DAMP: Doubly Aligned Multilingual Parser for Task-Oriented Dialogue

William Held Christopher Hidey Fei Liu Eric Zhu Rahul Goel Diyi Yang Rushin Shah Georgia Institute of Technology, Google Assistant, Stanford University wheld3@gatech.edu

Abstract

Modern virtual assistants use internal semantic parsing engines to convert user utterances to actionable commands. However, prior work has demonstrated multilingual models are less robust for semantic parsing compared to other tasks. In global markets such as India and Latin America, robust multilingual semantic parsing is critical as codeswitching between languages is prevalent for bilingual users. In this work we dramatically improve the zero-shot performance of a multilingual and codeswitched semantic parsing system using two stages of multilingual alignment. First, we show that contrastive alignment pretraining improves both English performance and transfer efficiency. We then introduce a constrained optimization approach for hyperparameter-free adversarial alignment during finetuning. Our **D**oubly Aligned Multilingual Parser (**DAMP**) improves mBERT transfer performance by 3x, 6x, and 81x on the Spanglish, Hinglish and Multilingual Task Oriented Parsing benchmarks respectively and outperforms XLM-R and mT5-Large using 3.2x fewer parameters.¹

1 Introduction

Task-oriented dialogue systems are the backbone of virtual assistants, an increasingly common direct interaction between users and Natural Language Processing (NLP) technology. Semantic parsing converts unstructured text to structured representations grounded in task actions. Due to the conversational nature of the interaction between users and task-oriented dialogue systems, speakers often use casual register with regional variation. Such variation is an essential challenge for the inclusiveness and reach of virtual assistants which aim to serve a global and diverse userbase (Liu et al., 2021).

Work partially done during an internship at Google.

¹We release code for our constrained optimization technique on GitHub and finetuned T5 models on HuggingFace.

In this work, we are motivated by a common form of variation for bilingual speakers (Doğruöz et al., 2021): codeswitching. Codeswitching occurs in two forms which both affect task-oriented dialogue. Inter-sentential codeswitching is when multilingual users make whole requests in different languages within a single dialogue:

Play all rap music on my iTunes
Toca toda la música rap en mi iTunes

Intra-sentential codeswitching appears when the user switches languages during a single query:

Play toda la rap music en mi iTunes

Both forms are used by bilingual speakers (Joshi, 1982; Dey and Fung, 2014) and cause location, language preference, and even language identification to be unreliable mechanisms for routing requests to an appropriate monolingual system (Barman et al., 2014). This makes zero-shot codeswitching performance an aspect of system robustness instead of a way to reduce annotation costs.

However, zero-shot structured prediction and parsing is still a challenge for state-of-the-art multilingual models (Ruder et al., 2021), highlighting the need for improved methods beyond scale to achieve this goal. Fortunately, as a fundamental property of the task, these linguistically diverse inputs are grounded in a shared semantic output space. Each of the above outputs corresponds to:

[play_music:[genre:rap][platform:iTunes]]

This grounded and shared output space makes explicit alignment across languages especially attractive as a mechanism for cross-lingual transfer.

We propose using both contrastive alignment pretraining and a novel constrained adversarial finetuning method to perform **double alignment**, shown in Figure 1. Our **Doubly Aligned Multilingual Parser (DAMP)** achieves strong zero-shot performance on both multilingual (inter-sentential) and




Figure 1: We show DAMP meaningfully improves alignment, with more overlapping clusters and decreased probe accuracy. Language identification probe accuracy and visualizations of the token embeddings from a multilingual transformer without alignment (mBERT), pretraining alignment alone (AMBER), and our proposed alignment regime of both contrastive pretraining and constrained adversarial finetuning (DAMP).

intra-sentential codeswitched data, making it a robust model for bilingual users without harming English performance. We contribute the following:

- 1. Alignment Pretraining Effectiveness: We show that multilingual BERT (mBERT) has poor transferability for both categories of codeswitched data. Contrastive alignment, however, pretrained with cross-lingual bitext data dramatically improves English, multilingual, and intra-sentential codeswitched semantic parsing performance.
- 2. Constrained Adversarial Alignment: We propose utilizing domain adversarial training to further improve alignment and transferability without labeled or aligned data. We introduce a novel constrained optimization method and demonstrate that it improves over prior domain adversarial training algorithms (Sherborne and Lapata, 2022) and regularization baselines (Li et al., 2018; Wu and Dredze, 2019). Finally, we highlight the advantages of pointer-generator networks with explicit alignment by showing that pretrained decoders lead to accidental translation (Xue et al., 2021).
- 3. **Interpreting Alignment Improvements:** Additionally, we find the improved parsing ability of DAMP is driven by a 6x improvement in prediction accuracy of the initial intent. Finally, we measure improvements in alignment using a post-hoc linear probe on language prediction in addition to qualitative analysis of embedding visualizations.

2 Related Work

Multilingual Language Model Alignment Massively multilingual transformers (MMTs) (Pires et al., 2019; Conneau et al., 2020a; Liu et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2021) have become the de-facto basis for multilingual NLP and are effective at intrasentential codeswitching as well (Winata et al., 2021). While prior work has studied explicit alignment of individual embeddings (Artetxe et al., 2018; Artetxe and Schwenk, 2019), MMTs appear to implicitly perform alignment within their hidden states (Artetxe et al., 2020; Conneau et al., 2020b).

MMTs are remarkably robust for multilingual and intra-sentential codeswitching benchmarks (Aguilar et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020; Ruder et al., 2021). However, the gap between performance on the training language and zero-shot targets is larger in task-oriented parsing benchmarks (Li et al., 2021; Agarwal et al., 2022; Einolghozati et al., 2021), similar to the large discrepancy for other syntactically intensive tasks (Hu et al., 2020).

Our work applies the pretraining regime from Hu et al. (2021), which adds multiple explicit alignment objectives to traditional MMT pretraining. We show that this technique is effective both for semantic parsing, a new task, and intra-sentential codeswitching, a new linguistic domain.

Domain Adversarial Training The concept of using an adversary to remove undesired features has been discovered and applied separately in trans-

fer learning (Ganin et al., 2016), privacy preservation (Mirjalili et al., 2020), and algorithmic fairness (Zhang et al., 2018a). When applying this technique to transfer learning, Ganin et al. (2016) term this domain adversarial training.

Due to its effectiveness in domain transfer learning, multiple works have studied applications of domain adversarial learning to cross-lingual transfer (Guzman-Nateras et al., 2022; Lange et al., 2020; Joty et al., 2017). Most relevant, Sherborne and Lapata (2022) combine a multi-class language discriminator with translation loss to improve crosslingual transfer.

In this space, we contribute the 4 following novel findings. Firstly, we show that binary discrimination is more effective than multi-class discrimination and provide intuitive reasoning for why this is true despite the inherently multi-class distribution of multilingual data. Secondly, we show that adversarial alignment can increase the accidental translation phenomena (Xue et al., 2021) in models with pretrained decoders. Thirdly, we show that token-level adversarial discrimination improves transfer to intra-sentential codeswitching. Finally, we remove the challenge of zero-shot hyperparameter search with a novel constrained optimization technique that can be configured a priori based on our alignment goals.

Preventing Multilingual Forgetting Beyond adversarial techniques, prior work has used regularization to maintain multilingual knowledge learned only during pretraining. Li et al. (2018) shows that penalizing distance from a pretrained model is a simple and effective technique to improve transfer. Using a much stronger inductive bias, Wu and Dredze (2019) freezes early layers of multilingual models to preserve multilingual knowledge. This leaves later layers unconstrained for task specific data. We show that DAMP outperforms these baselines, the first comparison of traditional regularization to adversarial cross-lingual transfer.

