Cancer</b>	IoU, Accuracy	79.56%, 89.40%
A003 [74]	2021	OCT	R-CNN, Faster R-CNN	<b>Subretinal Haemorrhage</b>	Sensitivity, Specificity, Accuracy	90.36%, 85.98%, 93.98%
A004 [77]	2021	Ultrasound	R-CNN	<b>Breast Cancer</b>	DSC, JI, Hausdorff, MSD, RMSD, CMD	85.0%, 75.2%, 1.65, 0.49, 0.76, 0.67
A005 [78]	2021	Histopathological Im-	R-CNN	GBM, HNSCC, LGG, NSCLC	F1-Score, Dice, Hausdorff	0.8216, 0.7088, 11.3141
		ages				
A006 [75]	2018	Histopathology	R-CNN	<b>Breast Cancer</b>	Recall	0.955
A007 [79]	2024	Fundus	$\overline{\text{CNN}}$	<b>Exudate Lesions</b>	AUPRC, DSC, IOU	0.893, 76.6%, 62.4%
A008 [80]	2024	Dermoscopic Images	<b>CNN</b>	<b>Skin Lesions</b>	Jaccard Index	77.0%, 85.1%
A009 [81]	2017	Dermoscopic Images	<b>CNN</b>	Skin disease	Jaccard Index	0.765
A010 [82]	2017	Microscopic Images	Faster R-CNN	RBC, WBC, Yeast, etc.	Recall, Precision, F1-Score	0.9773, 0.7768, 0.8656
A011 [83]	2021	Angiograms	Faster R-CNN	Pulmonary Embolism	AP, Sensitivity, Specificity	85.88%, 87.30%, 86.29%
A012 [84]	2022	JPG Format + CSV	Fast R-CNN	Diabetic Foot Ulcers	Accuracy	90%
		files				
A013 [86]	2023	Ultrasound	Faster R-NN	<b>Thyroid Nodules</b>	AP 50%, AP 75%, AP 50:5:95%	97.4%, 81.3%, 68.7%
A014 [89]	2023	<b>CT MRI</b>	Faster R-CNN U-Net	<b>Heart Disease</b>	Dice, Jaccard, Hausdorff, Running Time	0.864, 0.767, 29.918, 4.1 Sec
A015 [85]	2021	Colonoscopy	Faster R-CNN	Polyp detection	Precision, Recall, F1-Score	0.943, 0.925, 0.934
A016 [90]	2020	Endoscopy	Faster R-CNN Cascade R- CNN YOLOv3	<b>Gastric Disease</b>	mAP	37.20, 52.44, 44.49
A017 [87]	2021	Histopathology	Faster R-CNN	<b>Breast Cancer</b>	Precision, Sensitivity, IoU, F1 Score	1, 0.03, 0.55, 0.05
A018 [88]	2019	PET	Faster R-CNN	<b>Lesion Detection</b>	Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV,	97.2%, 95.4%, 98.1%, 96.8%,
					<b>NPV</b>	97.0%
A019 [91]	2023	Histopathology	Faster R-CNN	<b>Mitotic Detection</b>	AP, AP 50%, AP 75%, AP small, AP	25.35, 50.31, 25.45, 17.6, 16.17, -1
					medium, AP large	
A020 [92]	2016	Microscopic Images	Faster R-CNN	<b>Cancer Cells</b>	Precision, Recall, AP, AUC	0.990, 0.990, 0.906, 0.661
A021 [93]	2020	CT	Mask R-CNN	Heart, Lungs	DICE, JI	97.6%, 98.1%, 95.1%, 97.8%
A022 [94]	2018	<b>Oral Images</b>	Mask R-CNN	Cold Sore, Canker, Sore	Dice	0.774, 0.714
A023 [95]	2020	<b>Endoscopic Images</b>	Mask R-CNN	<b>Gastric Cancer</b>	<b>Image Sensitivity Lesion Sensitivity</b>	0.76, 0.96
A024 [96]	2023	MRI	Mask R-CNN	Knee, Hip	Dice	0.76, 0.75
A025 [97]	2018	Ultrasound	Mask R-CNN	Follicles	Accuracy	96.5%
A026 [98]	2021	CT	U-Net, Mask R-CNN	Pancreas	DSC, JI, REC, ACC	86.15%, 75.93%, 86.27%, 99.95%
A027 [99]	2018	CT	Mask R-CNN	Lungs	mAP	0.7334
A028 [101]	2019	<b>MRICT</b>	Mask R-CNN	Liver	Dice	CT: 0.94 MRI: 0.91
A029 [100]	2020	CT	Mask R-CNN	Chest	Sensitivity, FP	88.7%, 88.1%
A030 [102]	2021	Ultrasound	Inception $V3 + Mask$ R-	Prostate Cancer	mAP, DICE, IOU, AP	0.88, 0.87, 0.79, 0.92
			<b>CNN</b>			
A031 [103]	2019	Ultrasound	Mask R-CNN	<b>Breast Cancer</b>	DICE, Accuracy, TP, TN	83.93%, 80.42%, 63.64%, 87.88%
A032 [104]	2019	Sonogram	Mask R-CNN	<b>Breast Cancer</b>	mAP	0.75
A033 [105]	2021	Ultrasound	Mask R-CNN	Fetal growth	AD, DI, DSC, H	1.95, -0.31, 97.90%, 1.5
A034 [106]	2020	Colonoscopy	Mask R-CNN	Adenomatous colorectal polyps	mAP 50%, mAP 70%, mAP 75%	89.50%, 78.40%, 73.50%
A035 [107]	2022	Endoscopy	Mask R-CNN	Gastric Cancer	Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV. <b>NPV</b>	90.25%, 91.06%, 89.01%, 92.61%,
A036 [110]	2019	Colonoscopy	Mask R-CNN	Polyp	Precision, Recall, Jaccard, Dice	86.81% 94.1%, 86.1%, 73.2, 80.19
A037 [108]	2019	Histopathology	Mask R-CNN	Nuclei Segmentation	F1 Score, Precision, Recall, Dice	0.913, 0.907, 0.923, 0.835
A038 [109]	2023	Fundus	Mask R-CNN	4 Different Lesions	AP, mAP	0.2515, 0.1548, 0.1042, 0.1577,
						0.2687, 0.1589, 0.1274, 0.1388
A039 [111]	2020	Dermoscopic Images	Mask R-CNN	<b>Skin Disease</b>	Precision, Recall, Average Precision	0.9021, 0.9187, 0.9085
A040 [112]	2021	Dermoscopic Images	Reti- Mask $R$ -CNN	<b>Skin Disease</b>	Jaccard	80.04%
			naDeepLab			
A041 [113]	2019	PET	Mask R-CNN	Lungs Tumour	Precision, Recall, F1-Score	0.90, 1, 0.95
A042 [114]	2021	PET CT	Mask R-CNN	<b>Tumour Prostrate</b>	ACD, VD, DSC	$0.83 + 0.91, -0.01 + +0.79, 0.84 + -$
						0.09
A043 [115]	2024	Microscopic Images	Mask R-CNN	<b>Cell Detection</b>	Dice, Accuracy, Precision, Sensitivity, F1	0.862, 0.945, 0.901, 0.827, 0.862,
					Score, Specificity, Volume Difference	0.977, 0.082
A044 [116]	2023	Microscopic Images	Mask R-CNN	Anthrax Tissue Disease	AP, AP75, IoU, DICE	0.560, 0.613, 0.751, 0.840
A045 [117]	2021	Microscopic Images	Mask R-CNN	Cell Segmentation	SEG, DET, TRA, D-T	85.26%, 97.14%, 97.05%, 0.09
A046 [118]	2023	Ultrasound	Cascade R-CNN, Faster R-	Arteries Disease	Accuracy, AO, NRA, RASIRA	86.5%, 90.4%, 84.7, 88.8%
			<b>CNN</b>			
A047 [119]	2022	Ultrasound	Cascade R-CNN	<b>Thyroid Nodules</b>	mAP, TPR, FPR, TNR, FNR, Accuracy	87.1%, 0.9841, 0.0082, 0.9894,
A048 [120]	2022	Ultrasound	Cascade R-CNN	<b>Breast Cancer</b>	Accuracy, Jaccard, Precision, Recall, Speci-	0.0158, 0.9867 97.51%, 72.47%, 82.70%, 99.13%,
					ficity	79.35%
A049 [121]	2019	Endoscopy	<b>Cascade R-CNN FPN</b>	Multi-class Artifacts	Score Detection, Score Segmentation	0.3429, 0.3500
A050 [122]	2019	Endoscopy	Cascade R-CNN	Artifacts	mAP, IoU, Score	0.3235, 0.4172, 0.3610
A051 [123]	2023	Ultrasound	<b>FPN</b>	Fetal head segmentation Heart	DICE, IoU, Hausdorff, Conformity	89.08%, 80.70%, 19.76, 74.64%
A052 [124]   2023   Endoscopy			<b>FPN</b>	Polyp Different Varying	different	varying
A053 [125]	2021	Endoscopy	$FPN + CNN$	Polyp	Average Precision, Segmentation Score	0.8986, 0.7771
A054 [126]	2015	Histopathology	<b>FCNN</b>	<b>Breast Regions</b>	Average Precision, Average Recall, Aver-	0.91, 0.82, 0.85, 0.015, 0.02, 0.01
					age F1 Score, Variance Precision, Variance	
					Recall, Variance F1 Score	
A055 [127]	2019	Fundus	<b>FCNN</b>	Diabetic Retinopathy	DSC, Sensitivity, Specificity, Accuracy	96.7%, 98.1%, 99.9%, 99.9%
A056 [128]	2018	Fundus	<b>FCNN</b>	<b>Vessels Detection</b>	Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity	0.9210, 0.7215, 0.9576
A057 [129]	2017	Fundus	<b>FCNN</b>	<b>Retinal Segmentation</b>	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV, F1 Score	93.12%, 99.56%, 89.90%, 90.93%
A058 [130]	2018	<b>Biopsy</b>	<b>FCN</b>	Dermis Epidermis	RCPC, Jaccard	0.8801, 0.8845, 0.8900, 0.9962
A059 [131]	2018	PET CT	<b>FCN</b>	Tumour	DSC, Classification Error, Volume Error	0.85, 0.33, 0.15
A060 [132]	2024	PET	<b>FCN</b>	Lymphoma	Detection Sensibility, Dice	0.9953, 0.8665
A061 [133]	2020	Dermoscopy	U-Net	Multiple	mIoU, DSC, Recall, Precision	0.6255, 0.7649, 0.7156, 0.8007
		Colonoscopy En-				
		doscopy				
A062 [134]	2023	Sonograms	D-CNN U-Net	<b>Breast Cancer</b>	Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity, AUC, UIoU, MDice	97%, 97.7%, 96.4%, 0.96, 0.89, 0.92
A063 [135]	2019	Angiographic CT MRI	CE-Net	Lungs, Vessels, Eyes	Sensitivity, Accuracy, AUC	0.8309, 0.9545, 0.9779
A064 [136]	2021	Colonoscopy	ColonSegNet	Polyp	AP, IoU, DSC, Jaccard, Precision, Recall	0.8000, 0.8100, 0.7239, 0.8435.
						0.8496, 0.9493

Table 2: Two-Stage Detectors for Biomedical Image Segmentation.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Figure 6: Articles distribution on the basis of year of publication.

