

A UV completion of scalar field theory in arbitrary even dimensions

Pei-Ming Ho, Xue-Yan Lin

Department of Physics, Center for Theoretical Sciences
National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan, R.O.C.

pmho@phys.ntu.edu.tw
xueyan.lin@msa.hinet.net

Abstract

Following a previous work (hep-th/0410248), where a scalar field theory with a modified propagator and ϕ^4 interaction in 4 dimensions is constructed to be UV-finite, unitary and Lorentz invariant, we discuss in this paper general ϕ^n theory in arbitrary even space-time dimensions. We show that the theory is still UV-finite, unitary and Lorentz invariant if the propagators are chosen to meet certain simple conditions depending on the space-time dimension but independent of n . We also comment that our model is reminiscent of string theory in the way UV divergence is avoided.

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Yi-Ya Tian.

1 Introduction

UV divergences in quantum field theories can be regularized by introducing higher derivatives in the kinetic term so that the propagator approaches faster to 0 than $1/k^2$ at large momenta k . But this is usually done at the cost of unitarity. For example, by the Pauli-Villars regularization [1, 2] the propagator is modified as

$$\frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{(k^2 + m^2)(k^2 + M^2)} = \frac{1}{M^2 - m^2} \left(\frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} - \frac{1}{k^2 + M^2} \right). \quad (1)$$

This propagator $\sim 1/k^4$ at large k , hence alleviates the UV divergence of a Feynman diagram. However, the norm of the propagating mode at $k^2 = -M^2$ is negative due to the minus sign of the pole at M^2 . Unitarity is violated for energies beyond the ghost mass M .

In [3], a higher derivative correction to the propagator of the form

$$f(k^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{k^2 + m_n^2}, \quad c_n > 0 \quad \forall n \quad (2)$$

is considered. Due to the condition $c_n > 0$, Cutkosky's rules [4] ensure perturbative unitarity for generic Feynman diagrams.¹ In this paper we adopt the same type of propagators, thus our theories are automatically unitary. The models we consider are also manifestly Lorentz invariant. Hence, in the following we will focus our attention on the removal of UV divergence.

In [3] it was shown that to avoid UV divergence in the four dimensional ϕ^4 theory, the following conditions are sufficient:

$$\sum_n c_n m_n^2 = 0, \quad (3a)$$

$$\sum_n c_n = 0. \quad (3b)$$

Since all the parameters c_n must be greater than zero to ensure unitarity, these conditions look impossible. The trick is that, since there is an infinite number of c_n 's, analytic continuation can be used [3] to satisfy both conditions in eq. (3).

¹ In the check of unitarity, only poles with masses lower than the center of mass energy E need to be considered, and thus the fact that there are infinitely many poles in the propagator is irrelevant.

For example, with two constant parameters $z > 0$ and $a > 0$, let

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-z}}, \quad (4a)$$

$$c_n = e^{zn} \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4b)$$

$$m_0^2 = \frac{1 - e^{-z}}{1 - e^{-(z+a)}}, \quad (4c)$$

$$m_n^2 = e^{an}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4d)$$

then one can analytically continue the infinite sum to a simple form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-z}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{zn} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-z}} + \frac{e^z}{1 - e^z} = 0, \quad (5a)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n m_n^2 = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-(z+a)}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{n(z+a)} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-(z+a)}} + \frac{e^{z+a}}{1 - e^{z+a}} = 0. \quad (5b)$$

Note that the two infinite series above diverge if $z > 0$ or $(z + a) > 0$, respectively, but we define them by analytic continuation.

Three important issues must be addressed immediately. First, it is important that the analytic continuation can be carried out consistently throughout all calculations. This will be the main concern when we give a prescription for the computation of Feynman diagrams.

Secondly, some of the readers may be uncomfortable with this analytic continuation, in the absence of an intuitive physical interpretation. However, we will point out that a similar analytic continuation is naturally incorporated in string theory from the viewpoint of the worldsheet theory. It will be very interesting to construct an analogous worldsheet theory that will directly justify the analytic continuation used in our models. But we shall leave this problem for the future.