3 Methods

We utilize two separate stages of alignment to improve zero-shot transfer in DAMP. During pretraining, we use contrastive learning to improve alignment amongst pretrained representations. During finetuning, we add **double** alignment through domain adversarial training using a binary language discriminator and a constrained optimization approach. We apply these improvements to the en-

coder of a pointer-generator network that copies and generates tags to produce a parse.

3.1 Baseline Architecture

Following Rongali et al. (2020), we use a pointer-generator network to generate semantic parses. We tokenize words $[w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m]$ from the labeling scheme into sub-words $[s_{0,w_0}, \dots, s_{n,w_0}, s_{0,w_1}, \dots, s_{n,w_m}]$ and retrieve hidden states $[\mathbf{h}_{0,w_0}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{n,w_0}, \mathbf{h}_{0,w_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{n,w_m}]$ from our encoder. We use the hidden state of the first subword for each word to produce word-level hidden states:

$$[\mathbf{h}_{0,w_0}, \mathbf{h}_{0,w_1} \dots, \mathbf{h}_{0,w_m}] \tag{1}$$

Using 1 as a prefix, we use a randomly initialized auto-regressive decoder to produce representations $[\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_t]$. At each action-step a, we produce a generation logit vector using a perceptron to predict over the vocabulary of intents and slot types \mathbf{g}_a and a copy logit vector for the arguments from the original query \mathbf{c}_a using similarity with Eq. 1:

$$\mathbf{g}_a = MLP(\mathbf{d}_a) \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{c}_a = [\mathbf{d}_a^{\top} \mathbf{h}_{0,w_1}, \mathbf{d}_a^{\top} \mathbf{h}_{0,w_1}, \dots \mathbf{d}_a^{\top} \mathbf{h}_{0,w_m}] \quad (3)$$

Finally, we produce a probability distribution \mathbf{p}^a across both generation and copying by applying the softmax to the concatenation of our logits and optimize the negative log-likelihood of the correct prediction a':

$$\mathbf{p}^a = \sigma([\mathbf{g}_a; \mathbf{c}_a]) \tag{4}$$

$$L_s = -log(\mathbf{p}_{a'}^a) \tag{5}$$

Intuitively, the pointer-generator limits the model to generating control tokens and copying input tokens. This constraint is key for cross-lingual generalization since our decoder is only trained on English data. Even for models which are pretrained for multilingual generation, finetuning on English data alone often leads to *accidental translation* (Xue et al., 2021), where generation occurs in English regardless of the input language.

The pointer-generator guarantees that our generations will use the target language even for languages it was never trained on. We show that this is essential for DAMP in in Section 5.3, as improved alignment otherwise exacerbates accidental translation by removing the decoders ability to distinguish the input language during generation.

3.2 Alignment Pretraining

We evaluate the contrastive pretraining process AMBER introduced by Hu et al. (2021) for semantic parsing. AMBER combines 3 explicit alignment objectives: translation language modeling, sentence alignment, and word alignment using attention symmetry. These procedures aim to make semantically aligned translation data, known as bitext (Melamed, 1999), similarly aligned in the representation space used by the model.

Translation language modeling was originally proposed by Conneau and Lample (2019). This technique is simply traditional masked language modeling, but uses bitext as input and masking tokens in each language. Since translations of masked words are often unmasked in the bitext, this encourages the model to align word and phrase level representations so that they can be used interchangeably across languages.

Sentence alignment (Conneau et al., 2018) directly optimizes similarity of representations across languages using a siamese network training process. Given an English sentence with pooled representation e_i , the model maximizes the negative log-likelihood of the probability assigned to true translation t' compared to a batch of possible translations B:

$$L(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{t}', N)_{sa} = -\log\left(\frac{\mathbf{e}_i^{\top} \mathbf{t}'}{\sum_{t_i \in B} \mathbf{e}_i^{\top} \mathbf{t}_i}\right)$$
 (6)

Finally, AMBER encourages word level alignment by optimizing with an attention symmetry loss (Cohn et al., 2016). For attention head $h \in H$, a sentence in language S, and its translation in language T, the similarity of the cross-attention matrices $A^h_{S \to T}$ and $A^h_{T \to S}$ is maximized:

$$L(S,T) = 1 - \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h \in H} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A_{S \to T}^{h \top} A_{T \to S}^{h})}{\min(M,N)}$$
 (7)

Together, these procedures provide signals which encourage the encoder to represent inputs with the same meaning similarly at several levels of granularity, regardless of which language they occur in.

3.3 Cross-Lingual Adversarial Alignment

However, this alignment across languages can be lost during finetuning. Since procedures such as those used in AMBER rely on manually aligned data, which is rare for downstream tasks, they are




Figure 2: An overview of the adversarial alignment procedure. An adversarial model distinguishes English and Non-English examples with L_d . With $L_d \geq \epsilon$ as a constraint, the generator optimizes the Lagrangian dual.

inapplicable for preventing misalignment during finetuning.

Therefore, we instead build on the domain adversarial training process of Ganin et al. (2016) to maintain and improve alignment during finetuning. First, we use a token-level language discriminator as an adversary to maintain word level alignment across languages. We show that multi-class discrimination used in prior work allows for equilibria which are inoptimal for transfer. Instead, we propose treating all languages not found in the training data as a single negative class. Finally, we introduce a general constrained optimization approach for adversarial training and apply it to cross-lingual alignment.

Token-Level Discriminator Similar to Ganin et al. (2016), we train a discriminator to distinguish between in-domain training data and unlabeled out-of-domain data. Our method assumes access to labeled training queries in one language, in this case English, and unlabeled queries in multiple other languages which target the same intents and slots. Data is sampled evenly from all languages to create an adversarial dataset with equal amounts of each language.

We use a two-layer perceptron to predict the probability $p=P(E|h_{0,w_n})$ that a token with true label y is English or Non-English given hidden representations from Eq. 1. Our discriminator loss is traditional binary cross-entropy loss:

$$L_d = -(y\log(p) + (1-y)\log(1-p))$$
 (8)

Since it is more difficult to discriminate between similar points, domain adversarial training uses the loss of the discriminator as a proxy for alignment. When alignment with the training language improves, so does the cross-lingual transfer to unseen languages.

Prior work using domain adversarial training for multilingual robustness (Lange et al., 2020; Sherborne and Lapata, 2022) performs multi-class classification across all languages and uses the negative log-likelihood of the correct class as the loss function. While using a separate class for each language is natural, it breaks the equivalence between maximizing the discriminator loss and aligning unlabeled and labeled data. With a multi-class discriminator, the generator can instead be rewarded for aligning across unlabeled languages even when this does not benefit transfer from the labeled source.

To illustrate this misaligned reward, suppose we have labeled data in English and unlabeled data in both Spanish and French. The goal of the multiclass adversary is to predict English, Spanish, or French for each token while the encoder is to minimize the ability of the adversary to recover the correct language. Consider the token "dormir", which translates from both Spanish and French to the English "to sleep". In the multi-class setting, the encoder can maximize the adversarial reward by aligning the Spanish "dormir" to the French "dormir", which is simple since they are cognates, without improving alignment with the English "to sleep" at all. In this extreme example, the multiclass loss is likely to lead to a solution which does not improve alignment with the labeled data, in this case English, at all.

Using a binary "English" vs. "Non-English" classifier removes these inoptimal solutions. Since both Spanish and French are now labeled "Non-English", the encoder has no direct incentive to align the two unlabeled languages. Instead, the encoder must align both French and Spanish to the labeled English data to the maximize the adversarial reward. Since transferability relies on improved alignment with the labeled data, we expect this loss function to lead to better transfer results.