## 5.2 Articles Related to One-Stage Detection

One-stage object detection models used for the segmentation of biomedical images include YOLO, SSD, YOLOv1/v2/v3/v4, RefineNet, and RetinaNet. In this section, these models, as applied to biomedical image segmentation, are discussed in detail along with other relevant considerations. In this regard, YOLO [\[137\]](#page-25-9) has been used to localize and detect various active organs in 3D PET imaging modalities. YOLOv3 has been applied for the segmentation of MRI images to detect herniated intervertebral discs [\[54\]](#page-21-0), and for the segmentation of 2D and 3D CT images to localize kidneys and identify conditions such as cystic kidneys, hypertrophied kidneys, and tumoral kidneys [\[138\]](#page-25-10). Other studies have utilized YOLO [\[51\]](#page-20-17) and YOLOv5 [\[139\]](#page-25-11) for the segmentation of brain MRI images to detect tumors. Similarly, YOLOv4 has been used for the segmentation of ultrasound images to detect various organs [\[140\]](#page-25-12), YOLOv7 for the segmentation of echocardiographic images to identify and segment the anatomical structures of the left heart [\[141\]](#page-25-13), and YOLOv8 for processing X-ray angiography to segment the coronary artery [\[55\]](#page-21-1). Furthermore, the scaled-YOLOv4 has been applied for the detection of pulmonary embolism in CT angiograms [\[53\]](#page-20-19), and YOLOv5 [\[57\]](#page-21-3) has been used for multi-type lesion detection in angiography images. Santos et al. [\[142\]](#page-25-14) used YOLOV5 for lesion detection in fundus images. In another study, YOLOv8 model is used for the segmentation of angiography images to identify and detect coronary artery stenosis [\[56\]](#page-21-2). Pkhrel et al. [\[143\]](#page-25-15) used YOLOv8 along with the augmentation for the segmentation of coronary artery in the angiograms to detect diseases.

Some researchers have combined YOLO with other models to enhance performance. For instance, Chang et al.[\[52\]](#page-20-18) employed YOLO alongside a fully convolutional neural network for the segmentation and classification of heart MRI images. YOLO, in combination with the GrabCut model, has been used for the segmentation of dermoscopic images to detect skin lesions[\[144\]](#page-25-16). Moreno et al. [\[145\]](#page-25-17) applied a combination of two convolutional neural networks for the segmentation of the left ventricle in heart MRI images. Other hybrid models include the YOLOv2 and Fourier Photography Microscopy (FPM) in combination for the detection of WBC [\[146\]](#page-25-18). The Faster R-CNN and YOLO are combined and used for the segmentation of chest X-Ray images to detect different abnormalities [\[147\]](#page-25-19). YOLO-RF [\[148\]](#page-26-0) is a hybrid model of YOLO and random forest which has been used for diabetic detection.

YOLOv3-arch [\[149\]](#page-26-1) is another improvement of the YOLOv3 which has been used for the segmentation of CT images to identify cholelithiasis and classify gallstones. Zhuang et al. [\[150\]](#page-26-2) used YOLOv3 for the segmentation of left ventricle in the echocardiography. Similarly, Zhang et al. [\[151\]](#page-26-3) suggested the use of and enhanced model YOLOV3 one-stage segmentation model for segmentation of ultrasound images to detect the location of thyroid nodules. Similarly, YOLO-CSE [\[152\]](#page-26-4) is an improvement of YOLOV5 which is used for the segmentation of muscle ultrasound to detect myositis. YOLOX [\[153\]](#page-26-5) is used for the segmentation of ultrasound images to examine the fetus for congenital heart disease. Similarly, Ghose et al. [\[154\]](#page-26-6) suggested the use of an enhanced version of YOLOV5 to segment the colonoscopic images

for the detection of polyp. YOLOV4-tiny [\[155\]](#page-26-7) has been used for the segmentation of endoscopic images to detect polyp. Further studies applied YOLOV4 for the segmentation of endoscopic images to detect multi-class artefact [\[156\]](#page-26-8), and YOLOV2 for the detection of breast cancer in histopathological breast images [\[157\]](#page-26-9). YOLO has been for the segmentation of nucleus and characterization of tumour microenvironment [\[39\]](#page-20-5), and for detection of nucleus and U-Net for the segmentation of nucleus in fluorescence microscopy [\[158\]](#page-26-10). YOLO has also been used for the identification of region proposal networks to diagnose cancer in breast histopathology images [\[159\]](#page-26-11).

Durak et al. [\[160\]](#page-26-12) implemented different types of deep learning models for the detection of polyp in the gastro endoscopic images. Other different deep learning models including mask R-CNN, U-Net, CNN, and YOLO have been used for the segmentation of nucleus in the histopathological images [\[161\]](#page-26-13). Similarly, CNN combined with YOLO has been used for the detection of glaucoma in the color fundus images [\[162\]](#page-26-14). Nair et al. [\[163\]](#page-26-15) applied YOLOV4 for the nucleus detection in the histopathology images of breast. Similarly, Pal et al. [\[164\]](#page-26-16) applied YOLOV3 for detection of lesions in the retinal fundus images.

Other articles demonstrate the use of different models for more diseases. For example, YOLOv3 has been used for the localization of the optic disc in retinopathy fundus images [\[165\]](#page-26-17), YOLO for lesion detection in skin using dermoscopic images [\[166\]](#page-26-18), and fuzzy logic combined with object detection models for cancer detection in dermoscopic images [\[167\]](#page-26-19). Additionally, the YOLOv3 network has been applied for the segmentation of PET/CT images to detect tumors in lymphoma patients [\[168\]](#page-27-0). Shwetha et al.[\[169\]](#page-27-1) performed a two-stage approach for the detection of pus cells and epithelial cells. Similarly, Kong and Shen[\[170\]](#page-27-2) proposed an enhanced version of YOLO for the segmentation of microscopic images to detect microorganisms. Another study applied a combined model of YOLO and U-Net for the segmentation of microscopic images to characterize the neuron [\[171\]](#page-27-3).

Furthermore, SSD and other segmentation models have been used for the detection of benign and malignant breast cancer in ultrasound images [\[60\]](#page-21-6). Similarly, SSD has also been applied for the detection of various lung abnormalities in ultrasound images [\[59\]](#page-21-5), and for the detection of polyps in gastrointestinal endoscopic images using segmentation [\[62\]](#page-21-8). In a hybrid approach, Xu et al. [\[58\]](#page-21-4) proposed DAD-SSD (Dynamic Attention Deconvolutional Single Shot Detector) for the classification of different types of polyps in colonoscopic images. MP-FSSD (Multi-scale Pyramidal Fusion Single Shot Multibox Detector Network) [\[61\]](#page-21-7) is recently proposed as a modified form of single shot detector which has been used for for the segmentation of endoscopic images frames or the endoscopy to detect polyp.

Similarly, different skin diseases namely melanoma, dysplastic nevus, and healthy skin have been identified with RetinaNet [\[68\]](#page-21-14). Deep RetinaNet has been used for the segmentation of dermoscopic images to identify the melanoma lesion [\[67\]](#page-21-13). A hybrid model of RetinaNet and ResNet was applied for the detection of skin cancer in dermoscopic images [\[66\]](#page-21-12). Furthermore, Bagheri et al. [\[172\]](#page-27-4) proposed three different object detection models for the identification of skin lesions in the dermoscopic images. The detailed descriptions of these models along with the types of imaging modalities, the types of diseases these models have been used for the detection, the performance evaluation metrices and quantitative measures are shown in Table [3.](#page-14-0)

# 5.2.1 Analysis of One Stage Detectors

Similar to two-stage detection models, the modalities targeted by one-stage detection models include MRI, CT, PET, X-rays, dermoscopic images, microscopic images, ultrasound, endoscopic, colonoscopic, histopathology, fundus images, and several other modalities. Among the one-stage detection models, YOLO and its various versions are the most powerful and frequently applied. The most commonly used YOLO version for medical image segmentation is YOLOv3, followed by YOLOv5, YOLOv4, and YOLOv8, respectively. Some authors have also applied YOLOv2 and YOLOv7, while others have used SSD, RetinaNet, and RefineNet for biomedical image segmentation, though their frequency of use is much lower. The quantitative measures of different performance evaluation parameters are shown in the last column of the table, where considerable variations can be observed. These variations result from several factors, including ease of processing and implementation, lower computational cost, high accuracy, simple architecture, and robustness in handling complex datasets. These factors contribute to YOLO and its versions outperforming other one-stage models.

# 5.3 Articles Related to Point-Based Detection Models

Some object detection models for image segmentation possess properties distinct from both one-stage and two-stage detection models. Due to these unique characteristics, they have been categorized separately as advanced object detection models or point-based detection models. The most common models in this category include CornerNet, CornerNet-Lite, Objects as Points, and FoveaBox. In the literature, many authors have applied these models to the segmentation of biomedical images. In this regard, CornerNet has been used for the segmentation of brain MRI to analyse it for brain tumour detection [\[173\]](#page-27-5), for the segmentation of colonoscopic images to detect polyp [\[174\]](#page-27-6), and for the extraction of informative features from the X-ray images to segment it for the detection of knee osteoarthritis [\[175\]](#page-27-7). It has also been used for the segmentation of MRI images to detect two types of malignant cancers namely low-grade

<span id="page-14-0"></span>



glioma and high-grade glioma [\[176\]](#page-27-8). Another study proposed a backbone of CornerNet for the segmentation of brain MRI to detection brain tumour [\[177\]](#page-27-9). A combined model of CornerNet and Fuzzy K-means has been for the segmentation of dermoscopic images to detect melanoma in the skin [\[178\]](#page-27-10).

Recently, two deep learning models namely centernet and U-net have been used for detection and segmentation of endoscopic images to detect gastrointestinal disease [\[179\]](#page-27-11). Another recent study proposed an improved version of Centernet for the segmentation of microscopic images to detect white blood cells in them [\[180\]](#page-27-12). Similarly, Jiang et al. [\[181\]](#page-27-13) proposed a modified version of CenterNet for the segmentation of colonoscopic images to detect polyp. In other studies the CenterNet has been used for the segmentation of Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography (OCTA) images to detect retinal vascular bifurcation and crossover points [\[182\]](#page-27-14), and for the segmentation of brain MRI images to detect tumour [\[183\]](#page-27-15).