Finally, while there is an infinite number of poles in the propagator, this theory is also equivalent to a theory with an infinite number of scalar fields with masses m_n . If $m_n^2 \gg m_0^2$ for all $n > 0$, the low energy behavior of this theory is approximated by an ordinary scalar field theory with a single scalar field with mass m_0 .

In this paper we will discuss a generic scalar field theory in general even dimensions. After studying the relations among interaction vertices, internal lines, external lines and loops in Feynman diagrams, we enumerate the conditions sufficient to eliminate all superficial divergences to ensure UV-finiteness. In the last section, we will discuss the physical meaning of analytic continuation, making an analogy with string theory.

2 ϕ^n theory in 4 dimensions

In this section we study the patterns of UV-divergence in a ϕ^n theory in 4 dimensional space-time, and list all the conditions needed to eliminate all the UV-divergences. Roughly speaking, the more divergent a Feynman diagram is, the more conditions we need to make it finite. Thus we are particularly interested in the most divergent diagrams in order to find all the conditions needed to guarantee UV finiteness. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that there is a unique ϕ^n interaction in the theory. Nevertheless, our conclusion will also apply to more general theories including $\phi^{n-2}, \dots, \phi^4$ interactions, since one can always construct the most divergent diagrams with ϕ^n interactions alone.

In a diagram with superficial divergence of dimension D , in general there are divergent terms proportional to [3]

$$\sum_n c_n \Lambda^D, \quad \sum_n c_n m_n^2 \Lambda^{D-2}, \quad \dots, \quad \sum_n c_n m_n^{D-2} \Lambda^2, \quad \sum_n c_n m_n^D \log(\Lambda^2), \quad (6)$$

In 4 dimensions, the superficial divergence D is determined by the number of loops L and the number of internal lines (propagators) I as

$$D = 4L - 2I. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, the number of loops L is related to the number of vertices V and internal lines I via ²

$$L = I - V + 1. \quad (8)$$

This equation can be understood as follows. The calculation of a Feynman diagram with L loops always turns out to be an integration over L free momentum parameters (p_1, \dots, p_L) . On the other hand, the number of free momentum parameters should also equal the total number of momenta I assigned to each propagator (q_1, \dots, q_I) minus the number of constraints V for the momentum conservation at each vertex. However, the constraints of momentum conservation at all vertices are not linearly independent. The number 1 on the right hand side of (8) corresponds to the momentum conservation of the whole diagram, which is automatically satisfied by the assignment of external momenta.

² This equality does not apply to the one-loop diagram without vertices ($I = 1, V = 0$ and $L = 1$). This is because the propagator in the loop does not have its endpoints ending on vertices.




Fig 1.

Another equality that will be used later is

$$E = nV - 2I, \quad (9)$$

where E is the number of external lines and n the number of legs of each interaction vertex. Using the relations above, we can express D as

$$D = (n - 4)V - E + 4. \quad (10)$$

In the 4 dimensional ϕ^4 theory, D only depends on the number of external lines E as $D = 4 - E$, and is thus bounded from above by $D \leq 4$. This is why we only need two conditions (3) to eliminate the divergences of $D = 4$ and $D = 2$.

For ϕ^n theories with $n > 4$, the large V is, the higher superficial divergence D can be. *A priori* this may enforce us to impose infinitely many conditions of the form

$$\sum_n c_n m_n^{2r} = 0 \quad (11)$$

with $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. However the divergence of a diagram can sometimes be decomposed into lower dimensional divergences. For example, in the ϕ^4 theory, there is a diagram with superficial divergence $D = 4$ (see Fig.1), but since the two loops are separable, this diagram only needs a single condition of dimension 2 (3a) to avoid the divergence.