Constrained Optimization Traditionally, domain adversarial training uses a gradient reversal layer (Ganin et al., 2016) to allow the generator to maximize adversary loss L_d weighted by hyperparameter λ while minimizing task loss L_s . For the generator, this is effectively equivalent to optimiz-

ing a linear combination of the terms:

$$L = L_s - \lambda L_d \tag{9}$$

Selecting a schedule for λ presents a challenge in the zero-shot setting. Since the reverse validation procedure used to select the λ schedule by Ganin et al. (2016) assumes only one target domain, multilingual works such as Sherborne and Lapata (2022) opt to simply perform a linear search using the in-domain development set s. This approach ignores transfer performance entirely when weighing adversary loss. Instead, we propose a novel constrained optimization method which balances adversarial and task loss automatically using a constraint derived from first-principles.

Our goal is to obtain token representations that are exactly aligned across languages. Any well-fit adversary will predict English with P=0.5 on such data and receives a loss of 0.3 since it cannot perform better than chance. In equilibrium, the generator cannot increase loss above 0.3 since the adversary can simply predict P=0.5 for all inputs regardless of the ground truth labels.

This reasoning provides us a clear constraint. In alignment, the L_d should be no less than 0.3, which we call ϵ . We then optimize the task loss L_s while enforcing this constraint. We do so with minimal additional computation cost and using backpropagation alone with the differential method of multipliers (Platt and Barr, 1987). The differential method of multipliers first relaxes the constrained problem to its Lagrangian dual:

$$L = L_s + \lambda(\epsilon - L_d) \tag{10}$$

Unlike Sherborne and Lapata (2022), this lets us treat λ as a learnable parameter and optimize it to maximize the value of $\lambda(\epsilon-L_d)$ with stochastic gradient ascent. In plain terms, our optimization increases the value of λ when $\epsilon > L_d$ and decreases it when $\epsilon < L_d$. This produces a schedule for λ which weighs the adversarial penalty only when it is accurate. In Figure 3, we show how λ evolves throughout training to maintain the constraint.

4 Experiments

We evaluate the effects of our techniques on three benchmarks for task-oriented semantic parsing with hierarchical parse structures. Two of these datasets evaluate robustness to intra-sentential codeswitching (Einolghozati et al., 2021; Agarwal




Figure 3: The top plot shows the learned schedule for the weight λ . The bottom plot shows the adversarial loss which converges to our constraint using this λ schedule.

et al., 2022) and the third uses multilingual data to evaluate robustness to inter-sentential codeswitching (Li et al., 2021). Examples are divided as originally released into training, evaluation, and test data at a ratio of 70/10/20.

4.1 Datasets

Multilingual Task Oriented Parsing (MTOP)

Li et al. (2021) introduced this benchmark to evaluate multilingual transfer for a difficult compositional parse structure. The benchmark contains queries in English, French, Spanish, German, Hindi, and Thai. Zero-shot performance on this benchmark is a proxy for robustness to intersentential codeswitching. Each language has approximately 15,000 total queries which cover 11 domains with 117 intents and 78 slot types.

Hindi-English Task Oriented Parsing (CST5)

Agarwal et al. (2022) construct a benchmark of Hindi-English intra-sentential codeswitching data using the same label space as the second version of the English Task Oriented Parsing benchmark (Chen et al., 2020). As part of preprocessing, we use Zhang et al. (2018b) to identify and transliterate Romanized Hindi tokens to Devana-

gari. There are 125,000 in English and 10,896 queries in Hindi-English which cover 8 domains with 75 Intents and 69 Slot Types.

Codeswitching Task Oriented Parsing (CSTOP)

Einolghozati et al. (2021) is a benchmark of Spanish-English codeswitching data. While the dataset was released with a corresponding English dataset in the same label space, that data is now unavailable. Therefore, we construct an artificial dataset in the same label space using Google Translate on each segment of the structured Spanish-English training data². The resulting English dataset is not human validated and therefore noisy. This is a limitation, but is necessary to estimate of zero-shot transfer from English to Spanish-English codeswitching due to the limited release of CSTOP. The resulting dataset has 5,803 queries in both English and Spanish-English which cover 2 domains with 19 Intents and 10 Slot Types.

4.2 Results

We use the same hyperparameter configurations for all settings. The encoder uses the mBERT architecture (Pires et al., 2019). The decoder is a randomly initialized 4-layer, 8-head vanilla transformer for comparison with the 4-layer decoder structure used in Li et al. (2021). We use AdamW and optimize for 1.2 million training steps with early stopping using a learning rate of 2e-5, batch size of 16, and decay the learning rate to 0 throughout the training. We train on a Cloud TPU v3 Pod for approximately 4 hours for each dataset. For all adversarial experiments, we use the unlabeled queries from MTOP as training data for our discriminator and a loss constraint ϵ of 0.3 as justified in 3.3.

The English data from each benchmark is used for training and early stopping evaluation. We report Exact Match (EM) accuracy on all test splits. In all tables, results that significantly (p=0.05) improve over all others are marked with a \dagger using the bootstrap confidence interval (Dror et al., 2018).

MTOP In Table 1, we report the results of our training procedure with mBERT, AMBER, and DAMP compared to existing baselines from prior work: XLM-R with a pointer-generator network (Li et al., 2021), MT5 (Xue et al., 2021) and byT5 (Xue et al., 2022). For both T5 variants, we train with the hyperparameters described in Nicosia et al. (2021).

²We include the parse brackets during translation to preserve parse structure: Google Translate Documents

	en	es	fr	de	hi	th	Avg(5 langs)	Encoder Params.	Ratio
XLM-R*	83.9	50.3	43.9	42.3	30.9 [†]	26.7	38.8	550M	3.2x
byT5-Base	80.1	13.6	11.7	10.7	1.5	2.7	8.0	436M	2.5x
mT5-Base	82.5	39.0	34.9	32.6	15.7	8.3	26.1	290M	1.7x
mT5-Large**	83.2	40.0	41.1	36.2	16.5	23.0	31.4	550M	3.2x
mT5-XXL**	86.7	62.4	63.7	57.1	43.3	49.2	55.1	6.5B	33x
mBERT	78.6	0.5	1.0	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.5	172M	1x
AMBER	84.2	46.4	35.8	26.3	6.7	2.7	23.6	172M	1x
DAMP	83.5	56.8 [†]	55.6^{\dagger}	42.2	27.4	29.2^{\dagger}	42.2^{\dagger}	172M	1x

Table 1: Exact Match (EM) accuracy scores on the MTOP dataset. * and ** indicate results from Li et al. (2021) and Nicosia et al. (2021) respectively. Best results for models which fit on a single consumer GPU in bold. Models marked with \dagger significantly (p=0.05) improve over all others using the bootstrap confidence interval.

Despite finetuned mBERT being a strong baseline for other tasks (Wu and Dredze, 2019; Aguilar et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020; Ruder et al., 2021), it is ineffective at cross-lingual transfer for compositional semantic parsing achieving an average multilingual accuracy of 0.5.

The AMBER pretraining process significantly improves over mBERT accuracy for all languages to an average of 23.6. Average accuracy across the 5 Non-English languages improves by 47x. English accuracy also improves to 84.2 from 78.6, instead of suffering negative transfer (Wang et al., 2020).

DAMP further improves average accuracy across languages over AMBER by 1.8x to 42.2, outperforming both similarly sized models (byT5-Base; +34.2, mT5-Base; +16.1) and models three times its size (mT5-Large; +10.8, XLM-R; +3.4). mT5-XXL maintains state-of-the-art performance of 55.1 but requires 33x more parameters and multiple GPUs for inference, which increases latency and compute cost.

