

## GENERALIZED EQUIVARIANT BUNDLES

by R.K. LASHOF and J.P. MAY

*Dedicated to Professor Guy HIRSCH*

Let  $\Pi$  and  $G$  be compact Lie groups and let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  be a principal  $\Pi$ -bundle, where  $B$  is a  $G$ -space. Informally, we say that  $p$  is an equivariant bundle if the action of  $G$  on  $B$  lifts appropriately to  $E$ . The classical way to make this precise is to require the  $\Pi$ -action on  $E$  to extend to a  $G \times \Pi$ -action such that  $p$  is a  $G$ -map, and a detailed foundational study of such bundles was given in [2].

However, there are many naturally occurring examples which surely ought to count as equivariant bundles but which don't fit this description. Most simply, if  $\Gamma$  is an extension of  $G$  by  $\Pi$ , then one surely wants to consider the quotient homomorphism  $q: \Gamma \rightarrow G$  as an equivariant bundle. Taking this example as a model, we fix such an extension and say that  $p$  is a principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle if  $E$  is a  $\Pi$ -free  $\Gamma$ -space and  $p$  is a  $\Gamma$ -map, where  $G$  acts through  $q$  on  $B$ ; the classical case is obtained by taking  $\Gamma = G \times \Pi$ . If  $F$  is a  $\Gamma$ -space, we then refer to the induced  $G$ -map  $E \times_{\Pi} F \rightarrow B$  as the associated  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle with fiber  $F$ , where  $E \times_{\Pi} F$  denotes the orbit  $G$ -space  $E \times F/\Pi$ . This is our preferred general notion of a  $G$ -bundle, and it is the purpose of this note to point out that the basic theory of equivariant bundles set out in [2] generalizes without difficulty to this context. More discussion of examples and of the passage back and forth between principal and associated bundles may be found in [3; IV, §1]. Our main result is an analysis of the fixed point spaces of equivariant classifying spaces, and the second author will use this analysis in [4] to prove a new generalization of the Segal conjecture.

Let  $\rho: Y \rightarrow B$  be a  $G$ -map which is a  $\Pi$ -bundle with fiber  $F$ , where  $F$  is a  $\Gamma$ -space on which  $\Pi$  acts effectively. The discussion in [3; IV §1] implies that  $\rho$  is the bundle with fiber  $F$  associated to a principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle  $p: E \rightarrow B$  if and only if each composite

$$F \rightarrow \rho^{-1}(b) \rightarrow \rho^{-1}(gb) \rightarrow F$$

coincides with the action of a (necessarily unique) lift of  $g$  to  $\Gamma$ , where the

first and third arrows are admissible homeomorphisms and the middle arrow is action by  $g \in G$ . This characterization leads to the following natural examples of equivariant bundles in our sense which are not equivariant bundles in the classical sense.

EXAMPLE 1. — Let  $M$  be a smooth oriented  $n$ -manifold with a smooth orientation-reversing involution  $\tau$ . The tangent bundle  $TM$  is an  $SO(n)$ -bundle, but the induced involution  $\tau: TM \rightarrow TM$  is only an  $O(n)$ -bundle map. Regarding  $\tau$  as a generator of  $G = Z_2$ , we see that  $TM \rightarrow M$  is an  $(SO(n); O(n))$ -bundle with fiber  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

EXAMPLE 2. — Let  $\Pi \subset \Omega$  and let  $\Gamma$  be the normalizer of  $\Pi$  in  $\Omega$ . If  $\mu: \Omega/\Pi \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma$  is the projection, then the pullback  $\mu^*T(\Omega/\Gamma)$  is a  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle with fiber the  $\Gamma$ -representation  $V = T_{e\Gamma}(\Omega/\Gamma)$ . In fact, we may identify  $\mu^*T(\Omega/\Gamma)$  with  $\Omega \times_{\Pi} V$  and give it the  $G$ -action,  $G = \Gamma/\Pi$ , specified by  $q(\gamma)[\omega, v] = [\omega\gamma^{-1}, \gamma v]$ .