From section 3.4 of [3], a generic Feynman diagram with L loops is of the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{n_1 \dots n_L} c_{n_1} \dots c_{n_L} \int d^4 p_1 \dots \int d^4 p_L \prod_{i=1}^L \frac{1}{q_i^2 + m_{n_i}^2}, \quad (12)$$

where q_i is the momentum of the i -th internal line, which is a linear combination of the loop momenta p_j and the momenta of external lines k_i . Using Feynman's parameters, this quantity can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{M} \propto \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \int_0^1 d\alpha_1 \cdots \int_0^1 d\alpha_I \delta(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_I) \int d^4 p_1 \cdots \int d^4 p_L \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^I \alpha_i (q_i^2 + m_{n_i}^2) \right)^I}. \quad (13)$$

By shifting the loop momenta $p_j \rightarrow p'_j$, the integrand can be simplified as

$$\frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^L \beta_j p'_j{}^2 + \Delta \right)^I}, \quad (14)$$

where β_j 's are functions of the parameters α_i , and

$$\Delta = \Delta_0 + \sum_{i,j=1}^E A_{ij} k_i k_j, \quad \Delta_0 = \sum_{i=1}^I \alpha_i m_{n_i}^2, \quad (15)$$

where A_{ij} 's are function of the Feynman parameters α_i .

Before summing over n_1, \cdots, n_I , each integral in (13) is potentially divergent. Our prescription of calculation is to first regularize all divergent integrals by dimensional regularization $d = 4 - \epsilon$, and after imposing the conditions (3), we take the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to obtain the final result. One could also apply other regularization schemes instead of dimensional regularization. It was shown in [3] that, for the diagrams we computed explicitly, various different regularization methods give exactly the same result. This may be a general feature of our models, although a rigorous proof is yet to be given.

By the general formula of dimensional regularization[5]

$$\int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(l^2 + \nu^2)^n} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \frac{\Gamma(n - \frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} \right)^{n - \frac{d}{2}}, \quad (16)$$

apart from the integration over α 's, equation(13) can be integrated over loop momenta

p'_i 's one by one as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \int d^d p_1 \cdots \int d^d p_L \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^L \beta_j p_j'^2 + \Delta\right)^I} \\
& \propto \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \int d^d p_1 \cdots \int d^d p_{L-1} \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \beta_j p_j'^2 + \Delta\right)^{I-d/2}} \Gamma\left(I - \frac{d}{2}\right) \\
& \propto \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \int d^d p_1 \cdots \int d^d p_{L-2} \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L-2} \beta_j p_j'^2 + \Delta\right)^{I-2d/2}} \Gamma\left(I - \frac{d}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(I - \frac{2d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(I - \frac{d}{2}\right)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

It is easy to see that the Gamma function appearing in the denominator after integrating over a loop momentum always cancels the numerator due to the previous integral. After we integrate over all loop momenta, the final result of (17) is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)^{I-Ld/2} \Gamma\left(I - \frac{Ld}{2}\right) \\
& = \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)^{\frac{\epsilon L}{2} - \frac{D}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon L}{2} - \frac{D}{2}\right) \\
& \approx \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \Delta^{D/2} \left[1 + \frac{\epsilon L}{2} \log\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\right] \frac{(-1)^{D/2}}{\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)!} \left[\frac{2}{L\epsilon} + \left(-\gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{D/2} \frac{1}{k}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\right] \\
& \propto \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \Delta^{D/2} \left[\frac{2}{L\epsilon} + \log\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right) + \left(-\gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{D/2} \frac{1}{k}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where D is the superficial degree of divergence and $\epsilon = 4 - d$. The UV divergence of the diagram is summarized in the first term in (18), which diverges in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. To eliminate this UV divergence, we need

$$\sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \Delta^{D/2} = 0. \tag{19}$$

If this condition is satisfied, the third term also vanishes and the second term in (18) contributes to the finite part of the amplitude

$$\mathcal{M} \propto \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \int_0^1 d\alpha_1 \cdots \int_0^1 d\alpha_I \delta(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_I) \Delta^{D/2} \log\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right). \tag{20}$$