Adversarial alignment improves performance in each language by at least 10 points, with Hindi and Thai, the most distant testing languages from English, having the largest improvements of +20.7 and +26.5 respectively. DAMP improves over the mBERT baseline by 84x without architecture changes or additional inference cost.

CST5 & CSTOP In Table 2, we report the results on both intra-sentential codeswitching benchmarks. For Hindi-English, we compare the MT5-small and MT5-XXL baselines from Agarwal et al. (2022).

AMBER again leads to a performance improvement over mBERT for both CST5 and CSTOP, across English (+1.4, +5.5) and codeswitched (+12.9, +52.4) data. DAMP also further improves transfer results (+3.8, +1.0) over AMBER at the

	CS	ST5	CS	ГОР	
	en	hi-en	en	es-en	Ratio
byT5-Base	85.5	5.5	80.0	22.3	2.5x
mT5-Base	85.7	14.6	80.5	28.2	1.7x
mT5-XXL	-	20.3	-	-	33x
mBERT	84.4	3.8	81.2	27.7	1x
AMBER	85.8	16.7	86.7 [†]	79.3	1x
DAMP	85.6	20.5^{\dagger}	86.0	80.3 [†]	1x

Table 2: Exact Match (EM) accuracy scores for both intra-sentential codeswitching benchmarks. mT5-XXL results from Agarwal et al. (2022). Best results in bold.

cost of small losses in English performance (-0.2, -0.7). DAMP achieves a new state-of-the-art of 20.5 on zero-shot transfer for CST5, outperforming even MT5-XXL (20.3). Since both alignment stages have word-level objectives, we hypothesize that the word-level inductive bias provides benefits for intra-sentential codeswitching despite lacking explicit supervision for it.

5 Adversarial Baseline Comparison

5.1 Adversary Ablation

In Table 3, we isolate the effects of our contributions to domain adversarial training with an ablation study. While all adversarial variants improve transfer results, we see that using a binary adversary and our constrained optimization technique are both mutually and independently beneficial to adversarial alignment. Notably, DAMP improves over the unconstrained multi-class adversarial technique used in Sherborne and Lapata (2022) by 9.9, 6.4, and 0.9 EM accuracy points on MTOP, CST5, and CSTOP respectively.

	M	ГОР	CS	ST5	CS'	TOP
	en	Avg	en	hi-en	en	es-en
	Align	ment	Abla	tion		
mBERT	78.6	0.5	84.4	3.7	81.2	27.7
AMBER	84.2	23.6	85.8	16.7	86.7	79.3
+ Multi	84.0	32.3	85.5	14.1	85.0	79.4
+ Constr.	82.7	33.7	85.6	13.8	85.1	80.3
+ Binary	83.8	35.8	85.8	18.4	86.3	78.1
+ Constr.	83.5	42.2 [†]	85.6	20.5	86.0	80.3
Re	egulai	rizatio	n Ba	selines	5	
+ Freeze	82.6	32.0	85.2	24.6^{\dagger}	85.5	77.2
+ L_2 Norm	81.3	35.5	81.6	22.5	83.4	77.5
+ L_1 Norm	78.6	36.4	80.7	18.7	81.1	69.8
Pret	raine	d Dec	oder	Baseli	ne	
mT5-Base	82.5	26.1	85.7	14.6	80.5	28.2
+ Align	81.1	16.5	85.5	0.6	83.0	16.7
+ Pointer	71.9	15.2	85.0	18.0	77.6	54.7
+ Align	72.9	20.6	85.0	3.6	80.6	56.1

Table 3: Exact Match (EM) accuracy scores for across combinations of both binary and multi-class discriminators, constrained optimization, and regularization.

5.2 Regularization Comparison

We also compare adversarial training to regularization techniques used in cross-lingual learning. We experiment with freezing the first 8 layers of the encoder (Wu and Dredze, 2019) and using the L_1 and L_2 norm penalty (Li et al., 2018). Adversarial learning outperforms these baselines on MTOP and CSTOP while model freezing and L_2 norm penalization outperform adversarial learning on CST5. However, adversarial learning is the only method that improves across all benchmarks.

5.3 Pretrained Decoder Comparison

Finally, we evaluate whether our constrained adversarial alignment technique offers similar benefits to models with pretrained decoders due to their natural advantage in generation tasks. We find that adversarial training does worse than the plain mT5 model (-9.6). Upon inspection, adversarial alignment causes this drop by exacerbating *accidental translation* (Xue et al., 2021), where the output for Non-English input is translated to English.

For example, the expected output for "Merci d'envoyer la ligne de travail" is "[IN:SEND_MESSAGE [SL:GROUP travail]]". While the unaligned model produces the incorrect parse "[IN:SEND_MESSAGE [SL:RECIPIENT la ligne de travail]]", the aligned model pro-

							Avg
mBERT							
AMBER	96.4	78.7	71.3	66.3	32.5	26.5	55.1
DAMP	96.4	89.0 [†]	$\pmb{86.4}^\dagger$	80.5^{\dagger}	$\textbf{76.6}^{\dagger}$	74.4^{\dagger}	81.4^{\dagger}

Table 4: Intent Prediction accuracy for each language on the MTOP dataset for mBERT, AMBER, and DAMP.

duces the correct parse translated to English "[IN:SEND_MESSAGE [SL:GROUP work]]". In DAMP, the pointer-generator fundamentally prevents accidental translation.

We confirm this in mT5 by reformatting the decoding task in a pointer format, where the correct output in the above example would be "[IN:SEND_MESSAGE [SL:GROUP <pt-5>]]". This makes accidental translation impossible, and adversarial alignment again improves performance in this variant for MTOP and CSTOP. However, the mT5 decoder struggles to adapt to this task, making overall performance worse than DAMP.

5.4 Improvement Analysis

Since exact match accuracy is a strict metric, we analyze our improvements with qualitative analysis. We examine examples that DAMP predicts correctly but AMBER and mBERT do not. We then randomly sample 20 examples from each language for manual evaluation.

Improvements in intent prediction are a large portion of the gain. If intent prediction fails, the rest of the auto-regressive decoding goes awry as the decoder attempts to generate valid slot types for that intent. We report intent prediction results across the test dataset in Table 4.

In general, these improvements follow a trend from nonsensical errors to reasonable errors to correct. For example, given the French phrase "S'il te plait appelle Adam." meaning "Please call Adam."", mBERT predicts the intent <code>QUESTION_MUSIC</code>, AMBER predicts <code>GET_INFO_CONTACT</code>, and DAMP predicts the correct <code>CREATE_CALL</code>.

Within the slots themselves, the primary improvements noted in DAMP are more accurate placement articles and prepositions such as "du", "a", "el", and "la" inside the slot boundaries, which is of arguable real world importance.

We present the full sample of examples used for this analysis in Tables 5-9 in the Appendix.

6 Alignment Analysis

We analyze how well our alignment goals are met using two methods in Figure 1. First, we use a two-dimensional projection of the resulting encoder embeddings to provide a visual intuition for alignment. Then, we provide a more reliable quantitatively evaluate alignment using a post-hoc linear probe.

6.1 Embedding Space Visualization

In Figure 1, we visualize the embedding spaces of each model variant on each MTOP test set using Universal Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) (McInnes et al., 2018). Our visualization of mBERT provides a strong intuition for its poor results, as English and Non-English data form linearly separate clusters even within this reduced embedding space. By using AMBER instead, this global clustering behavior is removed and replaced by small local clusters of English and Non-English data. Finally, DAMP produces an embedding space with no clear visual clusters of Non-English data without English data intermingled.

