

# No Lebesgue Needed

James Grimmelmann

February 11, 2007

## 1 Introduction: A Contest Problem

A version of the following problem appeared on one of the math contests when I was in high school:

A sequence  $k_1, k_2, k_3 \dots$  of random numbers is drawn uniformly from the interval  $[0, 1]$ . On average, how many numbers in the sequence are needed to make the sum of the numbers drawn so far exceed 1?

I couldn't figure it out at the time. One of the math teachers explained that I shouldn't feel bad about it; the solution requires Lebesgue integration.

Well, he was wrong. The solution does not require Lebesgue integration—which I still don't know how to do. This problem can be cracked using ordinary high-school calculus.

## 2 Setting Up a Solution

Solving the problem requires three things: confidence that it can be done, some care in setting up an integral, and the willingness to generalize a little. Start with the generalization. Define  $f(x)$  to be the average number of draws needed such that the sum exceeds  $x$ . Thus, the problem as stated is equivalent to asking for the value of  $f(1)$ .

Armed with this definition, we need to figure out a way to compute  $f(x)$  in general. There are a lot of plausible ways to proceed, many of which end up requiring fancy-pants integration, fancy-pants probability theory, or both. But inverting the problem provides an easier route. Instead of starting with a sum of 0 and repeatedly adding random numbers between 0 and 1 until we get  $x$ , think of us as starting with a value of  $x$  and repeatedly subtracting random numbers until we get below 0.

That is, define  $g(x)$  to be the average number of random draws from  $[0, 1]$  needed such that  $x - k_1 - k_2 - \dots$  is less than zero. It should be apparent after a moment's thought that  $f(x) = g(x)$ , because if the sum of the first  $n$  numbers is  $k_n$ , then  $0 + k_n > x$  if and only if  $x - k_n < 0$ .

This observation lets us set up an equation for  $g(x)$  in terms of values of  $g(t)$  for  $t < x$ . For  $x < 0$ , we don't need any more draws; we're already where we need to be, and so  $g(x) = 0$ . For  $x \geq 0$ , subtracting a random  $k \in [0, 1]$  gives us a new starting point somewhere random in  $[x - 1, x]$ . We've used one random draw to get down to that new starting point, so the total number of draws is 1 plus however many draws it will take from the new starting point, that is the average of  $g(x)$  on  $[x - 1, x]$ . To summarize:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0; \\ 1 + \int_{x-1}^x g(t) dt & \text{if } x \geq 0; \end{cases}$$

Let's simplify matters by specifying that from now on, we're only interested in values of  $g(x)$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ . That means we can look exclusively to the second line of that definition. Let's copy it out:

$$g(x) = 1 + \int_{x-1}^x g(t) dt$$

From here, armed with the knowledge that  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , this integral equation is solvable for the function  $g(x)$  using only basic first-year calculus techniques. Now might be a good time to pause and try them before reading on.

### 3 Completing the Solution

Since  $x$  is greater than 0 but less than 1, this means that 0 falls somewhere in  $[x - 1, x]$ . We can split the integral into two halves, one half for values of  $x \leq 0$  and one half for values of  $x \geq 0$ .

$$g(x) = 1 + \int_{x-1}^0 g(t) dt + \int_0^x g(t) dt$$

The first half simplifies immediately, since we know that  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x < 0$ . That yields:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= 1 + \int_{x-1}^0 0 dt + \int_0^x g(t) dt \\ &= 1 + 0 + \int_0^x g(t) dt \\ &= 1 + \int_0^x g(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

(Note that while  $g(x)$  isn't continuous at 0, where it leaps from 0 to just above 1, this discontinuity at a single point doesn't stop us from taking its integral.) A solution may already be looming in mind, but let's push this one all the way through, just to be sure. Differentiating both sides yields:

$$\frac{d}{dx} g(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x g(t) dt$$

The right-hand side now simplifies by the fundamental theorem of calculus, giving:

$$\frac{d}{dx}g(x) = g(x)$$

I don't know about you, but I only know of one function satisfying this condition. We can conclude that, as long as  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have:

$$g(x) = e^x$$

Thus, since we were looking for  $f(1)$ , and since  $f = g$ , our answer pops right out:

$$f(1) = g(1) = e^1 = e$$

Thus, it should take an average number of  $e$  random draws on  $[0, 1]$  to make the sum of the draws greater than 1.