We say that two  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundles  $\rho: Y \rightarrow B$  and  $\rho': Y' \rightarrow B$  with fiber  $F$  are equivalent if there is a  $G$ -map  $f: Y \rightarrow Y'$  which is an equivalence of  $\Pi$ -bundles over  $B$ . The discussion in [3; V, §1] implies that  $f$  necessarily has the form  $\tilde{f} \times_{\Pi} 1$  for a  $\Gamma$ -map  $\tilde{f}: E \rightarrow E'$  which is an equivalence of principal  $\Pi$ -bundles over  $B$ , where  $E$  and  $E'$  are the associated principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundles of  $Y$  and  $Y'$ . Therefore equivalence classes of  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundles with fiber  $F$  over  $B$  correspond bijectively to equivalence classes of principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundles over  $B$ . We shall concentrate on principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundles henceforward.

There is a description of principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundles in terms of the classical kind of equivariant bundles that the reader may find illuminating. If  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle, then the induced map  $E \times_{\Pi} \Gamma \rightarrow B$  is a principal  $(\Gamma; G \times \Gamma)$ -bundle with a given reduction of its structural group from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Pi$ . Here  $E \times \Gamma$  is given the diagonal left action by  $\Gamma$  and  $E \times_{\Pi} \Gamma$  is the orbit  $G$ -space. The right action of  $\Gamma$  on itself gives rise to a right action of  $\Gamma$  on  $E \times_{\Pi} \Gamma$  which commutes with the left  $G$ -action. The reduction may be viewed as given by the section

$$s: B \rightarrow E \times_{\Pi} (\Gamma/\Pi) \cong B \times G$$

specified by  $s(b) = (b, 1)$ , and  $s$  satisfies  $s(gb) = gs(b)g^{-1}$ . Note that  $s$  is not a  $(G \times \Pi)$ -reduction since it is not equivariant with respect to the left  $G$ -action. Conversely, if  $\phi: Z \rightarrow B$  is a principal  $(\Gamma; G \times \Gamma)$ -bundle with a reduction  $s: B \rightarrow Z/\Pi$  of its structural group to  $\Pi$  such that  $s(gb) = gs(b)g^{-1}$ , then  $Z$  is  $\Gamma$ -homeomorphic over  $B$  to  $E \times_{\Pi} \Gamma$  for a principal  $\Pi$ -bundle  $E$  over  $B$ . The  $\Pi$ -action on  $E$  extends to a  $\Gamma$ -action;  $E$  can be identified with the space of admissible homeomorphisms

$\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(b)$ , and  $\gamma\psi$  is the composite

$$\Gamma \xrightarrow{\psi} \varphi^{-1}(b) \xrightarrow{q(\gamma)} \varphi^{-1}(q(\gamma)b).$$

We conclude that principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundles correspond bijectively to principal  $(\Gamma; G \times \Gamma)$ -bundles together with given reductions of their structural group from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Pi$  which satisfy the specified formula.

A principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle is said to be trivial if it is equivalent to one of the form  $\Gamma \times_{\Lambda} V \rightarrow G \times_{\mathbb{H}} V$ , where  $\mathbb{H} \subset G$ ,  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$ ,  $\Lambda$  maps isomorphically to  $\mathbb{H}$  under  $q: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ , and  $V$  is an  $\mathbb{H}$ -space regarded as a  $\Lambda$ -space via  $q$ . The following observation on the local structure of principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundles makes clear that this definition is appropriate.

LEMMA 3. — Let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  be a principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle, where  $E$  and therefore  $B$  is completely regular. Let  $b \in B$  and  $z \in p^{-1}(b)$  and let  $G_b$  and  $\Gamma_z$  be the respective isotropy groups.

- (i)  $\Gamma_z \cap \Pi = e$  and  $q$  maps  $\Gamma_z$  isomorphically onto  $G_b$ .
- (ii) If  $W$  is a slice through  $b$  in  $B$ , there is a slice  $V$  through  $z$  in  $E$  such that  $p$  maps  $V$  homeomorphically onto a neighborhood  $V'$  of  $b$  in  $W$  and thus  $p|_{\Gamma V}$  is trivial.

PROOF. — (i)  $\Gamma_z \cap \Pi = e$  since  $\Pi$  acts freely on  $E$ ;  $q(\Gamma_z) = G_b$  since, for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $q(\gamma)b = b$  if and only if  $\gamma z = \nu z$  for some  $\nu \in \Pi$ , in which case  $\nu^{-1}\gamma z = z$  and  $q(\nu^{-1}\gamma) = q(\gamma)$ .