6.2 Post-Hoc Probing

We evaluate improvements to alignment quantitatively. While Sherborne and Lapata (2022) reports the performance of the training adversary as evidence of successful training, this method has been shown insufficient due to mode collapse during training (Elazar and Goldberg, 2018; Ravfogel et al., 2022). Therefore, we train a linear probe on a frozen model after training for each variant using 10-fold cross-validation.

Supporting the visual intuition, probe performance decreases with each stage of alignment. On mBERT, the discriminator achieves 98.07 percent accuracy indicating poor alignment. AMBER helps, but the discriminator still achieves 93.15 percent accuracy indicating the need for further removal. DAMP results in a 23.62 point drop in discriminator accuracy to 69.53. This is still far above chance despite our training adversary converging to close-to-random accuracy. This indicates both the need for post-hoc probing and the possibility of further alignment improvements.

7 Conclusions

In this work, we introduce a Doubly Aligned Multilingual Parser (DAMP), a semantic parsing training regime that uses contrastive alignment pretraining and adversarial alignment during fine-tuning

with a novel constrained optimization approach. We demonstrate that both of these stages of alignment benefit transfer learning in semantic parsing to both inter-sentential (multilingual) and intrasentential codemixed data, outperforming both similarly sized and larger models. We analyze the effects of DAMP, comparing our proposed alignment method broadly to prior both adversarial techniques and regularization baselines, and its generalizability, with applications to pretrained decoders. Finally, we interpret the impacts of both stages of alignment through qualitative improvement analysis and quantitative probing.

Importantly, DAMP shows that alignment in *both* pretraining and finetuning can outperform larger models pretrained on more data. This offers an orthogonal improvement to the current scaling paradigm, supporting the idea that current multilingual models underutilize available bitext (Reid and Artetxe, 2022). In cases where bitext is unavailable, our work shows that alignment still possible via adversarial procedures. By releasing our simplified constrained optimization approach for multilingual adversarial alignment, we aim to simplify and improve the application of such approaches for future work.

8 Limitations

This work only carries out experiments using English as the base training language for domain adversarial transfer. It is possible that domain adversarial transfer has a variable effect depending on the training language from which labeled data is used. Additionally, while typologically and regionally diverse, all but one language used in our evaluation is of Indo-European origin.

9 Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Hongxin Zhang, Caleb Ziems, and the anonymous reviewers from Google, ACL Rolling Review, and the ACL Main Conference for their helpful feedback.

References

Anmol Agarwal, Jigar Gupta, Rahul Goel, Shyam Upadhyay, Pankaj Joshi, and Rengarajan Aravamudhan. 2022. Cst5: Data augmentation for code-switched semantic parsing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.07514*.

Gustavo Aguilar, Sudipta Kar, and Thamar Solorio. 2020. LinCE: A Centralized Benchmark for Linguistic Code-switching Evaluation. In *Proceedings*

- of The 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference, pages 1803–1813, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Mikel Artetxe, Gorka Labaka, and Eneko Agirre. 2018. A robust self-learning method for fully unsupervised cross-lingual mappings of word embeddings. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 789–798, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mikel Artetxe, Sebastian Ruder, and Dani Yogatama. 2020. On the cross-lingual transferability of monolingual representations. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4623–4637, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mikel Artetxe and Holger Schwenk. 2019. Massively multilingual sentence embeddings for zero-shot cross-lingual transfer and beyond. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 7:597–610.
- Utsab Barman, Amitava Das, Joachim Wagner, and Jennifer Foster. 2014. Code mixing: A challenge for language identification in the language of social media. In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Computational Approaches to Code Switching*, pages 13–23, Doha, Qatar. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xilun Chen, Asish Ghoshal, Yashar Mehdad, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Sonal Gupta. 2020. Low-resource domain adaptation for compositional task-oriented semantic parsing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 5090–5100, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Trevor Cohn, Cong Duy Vu Hoang, Ekaterina Vymolova, Kaisheng Yao, Chris Dyer, and Gholamreza Haffari. 2016. Incorporating structural alignment biases into an attentional neural translation model. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 876–885, San Diego, California. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Edouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020a. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8440–8451, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alexis Conneau and Guillaume Lample. 2019. Crosslingual language model pretraining. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 32. Curran Associates, Inc.

- Alexis Conneau, Ruty Rinott, Guillaume Lample, Adina Williams, Samuel Bowman, Holger Schwenk, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2018. XNLI: Evaluating crosslingual sentence representations. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2475–2485, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alexis Conneau, Shijie Wu, Haoran Li, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020b. Emerging cross-lingual structure in pretrained language models. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6022–6034, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Anik Dey and Pascale Fung. 2014. A Hindi-English code-switching corpus. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'14)*, Reykjavik, Iceland. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).
- A. Seza Doğruöz, Sunayana Sitaram, Barbara E. Bullock, and Almeida Jacqueline Toribio. 2021. A survey of code-switching: Linguistic and social perspectives for language technologies. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1654–1666, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Rotem Dror, Gili Baumer, Segev Shlomov, and Roi Reichart. 2018. The hitchhiker's guide to testing statistical significance in natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1383–1392, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Arash Einolghozati, Abhinav Arora, Lorena Sainz-Maza Lecanda, Anuj Kumar, and Sonal Gupta. 2021. El volumen louder por favor: Code-switching in task-oriented semantic parsing. In *Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Main Volume*, pages 1009–1021, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yanai Elazar and Yoav Goldberg. 2018. Adversarial removal of demographic attributes from text data. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 11–21, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yaroslav Ganin, Evgeniya Ustinova, Hana Ajakan, Pascal Germain, Hugo Larochelle, François Laviolette, Mario Marchand, and Victor Lempitsky. 2016. Domain-adversarial training of neural networks. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 17(1):2096–2030.
- Luis Guzman-Nateras, Minh Van Nguyen, and Thien Nguyen. 2022. Cross-lingual event detection via

- optimized adversarial training. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 5588–5599, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Junjie Hu, Melvin Johnson, Orhan Firat, Aditya Siddhant, and Graham Neubig. 2021. Explicit alignment objectives for multilingual bidirectional encoders. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 3633–3643, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Junjie Hu, Sebastian Ruder, Aditya Siddhant, Graham Neubig, Orhan Firat, and Melvin Johnson. 2020. Xtreme: A massively multilingual multi-task benchmark for evaluating cross-lingual generalisation. In *ICML*, pages 4411–4421.
- Aravind K. Joshi. 1982. Processing of sentences with intra-sentential code-switching. In Coling 1982: Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Computational Linguistics.
- Shafiq Joty, Preslav Nakov, Lluís Màrquez, and Israa Jaradat. 2017. Cross-language learning with adversarial neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 21st Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL 2017)*, pages 226–237, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Lukas Lange, Anastasiia Iurshina, Heike Adel, and Jannik Strötgen. 2020. Adversarial alignment of multilingual models for extracting temporal expressions from text. In *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Representation Learning for NLP*, pages 103–109, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Haoran Li, Abhinav Arora, Shuohui Chen, Anchit Gupta, Sonal Gupta, and Yashar Mehdad. 2021. MTOP: A comprehensive multilingual task-oriented semantic parsing benchmark. In *Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Main Volume*, pages 2950–2962, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xuhong Li, Yves Grandvalet, and Franck Davoine. 2018. Explicit inductive bias for transfer learning with convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 80 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2825–2834. PMLR.
- Yaobo Liang, Nan Duan, Yeyun Gong, Ning Wu, Fenfei Guo, Weizhen Qi, Ming Gong, Linjun Shou, Daxin Jiang, Guihong Cao, Xiaodong Fan, Ruofei Zhang, Rahul Agrawal, Edward Cui, Sining Wei, Taroon Bharti, Ying Qiao, Jiun-Hung Chen, Winnie Wu, Shuguang Liu, Fan Yang, Daniel Campos, Rangan Majumder, and Ming Zhou. 2020. XGLUE: A new benchmark datasetfor cross-lingual pre-training, understanding and generation. In *Proceedings of the*