Similarly, Albahli and Nazir [\[184\]](#page-27-16) used CenterNet for the segmentation of X-Ray images to classify and localize the diseases in them. An other study applied CenterNet for the segmentation of CT images to detect pulmonary cancers [\[185\]](#page-27-17). Jasitha and Pournami [\[186\]](#page-27-18) analysed both the faster R-CNN and CenterNet for the segmentation of histopathology images to detect Glomeruli. Recently, Nazir et al. [\[187\]](#page-27-19) applied CenterNet for the segmentation of retinal images to detect diabetic retinopathy. In hybrid models, the combination of R-CNN, CenterNet, and deep snake for thyroid nodule detection in the ultrasound images [\[188\]](#page-28-0). Another hybrid model of VFNet, FoveaBox, FCOS, and DetectoRS for detection of lymph nodes in MRI [\[189\]](#page-28-1). Mattikalli et al. [\[190\]](#page-28-2) developed an ensemble model of VFNet, FoveaBox, and RetinaNet for the detection of lesion in CT images.

Sakunpaisanwari et al. [\[191\]](#page-28-3) different two stage detector models and one stage detector models including CornerNet and CenterNet for blood vessels detection in CT images. Another study implemented different two stage detectors, one stage detectors, and other advanced detection models including CenterNet, for the detection of gastric polyps in the endoscopic images [\[160\]](#page-26-12). Recently, an ensembled model of foveabox with other one stage detectors have been used to detect lymphadenopathy in MRI images [\[192\]](#page-28-4). Similarly, Celik et al. [\[193\]](#page-28-5) studied the role of different deep learning models including foveabox in the detection of lesions on panoramic radiographs. An other article [\[194\]](#page-28-6) compared the performance of RetinaNet with cornernet and centernet in automatic tip detection of surgical instruments in biportal endoscopic spine surgery. Similarly, in an other study [\[191\]](#page-28-3), different deep learning models including centernet and cornernet are used for blood vessels detection in CT scans of lower extremities.

Nguyen et al. [\[195\]](#page-28-7) applied different deep learning models including centernet and cornernet for the detection of glomeruli and nuclei in pathological images. Furthermore, Zheng et al. [\[180\]](#page-27-12) applied centernet for the detection of blood cells in the microscopic images. In another work, a centernet based on heatmap pyramid structure for the detection of rib fracture in CT images of chest [\[196\]](#page-28-8). Faster R-CNN, RetinaNet, and Centernet have been used for the detection and characterization of parasite eggs in the microscopic images [\[197\]](#page-28-9). Similarly, Jiang et al. [\[198\]](#page-28-10) used centernet along with Resnet 50 for feature extraction in the diagnosis of ultrasound images. In another study use of YOLOv4 and Centernet for the diagnosis of breast ultrasound to detect lesion [\[199\]](#page-28-11). Recently, few deep learning models including centernet have been used for the detection of polyp segmentation in colonoscopy [\[174\]](#page-27-6). Transformer based centernet is also introduced which is used for the liver tumor detection in CT images [\[200\]](#page-28-12). The detailed descriptions of all these models along with other specifications are shown in Table [4.](#page-16-0)

#### 5.3.1 Analysis of Point-Based Detectors

Advanced (or Point-Based) detectors have been applied for the segmentation of various biomedical imaging modalities, including MRI, X-rays, dermoscopic images, colonoscopic images, microscopic images, OCTA, CT images, histopathological images, fundus images, ultrasound images, endoscopic images, and radiographs. When their performance is closely analyzed, the highest accuracies have been achieved using both CornerNet and CenterNet, with similar results in some cases and slight fluctuations between them. In certain instances, CornerNet outperforms CenterNet, while in others, CenterNet surpasses CornerNet. The results obtained from FoveaBox, however, are less satisfactory in terms of accuracy. The variations in these outcomes can be attributed to several factors, including the size of datasets used in the experiments, the running environment, model parameter tuning, data division into training and testing sets, data augmentation approaches, and data pre-processing and post-processing methods.

# <span id="page-15-0"></span>6 CHALLENGES & FUTURE DIRECTIONS

There are many challenges associated with different types of biomedical images as well the models applied for their segmentation. This section outlines all the challenges suggest future directions related to these challenges and future trends in biomedical image segmentation used for diseases detection.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>



## 6.1 Challenges with Biomedical Image Segmentation

Biomedical image segmentation faces many challenges including the complex nature of image data, variability in images, high computational requirements, insufficient data availability, and differing hardware, software requirements, an many other factors. The foremost challenge in this regard is the accurate annotation and labeling process. Unlike classification, which only requires a few output values, segmentation needs generating images as output for preparing training datasets. This task is not possible without the involvement of field experts and high-quality software tools, which require additional time, effort, and cost. Additionally, the complex structure of biomedical images—encompassing various shapes, formats, organ anatomies, cell structures, and tissue architectures—significantly impacts the performance of segmentation models. Another major challenge is the scale variation in biomedical images, ranging from the small nuclei of cells to large full organs like the heart, lungs, kidneys, and others. Developing robust segmentation models to accommodate these large-scale differences is particularly challenging.

Similarly, another important and considerable issue related to biomedical images is the class imbalance problem [\[201\]](#page-28-13). This issue arises due to unavailability of sufficient instances for all the available classes of diseases being considered in the problem. This problem has a very bad impact on the performance of the segmentation models which leads to higher inaccuracy in the segmentation process and biases in the prediction. Similarly, all types of biomedical images are affected by different types of noises which adequately degrade the quality of images. Other factors affecting the quality of medical images include low contrast, distortions, low quality illumination, and body movements during .capturing images. All these types of unwanted activities have negative impact on biomedical images processing resulting in poor performance of the segmentation tasks. To address all of these challenges, we need effective, efficient, and powerful communication and collaborations among different experts including medical experts, computer experts, and scientists. This will reduce the barriers prevailing in the processing of biomedical images which will improve the process of segmentation.

## 6.2 Challenges with Segmentation Models

There are different challenges associated with two stage detection models, one stage detection models, and the advanced detection models. All these challenges are highlighted in this section. The most important issue associated with two stage detection models is the complex architecture of the models due to performing the segmentation task in two stages namely region proposals and the classification. The second challenge with these models is their complete dependency on the mechanism of region proposal for generating bounding boxes which may result in the localization error which significantly decrease the segmentation accuracy of the models. Another main problem with the two stage detection models is the slow processing due to involvement of multiple stages for the segmentation task. Similarly, the models may be poorly trained due to optimization of two different components namely region proposals generation and the classification. To improve the convergence and ensuring the effectiveness in the learning both the training mechanism and the hyperparameter tuning process need to be highly careful and managed.

The major challenge of one stage detection model for biomedical image segmentation is low accuracy. The low accuracy results from the fact that these models directly perform the segmentation process with region proposals. Another major issue with one stage detectin model is their weakness in segmentation of small regions showing the abnormality.

Since, there is no specific mechanism for the identirication of different regions, therefore, it is very difficult for the detection to distinguish between the background and foreground of the biomedical images. Likewise, identification of different sizes, shapes, and textrure of various abnormal existence in the biomedical images is another challenge for one strage detection models. Another difficult mechanism for one stage detector is collecting contextual information from the surroundings of the affected areas which degrades the performance of the model in the segmentation process.

## 6.3 Future Research Directions

This review paper covers various object detection-based models for biomedical imaging segmentation. Although these models represent the latest architectures for biomedical image segmentation, the complexity of the segmentation process still leaves considerable room for improvement. This section outlines potential future research directions in biomedical imaging segmentation.

1) Multi-modal Approach: Current architectures for biomedical imaging segmentation typically rely on a uni-modal approach, where a model is used to segment images from a single modality. A significant direction for researchers is the application of a multi-modal approach, integrating information from multiple biomedical imaging modalities such as CT, X-Ray, MRI, and SPECT. Combining information from various modalities could greatly enhance the performance and accuracy of the segmentation process.

2) Transfer Learning: A major challenge in biomedical imaging segmentation is the scarcity of sufficient datasets, which complicates the segmentation process. This issue could be addressed through transfer learning, where models trained on large datasets are adapted for use on smaller datasets. This approach could provide a solid foundation for researchers and industries focused on biomedical imaging segmentation.

3) Advanced Deep Learning Models: While the deep learning models discussed in this review have contributed significantly to biomedical imaging segmentation, there is still potential for improvement. Advanced models, such as transformer-based architectures, Graph Neural Networks (GNN), hybrid models, and attention-based models, could further enhance segmentation accuracy, especially in images compromised by various types of noise.

4) Unsupervised and Semi-Supervised Approaches: Labeling and annotating biomedical images require significant resources, including time and effort. Unsupervised and semi-supervised learning approaches could alleviate some of these challenges. Models such as Self Organizing Maps (SOM), Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), graph-based techniques, and auto-encoders represent promising areas for future research.

5) Enhancement Techniques: Biomedical images often suffer from quality issues such as noise, poor illumination, and suboptimal image capture methods. Research into models and techniques that enhance image quality could improve segmentation accuracy and performance.

6) Clinical Aspects: Bridging the gap between technological advancements and clinical applicability is crucial. Future research should focus on developing methods that support the practical implementation of segmentation models, aiding in the detection of abnormalities across various human organs.

7) Hybrid approaches with large data: Exploring interdisciplinary approaches that combine biomedical imaging the data from other related domains such as bio-informatics, computational physics, and systems biology could also be explored to analyse the images based on different types of related data. For example, with the use of genetic data and integrating it in image segmentation could enhance the understanding of disease mechanisms at a molecular level, leading to more personalized and effective treatments.

8) Real-time Segmentation: Developing methods for real-time segmentation of biomedical images could significantly impact clinical diagnostics and surgical procedures. This would involve optimizing existing algorithms for speed without sacrificing accuracy, potentially utilizing edge computing technologies to process data directly on medical devices and providing instant results for clinical decision-making.

9) Interactive Segmentation: Enhancing segmentation results through user interaction is a promising avenue. Exploring the latest Large Language Models (LLMs) could provide new methods for user interaction with segmentation systems, potentially making them more intuitive and effective for various medical imaging tasks.

# <span id="page-18-12"></span>7 CONCLUSION

We conducted a comprehensive review of the deep learning based object detection methods use for biomedical image segmentation. Following, the standard SRL protocol, we selected 148 articles, and extracted information from these auricles. The extracted information include deep learning models, biomedical imaging modalities, and human disease. We have presented these information in tabular forms and provided various charts and classifications. Object detection based deep learning models are divided into three types namely two stage detectors, one-stage detectors, and advanced detectors which have been reviewed, critically analyzed. Finally, we highlighted different challenges associated with medical imaging modalities and object detection based deep learning models used for their segmentation, and highlighted future directions. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first SLR conducted on this specific topic within the field. We believe this work will serve as a foundational reference, providing essential guidance for new researchers and offering a comprehensive overview for both new and experienced researchers in the field.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (grant number: EP/X023826/1).