(ii) Let  $D$  be a bi-invariant normal disc to  $\Pi\Gamma_z$  through  $e$  in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $q$  maps  $D$  homeomorphically onto a bi-invariant normal disc  $D'$  to  $G_b$  through  $e$  in  $G$ , and  $q(\gamma d \gamma^{-1}) = gq(d)g^{-1}$  if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $g = q(\gamma)$ . The action of  $G$  on  $B$  maps  $D' \times W$  homeomorphically onto a  $G_b$ -invariant neighborhood of  $b$ . Choose a slice  $\bar{V}$  through  $z$  sufficiently small that  $p(\bar{V}) \subset D' \times W$  and let  $\lambda: \bar{V} \rightarrow D$  be the composite  $\Gamma_z$ -map  $q^{-1}\pi_1 p$ , where  $\pi_1: D' \times W \rightarrow D'$  is the projection. It is easily checked that  $V = \{\lambda(x)^{-1}x \mid x \in \bar{V}\}$  is then a slice through  $z$  which maps homeomorphically into  $W$  under  $p$ .

A principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is said to be locally trivial if there is an open cover  $\{GV_\alpha\}$  of  $B$  such that  $V_\alpha$  is an  $H_\alpha$ -slice and  $p|_{p^{-1}(GV_\alpha)}$  is trivial;  $p$  is numerable if, in addition, the cover can be chosen to have a  $G$ -equivariant partition of unity  $\{\lambda_\alpha: GV_\alpha \rightarrow I\}$ . With these definitions, we have the following generalizations of [2, 1.5] and [2, 1.13].

PROPOSITION 4. — A principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle with completely regular total space is locally trivial.

PROPOSITION 5. — A locally trivial principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle over a paracompact base space is numerable.

Using Lemma 3, the arguments of [2, §2] generalize to give the following analogs of [2, 2.10-2.12 and 2.14].

**THEOREM 6.** — A numerable principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle  $E$  over  $B \times I$  is equivalent to  $(E|B \times \{0\}) \times I$ .

**COROLLARY 7.** — The pullbacks of a numerable principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle along homotopic  $G$ -maps into its base space are equivalent.

**COROLLARY 8.** — A numerable principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle satisfies the equivariant bundle covering homotopy property.

**THEOREM 9.** — A numerable principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is universal if and only if  $E^\Lambda$  is contractible for all (closed) subgroups  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$ .

We let  $B(\Pi; \Gamma)$  denote the base space of a universal principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle; it is uniquely determined up to  $G$ -homotopy type. Our main result, which generalizes [2, 2.17], gives the homotopy types of the fixed point spaces of such a classifying  $G$ -space.

**THEOREM 10.** — For  $H \subset G$ ,

$$B(\Pi; \Gamma)^H = \coprod B(\Pi \cap N_\Gamma \Lambda; W_\Gamma \Lambda),$$

where the union runs over the  $\Pi$ -conjugacy classes of subgroups  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$  and  $q(\Lambda) = H$ . In particular,  $B(\Pi; \Gamma)^H$  is empty if there is no such  $\Lambda$ .

Here  $N_\Gamma \Lambda$  is the normalizer of  $\Lambda$  in  $\Gamma$  and  $W_\Gamma \Lambda = N_\Gamma \Lambda / \Lambda$ . When  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$ ,  $\Pi \cap N_\Gamma \Lambda$  is a normal subgroup of  $W_\Gamma \Lambda$  since  $\Pi$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Actually, since the theorem is only a statement about nonequivariant spaces, the  $\Lambda^{\text{th}}$  summand on the right may be viewed simply as the ordinary classifying space  $B(\Pi \cap N_\Gamma \Lambda)$ , with  $W_\Gamma \Lambda$  ignored. However, it is crucial to the application to the Segal conjecture in [4] to know the structure of  $B(\Pi; \Gamma)^H$  as a  $W_G H$ -space, or, more generally, as a  $W_K H$ -space for any  $K$  containing  $H$ , and the theorem admits the following elaboration.