As we look at diagrams with higher and higher superficial divergence D , there is a chance of finding new conditions of the form (11) with larger and larger values of r in order for (19) to remain valid. To understand the precise connection between D and the values of r , we decompose (19) into equations of the form (11) with different values of r . But we only care about the largest value of r , r_{max} , (or the largest power on the masses m_n), since all conditions of the form (11) with $r < r_{max}$ are needed for all diagrams to be UV finite. According to (15), eq. (19) can be expanded (note that D is always even, see (7)) as

$$\sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \left(\Delta_0^{D/2} + \frac{D}{2} \Delta_0^{D/2-1} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^E A_{ij} k_i k_j \right) + C_2^{D/2} \Delta_0^{D/2-2} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^E A_{ij} k_i k_j \right)^2 + \cdots \right), \quad (21)$$

where $C_2^{D/2} = \frac{(D/2)(D/2-1)}{2}$, and the largest power on m_n^2 in (19) resides in the term

$$\sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \Delta_0^{D/2} = \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_I} c_{n_1} \cdots c_{n_I} \left(\sum_{i=1}^I \alpha_i m_{n_i}^2 \right)^{D/2} = 0. \quad (22)$$

Apparently, the conditions (3) ($\sum c_n = 0$ and $\sum c_n m_n^2 = 0$) needed for the ϕ^4 theory must also be needed for ϕ^n theory with $n > 4$. Thus we can first remove all the terms in (22) that already vanish due to these conditions. This means that in the expansion of $(\sum_{i=1}^I \alpha_i m_{n_i}^2)^{D/2}$, we must be able to associate at least two factors of $m_{n_i}^2$ to each c_{n_i} in order for a particular term to survive. However, since each term in the expansion of $(\sum_{i=1}^I \alpha_i m_{n_i}^2)^{D/2}$ is a product of $D/2$ powers of $\alpha_i m_{n_i}^2$, and there are I possible values of the index i on c_{n_i} to check, it will not be possible to associate two or more factors of $m_{n_i}^2$ for all values of i if

$$2I > D/2 = \frac{4L - 2I}{2} = 2L - I. \quad (23)$$

As a result there will be no condition other than $\sum c_n = 0$ and $\sum c_n m_n^2 = 0$ if

$$3I > 2L. \quad (24)$$

Combining this with eq. (8) leads to a trivial condition

$$V + I/2 > 1. \quad (25)$$

This condition is violated only by the one-loop diagram without vertex ($V = 0$), which is already considered in the ϕ^4 theory and vanishes under the conditions (3). Thus we have proven that in 4 dimensions all ϕ^n theories are UV finite if the propagator (2) satisfies the conditions (3).




Fig 2.

3 ϕ^n theory in arbitrary even dimensions

In general, the relation between the superficial divergence D and space-time dimension d is

$$D = dL - 2I. \quad (26)$$

In this paper we restrict our discussion to the cases of even dimensional space-time. The reason is that odd dimensions may lead to odd values of superficial divergence D , and $\Delta^{D/2}$ is no longer a polynomial of Δ_0 .

Repeating the arguments in the previous section for a generic even dimension d , we find (25) replaced by

$$V > 1 + \left(1 - \frac{6}{d}\right) I. \quad (27)$$

This condition can be easily violated when $d > 4$. For example, the simple ϕ^4 one-loop diagram in Fig.2 for 6 dimensional spacetime has a superficial divergence of $6 \times 1 - 2 \times 1 = 4$. Clearly we need one more condition $\sum c_n m_n^4 = 0$ in addition to (3).

The next question is: for given n and $d > 4$, do we need infinitely many conditions to ensure all diagrams to be finite, or only a finite number of conditions suffice to avoid all UV divergences?

To answer this question, we revisit eq. (22) in more detail. If we impose sufficiently many conditions of the form (11) to ensure that (22) vanishes, there would be no UV divergences. If $2I \leq D/2$, there are terms in (22) with a factor of m_{n_i} to the 4th or higher powers associated with each factor of c_{n_i} 's, and thus we need the condition $\sum c_n m_n^4 = 0$ in order to remove such terms. Similarly, if $3I \leq D/2$, we also need $\sum c_n m_n^6 = 0$, and so on. In general, for a Feynman diagram with superficial divergence D and I internal lines, we need conditions (11) with $r = 1, 2, \dots, [D/2I]$, where $[D/2I]$ denotes the integer part of $D/2I$. Therefore, we are interested in the maximal value of $[D/2I]$ for a ϕ^n theory in d dimensions with given n and d . If the set of $[D/2I]$ for all Feynman diagrams is unbounded from above, we need an infinite number of conditions.