## References

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>[1] G. Wang, M. A. Zuluaga, W. Li, R. Pratt, P. A. Patel, M. Aertsen, T. Doel, A. L. David, J. Deprest, S. Ourselin, and T. Vercauteren. Deepigeos: A deep interactive geodesic framework for medical image segmentation. *IEEE IEEE Trans. on Pattern Anal. and Mach. Intell.*, 41(07):1559–1572, jul 2019. ISSN 1939-3539. doi[:10.1109/TPAMI.2018.2840695.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2018.2840695)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>[2] S. Calderon-Ramirez, S. Yang, and D. Elizondo. Semisupervised deep learning for image classification with distribution mismatch: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, 3(06):1015–1029, dec 2022. ISSN 2691-4581. doi[:10.1109/TAI.2022.3196326.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAI.2022.3196326)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>[3] S. Minaee, Y. Boykov, F. Porikli, A. Plaza, N. Kehtarnavaz, and D. Terzopoulos. Image segmentation using deep learning: A survey. *IEEE Trans. on Pattern Anal. and Mach. Intell.*, 44(07):3523–3542, jul 2022. ISSN 1939-3539. doi[:10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3059968.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3059968)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>[4] B. Kitchenham and S Charters. Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering, (EBSE 2007-001). Keele University and Durham University Joint Report., 2007.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>[5] S.A. Taghanaki, K. Abhishek, J.P. Cohen, J.C. Adad, and G. Hamarneh. Deep semantic segmentation of natural and medical images: a review. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 54(1):137–178, 2021.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>[6] R. Millioni, S. Sbrignadello, A. Tura, E. Iori, E. Murphy, and P. Tessari. Analysis. *Electrophoresis*, 31(10): 1739–1742, 2010.
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>[7] Holger R Roth, Chen Shen, Hirohisa Oda, Masahiro Oda, Yuichiro Hayashi, Kazunari Misawa, and Kensaku Mori. Deep learning and its application to medical image segmentation. *Medical Imaging Technology*, 36(2): 63–71, 2018.
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>[8] M. Buda, A. Saha, and M.A. Mazurowski. Association of genomic subtypes of lower-grade gliomas with shape features automatically extracted by a deep learning algorithm. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 109:218–225, 2019.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>[9] X. Zhu, Z. Cheng, S. Wang, X. Chen, and G. Lu. Coronary angiography image segmentation based on pspnet. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 200:105897, 2021.
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>[10] Y.J. Kim, S.H. Lee, C.M. Park, and K.G. Kim. Evaluation of semi-automatic segmentation methods for persistent ground glass nodules on thin-section ct scans. *Healthcare Informatics Research*, 22(4):305–315, 2016.
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>[11] A. Isin, C. Direkoğlu, and M. S ah. Review of mri-based brain tumor image segmentation using deep learning methods. *Procedia Computer Science*, pages 317–324, 2016.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>[12] Kisuk Lee, Ran Lu, Kyle Luther, and H. Sebastian Seung. Learning and segmenting dense voxel embeddings for 3d neuron reconstruction. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 40(12):3801–3811, 2021.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[13] Wei Huang, Chang Chen, Zhiwei Xiong, Yueyi Zhang, Xuejin Chen, Xiaoyan Sun, and Feng Wu. Semisupervised neuron segmentation via reinforced consistency learning. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 41 (11):3016–3028, 2022.
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[14] Xiaoyu Liu, Bo Hu, Mingxing Li, Wei Huang, Yueyi Zhang, and Zhiwei Xiong. A soma segmentation benchmark in full adult fly brain. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7402–7411, 2023.
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>[15] Dongnan Liu, Donghao Zhang, Yang Song, Heng Huang, and Weidong Cai. Panoptic feature fusion net: a novel instance segmentation paradigm for biomedical and biological images. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 30:2045–2059, 2021.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>[16] Syed Muhammad Anwar, Muhammad Majid, Adnan Qayyum, Muhammad Awais, Majdi Alnowami, and Muhammad Khurram Khan. Medical image analysis using convolutional neural networks: a review. *Journal of medical systems*, 42:1–13, 2018.
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>[17] I.R.I. Haque and J. Neubert. Deep learning approaches to biomedical image segmentation. *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*, 18:100297, 2020.
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>[18] Yahya Alzahrani and Boubakeur Boufama. Biomedical image segmentation: a survey. *SN Computer Science*, 2: 1–22, 2021.
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>[19] T. Zhou, S. Ruan, and S. Canu. A review: Deep learning for medical image segmentation using multi-modality fusion. *Array*, 3:100004, 2019.
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>[20] M.K. Kar, M.K. Nath, and D.R. Neog. A review on progress in semantic image segmentation and its application to medical images. *SN Computer Science*, 2(5):397, 2021.
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>[21] Nima Tajbakhsh, Laura Jeyaseelan, Qian Li, Jeffrey N. Chiang, Zhihao Wu, and Xiaowei Ding. Embracing imperfect datasets: A review of deep learning solutions for medical image segmentation. *Medical Image Analysis*, 63:101693, 2020. ISSN 1361-8415. doi[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2020.101693.](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2020.101693) URL [https:](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S136184152030058X) [//www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S136184152030058X](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S136184152030058X).
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>[22] Ahmed Iqbal, Muhammad Sharif, Mussarat Yasmin, Mudassar Raza, and Shabib Aftab. Generative adversarial networks and its applications in the biomedical image segmentation: a comprehensive survey. *International Journal of Multimedia Information Retrieval*, 11(3):333–368, 2022.
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>[23] Narinder Singh Punn and Sonali Agarwal. Modality specific u-net variants for biomedical image segmentation: a survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 55(7):5845–5889, 2022.
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>[24] Imran Qureshi, Junhua Yan, Qaisar Abbas, Kashif Shaheed, Awais Bin Riaz, Abdul Wahid, Muhammad Waseem Jan Khan, and Piotr Szczuko. Medical image segmentation using deep semantic-based methods: A review of techniques, applications and emerging trends. *Information Fusion*, 90:316–352, 2023.
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>[25] K. Ruwani M. Fernando and Chris P. Tsokos. Deep and statistical learning in biomedical imaging: State of the art in 3d mri brain tumor segmentation. *Information Fusion*, 2022.
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>[26] Hanguang Xiao, Li Li, Qiyuan Liu, Xiuhong Zhu, and Qihang Zhang. Transformers in medical image segmentation: A review. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 84:104791, 2023.
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>[27] Risheng Wang, Tao Lei, Ruixia Cui, Bingtao Zhang, Hongying Meng, and Asoke K Nandi. Medical image segmentation using deep learning: A survey. *IET image processing*, 16(5):1243–1267, 2022.
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>[28] Talha Iqbal, Osama Soliman, Sherif Sultan, and Ihsan Ullah. A survey of machine learning approaches for segmentation of cardiovascular neurocristopathy related images. *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>[29] A.E. Ilesanmi, T. Ilesanmi, and A.G. Gbotoso. A systematic review of retinal fundus image segmentation and classification methods using convolutional neural networks. *Healthcare Analytics*, page 100261, 2023.
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>[30] Shengxi Gui, Shuang Song, Rongjun Qin, and Yang Tang. Remote sensing object detection in the deep learning eraâC"a review. *Remote Sensing*, 16(2), 2024. ISSN 2072-4292. doi[:10.3390/rs16020327.](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs16020327) URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/16/2/327>.
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>[31] Himanshu Mittal, Avinash Chandra Pandey, Mukesh Saraswat, Sumit Kumar, Raju Pal, and Garv Modwel. A comprehensive survey of image segmentation: clustering methods, performance parameters, and benchmark datasets. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, pages 1–26, 2022.
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>[32] N.B. Smith and A. Webb. *Introduction to medical imaging: physics, engineering and clinical applications*. Cambridge University Press, Nov 2010.
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>[33] Sepideh Iranmakani, Tohid Mortezazadeh, Fakhrossadat Sajadian, Mona Fazel Ghaziani, Ali Ghafari, Davood Khezerloo, and Ahmed Eleojo Musa. A review of various modalities in breast imaging: technical aspects and clinical outcomes. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine*, 51:1–22, 2020.
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[34] Sheena Bhushan, Sharmila Anandasabapathy, and Elena Petrova. Photoacoustic imaging in gastroenterology: Advances and needs. In Reda R. Gharieb, editor, *Photoacoustic Imaging*, chapter 5. IntechOpen, Rijeka, 2019. doi[:10.5772/intechopen.86051.](https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.86051) URL <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.86051>.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[35] Dipen Kumar, Anju Goyal, Alan Truhan, Gary Abrams, and Rayyan Manwar. *Complementary capabilities of photoacoustic imaging to existing optical ocular imaging techniques*, pages 1–17. Elsevier, 2020.
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[36] M.R. Hamblin, P. Avci, and G.K. Gupta. *Introduction to imaging in dermatology*, pages 1–4. Academic Press, 2016.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[37] A.A.E. Elnakib. *Developing advanced mathematical models for detecting abnormalities in 2D/3D medical structures*. PhD thesis, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, 2013.
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[38] A. Bullen. Microscopic imaging techniques for drug discovery. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 7(1):54–67, 2008.
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>[39] Ruichen Rong, Hudanyun Sheng, Kevin W. Jin, Fangjiang Wu, Danni Luo, Zhuoyu Wen, Chen Tang, Donghan M. Yang, Liwei Jia, Mohamed Amgad, Lee Alex Donald Cooper, Yang Xie, Xiaowei Zhan, Shidan Wang, and Guanghua Xiao. A deep learning approach for histology-based nucleus segmentation and tumor microenvironment characterization. *Modern pathology : an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc*, 36:100196 – 100196, 2023. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:263429168) [263429168](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:263429168).
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>[40] Donghao Zhang, Yang Song, Dongnan Liu, Haozhe Jia, Siqi Liu, Yong Xia, Heng Huang, and Weidong Cai. Panoptic segmentation with an end-to-end cell r-cnn for pathology image analysis. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI*, pages 237–244. Springer, 2018.
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>[41] Phillip M Cheng, Emmanuel Montagnon, Rikiya Yamashita, Ian Pan, Alexandre Cadrin-Chênevert, Francisco Perdigón Romero, Gabriel Chartrand, Samuel Kadoury, and An Tang. Deep learning: an update for radiologists. *Radiographics*, 41(5):1427–1445, 2021.