**COROLLARY 11.** — If  $H \subset K \subset G$ , then, as a  $W_K H$ -space,

$$B(\Pi; \Gamma)^H = \coprod W_K H \times_{V(\Lambda; \Omega)} B(\Pi \cap N_\Omega \Lambda; W_\Omega \Lambda),$$

where  $\Omega = q^{-1}(K)$ , the union runs over the  $q^{-1}(N_K H)$ -conjugacy classes of subgroups  $\Lambda$  of  $\Omega$  such that  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$  and  $q(\Lambda) = H$ , and  $V(\Lambda; \Omega) = W_\Omega \Lambda / \Pi \cap N_\Omega \Lambda$  is the image of  $W_\Omega \Lambda$  in  $W_K H$ .

To prove Theorem 10 and Corollary 11, we shall analyze the fixed point structure of general principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundles. For notational simplicity, we agree to write  $\Pi^\Lambda = \Pi \cap N_\Gamma \Lambda$  when  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$  and  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$ . It is perhaps worth observing that  $\Pi^\Lambda$  is also equal to  $\Pi \cap Z_\Gamma \Lambda$ , where  $Z_\Gamma \Lambda$  is the centralizer of  $\Lambda$  in  $\Gamma$ .

**THEOREM 12.** — Let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  be a principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle, where  $E$  is a completely regular  $\Gamma$ -space. Let  $H \subset G$  and  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ , with  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$ .

- (i)  $E^\Lambda$  is a principal  $(\Pi^\Lambda; W_\Gamma \Lambda)$ -bundle and  $E^\Lambda / \Pi^\Lambda = p(E^\Lambda)$ .
- (ii)  $p^{-1}(p(E^\Lambda)) = \amalg E^\theta$ , where the union runs over the distinct  $\Pi$ -conjugates  $\theta$  of  $\Lambda$ .
- (iii)  $B^H = \amalg p(E^\Lambda)$ , where the union runs over the  $\Pi$ -conjugacy classes of subgroups  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$  and  $q(\Lambda) = H$ .
- (iv) As a  $W_G H$ -space,  $B^H = \amalg W_G H \times_{V(\Lambda)} p(E^\Lambda)$ , where the union runs over the  $q^{-1}(N_G H)$ -conjugacy classes of subgroups  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$  and  $q(\Lambda) = H$  and where  $V(\Lambda) = W_\Gamma \Lambda / \Pi^\Lambda$  is the image of  $W_\Gamma \Lambda$  in  $W_G H$ .

**PROOF.** — (i) Obviously  $\Pi^\Lambda$  acts freely on  $E^\Lambda$ . If  $z \in E^\Lambda$ ,  $v \in \Pi$ , and  $vz \in E^\Lambda$ , then  $v \in \Pi^\Lambda$  since, for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $vz = \lambda vz = \lambda v \lambda^{-1} \lambda z = \lambda v \lambda^{-1} z$ , hence  $v = \lambda v \lambda^{-1}$ . Therefore the natural map  $E^\Lambda / \Pi^\Lambda \rightarrow p(E^\Lambda)$  is a homeomorphism.

(ii) Since  $\gamma E^\Lambda = E^{\gamma \Lambda \gamma^{-1}}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $p(z) \in p(E^\Lambda)$  if and only if  $z \in E^{v \Lambda v^{-1}}$  for some  $v \in \Pi$ . If  $z \in E^\Lambda \cap E^{v \Lambda v^{-1}}$ ,  $v \in \Pi$ , then  $\lambda z = v \lambda v^{-1} z$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , hence  $\lambda^{-1} v \lambda = v$  and  $\Lambda = v \Lambda v^{-1}$ .