Using (26), and then (8), one can express D in terms of V and I as³

$$D = dL - 2I = d(I - V + 1) - 2I = (d - 2)I - d(V - 1) \leq (d - 2)I. \quad (28)$$

This implies that there is an upper bound to the number $[D/2I]$, i.e.

$$D/2I \leq \frac{d - 2}{2}. \quad (29)$$

This means that for any given n and space-time dimension d , we only need the conditions

$$c_n m_n^{2r} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{d - 2}{2}. \quad (30)$$

Remarkably, this condition is independent of n . It follows that, for given dimension d , the same propagator that satisfies (30) suits all polynomial interactions of ϕ in our theory.

As it was commented in [3], the desired propagators satisfying all the conditions are easy to construct. Here we give a systematic way to construct propagators satisfying (30) for generic d .

With a set of $d/2$ positive parameters x_i , we define

$$c_n = \left[1 + x_1(n + 1) + x_2(n + 2)(n + 1) + \dots + x_{d/2} \frac{(n + d/2)!}{n!} \right] e^{zn}, \quad (31a)$$

$$m_n^2 = e^{an} \quad (31b)$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Denoting $\rho \equiv e^{z+ar}$ for convenience, we carry out the infinite sum $\sum c_n m_n^{2r}$ first assuming that $\rho < 1$, and then we analytically continue it back to $\rho > 1$. The result of $\sum c_n m_n^{2r}$ is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n m_n^{2r} = \frac{1}{1 - \rho} + x_1 \frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \rho} \right) + x_2 \frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \rho} \right) + \dots + x_{d/2} \frac{d^{d/2}}{d\rho^{d/2}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \rho} \right) \quad (32a)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{x_1}{\xi^2} + \frac{x_2}{\xi^3} + \dots + \frac{x_{d/2}}{\xi^{d/2+1}} \equiv h(\xi), \quad (32b)$$

where $\xi \equiv \frac{1}{1-\rho}$, which is negative definite when $\rho > 1$. We have sufficient parameters $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d/2}\}$ to fix the $d/2$ roots of ξ at desired positions $\{-\xi_1, -\xi_2, \dots, -\xi_{d/2}\}$ (ξ_i 's are positive). We can find the correspondence between x_i 's and ξ_i 's from

$$\xi^{d/2+1} h(\xi) = c(\xi + \xi_1)(\xi + \xi_1) \dots (\xi + \xi_{d/2}), \quad (33)$$

³ Again we are excluding the diagram without vertices. It can be checked separately that the conditions we will impose later will also ensure that these diagrams are free of UV divergences.

where c is an arbitrary real parameter. Apparently all x_i 's are positive because the polynomial (33) has no negative coefficients. As a result, all c_n 's are positive and unitarity is preserved.

4 Analytic continuation and string theory

4.1 Analytic continuation

It might appear strange to some readers that the analytic continuation of a parameter in the propagator is used to eliminate UV divergences. What is the physical meaning of this analytic continuation? We will try to give some hint to answering this question.

First, analytic continuation means the extension of the domain of a function $f(x)$ under the requirement of analyticity. For example, if we define $f(x)$ by the series

$$f(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n, \quad (34)$$

the domain of $f(x)$ should be restricted to $(-1, 1)$ because the radius of convergence is 1. However, we can extend the definition of $f(x)$ by analytic continuation to the whole complex plane except the point at $x = 1$, so that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}, \quad (x \in \mathbb{C}, \quad x \neq 1). \quad (35)$$

In mathematical manipulations of physical equations, there is a physical reason for analytic continuation. Due to the use of certain computational techniques or one's choice of formulation, the validity of some mathematical expressions may be restricted, but often the physical quantities we are computing could be well-defined with a larger range of validity. Relying on the analyticity of the physical problem, analytic continuation allows us to retrieve the full range of validity of our results, even though the validity of derivation is more restricted.