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>[42] S. Ren, K. He, R. Girshick, and J. Sun. Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 28*, pages 91–99, 2015.
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>[43] J. Redmon, S. Divvala, R. Girshick, and A. Farhadi. You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 779–788. IEEE, 2016.
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>[44] P. Mittal, R. Singh, and A. Sharma. Deep learning-based object detection in low-altitude uav datasets: A survey. *Image and Vision Computing*, 104:104046, 2020.
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>[45] R. Girshick, J. Donahue, T. Darrell, and J. Malik. Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 580–587, 2014.
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>[46] J. Xu, H. Ren, S. Cai, and X. Zhang. An improved faster r-cnn algorithm for assisted detection of lung nodules. *Computers In Biology And Medicine*, 153:106470, 2023.
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>[47] S. Podder, S. Bhattacharjee, and A. Roy. An efficient method of detection of covid-19 using mask r-cnn on chest x-ray images. *AIMS Biophysics*, 8(3):281–290, 2021.
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>[48] Z. Cai and N. Vasconcelos. Cascade r-cnn: Delving into high quality object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 6154–6162, 2018.
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>[49] Jonathan Hui. Understanding feature pyramid networks for object detection (FPN). Available online: [https:](https://jonathan-hui.medium.com/understanding-feature-pyramid-networks-for-object-detection-fpn-45b227b9106c) [//jonathan-hui.medium.com/](https://jonathan-hui.medium.com/understanding-feature-pyramid-networks-for-object-detection-fpn-45b227b9106c). (Accessed on 13 Aug 2024), 2019.
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>[50] J. Dai, Y. Li, K. He, and J. Sun. R-fcn: Object detection via region-based fully convolutional networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 29*, 2016.
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>[51] A.R. Kavitha and K. Palaniappan. Brain tumor segmentation using a deep shuffled-yolo network. *International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology*, 33(2):511–522, 2023.
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>[52] Y. Chang, B. Song, C. Jung, and L. Huang. Automatic segmentation and cardiopathy classification in cardiac mri images based on deep neural networks. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1020–1024. IEEE, 2018.
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>[53] Haijun Xu, Huiyao Li, Qifei Xu, Zewei Zhang, Ping Wang, Dong Li, and Li Guo. Automatic detection of pulmonary embolism in computed tomography pulmonary angiography using scaled-yolov4. *Medical physics*, 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:255747445>.
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>[54] Z. Zhong and J. Deng. Real-time detection based on modified yolo for herniated intervertebral discs. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Intelligent Information Processing*, pages 466–470, 2019.
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[55] T. Liu, H. Lin, A.K. Katsaggelos, and A. Kline. Yolo-angio: An algorithm for coronary anatomy segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.15898*, 2023.
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>[56] M. Osama, R. Kumar, and M. Shahid. Empowering cardiologists with deep learning yolov8 model for accurate coronary artery stenosis detection in angiography images. In *2023 International Conference on IoT, Communication and Automation Technology (ICICAT)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2023.
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>[57] Hui Wu, Jing Zhao, Jiehui Li, Yan Zeng, Weiwei Wu, Zhuhuang Zhou, Shuicai Wu, Liang Xu, Min Song, Qibin Yu, Ziwei Song, and Lin Chen. One-stage detection without segmentation for multi-type coronary lesions in angiography images using deep learning. *Diagnostics*, 13, 2023. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.org/](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:262138493) [CorpusID:262138493](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:262138493).
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>[58] Guanping Xu, Yu Wu, Bei Li, Dongfang Shen, Ming Wu, Ao Wang, Wenkang Fan, Hong Shi, Jianhua Chen, Yinran Chen, and Xióngbiao Luó. Dynamic attention deconvolutional single shot detector for polyp detection and classification in narrow-band imaging. In *Medical Imaging*, 2023. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:257941498) [org/CorpusID:257941498](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:257941498).
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>[59] Sourabh Kulhare, Xinliang Zheng, C. Mehanian, Cynthia Gregory, Meihua Zhu, Kenton Gregory, Hua Xie, James McAndrew Jones, and Benjamin K. Wilson. Ultrasound-based detection of lung abnormalities using single shot detection convolutional neural networks. In *POCUS/BIVPCS/CuRIOUS/CPM@MICCAI*, 2018. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:52296273>.
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>[60] Zhantao Cao, Lixin Duan, Guowu Yang, Ting Yue, Qin Chen, H. Fu, and Yanwu Xu. Breast tumor detection in ultrasound images using deep learning. In *Patch-MI@MICCAI*, 2017. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:32156684) [org/CorpusID:32156684](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:32156684).
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>[61] M. Souaidi and M. El Ansari. A new automated polyp detection network mp-fssd in wce and colonoscopy images based fusion single shot multibox detector and transfer learning. *IEEE Access*, 10:47124–47140, 2022.
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>[62] X. Chen, K. Zhang, S. Lin, K.F. Dai, and Y. Yun. Single shot multibox detector automatic polyp detection network based on gastrointestinal endoscopic images. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, 2021, 2021.
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>[63] S. Zhang, L. Wen, X. Bian, Z. Lei, and S.Z. Li. Single-shot refinement neural network for object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4203–4212, 2018.
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>[64] G. Lin, A. Milan, C. Shen, and I. Reid. Refinenet: Multi-path refinement networks for high-resolution semantic segmentation. In *CVPR 2017*, pages 1925–1934, 2017.
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>[65] YingLiang Ma, Mazen Alhrishy, Srinivas Ananth Narayan, Peter Mountney, and Kawal S Rhode. A novel realtime computational framework for detecting catheters and rigid guidewires in cardiac catheterization procedures. *Medical physics*, 45(11):5066–5079, 2018.
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>[66] N. Ahmed, X. Tan, and L. Ma. A new method proposed to melanoma-skin cancer lesion detection and segmentation based on hybrid convolutional neural network. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 82(8):11873– 11896, 2023.
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>[67] H.U. Rehman, S.A. Shah, W. Ahmad, S.M. Anwar, and N. Nida. Deep retinanet for melanoma lesion detection. In *2022 2nd International Conference on Digital Futures and Transformative Technologies (ICoDT2)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2022.
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>[68] D.V. de Lucena, A. da Silva Soares, C.J. Coelho, I.J. Wastowski, and A.R.G. Filho. Detection of tumoral epithelial lesions using hyperspectral imaging and deep learning. In *Computational Science–ICCS 2020: 20th International Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, June 3–5, 2020, Proceedings, Part III*, pages 599–612. Springer International Publishing, 2020.
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>[69] H. Law and J. Deng. Cornernet: Detecting objects as paired keypoints. In *ECCV*, pages 734–750, 2018.
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>[70] H. Law, Y. Teng, O. Russakovsky, and J. Deng. Cornernet-lite: Efficient keypoint based object detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.08900, 2019.
- <span id="page-21-17"></span>[71] X. Zhou, D. Wang, and P. Krähenbühl. Objects as points. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.07850, 2019.
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>[72] Tao Kong, Fuchun Sun, Huaping Liu, Yuning Jiang, Lei Li, and Jianbo Shi. Foveabox: Beyound anchor-based object detection. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 29:7389–7398, 2020.
- <span id="page-21-19"></span>[73] Wei Li, Jie Li, Karthik V Sarma, Kim-Chuan Ho, Stanley Shen, Beatrice S Knudsen, Arkadiusz Gertych, and Charles W Arnold. Path r-cnn for prostate cancer diagnosis and gleason grading of histological images. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 38(4):945–954, 2018.
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[74] M Suchetha, N S Ganesh, R Raman, and D E Dhas. Region of interest-based predictive algorithm for subretinal hemorrhage detection using faster r-cnn. *Soft Computing*, 25(24):15255–15268, 2021.
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[75] Suvarnamani Rao. Mitos-rcnn: A novel approach to mitotic figure detection in breast cancer histopathology images using region based convolutional neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.01788*, 2018.
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>[76] YingLiang Ma, Diwei Zhou, Lei Ye, R James Housden, Ansab Fazili, and Kawal S Rhode. A tensor-based catheter and wire detection and tracking framework and its clinical applications. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 69(2):635–644, 2021.
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>[77] Yang Lei, Xiuxiu He, Jincao Yao, Tonghe Wang, Lijing Wang, Wei Li, Walter J Curran, Tian Liu, Dong Xu, and Xiaofeng Yang. Breast tumor segmentation in 3d automatic breast ultrasound using mask scoring r-cnn. *Medical physics*, 48(1):204–214, 2021.
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>[78] YingLiang Ma, R James Housden, Ansab Fazili, Aruna V Arujuna, and Kawal S Rhode. Real-time registration of 3d echo to x-ray fluoroscopy based on cascading classifiers and image registration. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 66(5):055019, 2021.
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>[79] Quang Van Do, Ha Thu Hoang, Nga Van Vu, Danilo Andrade De Jesus, Luisa Sanchez Brea, Hiep Xuan Nguyen, Anh Thi Lan Nguyen, Thanh Ngoc Le, Dung Thi My Dinh, Minh Thi Binh Nguyen, et al. Segmentation of hard exudate lesions in color fundus image using two-stage cnn-based methods. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 241:122742, 2024.
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>[80] Limin Huang, Yuqing G Zhao, and Ting J Yang. Skin lesion image segmentation by using backchannel filling cnn and level sets. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 87:105417, 2024.
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>[81] Yading Yuan and Yung-Chen Lo. Improving dermoscopic image segmentation with enhanced convolutionaldeconvolutional networks. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, 23(2):519–526, 2017.
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>[82] Shaobo Yang, Bo Fang, Wei Tang, Xiang Wu, Jing Qian, and Wei Yang. Faster r-cnn based microscopic cell detection. In *2017 international conference on security, pattern analysis, and cybernetics (SPAC)*, pages 345–350. IEEE, 2017.
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>[83] Hong Yuan, Yaru Shao, Zhen Liu, and Hao Wang. An improved faster r-cnn for pulmonary embolism detection from ctpa images. *IEEE Access*, 9:105382–105392, 2021.
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>[84] Huang-Nan Huang, Tianyi Zhang, Chao-Tung Yang, Yi-Jing Sheen, Hsian-Min Chen, Chur-Jen Chen, and Meng-Wen Tseng. Image segmentation using transfer learning and fast r-cnn for diabetic foot wound treatments. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10:969846, 2022.