- 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), pages 6008–6018, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jiexi Liu, Ryuichi Takanobu, Jiaxin Wen, Dazhen Wan, Hongguang Li, Weiran Nie, Cheng Li, Wei Peng, and Minlie Huang. 2021. Robustness testing of language understanding in task-oriented dialog. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2467–2480, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yinhan Liu, Jiatao Gu, Naman Goyal, Xian Li, Sergey Edunov, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Mike Lewis, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020. Multilingual denoising pretraining for neural machine translation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:726–742.
- Leland McInnes, John Healy, Nathaniel Saul, and Lukas Großberger. 2018. Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 3(29):861.
- I. Dan Melamed. 1999. Bitext maps and alignment via pattern recognition. *Computational Linguistics*, 25(1):107–130.
- Vahid Mirjalili, Sebastian Raschka, and Arun Ross. 2020. Privacynet: semi-adversarial networks for multi-attribute face privacy. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 29:9400–9412.
- Massimo Nicosia, Zhongdi Qu, and Yasemin Altun. 2021. Translate & Fill: Improving zero-shot multilingual semantic parsing with synthetic data. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, pages 3272–3284, Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Telmo Pires, Eva Schlinger, and Dan Garrette. 2019. How multilingual is multilingual BERT? In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4996–5001, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- John Platt and Alan Barr. 1987. Constrained differential optimization. In *Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Shauli Ravfogel, Michael Twiton, Yoav Goldberg, and Ryan D Cotterell. 2022. Linear adversarial concept erasure. In *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 162 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 18400–18421. PMLR.
- Machel Reid and Mikel Artetxe. 2022. On the role of parallel data in cross-lingual transfer learning. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2212.10173.

- Subendhu Rongali, Luca Soldaini, Emilio Monti, and Wael Hamza. 2020. Don't parse, generate! a sequence to sequence architecture for task-oriented semantic parsing. In *Proceedings of The Web Conference* 2020, pages 2962–2968.
- Sebastian Ruder, Noah Constant, Jan Botha, Aditya Siddhant, Orhan Firat, Jinlan Fu, Pengfei Liu, Junjie Hu, Dan Garrette, Graham Neubig, and Melvin Johnson. 2021. XTREME-R: Towards more challenging and nuanced multilingual evaluation. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 10215–10245, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tom Sherborne and Mirella Lapata. 2022. Zero-shot cross-lingual semantic parsing. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 4134–4153, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zirui Wang, Zachary C. Lipton, and Yulia Tsvetkov. 2020. On negative interference in multilingual models: Findings and a meta-learning treatment. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 4438–4450, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Genta Indra Winata, Samuel Cahyawijaya, Zihan Liu, Zhaojiang Lin, Andrea Madotto, and Pascale Fung. 2021. Are multilingual models effective in codeswitching? In *Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Computational Approaches to Linguistic Code-Switching*, pages 142–153, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shijie Wu and Mark Dredze. 2019. Beto, bentz, becas: The surprising cross-lingual effectiveness of BERT. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 833–844, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Linting Xue, Aditya Barua, Noah Constant, Rami Al-Rfou, Sharan Narang, Mihir Kale, Adam Roberts, and Colin Raffel. 2022. ByT5: Towards a token-free future with pre-trained byte-to-byte models. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10:291–306.
- Linting Xue, Noah Constant, Adam Roberts, Mihir Kale, Rami Al-Rfou, Aditya Siddhant, Aditya Barua, and Colin Raffel. 2021. mT5: A massively multilingual pre-trained text-to-text transformer. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 483–498, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Brian Hu Zhang, Blake Lemoine, and Margaret Mitchell. 2018a. Mitigating unwanted biases with adversarial

- learning. In *Proceedings of the 2018 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*, AIES '18, page 335–340, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Yuan Zhang, Jason Riesa, Daniel Gillick, Anton Bakalov, Jason Baldridge, and David Weiss. 2018b. A fast, compact, accurate model for language identification of codemixed text. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 328–337, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Input	Model	Outputs
¿ dónde trabaja packy ahora ?	MBER AMBER DAMP	Image_connect is connect trabaja ahore] Imagel_connect is connect packy] Imagel_employer [st.connect packy]
infórmame sobre la lluvia .	mBERT AMBER DAMP	[in.send_message [strecipient sobre] [stroontent_exact la lluvia]] [in.get_weather [stweather_attribute informame sobre la lluvia]] [in.get_weather [st.weather_attribute lluvia]]
enumerar mis alarmas .	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[Increate_alarm_laname enumerar mis]] [Increate_alarm] [Inget_alarm]
	mBERT AMBER DAMP	[In:send_message [streciplent llama andy]] [In:create_call [strontact a andy]] [In:create_call [strontact andy]]
agrega a keliy a la llamada .	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[implay_music_sartist_name agrega kelly] [stmusic_track_title is llamada]] [implay_music_lstmusic_sartist_name agrega kelly] [stmusic_track_title is llamada]] [imupdate_call [stcontact_added kelly]]
pausar y apagar llamada	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[Imget_recipes strecipes_dish pausar] strecipes_source lamada]] [Imget_call] [Imswitch_call]
L necesito un gran abrigo ?	mBERT AMBER DAMP	[in:get_education_time [strontact necesito] [strocation gran] [strype_relation abrigo]] [in:get_info_recipes_clish gran abrigo]] [in:get_weather [st:weather_attribute abrigo]]
llámame al mediodía	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[in:create_call (strontact al.]] [in:create_call (strontact lainame al mediodia.]] [in:create_alam [st/date_time al mediodia.]]
reproduce 1470 en la radio	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[inis_true_recipes [strecipes_meal reproduce la [strmusic_type radio]] [inreplay_music [strmusic_radio_id 1470 [strmusic_type radio]] [inrplay_music [strmusic_radio_id 1470] [strmusic_type radio]]
Spanish ¿ cómo va el temporizador?	mBERT AMBER DAMP	[inget_contact [strontact_elated wa temporizador]] [inget_teminder [stranount como temporizador]] [inget_timer [strmethod_timer temporizador]]
. se pronostican tormentas ?	mBERT AMBER DAMP	[in:get_education_degree [strootlact se pronostican] [strootlact_related tormentas]] [in:get_info_recipes [streotpes_unit_untrition pronostican tormentas]] [in:get_weather_stribute tormentas]]
cómo hago un roux?	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[in:get_contact [si:contact como hago] [si:location roux.]] [in:get_incloses] [in:get_recipes_is [si:recipes_dish roux.]]
dame el tiempo en australia	mBERT AMBER DAMP	[inquestion_news (strews topic et australia]] [inqet_event [stlocation australia]] [inget_weather [stlocation australia]]
muéstra me gente libre	mBERT AMBER DAMP	[inget_recipes strecipes_dist gente libre]] [insend_message strecipes_included_ingredient muéstra] strecipes_rating gente libre]] [inget_availability]
L habrá granizo ?	mBERT AMBER DAMP	[inget_contact [sttype_telation grantzo]] [inget_weather [stoontact grantzo]] [inget_weather [stweather_attribute grantzo]]
tiempo en nueva york	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[simusic_genre en york.]] [in:add_time_limer [simethod_timer tiempo][silocation nueva york.]] [in:get_weather [si:location nueva york.]]
. quiến fue a yale?	mBERT AMBER DAMP	[inget_contact [sitype_teltion yale]] [inget_info_contact [sitcontact yale]] [inget_contact [sitschool yale]]
ponme en línea .	mBERT AMBER DAMP	[in:play_music_track_title ponme en]] [in:end_call] [in:set_available]
haz una Ilamada a mi papá	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[Imget_recipes strecipes_dish haz mi]] [Imget_e.call stroontact [imget_contact stroontact_related mi papá]]]] [Imgreate_call stroontact imget_contact stroontact_related mi strpe_relation papá]]]]
¿ cuándo comienza a llover ?	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[Inget_contact (strype_telation comierza]] [Inget_celat_news] [Inget_weather [siweather_attribute llover]]

Table 5: Full Table of 100 Sampled Spanish Results from Qualitative Analysis.