As an example, imagine that in a physical problem, we need to solve the following differential equation

$$(1-x)f'(x) - f(x) = 0. \quad (36)$$

One might try to solve this differential equation as an expansion

$$f(x) = f_0 + f_1x + f_2x^2 + \dots, \quad (37)$$

and obtain some recursion relations which results in the solution (34), up to an overall constant. If one analytically continues this result to (35), one can directly check that it is the correct solution of the differential equation even for x outside the range $(-1, 1)$. The appearance of the series (34) and the convergence condition $|x| < 1$ is merely an artifact of the technique used in derivation.

4.2 One-loop diagrams in string theory

In this subsection, we shall review how UV divergence is avoided in string theory via analytic continuation.

Apart from factors involving vertex operators, the formula for the amplitudes of one-loop diagrams contain a common factor [6]

$$A_0 = \int_0^1 d\omega \text{Tr} \omega^{L_0 - 2}, \quad (38)$$

where $L_0 = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + N$. This factor comes from the self energy diagram of an open string. The trace of eq. (38) includes summation over each state in the spectrum and




Fig 3.

integration of energy-momentum. The factor ω^{L_0} is an operator that propagates a string through a proper time of length $(-\ln \omega)$ (which is positive, since $\omega \leq 1$). The regime $\omega \rightarrow 1$ corresponds to a very short proper time, and thus a very narrow cylinder (see Fig.3); this is thus the ultraviolet regime.

To take a closer look at the UV behavior of A_0 (38), one can formally compute A_0




Fig 4.

as ⁴

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 &= \text{Tr} \frac{1}{L_0 - 1} \omega^{L_0 - 1} |0\rangle_0^1 \\
&= \int d^D p \sum_N \frac{c_N}{p^2 + N - 1} (1^{L_0 - 1} - 0^{L_0 - 1}) \\
&= \int d^D p \sum_N \frac{c_N}{p^2 + N - 1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The factor c_N comes from the symmetry factor of particles depending on their spin. Here we notice that the momentum integration leads to a UV divergence for each particle propagator. Naively, the sum over the contributions from infinitely many particles can only make the UV divergence infinitely worse. But it is well known that string theory is free from UV divergence. We will see below that the trick is analogous to the analytic continuation we used to regularize the scalar field theories.

Let us recall that string theory solves this UV problem by conformal symmetry and open-closed string duality. By scaling symmetry, a cylinder with length $\frac{2\pi^2}{-\ln \omega}$ (see Fig. 4) and circumference 2π is equivalent to Fig. 3. We can look at this diagram with a different perspective, interpreting it as a propagating closed string over a proper time $\frac{2\pi^2}{-\ln \omega}$. When ω is close to 1, the cylinder is very long. The $\omega \rightarrow 1$ regime is no longer the ultraviolet regime, but the infrared regime.

Defining $-\ln q \equiv \frac{2\pi^2}{-\ln \omega}$, let us consider a closed string propagating for the proper time $(-\ln q)$. The amplitude for this process is of the form

$$A_0 \sim \int_0^1 \frac{dq}{q} U, \tag{40}$$

⁴ For bosonic strings, the term $0^{L_0 - 1}$ also diverges. But our attention is on the UV divergent terms due to integration over the regime $\omega \sim 1$.

where U is the evolution operator that carries the initial state to the final state

$$U = \langle f | e^{(L_0 + \tilde{L}_0 - 2) \ln q} | i \rangle. \quad (41)$$

In the infrared regime $\omega \rightarrow 1$, a closed string with zero momentum propagates for a very long proper time. It follows that the states $|i\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ are of nearly zero momentum, and classical trajectories dominate the path integral. Since the equation of motion and level matching condition $L_0 = \tilde{L}_0$ are satisfied for the classical trajectories, we expect that

$$U \sim \langle f | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | e^{(2L_0 - 2) \ln q} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | i \rangle. \quad (42)$$

As there is a $1/q$ factor in the denominator in (40), the only divergence in A_0 comes from the tachyon ($L_0 = 0$), which can be removed in superstring theories, and the infrared divergence of the dilaton ($L_0 = 1$), which is analogous to the IR divergence typical in massless field theories. Therefore, one concludes that the UV divergence in an open superstring one-loop diagram disappears if we compute it in the closed string picture. Since it is the string worldsheet duality that allows us to identify the ill-defined expansion of A_0 in (39) with the UV-finite closed string tree level diagram, we want to take a closer look at the string worldsheet duality and compare it with our trick of analytic continuation.