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>[85] Bo-Lun Chen, Jing-Jing Wan, Tai-Yue Chen, Yong-Tao Yu, and Min Ji. A self-attention based faster r-cnn for polyp detection from colonoscopy images. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 70:103019, 2021.
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>[86] ZHENG Tianlei, YANG Na, GENG Shi, ZHAO Xianyun, WANG Yue, CHENG Deqiang, and ZHAO Lei. An improved object detection algorithm for thyroid nodule ultrasound image based on faster r-cnn. *Journal of Sichuan University (Medical Science Edition)*, 54(5), 2023.
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>[87] Peter Harrison and K Park. Tumor detection in breast histopathological images using faster r-cnn. In *2021 International Symposium on Medical Robotics (ISMR)*, pages 1–7. IEEE, 2021.
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>[88] Xin Gao, Liling Peng, and Mingxiang Sun. Automatic detection of high-uptake lesions in oncologic fdg pet using faster r-cnn deep learning model. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 60(supplement 1):284–284, 2019. ISSN 0161-5505. URL [https://jnm.snmjournals.org/content/60/supplement\\_1/284](https://jnm.snmjournals.org/content/60/supplement_1/284).
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>[89] Hengfei Cui, Yifan Wang, Yan Li, Di Xu, Lei Jiang, Yong Xia, and Yanning Zhang. An improved combination of faster r-cnn and u-net network for accurate multi-modality whole heart segmentation. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 2023.
- <span id="page-22-16"></span>[90] Adrian Krenzer, Amar Hekalo, and Frank Puppe. Endoscopic detection and segmentation of gastroenterological diseases with deep convolutional neural networks. In *EndoCV@ ISBI*, pages 58–63, 2020.
- <span id="page-22-17"></span>[91] Hameed Ullah Khan, Basit Raza, Munawar Hussain Shah, Syed Muhammad Usama, Prayag Tiwari, and Shahab S Band. Smdetector: Small mitotic detector in histopathology images using faster r-cnn with dilated convolutions in backbone model. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 81:104414, 2023.
- <span id="page-22-18"></span>[92] Jingjing Zhang, Han Hu, Shun Chen, Yu Huang, and Qilong Guan. Cancer cells detection in phase-contrast microscopy images based on faster r-cnn. In *2016 9th international symposium on computational intelligence and design (ISCID)*, volume 1, pages 363–367. IEEE, 2016.
- <span id="page-22-19"></span>[93] Jiahui Shu, Fangda Nian, Muhan Yu, and Xiang Li. An improved mask r-cnn model for multiorgan segmentation. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2020:1–11, 2020.
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>[94] R Anantharaman, Miguel Velazquez, and Young Lee. Utilizing mask r-cnn for detection and segmentation of oral diseases. In *2018 IEEE international conference on bioinformatics and biomedicine (BIBM)*, pages 2197–2204. IEEE, 2018.
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>[95] Tomoyuki Shibata, Atsushi Teramoto, Hyuga Yamada, Naoki Ohmiya, Kuniaki Saito, and Hiroshi Fujita. Automated detection and segmentation of early gastric cancer from endoscopic images using mask r-cnn. *Applied Sciences*, 10(11):3842, 2020.
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>[96] Banafshe Felfeliyan, Nils D. Forkert, Abhilash Hareendranathan, David Cornel, Yuyue Zhou, Gregor Kuntze, Jacob L. Jaremko, and Janet L. Ronsky. Self-supervised-rcnn for medical image segmentation with limited data annotation. *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, 109:102297, 2023. ISSN 0895- 6111. doi[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compmedimag.2023.102297.](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compmedimag.2023.102297) URL [https://www.sciencedirect.com/](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0895611123001155) [science/article/pii/S0895611123001155](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0895611123001155).
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>[97] Jian Liu and Ping Li. A mask r-cnn model with improved region proposal network for medical ultrasound image. In *Intelligent Computing Theories and Application: 14th International Conference, ICIC 2018*, 2018.
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>[98] Refik O Dogan, Huseyin Dogan, Cemil Bayrak, and Tevfik Kayikcioglu. A two-phase approach using mask r-cnn and 3d u-net for high-accuracy automatic segmentation of pancreas in ct imaging. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 207:106141, 2021.
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>[99] Ming Liu, Jinhui Dong, Xianglin Dong, Hongyu Yu, and Longyao Qi. Segmentation of lung nodule in ct images based on mask r-cnn. In *2018 9th International Conference on Awareness Science and Technology (iCAST)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2018.
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>[100] L. Cai, T. Long, Y. Dai, and Y. Huang. Mask r-cnn-based detection and segmentation for pulmonary nodule 3d visualization diagnosis. *IEEE Access*, 8:44400–44409, 2020.
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>[101] Supriti Mulay, G. Deepika, S. Jeevakala, Keerthi Ram, and Mohanasankar Sivaprakasam. Liver segmentation from multimodal images using hed-mask r-cnn. In Quanzheng Li, Richard Leahy, Bin Dong, and Xiang Li, editors, *Multiscale Multimodal Medical Imaging*, pages 68–75, Cham, 2020. Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-030-37969-8.
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>[102] Zhiyong Liu, Chuan Yang, Jun Huang, Shaopeng Liu, Yumin Zhuo, and Xu Lu. Deep learning framework based on integration of s-mask r-cnn and inception-v3 for ultrasound image-aided diagnosis of prostate cancer. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 114:358–367, 2021. ISSN 0167- 739X. doi[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2020.08.015.](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2020.08.015) URL [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167739X20317192) [article/pii/S0167739X20317192](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167739X20317192).
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>[103] Y. Liang, R. He, Y. Li, and Z. Wang. Simultaneous segmentation and classification of breast lesions from ultrasound images using mask r-cnn. In *2019 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS)*, pages 1470– 1472. IEEE, 2019.
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>[104] Jui-Ying Chiao, Kuan-Yung Chen, Ken Ying kai Liao, Po-Hsin Hsieh, Geoffrey G. Zhang, and Tzung-Chi Huang. Detection and classification the breast tumors using mask r-cnn on sonograms. *Medicine*, 98, 2019. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:153312447>.
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>[105] S. Moccia, M.C. Fiorentino, and E. Frontoni. Mask-r 2 cnn: a distance-field regression version of mask-rcnn for fetal-head delineation in ultrasound images. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, 16(10):1711–1718, 2021.
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>[106] Jie Meng, Linyan Xue, Ying Chang, Jianguang Zhang, Shilong Chang, Kun Liu, Shuang Liu, Bangmao Wang, and Kun Yang. Automatic detection and segmentation of adenomatous colorectal polyps during colonoscopy using mask r-cnn. *Open Life Sciences*, 15:588 – 596, 2020. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:221257447) [221257447](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:221257447).
- <span id="page-23-13"></span>[107] Jing Jin, Qianqian Zhang, Bill Dong, Tao Ma, Xuecan Mei, Xi Wang, Shaofang Song, Jie Peng, Aijiu Wu, Lanfang Dong, and Derun Kong. Automatic detection of early gastric cancer in endoscopy based on mask region-based convolutional neural networks (mask r-cnn)(with video). *Frontiers in Oncology*, 12, 2022. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:253023917>.
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>[108] H. Jung, B. Lodhi, and J. Kang. An automatic nuclei segmentation method based on deep convolutional neural networks for histopathology images. *BMC Biomedical Engineering*, 1(1):1–12, 2019.
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>[109] Carlos Santos, Marilton Sanchotene de Aguiar, Daniel Welfer, Marcelo Dias, Alejandro Pereira, Marcelo Ribeiro, and Bruno Belloni. A new approach for fundus lesions instance segmentation based on mask r-cnn x101-fpn pre-trained architecture. *IEEE Access*, 11:43603–43618, 2023. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.org/](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:258450352) [CorpusID:258450352](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:258450352).
- <span id="page-24-17"></span>[110] Hemin Ali Qadir, Younghak Shin, Johannes Solhusvik, Jacob Bergsland, Lars Aabakken, and Ilangko Balasingham. Polyp detection and segmentation using mask r-cnn: Does a deeper feature extractor cnn always perform better? *2019 13th International Symposium on Medical Information and Communication Technology (ISMICT)*, pages 1–6, 2019. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:195698011>.
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>[111] C. Huang, A. Yu, Y. Wang, and H. He. Skin lesion segmentation based on mask r-cnn. In *2020 International Conference on Virtual Reality and Visualization (ICVRV)*, pages 63–67. IEEE, 2020.
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>[112] F. Bagheri, M.J. Tarokh, and M. Ziaratban. Skin lesion segmentation from dermoscopic images by using mask r-cnn, retina-deeplab, and graph-based methods. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 67:102533, 2021.
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>[113] R. Zhang, C. Cheng, X. Zhao, and X. Li. Multiscale mask r-cnn–based lung tumor detection using pet imaging. *Molecular imaging*, 18, 2019.
- <span id="page-24-4"></span>[114] Tonghe Wang, Yang Lei, Oladunni O. Akin-Akintayo, Olayinka A. Abiodun Ojo, Akinyemi A. Akintayo, Walter J. Curran, Tian Liu, David M. Schuster, and Xiaofeng Yang. Prostate and tumor segmentation on pet/ct using dual mask r-cnn. *Medical Imaging 2021: Biomedical Applications in Molecular, Structural, and Functional Imaging*, 2021. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:233889175>.
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>[115] Xiaoli Wang, Guangzheng Zhou, Lipeng Liang, Yuan Liu, An Luo, Zhenguo Wen, and Xue Wang. Deep learning-based image analysis for in situ microscopic imaging of cell culture process. *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, 129:107621, 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:265790005>.
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>[116] F. Hoorali, H. Khosravi, and B. Moradi. An automatic method for microscopic diagnosis of diseases based on urcnn. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 80:104240, 2023.
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>[117] Yuqian Chen, Yang Song, C. Zhang, Fan Zhang, Lauren J. O'Donnell, Wojciech Chrzanowski, and Weidong (Tom) Cai. Celltrack r-cnn: A novel end-to-end deep neural network for cell segmentation and tracking in microscopy images. *2021 IEEE 18th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI)*, pages 779–782, 2021. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:231985744>.
- <span id="page-24-15"></span>[118] Xin Wang, Yu-Qing Yang, Sheng Cai, Jian chu Li, and Hong-Yan Wang. Deep-learning-based sampling position selection on color doppler sonography images during renal artery ultrasound scanning. *Scientific Reports*, 14, 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:269986133>.
- <span id="page-24-8"></span>[119] Yinghao Zheng, Lina Qin, Taorong Qiu, Aiyun Zhou, Pan Xu, and Zhixin Xue. Automated detection and recognition of thyroid nodules in ultrasound images using improve cascade mask r-cnn. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 81:13253 – 13273, 2021. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:235569955>.