(iii) Certainly  $p(E^\Lambda) \subset B^H$  if  $q(\Lambda) = H$ . If  $b \in B^H$  and  $z \in p^{-1}(b)$ , then  $q(\Gamma_z) = G_b$  and there is a subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma_z$  such that  $q(\Lambda) = H$ . If  $z \in E^\Lambda \cap E^\theta$ , where  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e = \theta \cap \Pi$ , and  $q(\Lambda) = H = q(\theta)$ , then  $z \in E^{\Lambda \theta}$ , hence  $(\Lambda \theta) \cap \Pi = e$ , and  $q(\Lambda \theta) = H$ . Therefore  $\Lambda = \Lambda \theta = \theta$ . With (ii), this implies that  $B^H = \amalg p(E^\Lambda)$  as sets, where  $\Lambda$  runs over the appropriate  $\Pi$ -conjugacy classes. It is to ensure that this decomposition is a homeomorphism that we require  $E$  to be completely regular. Certainly  $p(E^\Lambda)$  is a closed subspace of  $B^H$ . We must show that it is also open. Let  $b = p(z)$ , where  $z \in E^\Lambda$ . Let  $\Sigma = \Gamma_z$  and  $K = q(\Sigma) = G_b$ . Then  $z$  has a slice neighborhood  $\Gamma V \cong \Gamma \times_\Sigma V$  whose image under  $p$  is a slice neighborhood  $G V' \cong G \times_K V'$  of  $b$ , where  $p$  maps  $V \rightarrow V'$   $\Sigma$ -homeomorphically onto  $V'$  regarded as a  $\Sigma$ -space via  $q: \Sigma \cong K$ . Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be as in the proof of (ii) of Lemma 3.  $D' \times V'$  is a  $K$ -invariant neighborhood of  $b$ , and  $p^{-1}(D' \times V') = D \Pi \times V$ . Since  $p$  maps  $D \times V \rightarrow D' \times V'$   $\Sigma$ -homeomorphically onto  $D' \times V'$ , it maps the open neighborhood  $(D \times V)^\Lambda$  of  $z$  homeomorphically onto the open neighborhood  $(D' \times V')^H$  of  $b$  in  $B^H$ .

(iv) For each subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$  and  $q(\Lambda) = H$ ,  $p(E^\Lambda)$  is fixed by  $V(\Lambda)$ , hence the action of  $W_G H$  on  $B^H$  induces a  $W_G H$ -map

$W_G H \times_{V(\Lambda)} p(E^\Lambda) \rightarrow B^H$ . If  $g = q(\gamma) \in N_G H$ , then  $q(\gamma \Lambda \gamma^{-1}) = H$ ,  $\gamma \Lambda \gamma^{-1} \cap \Pi = e$ , and  $gp(E^\Lambda) = p(\gamma E^\Lambda) = p(E^{\gamma \Lambda \gamma^{-1}})$ . It is easily checked that, as the images in  $W_G H$  of such elements  $g$  run through a set of  $V(\Lambda)$ -coset representatives, the groups  $\gamma \Lambda \gamma^{-1}$  run through one group in each  $\Pi$ -conjugacy class of subgroups  $\Sigma$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Sigma \cap \Pi = e$ ,  $q(\Sigma) = H$ , and  $\Sigma$  is  $q^{-1}(N_G H)$ -conjugate to  $\Lambda$ . Thus, as  $\Lambda$  runs over  $q^{-1}(N_G H)$ -conjugacy classes, the images of the cited  $W_G H$ -maps account for all of  $B^H$ .

The following observation makes clear that parts (iii) and (iv) are consistent with the topology.

LEMMA 13. — For each  $\Lambda$ ,  $V(\Lambda)$  has finite index in  $W_G H$ .

PROOF. — It is standard that the group  $N_\Gamma \Lambda / (Z_\Gamma \Lambda) \Lambda$  is finite since, via conjugation, it is a compact subgroup of the discrete group of automorphisms modulo inner automorphisms of  $\Lambda$ . Applying this with  $\Lambda$  replaced by  $\Lambda \Pi$  and observing that  $Z_\Gamma(\Lambda \Pi) \subset Z_\Gamma \Lambda \subset N_\Gamma \Lambda$ , we see that  $(N_\Gamma \Lambda) \Pi$  has finite index in  $N_\Gamma(\Lambda \Pi)$ . Since  $q^{-1}(H) = \Lambda \Pi$ ,  $q^{-1}(N_G H) = N_\Gamma(\Lambda \Pi)$ . Therefore  $q(N_\Gamma \Lambda)$  has finite index in  $N_G H$ .