Outputs	[Inrget_alam [stootlina] pends [auren]] [mupdate_call [stoontacLadded [auren]] [increate_call [stoontacl lauren]]	[Intilke_music strnustic_provider_name frank ocean]] [Implay_music strnustic_artist_name du ocean]] [Implay_music strnustic_artist_name frank ocean]]	[Inget_weather [stlocation comment faire] [stlocation roux]] [Inget_info_recipes] [Inget_recipes strecipes_dish roux]]	[Inplay_music strmusic_genre nappel]] [Implay_music strmusic_artist_name rappel]] [Increate_reminder]	[mrsend_message [streopient ajoute] [stroonlent_exact à appe]] [mrupdate_call [stroonfact_added [mget_confact [stroonfact_telated fenfant]]]] [inrupdate_call [stroonfact_added [inget_confact [strype_zelation enfant]]]]	[in:question_music [strmusic_provider_name te adam.]] [in:get_info_contact [strontact adam.]] [in:create_call [strontact adam.]]	[Inis_true_recipes streotpes_dish_veuillez_peter]] [increate_call stcontact veuillez_peter]] [increate_call stcontact peter]]	[in:question_news [strews_topic veuillez nick]] [in:get_contact [stromfact veuillez nick]] [in:create_call [stromfact nick]]	[nrupdate_alam [si:alam_Jane efface nes]] [nrsilence_alam [si:anount toutes]] [indetet_alam [si:anount toutes]]	[mis_true_recipes_glstrecipes_clsh peux tu.] Strecipes_included_ingredient appeler amy] [msend_inessage Streciplent peux amy] [increale_call stcontact amy]	[Inget_timer [sicontact mets]] [Inget_sumise] [increate_alamn]	[Imguestion_news [sirnews_topic obtenez nouvelles]] [Imget_stories_news [sirnews_type obtenez nouvelles]] [Imget_stories_news [sirnews_type nouvelles]]	[Inget_info_recipes [strecipes_qualifie_nutrition dis fait]] [Inget_timer [strecipes_timer temps]] [Inget_weather]	[In:question_news [sinews_topic]e dave]] [in:send_message [sineoplerit dave]] [in:creale_call [sicontact dave]]	[mis_true_recipes [strecipes_dish merci jessica]] [mupdate_call [stcontact_added merci jessica]] [increate_call [stcontact_jessica]]	[inst. rue_recipes § krecipes_attribute annule] § krecipes_included_ingredient rappel maman]] [insupdate_call § kittle_event annule maman]] [insdete_reminder § ktodo [increate_call § ktoontact inget_contact § ktype_relation maman]]]]]]	[Inquestion_news [strews_topic at femme]] [Inquestion_news_topic at femme]] [Inque_call [strood at [e] [stroonfact [inque_confact [stroonfact_related ma] [strype_relation femme]]]] [Inquest_call [stroonfact [inque_confact [stroonfact_related ma] [strype_relation femme]]]]	[in:question_news_topic_je weiss]] [in:get_info_contact [strontact]e weiss]] [in:create_call [strontact edward weiss]]	[in:question_news [sinews_topic annule plait]] [in:question_news [sinews_topic annule plait]] [in:end_call]	[in:question_news [sinews_topic quand elle]] [in:que_call_time [si:contact [in:qet_contact [si:type_relation maman]]] [si:contact m' elle]]] [in:qet_call_time [si:contact [in:qet_contact [si:type_relation maman]]]]
Model	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP
Input	prends lauren au téléphone	joue du frank ocean .	comment faire un roux ?	nouveau rappel .	ajoute l'enfant à l'appel	s'il te plait appelle adam.	veuillez appeler peter	veuillez appeler nick	efface toutes mes alarmes	French peux-tu appeler amy	mets un réveil maintenant	obtenez - moi des nouvelles	dis - moi quel temps il fait	je dois appeler dave	merci d' appeler Jessica	annule le rappel appeler maman	ai - je reçu des appels de ma femme	je voulais appeller edward weiss	annule l'appel s' il te plait	quand maman m'a -t - elle appelé ?

Table 6: Full Table of 20 Sampled French Results from Qualitative Analysis.

bbc - schlagzelen erinnerung an urlaub kannst du bitte meine mutter anrufen ? bhite schick die gruppe der frauen rufe jeffrey whatsapp an wir rufen vincert roberts an spiel 98.9 radio auf iheartradio wen kenne ich in rice lake ? lancez f appel å kelly lancez f appel å kelly rufe blucas an ruf e lucas an ruf e stattdessen nicole an ruf bitte henry an setze den timer jetzt fort bitte zelg mir alle alarme an	MAGER AMBERT AMBERT	Integer grante Integer active Integer active Integer active Integer grante Integer active Integer Interest Integer active Integer Integer active Integer active Integer active Integer Integer active Integer active
beerde den back - timer	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[In:update_timer[streethod_timer timer]] [strime_ranne back timer] [strime_ranne lather timer] [strime_ranne [streethod_timer timer]] [string ranne [streethod_timer timer]]
für wen arbeitet Jerry ?	MBERT AMBER DAMP	[in:get_recipes_lstrecipes_attribute wen] [strecipes_dish arbeitet jerry]] [in:get_employer (stemployer wen [strontact jerry]] [in:get_employer [strontact jerry]]
	mBERT	[in:get_stories_news [sl:news_source es fertig]]

Table 7: Full Table of 20 Sampled German Results from Qualitative Analysis.