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>[120] G. Chen, Y. Dai, and J. Zhang. C-net: Cascaded convolutional neural network with global guidance and refinement residuals for breast ultrasound images segmentation. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 225: 107086, 2022.
- <span id="page-24-9"></span>[121] Y.Y. Zhang and D. Xie. Detection and segmentation of multi-class artifacts in endoscopy. *Journal of Zhejiang University. Science. B*, 20(12):1014, 2019.
- <span id="page-24-10"></span>[122] S. Yang and G. Cheng. Endoscopic artefact detection and segmentation with deep convolutional neural network. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop and Challenge on Computer Vision in Endoscopy*, 2019.
- <span id="page-24-11"></span>[123] Chaoyu Chen, Xin Yang, Rusi Chen, Junxuan Yu, Liwei Du, Jian Wang, Xindi Hu, Yan Cao, Yingying Liu, and Dong Ni. FFPN: fourier feature pyramid network for ultrasound image segmentation. *ArXiv*, abs/2308.13790, 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:261244009>.
- <span id="page-24-12"></span>[124] Yanzhou Su, Jian Cheng, Chuqiao Zhong, Yijie Zhang, Jin Ye, Junjun He, and Jun Liu. FeDNet: Feature decoupled network for polyp segmentation from endoscopy images. *Biomed. Signal Process. Control.*, 83: 104699, 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:257003487>.
- <span id="page-24-13"></span>[125] Wuyang Li, Chen Yang, Jie Liu, Xinyu Liu, Xiaoqing Guo, and Yixuan Yuan. Joint polyp detection and segmentation with heterogeneous endoscopic data. In *EndoCV@ISBI*, 2021. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:235613626) [org/CorpusID:235613626](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:235613626).
- <span id="page-24-14"></span>[126] Hai Su, Fujun Liu, Yuanpu Xie, Fuyong Xing, Sreenivasan Meyyappan, and L. Yang. Region segmentation in histopathological breast cancer images using deep convolutional neural network. *2015 IEEE 12th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI)*, pages 55–58, 2015. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.org/](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:30040753) [CorpusID:30040753](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:30040753).
- <span id="page-24-16"></span>[127] Jie Xue, Shuo Yan, Jianhua Qu, Feng Qi, Chenggong Qiu, Hongyan Zhang, Meirong Chen, Tingting Liu, Dengwang Li, and Xiyu Liu. Deep membrane systems for multitask segmentation in diabetic retinopathy. *Knowl. Based Syst.*, 183, 2019. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:201139886>.
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>[128] Jianqiang Li, Qidong Hu, Azhar Imran, Li Zhang, Jijiang Yang, and Qing Wang. Vessel recognition of retinal fundus images based on fully convolutional network. *2018 IEEE 42nd Annual Computer Software and Applications Conference (COMPSAC)*, 02:413–418, 2018. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.org/](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:52055374) [CorpusID:52055374](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:52055374).
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>[129] Zhongwei Feng, Jie Yang, Lixiu Yao, Yu Qiao, Qi Yu, and Xun Xu. Deep retinal image segmentation: A fcn-based architecture with short and long skip connections for retinal image segmentation. In *International Conference on Neural Information Processing*, 2017. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:46757245>.
- <span id="page-25-4"></span>[130] A. Pal, U. Garain, A. Chandra, R. Chatterjee, and S. Senapati. Psoriasis skin biopsy image segmentation using deep convolutional neural network. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 159:59–69, 2018.
- <span id="page-25-3"></span>[131] X. Zhao, L. Li, W. Lu, and S. Tan. Tumor co-segmentation in pet/ct using multi-modality fully convolutional neural network. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 64(1):015011, 2018.
- <span id="page-25-2"></span>[132] W. Pang, S. Li, H. Jiang, and Y.D. Yao. Mtr-pet: Multi-temporal resolution pet images for lymphoma segmentation. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 87:105529, 2024.
- <span id="page-25-5"></span>[133] Debesh Jha, M. Riegler, Dag Johansen, P. Halvorsen, and Haavard D. Johansen. Doubleu-net: A deep convolutional neural network for medical image segmentation. *2020 IEEE 33rd International Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems (CBMS)*, pages 558–564, 2020. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:219559325) [org/CorpusID:219559325](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:219559325).
- <span id="page-25-6"></span>[134] Lei Yang, Baichuan Zhang, Fei Ren, Jianwen Gu, Jiao Gao, Jihua Wu, Dan Li, Huaping Jia, Guangling Li, Jing Zong, Jing Zhang, Xiaoman Yang, Xueyuan Zhang, Baolin Du, Xiaowen Wang, and Na Li. Rapid segmentation and diagnosis of breast tumor ultrasound images at the sonographer level using deep learning. *Bioengineering*, 10, 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:264358178>.
- <span id="page-25-7"></span>[135] Zaiwang Gu, Jun Cheng, H. Fu, Kang Zhou, Huaying Hao, Yitian Zhao, Tianyang Zhang, Shenghua Gao, and Jiang Liu. CE-Net: Context encoder network for 2d medical image segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 38:2281–2292, 2019. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:71143907>.
- <span id="page-25-8"></span>[136] Debesh Jha, Sharib Ali, Nikhil Kumar Tomar, Håvard Dagenborg Johansen, Dag Johansen, Jens Rittscher, Michael Alexander Riegler, and P. Halvorsen. Real-time polyp detection, localization and segmentation in colonoscopy using deep learning. *Ieee Access*, 9:40496 – 40510, 2021. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:232259710) [org/CorpusID:232259710](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:232259710).
- <span id="page-25-9"></span>[137] S. Afshari, A. BenTaieb, and G. Hamarneh. Automatic localization of normal active organs in 3d pet scans. *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, 70:111–118, 2018.
- <span id="page-25-10"></span>[138] A. Lemay. Kidney recognition in ct using yolov3. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.01268*, 2019.
- <span id="page-25-11"></span>[139] S. Suryawanshi and S.B. Patil. Brain tumor detection using yolov5 and faster rcnn. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering*, 12(4s):335–342, 2024.
- <span id="page-25-12"></span>[140] Y. Xie, Y. Cai, Y. Yu, S. Wang, W. Wang, and S. Song. Endoscopic ultrasound image recognition based on data mining and deep learning. *IEEE Access*, 10:10273–10282, 2022.
- <span id="page-25-13"></span>[141] M.J. Mortada, S. Tomassini, H. Anbar, M. Morettini, L. Burattini, and A. Sbrollini. Segmentation of anatomical structures of the left heart from echocardiographic images using deep learning. *Diagnostics*, 13(10):1683, 2023.
- <span id="page-25-14"></span>[142] C. Santos, M. Aguiar, D. Welfer, and B. Belloni. A new approach for detecting fundus lesions using image processing and deep neural network architecture based on yolo model. *Sensors*, 22(17):6441, 2022.
- <span id="page-25-15"></span>[143] Sandesh Pokhrel Sanjay Bhandari, Eduard Vazquez, Yash Raj Shrestha, and Binod Bhattarai. Data augmentation through pseudolabels in automatic region based coronary artery segmentation for disease diagnosis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05990*, 2023.
- <span id="page-25-16"></span>[144] H.M. Ünver and E. Ayan. Skin lesion segmentation in dermoscopic images with combination of yolo and grabcut algorithm. *Diagnostics*, 9(3):72, 2019.
- <span id="page-25-17"></span>[145] Ramon Alfredo Moreno, Marina de Sá Rebelo, Talles Carvalho, Antonildes N. Assunção, Roberto Nery Dantas, Renata do Val, Angela S. Marin, Adriano Bordignom, César Higa Nomura, and Marco Antonio Gutierrez. A combined deep-learning approach to fully automatic left ventricle segmentation in cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. In *Medical Imaging*, 2019. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:88496281>.
- <span id="page-25-18"></span>[146] X. Wang, T. Xu, J. Zhang, S. Chen, and Y. Zhang. So-yolo based wbc detection with fourier ptychographic microscopy. *IEEE Access*, 6:51566–51576, 2018.
- <span id="page-25-19"></span>[147] Hai Thanh Nguyen, My N. Nguyen, Phung Duong Linh, and Linh Thuy Thi Pham. Anomalies detection in chest x-rays images using faster r-cnn and yolo. *Vietnam. J. Comput. Sci.*, 10:499–515, 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:259754674>.
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>[148] R. Lalitha and A. Padyana. Detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus image using yolo-rf model. In *2021 Sixth International Conference on Image Information Processing (ICIIP)*, volume 6, pages 105–109. IEEE, 2021.
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>[149] Shanchen Pang, Tong Ding, Sibo Qiao, Fan Meng, Shuo Wang, Pibao Li, and Xun Wang. A novel yolov3-arch model for identifying cholelithiasis and classifying gallstones on ct images. *PLoS ONE*, 14, 2019. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:195066053>.
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>[150] Z. Zhuang, P. Jin, A.N. Joseph Raj, Y. Yuan, and S. Zhuang. Automatic segmentation of left ventricle in echocardiography based on yolov3 model to achieve constraint and positioning. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, 2021:1–11, 2021.
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>[151] Ling Zhang, Yan Zhuang, Zhan Hua, Lin Han, Cheng Li, Ke Chen, Yulan Peng, and Jiangli Lin. Automated location of thyroid nodules in ultrasound images with improved yolov3 network. *Journal of X-ray science and technology*, 2020. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:226233936>.
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>[152] A. Hussein, S. Youssef, N. Ghatwary, and M.A. Ahmed. Myositis detection from muscle ultrasound images using a proposed yolo-cse model. *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>[153] Y. Lu, K. Li, B. Pu, Y. Tan, and N. Zhu. A yolox-based deep instance segmentation neural network for cardiac anatomical structures in fetal ultrasound images. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, 2022.
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>[154] P. Ghose, A. Ghose, D. Sadhukhan, S. Pal, and M. Mitra. Improved polyp detection from colonoscopy images using finetuned yolo-v5. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, pages 1–26, 2023.
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>[155] M. Doniyorjon, R. Madinakhon, M. Shakhnoza, and Y.I. Cho. An improved method of polyp detection using custom yolov4-tiny. *Applied Sciences*, 12(21):10856, 2022.
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>[156] N. Kirthika and B. Sargunam. Yolov4 for multi-class artefact detection in endoscopic images. In *2021 3rd International Conference on Signal Processing and Communication (ICPSC)*, pages 73–77. IEEE, 2021.
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>[157] M.M. Al Zorgani, I. Mehmood, and H. Ugail. Deep yolo-based detection of breast cancer mitotic-cells in histopathological images. In *Proceedings of 2021 International Conference on Medical Imaging and Computer-Aided Diagnosis (MICAD 2021) Medical Imaging and Computer-Aided Diagnosis*, pages 335–342. Springer Singapore, 2022.
- <span id="page-26-10"></span>[158] H. Narotamo, J.M. Sanches, and M. Silveira. Segmentation of cell nuclei in fluorescence microscopy images using deep learning. In *Iberian Conference on Pattern Recognition and Image Analysis*, pages 53–64, Cham, 2019. Springer International Publishing.
- <span id="page-26-11"></span>[159] Ananya Bal, Meenakshi Das, and Shashank Mouli Satapathy. Yolo as a region proposal network for diagnosing breast cancer. pages 1–6, 2021. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:237208873>.
