preordain a preservation outcome for affected historic properties, federal and local officials should consider creative mitigation measures that promote historic preservation goals even though they do not minimize harm to the impacted historic resource. For example, a neighborhood stabilization project may call for selective demolition of contributing structures within a historic district. To offset the loss, the project planners might commit funds for the renovation of other buildings within the district or fund a historic resources survey of a nearby neighborhood as the basis for future preservation planning. The activities proposed in creative mitigation measures should leverage the federal assistance to allow for broader public benefits. Discussions about creative mitigation should be initiated early in the Section 106 review process when options can be objectively evaluated and include consulting parties, representatives of the affected areas, as well as local officials, to ensure all views are considered. A desirable goal of creative mitigation measures is to advance community-wide preservation. They might include the development of local historic preservation ordinances, acquisition and relocation of historic properties to alternate sites in a historic district, or funding for landscaping and streetscape improvements in a district.

Federal, state, and local officials, applicants, and residents are encouraged to use these principles as plans are developed and Section 106 reviews coordinated. Please visit the ACHP's Web site, achp.gov, to view helpful case studies and best management practices that can further expand your knowledge of historic preservation tools, and how they are being used to revitalize and stabilize communities throughout the Nation.

Authority: 54 U.S.C. 304102(a).

Dated: February 26, 2016. John M. Fowler, Executive Director. [FR Doc. 2016–04640 Filed 3–2–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–K6–P

### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

# **U.S. Customs and Border Protection**

[1651-0080]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Deferral of Duty on Large Yachts Imported for Sale

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** 30-Day notice and request for comments; Extension of an existing collection of information.

**SUMMARY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of the Department of Homeland Security will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act: Deferral of Duty on Large Yachts Imported for Sale. This is a proposed extension of an information collection that was previously approved. CBP is proposing that this information collection be extended with no change to the burden hours or to the information collected. This document is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before April 4, 2016 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on this proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to the OMB Desk Officer for Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security, and sent via electronic mail to *oira\_submission@ omb.eop.gov* or faxed to (202) 395–5806.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information should be directed to Tracey Denning, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Regulations and Rulings, Office of International Trade, 90 K Street NE., 10th Floor, Washington, DC 20229– 1177, at 202–325–0265.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register (80 FR 68326) on November 4, 2015, allowing for a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. CBP invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed and/ or continuing information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13; 44 U.S.C. 3507). The comments should address: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden, including the use of automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology; and (e) the annual costs to respondents or record keepers from the collection of information (total capital/ startup costs and operations and maintenance costs). The comments that are submitted will be summarized and

included in the CBP request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. In this document, CBP is soliciting comments concerning the following information collection:

*Title:* Deferral of Duty on Large Yachts Imported for Sale.

## OMB Number: 1651–0080.

Abstract: This collection of information is required to ensure compliance with 19 U.S.C. 1484b which provides that an otherwise dutiable vacht that exceeds 79 feet in length, is used primarily for recreation or pleasure, and had been previously sold by a manufacturer or dealer to a retail customer, may be imported without the payment of duty if the yacht is imported with the intention to offer for sale at a boat show in the United States. The statute provides for the deferral of payment of duty until the vacht is sold but specifies that the duty deferral period may not exceed 6 months. This collection of information is provided for by 19 CFR 4.94a which requires the submission of information to CBP such as the name and address of the owner of the yacht, the dates of cruising in the waters of the United States, information about the yacht, and the ports of arrival and departure.

Action: CBP proposes to extend the expiration date of this information collection with no change to the estimated burden hours or to the information collected.

*Type of Review:* Extension (with no change).

*Affected Public:* Businesses and Individuals.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 50.

*Estimated Number of Total Annual Responses:* 50.

Estimated Time per Response: 1 hour. Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 50.

Dated: February 24, 2016.

#### **Tracey Denning**,

Agency Clearance Officer, U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

[FR Doc. 2016–04747 Filed 3–2–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9111–14–P