4.3 $s - t$ duality and analytic continuation

The simplest example of string worldsheet duality is the $s - t$ duality of open-string 4-point amplitudes. Consider the 4-point tree-level scattering amplitudes in t -channel

$$A(s, t) = - \sum_J \frac{g_J^2 (-s)^J}{t - M_J^2}, \quad (43)$$

and s -channel

$$A'(s, t) = - \sum_J \frac{g_J^2 (-t)^J}{s - M_J^2}. \quad (44)$$

Note that in order for the two quantities to be identical $A(s, t) = A'(s, t)$, the sum \sum_J must be an infinite series and the masses M_J and couplings g_J must be fine-tuned. The

parameters are chosen such that

$$A(s, t) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha(s) + 1)(\alpha(s) + 2) \cdots (\alpha(s) + n)}{n!} \frac{1}{(\alpha(t) - n)}, \quad (45a)$$

$$A'(s, t) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha(t) + 1)(\alpha(t) + 2) \cdots (\alpha(t) + n)}{n!} \frac{1}{(\alpha(s) - n)}, \quad (45b)$$

where $\alpha(s) = \alpha's + \alpha_0$. Since $\alpha' > 0$, when $s > 0$ and $t > 0$, by the relation of gamma function

$$\Gamma(n + 1) = n\Gamma(n), \quad (46)$$

the amplitudes can also be written as

$$A(s, t) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha(s) + n + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha(s) + 1)n!} \frac{1}{(\alpha(t) - n)}, \quad (47a)$$

$$A'(s, t) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha(t) + n + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha(t) + 1)n!} \frac{1}{(\alpha(s) - n)}. \quad (47b)$$

These expressions seem divergent at first sight. According to Stirling's formula, the numerator of each term is of order $n^{\alpha(s)}$ or $n^{\alpha(t)}$ and the denominator is of order $1/n$. But if we first assume that $\alpha' < 0$, the series (47) converge to the form of an expansion of the beta function

$$B(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n - y + 1)}{n! \Gamma(-y + 1)} \frac{1}{x + n}, \quad y > 0. \quad (48)$$

Then we can analytically continue the quantities back to $\alpha' > 0$, and see that both $A(s, t)$ and $A'(s, t)$ in (47) can be expressed by the well-known Veneziano amplitude

$$A(s, t) = \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha(s))\Gamma(-\alpha(t))}{\Gamma(-\alpha(s) - \alpha(t))}. \quad (49)$$

In the sample calculation above, we reminded ourselves that the worldsheet duality, which is at the heart of UV-finiteness of string theory, is also a result of analytic continuation – the same trick we used to remove UV divergences in our field theory models. The duality that interchanges a one-loop open string diagram with a tree-level closed string diagram is a result of the Wick rotation on the worldsheet. The Wick rotation is an analytic continuation. The infinite number of poles, fine-tuned masses and couplings in string theory are all reminiscent to our choice of the propagator (2).

The key ingredients that allow us to remove UV divergences are exactly the same in our model and in string theory. The only difference is that in string theory the (much finer) fine-tuning leads to a large symmetry (conformal symmetry), and is capable of removing UV divergences even in the presence of vector and tensor fields. It is tempting to make the conjecture that the fine-tuning conditions (30) also correspond to some symmetries. We leave this question for future investigation.

5 Conclusion

Let us summarize our results. For a scalar field theory in d -dimensional spacetime (d must be even) with an action of the form

$$S = \int d^d x \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi f^{-1}(-\partial^2) \phi - V(\phi) \right), \quad (50)$$

where $V(\phi)$ is a polynomial of ϕ of arbitrary order, and the function $f(-\partial^2)$ in the kinetic term is given in (2), with the conditions in (30) satisfied, the theory is *UV-finite, unitary and Lorentz invariant* to all orders in the perturbative expansion. Remarkably, the conditions (30) are independent of the order of the polynomial interactions. It should be straightforward to generalize our discussion above to scalar field theories (50) with more than one scalar fields ϕ_a with polynomial type interactions.