- <span id="page-26-12"></span>[160] Serdar Durak, Bulent Bayram, Tolga Bakirman, Murat Erkut, Metehan Dogan, Mert Gürtürk, and Burak Akpınar. Deep neural network approaches for detecting gastric polyps in endoscopic images. *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing*, 59:1563 – 1574, 2021. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:235821746) [235821746](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:235821746).
- <span id="page-26-13"></span>[161] O. Ayaz, H. Usta, and G. Bilgin. Segmentation of nucleus in histopathological images using deep learning architectures. In *2021 Medical Technologies Congress (TIPTEKNO)*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2021.
- <span id="page-26-14"></span>[162] S. Saha, J. Vignarajan, and S. Frost. A fast and fully automated system for glaucoma detection using color fundus photographs. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1):18408, 2023.
- <span id="page-26-15"></span>[163] Lekha S. Nair, Ramkishor Prabhu R, Gowry Sugathan, Kiran V. Gireesh, and Akshay S Nair. Mitotic nuclei detection in breast histopathology images using yolov4. pages 1–5, 2021. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:241595793) [org/CorpusID:241595793](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:241595793).
- <span id="page-26-16"></span>[164] P. Pal, S. Kundu, and A.K. Dhara. Detection of red lesions in retinal fundus images using yolo v3. *Curr. Indian Eye Res. J. Ophthalmic Res. Group*, 7:49, 2020.
- <span id="page-26-17"></span>[165] S. Ramachandran, S. Kochitty, A. Vinekar, and R. John. A fully convolutional neural network approach for the localization of optic disc in retinopathy of prematurity diagnosis. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 38(5): 6269–6278, 2020.
- <span id="page-26-18"></span>[166] F. Bagheri, M.J. Tarokh, and M. Ziaratban. Two-stage skin lesion segmentation from dermoscopic images by using deep neural networks. *Jorjani Biomedicine Journal*, 8(2):58–72, 2020.
- <span id="page-26-19"></span>[167] S.K. Singh, V. Abolghasemi, and M.H. Anisi. Fuzzy logic with deep learning for detection of skin cancer. *Applied Sciences*, 13(15):8927, 2023.
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>[168] L.B. Yin. Automated tumor segmentation in pet/ct scans of lymphoma patients. 2021.
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>[169] V. Shwetha, K. Prasad, C. Mukhopadhyay, and B. Banerjee. Yolo based segmentation and cnn based classification framework for epithelial and pus cell detection. In *International Conference on Advances in Computing and Data Sciences*, pages 466–477, Cham, 2023. Springer Nature Switzerland.
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>[170] Y. Kong and Z. Shen. Microorganism detection in activated sludge microscopic images using improved yolo. *Applied Sciences*, 13(22):12406, 2023.
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>[171] Paula Puerta, Berke Öztürk, Samad Barri Khojasteh, Víctor M. González, José R. Villar, Esther Serrano-Pertierra, Antonello Novelli, María Teresa Fernández-Sánchez, and Ángel Río-Álvarez. Neuron characterization in complex cultures using a combined yolo and u-net segmentation approach. In *Soft Computing Models in Industrial and Environmental Applications*, 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:261529062>.
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>[172] F. Bagheri, M.J. Tarokh, and M. Ziaratban. Skin lesion segmentation by using object detection networks, deeplab3+, and active contours. *Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences*, 30(7): 2489–2507, 2022.
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>[173] Marriam Nawaz, Tahira Nazir, Momina Masood, Awais Mehmood, Rabbia Mahum, Muhammad Attique Khan, Seifedine Nimer Kadry, and Orawit Thinnukool. Analysis of brain mri images using improved cornernet approach. *Diagnostics*, 11, 2021. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:239469361>.
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>[174] Dechun Wang, Shuijiao Chen, Qilei Chen, Yu Cao, Benyuan Liu, Xiaowei Liu, and Xinzi Sun. Afp-mask: Anchor-free polyp instance segmentation in colonoscopy. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 26:2995–3006, 2022. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:246474319>.
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>[175] S. Aladhadh and R. Mahum. Knee osteoarthritis detection using an improved centernet with pixel-wise voting scheme. *IEEE Access*, 11:22283–22296, 2023.
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>[176] U Sandhya, K Naresh Kumar, AP Saranya, N Jayapal, and S Kumarganesh. Brain tumor detection and classification with dgmm–rbcnn technique. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, (I):7345–7361.
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>[177] Vasilios Alevizos. *Novel Radiomics Interpretation Pipeline with Feature Extraction and Radiomics*. PhD thesis, University of West Attica, 2023.
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>[178] Marriam Nawaz, Tahira Nazir, Muhammad Attique Khan, Majed Alhaisoni, Jung-Yeon Kim, and Yunyoung Nam. MSeg-Net: A melanoma mole segmentation network using cornernet and fuzzy k-means clustering. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, 2022, 2022. URL [https://api.semanticscholar.](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:252912662) [org/CorpusID:252912662](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:252912662).
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>[179] Yoon Ho Choi, Yeong Chan Lee, Sanghoon Hong, Junyoung Kim, Hong-Hee Won, and Taejun Kim. Centernetbased detection model and u-net-based multi-class segmentation model for gastrointestinal diseases. In *EndoCV@ ISBI*, pages 73–75, 2020.
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>[180] Xin Zheng, Pan Tang, Liefu Ai, Deyang Liu, Youzhi Zhang, and Boyang Wang. White blood cell detection using saliency detection and centernet: A two-stage approach. *Journal of Biophotonics*, 16(3):e202200174, 2023.
- <span id="page-27-13"></span>[181] Yuncheng Jiang, Zixun Zhang, Yiwen Hu, Guanbin Li, Xiang Wan, Song Wu, Shuguang Cui, Silin Huang, and Zhen Li. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 2023.
- <span id="page-27-14"></span>[182] C. Wang, S. Xiao, C. Liao, X. Wu, and S. Li. Detection of retinal vascular bifurcation and crossover points in optical coherence tomography angiography images based on centernet. In *Neural Information Processing: 28th International Conference, ICONIP 2021*, 2021.
- <span id="page-27-15"></span>[183] Momina Masood, Rabbia Maham, Ali Javed, Usman Tariq, Muhammad Attique Khan, and Seifedine Kadry. Brain mri analysis using deep neural network for medical of internet things applications. *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, 103:108386, 2022.
- <span id="page-27-16"></span>[184] S. Albahli and T. Nazir. Ai-centernet cxr: An artificial intelligence (ai) enabled system for localization and classification of chest x-ray disease. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 9:955765, 2022.
- <span id="page-27-17"></span>[185] C. Thangavel and J. Palanichamy. Effective deep learning approach for segmentation of pulmonary cancer in thoracic ct image. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 89:105804, 2024.
- <span id="page-27-18"></span>[186] P. Jasitha and P.N. Pournami. Glomeruli detection using faster r-cnn and centernet. In *2023 3rd Asian Conference on Innovation in Technology (ASIANCON)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2023.
- <span id="page-27-19"></span>[187] Tahira Nazir, Marriam Nawaz, Junaid Rashid, Rabbia Mahum, Momina Masood, Awais Mehmood, Farooq Ali, Jungeun Kim, Hyuk-Yoon Kwon, and Amir Hussain. Detection of diabetic eye disease from retinal images using a deep learning based centernet model. *Sensors*, 21(16):5283, 2021.
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>[188] X. Shen, X. Ouyang, T. Liu, and D. Shen. Cascaded networks for thyroid nodule diagnosis from ultrasound images. In *Segmentation, Classification, and Registration of Multi-modality Medical Imaging Data: MICCAI 2020 Challenges, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2020*, 2021.
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>[189] Tejas Sudharshan Mathai, Sungwon Lee, Daniel C Elton, Thomas C Shen, Yifan Peng, Zhiyong Lu, and Ronald M Summers. Detection of lymph nodes in t2 mri using neural network ensembles. In *Machine Learning in Medical Imaging: 12th International Workshop, MLMI 2021, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2021, Strasbourg, France, September 27, 2021, Proceedings 12*, pages 682–691. Springer, 2021.
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>[190] T. Mattikalli, T.S. Mathai, and R.M. Summers. Universal lesion detection in ct scans using neural network ensembles. In *Medical Imaging 2022: Computer-Aided Diagnosis*, volume 12033, pages 864–868. SPIE, 2022.
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>[191] L. Sakunpaisanwari, N. Yodrabum, T. Sirirapisit, and T. Titijaroonroj. Blood vessels detection by regional-based cnn for ct scan of lower extremities. In *2022 26th International Computer Science and Engineering Conference (ICSEC)*, pages 14–19. IEEE, 2022.
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>[192] T.S. Mathai, S. Lee, T.C. Shen, Z. Lu, and R.M. Summers. Universal lymph node detection in t2 mri using neural networks. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, 18(2):313–318, 2023.
- <span id="page-28-5"></span>[193] B. Çelik, E.F. Savaştaer, H.I. Kaya, and M.E. Çelik. The role of deep learning for periapical lesion detection on panoramic radiographs. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology*, 52(8):20230118, 2023.
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>[194] S.M. Cho, Y.G. Kim, J. Jeong, I. Kim, H.J. Lee, and N. Kim. Automatic tip detection of surgical instruments in biportal endoscopic spine surgery. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 133:104384, 2021.
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>[195] Ethan H Nguyen, Haichun Yang, Ruining Deng, Yuzhe Lu, Zheyu Zhu, Joseph T Roland, Le Lu, Bennett A Landman, Agnes B Fogo, and Yuankai Huo. Circle representation for medical object detection. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 41(3):746–754, 2021.
- <span id="page-28-8"></span>[196] Y. Su, X. Zhang, H. Shangguan, and R. Li. Rib fracture detection in chest ct image based on a centernet network with heatmap pyramid structure. *Signal, Image and Video Processing*, 17(5):2343–2350, 2023.
- <span id="page-28-9"></span>[197] Apichon Kitvimonrat, Natthaporn Hongcharoen, Sanparith Marukatat, and Sarin Watcharabutsarakham. Automatic detection and characterization of parasite eggs using deep learning methods. In *2020 17th International Conference on Electrical Engineering/Electronics, Computer, Telecommunications and Information Technology (ECTI-CON)*, pages 153–156. IEEE, 2020.
- <span id="page-28-10"></span>[198] W. Jiang, Z. Xiao, G. Xu, Y. Wang, and H. Li. Feature point extraction based on centernet and its application in ultrasonic automatic diagnosis. In *2022 IEEE International Conference on Unmanned Systems (ICUS)*, pages 1184–1190. IEEE, 2022.
- <span id="page-28-11"></span>[199] J. Dai, S. Lei, L. Dong, X. Lin, H. Zhang, D. Sun, and K. Yuan. More reliable ai solution: Breast ultrasound diagnosis using multi-ai combination. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.02639*, 2021.
- <span id="page-28-12"></span>[200] J. Ma, K. Ouyang, Z. Ma, M. Xia, S. Xu, and K. Lu. Transformer dense center network for liver tumor detection. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 91:106066, 2024.
- <span id="page-28-13"></span>[201] YingLiang Ma, Vishal S Mehta, C Aldo Rinaldi, Pengpeng Hu, Steven Niederer, and Reza Razavi. Automatic detection of coil position in the chest x-ray images for assessing the risks of lead extraction procedures. In *International Conference on Functional Imaging and Modeling of the Heart*, pages 310–319. Springer, 2023.