Finally, we turn to the proofs of Theorem 10 and Corollary 11. It is convenient to think of the universal principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle in terms of families. A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subgroups of  $\Gamma$  is a set of subgroups closed under subconjugacy. (Subgroups are understood to be closed.) A  $\Gamma$ -space  $X$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -space if all of its isotropy groups are in  $\mathcal{F}$  or, equivalently, if  $X^\Lambda$  is empty for  $\Lambda$  not in  $\mathcal{F}$ . An  $\mathcal{F}$ -space  $E\mathcal{F}$  is said to be universal if any  $\mathcal{F}$ -space maps into it, uniquely up to  $\Gamma$ -homotopy. (We restrict attention to  $\Gamma$ -CW homotopy types to avoid technical problems.) It is equivalent to require  $E\mathcal{F}^\Lambda$  to be contractible for  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . A pleasant conceptual construction of universal  $\mathcal{F}$ -spaces  $E\mathcal{F}$  has been given by Elmendorf [1]. Let  $\mathcal{F}(\Pi; \Gamma)$  be the family of subgroups  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Lambda \cap \Pi = e$  and let  $E(\Pi; \Gamma) = E\mathcal{F}(\Pi; \Gamma)$ . Either quoting Theorem 9 or using  $E(\Pi; \Gamma)$  to prove it, we see that  $E(\Pi; \Gamma)$  is a universal principal  $(\Pi; \Gamma)$ -bundle, so that  $B(\Pi; \Gamma) = E(\Pi; \Gamma)/\Pi$ . We insert a few general observations about universal  $\mathcal{F}$ -spaces. The proofs are easy.

LEMMA 14. — Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family in  $\Gamma$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .

(i)  $E\mathcal{F}$  regarded as a  $\Lambda$ -space is  $E(\mathcal{F}|\Lambda)$ , where

$$\mathcal{F}|\Lambda = \{\theta | \theta \subset \Lambda \text{ and } \theta \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

(ii) If  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $(E\mathcal{F})^\Lambda$  regarded as a  $W_\Gamma \Lambda$ -space is  $E(\mathcal{F}^\Lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}^\Lambda$  is the family in  $W_\Gamma \Lambda$  specified by

$$\mathcal{F}^\Lambda = \{\theta | \theta = \Sigma/\Lambda, \text{ where } \Lambda \subset \Sigma \subset N_\Gamma \Lambda \text{ and } \Sigma \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Specializing to  $\mathcal{F}(\Pi; \Gamma)$ , we obtain the following conclusions.

LEMMA 15. — Let  $\Pi$  be a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  be any subgroup.

(i)  $\mathcal{F}(\Pi; \Gamma)|_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{F}(\Pi \cap \Lambda; \Lambda)$ , hence  $E(\Pi; \Gamma) = E(\Pi \cap \Lambda; \Lambda)$  as a  $\Lambda$ -space and

$$E(\Pi; \Gamma)/\Pi \cap \Lambda = B(\Pi \cap \Lambda; \Lambda)$$

as a  $(\Lambda/\Pi \cap \Lambda)$ -space.

(ii) If  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\Pi; \Gamma)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(\Pi; \Gamma)^{\Lambda} = \mathcal{F}(\Pi^{\Lambda}; W_{\Gamma}\Lambda)$ , hence  $E(\Pi; \Gamma)^{\Lambda} = E(\Pi^{\Lambda}; W_{\Gamma}\Lambda)$  as a  $W_{\Gamma}\Lambda$ -space and

$$E(\Pi; \Gamma)^{\Lambda}/\Pi^{\Lambda} = B(\Pi^{\Lambda}; W_{\Gamma}\Lambda)$$

as a  $V(\Lambda)$ -space.

PROOF OF THEOREM 10. — This is now immediate from (ii) of Lemma 15 and (i) and (iii) of Theorem 12.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 11. — By (i) of Lemma 15 (with  $\Lambda$  replaced by  $\Omega$  of Corollary 11), it suffices to consider the case  $K = G$  and thus  $\Omega = \Gamma$ . Here the conclusion is immediate from (iv) of Theorem 12.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHIE

- [1] A. ELMENDORF. Systems of fixed point sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 251 (1979), p. 275-284.
- [2] R.K. LASHOF. Equivariant bundles. *Ill. J. Math.* 26 (1982), p. 257-271.
- [3] L.G. LEWIS, Jr., J.P. MAY, and M. STEINBERGER (with contributions by J.E. McCLURE). Equivariant stable homotopy theory. *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1213 (1986).
- [4] J.P. MAY. A further generalization of the Segal conjecture. (In preparation.)

University of Chicago  
5734 University Avenue  
Chicago, Ill. 60637

(Received November 27, 1985)