Model Outputs	mBERT [Insend_message [strecipient हो रहा]] AMBER [In:get_details_news] DAMP [In:get_details_news]	mBERT Inquestion_news (strews_topic कीन करता]] AMBER Inget_employer (st.contact फेसबुक कीन] DAMP Innget_contact फेसबुक कीन]	mBERT [inquestion_news [strews_topic=ਜੱਗੋ था]] AMBER [inque_tal_time [stcontact अतिम कॉल]] DAMP [inget_cal_time]	mBERT [in:get_stories_news [sinews_topic.मीरमन रहा]] AMBER [in:question_news [sinews_topic.मीरमन इफेक्ट]] DAMP [in:get_weather]	mBERT Inquestion_news [striews_lopic समर करों]] AMBER Inget_contact_lelated समर महोया [strype_relation दारी]]] DAMP Increece_call [stromact Inque_contact [strontact_related समर महोया] [strype_relation दारी]]]	mBERT [in:create_teminder] AMBER [in:end_crall [si:contact अलाम्मी]] DAMP [in:silence_alarm]	mBERT [inis_true_recipes_latrecipes_dish पापा करों]] AMBER [inupdate_call [strootbact [inget_contact_nelsed मेरे]sttype_relation पापा]]]] DAMP [increate_call [strootbact [inget_contact [strootbact_related मेरे]strype_relation पापा]]]	mBERT Inquestion_news (strews_topic रोपा जाता]] AMBER Inquestion_news (stricostion रोपा विएजा]] DAMP Innote-tectors (strectors (s	MBERT [Inplay_music [simusic_abum_title शाम तापमान]] AMBER [Inget_weather [stdate_time शाम]] DAMP [Incet_weather [stdate_time शाम ad]]	MBERT [In:get_stories_news_topic ##f]] AMBER [In:update_call [si:contact_related #k]][slamount ##f]] DAMP In:rdelete_alarm (slamount ##f]]	mBERT [Insert_message [strontte_wact] इस वाला]] AMBER [Increase_alam [sidate_time क्ष्म सोमवार]] DAMP [Increase_alam [sidate_time क्षम सोमवार]]	MBERT [In:get_timer [sicontact 349ff 447]] AMBER [In:get_cal [sicontact 3467ff]] DAMP [in:create_alamn]	mBERT Inrquestion_news [strews_topic लिए करो]] AMBER [increate_call [stromact मेरे लगर]] DAMP [increate_call [stromact नमर]]	mBERT [increase_call (stoontact artist)] AMBER [in:get_contact (stoontact style artist)] DAMP [in:get_contact (stoottact style artist)] Increase_call (stortes thews (stottes time artist))	mBERT [in:get_event sticategory_event stil समय] [stilocation को कॉल]] AMBER [in:question_news [stirews_topic, 22 करें]] DAMP [in:create call stronglate 22 या]	mBERT [inquestion_news strews_lopicalarit सकती] AMBER [inserd_message strews_lopicalarit सकती] DAMP Innore weather strukture artifities artifities	mBERT [in:send_message streopient दो कॉल]] AMBER [in:get_wailability stromact कोसफ]] DAMP [in:creat_eall stromact कोसफ]]	mBERT [in:send_message [strecipient टाइमर वापस]] AMBER [in:update_reminde_date_time [strack]] DAMP [in:resume timer [strack]]	mBERT [in:send_message s/content_exect की करों]] AMBER [in:send_message s/recopient सेंग्र कोक्ट]] DAMP [in:cend_essale s/content_exect सेंग्र कोक्ट]]	mBERT [increate_call [strontact गीन]] AMBER [inclop_music [strmusic_type 개러]]
Model	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT
Input	क्या कुछ हो रहा है	फेसबुक में कीन काम करता है	अंतिम कॉल किस समय किया गया था ?	मौसम सङ्ज को कैसे इफ़ेक्ट कर यहा है ?	समर मोटोया की दादी को कॉल करो	आलाम्मी बंद करें	मेरे पापा को फोन कॉल करो	रोपा विएजा को कैसे बना या जाता छ	शाम को 6 बजे तापमान कैसा रहेगा ?	Hindi कृपया मेरे सभी अलामें हवाएं।	क्या इस सोमवार कुछ मज़ेदार होने वाला है	अभी अलार्म शुरू करो	भेरे लिए लमार को एक कॉल करों।	मुझे ताजा खबरें बताओ	कृपया इसी समय ट्रेंट यू को कॉल करें	दीबारा बारिश कब हो सकती है	जोसेफ नंबर दो को कॉल करें	मेरा टाइमर वापस शुरू करें	सैत क्रोकेट को कॉल करने की कोशिश करो	वर्तमान गीत दोहराएं

Table 8: Full Table of 20 Sampled Hindi Results from Qualitative Analysis.

Outputs	[Inplay_music skmusic_artist_name_kn]] [Inset_contact skcontact fivir silkrul]] [Increate_call skcontact silkrul]]	[in:get_event sthocation nrs]] [in:update_call st:contact_added shu ໂvr] stmusic_antist_name q uturf]] [in:create_call st:contact q uturf]]	[In:get_info_recipes [stroomlent_exact วาง สาข.]] [In:update_call [stroomlact วาง สาข.]] [In:end_call.]	in:get.event istlocation ការៗ] [n:question_news [stnews_topic ប៉េណូ ឃុំ]] [in:update_call [stronfact_added tus]]	in.send_message [strontent_exact ความ จำ]] โกวquestion_news [strews_topic ตั้ง ผม]] In:create_terninder [strodo ล้าง ผม]]	in:create_alam [stidate_time 20]] In:create_alam [stidate_time นาฬิกา ปลุก] [stidate_time ใน นาที]] in:create_alam [stidate_time ใน นาที]]	[in.send_message [strecipient vivvnu.] Istrodo at t]] [in.get_contact [stroontact %n vivvnu.] [stemployer at t]] [in.get_contact [stemployer at t]]	in:play_music_jstmusic_artist_name_tvn iut_] [in:play_media st.music_artist_name_tvn iut_] strecipes_attribute [dawi]] [in:create_call st.contact iut_] st.contact_fdawi]]	Imget_stories_news [sthocation יוא สาย]] [implay_media [stimusic_antist_name יוא מופע]] [in:end_call [sticontact diou.]]	In.send_message [streotpent 'vn.]] [n.get_info_contact [stcontact "hrs fn.s]] [n.get_availability]	increate_alarm] incquestion_news [strews_topic amm# נילי]] incget_weather [stdate_time with נילי]]	(in.send_message [strecipient vn.]. [การend_message [strecipient ฉัน เคท]] [in:create_call [strecipient ฉัน เคท]]	[inget_event] [inget_weather [stratem_name fi wng] [strate_time tu .errf] [strate_time tu]] [inget_weather [strweather_atribute wng] [strate_time tu .errf]]	in.send_message [sirecipient uan]] [in.question_news [sinews_topic gauvrift uan]] [in.get_weather]	in.send_message [strecipient mn1] strontent_exact mn1] in.send_message [strodo sho wider] in.send_message [strodo sho wider] in.send_message [strodo sho wider] in.send_message [strodo sho wider] in.seate_call [strontact [in.get_contact [strype_relation wal]]]	in.get_event in.get_event in.get_weather sitocation dr.rrul.]	in.send_message [strecipient snu]] [nrquestion_news [strate_Lime "tu advn'ts]] [in.get_weather [strate_Lime "tu "tu"]]	in.send_message [sirecipient ความ បំ។]] [in.create_reminder [stperson_reminded ความ បំរង]] [in.create_reminder]	In get_event is thocation 1.15 405551560]] [in create_call [stphone_number fvn 5405551560]] [in create_call [stphone_number 5405551560]]	(in:play_music [slmusic_artist_name mv] [in:play_music [slmusic_artist_name mv] [in:question_news [slmews_topic nv1 tins]] [in:qet_event [slcategory_event nautafin]]
Model	mBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	mBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP	MBERT AMBER DAMP
Input	โทร หา รดีเวน	ទំបាញ ទូ លើ ការ បីអាន ការ ខ្លួយ ខេត្ត	วาง สาม	មើល្ខ យន ម៉ោ វាររ ការ ហែវ ជ័	ตั้ง เดือน ความ จำ เพื่อ ล้าง สี ผม	ดั้งนาฬิกา ปลุก ใน 20 นาที	ใคร ท่างานที่ at & t	โทร หา เดยี และ โฮลท์	าาง สาย ฌอน	Thai มีใครว่างไหม	อากาศ จะ เป็น อย่างไร พรุ่งนี้ เช้า ?	ฉัน ต้องโทร หา เดฟ	จะ มี พายุ ใน วัน เสาร์ หรือ ไม่ ?	อุณหภูมิ ซ์าจ นอก เป็น อย่างไร	ชาย เริ่ม การ โทร หา พ่อ ให้ หน่อย	สภาพ ภูมิ อากาศ ใน ฮาราย เป็น อย่างไร	ใน สาม วัน สภาพ อากาศ จะ เป็น อย่างไร ?	เดือน ความ จำ ใหม่	โทร ไป ที่ 5405551560	มี การ จัด คอนเสิร์ต อะไร บ้าง

Table 9: Full Table of 20 Sampled Thai Results from Qualitative Analysis.