The prescription for calculating Feynman diagrams is to first use dimensional regularization to regularize integrals over internal momenta, and then impose the conditions (30) to remove all UV divergences in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The infinite sums involved in the calculation are dealt with via analytic continuation. Roughly speaking, the conditions (30) remove the first $d/2$ terms in the large k expansion of the propagator

$$f(k^2) = \sum_n \frac{c_n}{k^2 + m_n^2} = \frac{\sum_n c_n}{k^2} - \frac{\sum_n c_n m_n^2}{k^4} + \frac{\sum_n c_n m_n^4}{k^6} - \dots \quad (51)$$

Hence the propagator goes to zero as fast as $1/k^{d+2}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, removing UV divergences for all diagrams.

Since the propagator $f(k^2)$ is the same as the sum over ordinary propagators of particles of mass m_n with a normalization constant c_n , the perturbation theory of (50) is the same as that of the action

$$S' = \int d^d x \left(\sum_n \frac{1}{2c_n} \phi_n (-\partial^2 + m_n^2) \phi_n - V\left(\sum_n \phi_n\right) \right). \quad (52)$$

The same action can also be written as

$$S'' = \int d^d x \left(\sum_n \frac{1}{2} \hat{\phi}_n (-\partial^2 + m_n^2) \hat{\phi}_n - V \left(\sum_n \sqrt{c_n} \hat{\phi}_n \right) \right), \quad (53)$$

where $\hat{\phi}_n = \phi_n / \sqrt{c_n}$. Therefore, the nonlocal scalar field theory (50) is equivalent to a theory of infinitely many scalar fields with fine tuned masses and coupling constants.

The analogy between string theory and the higher derivative theory defined by the propagator (2) was made in Sec. 4. While the worldsheet conformal symmetry justifies the analytic continuation and fine tuning of the mass spectrum in string theory, it would be of crucial importance to search for a symmetry principle underlying the fine-tuning conditions (30). We notice that the partition function

$$Z[J_n] = \int \prod_n D\hat{\phi}_n e^{-S''[\hat{\phi}_n] + \int d^d x \hat{\phi}_n J_n} \quad (54)$$

has the algebraic property

$$Z[J_n + \alpha \sqrt{c_n} m_n^{2r+2}] = e^{\int d^d x \sum_n (\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 c_n m_n^{4r+2} + \alpha \sqrt{c_n} m_n^{2r} J_n)} Z[J_n] \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots, (d-2)/2). \quad (55)$$

which implies that the quantity

$$\tilde{Z}[J_n] \equiv e^{-\int d^d x \sum_n \frac{1}{2m_n^2} J_n^2} Z[J_n] \quad (56)$$

is invariant under the transformation

$$J_n \rightarrow J_n + \alpha \sqrt{c_n} m_n^{2r+2} \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots, (d-2)/2). \quad (57)$$

However, the physical significance of this algebraic property and the underlying symmetry principle still remain mysterious.

Another direction for future study is to extend our results to field theories of various spins. It will be very interesting to generalize our approach to incorporate gauge fields, the graviton, and even higher spin fields.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Chuang-Tsung Chan, Chien-Ho Chen, Ru-Chuen Hou, Yu-Ting Huang, Takeo Inami, Hsien-Chung Kao, Yeong-Chuan Kao, Yutaka Matsuo, Darren Sheng-Yu Shih and Chi-Hsien Yeh for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by the National Science Council, and the National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taiwan, R.O.C.

References

- [1] R. P. Feynman, “Space-time approach to quantum electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev.* **76**, 769 (1949).
- [2] W. Pauli and F. Villars, “On the Invariant regularization in relativistic quantum theory,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21**, 434 (1949).
- [3] P. M. Ho and Y. Y. Tian, “UV-finite scalar field theory with unitarity,” *JHEP* **0501**, 026 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0410248].
- [4] R. E. Cutkosky, “Singularities and discontinuities of Feynman amplitudes,” *J. Math. Phys.* **1**, 429 (1960).
- [5] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, “An Introduction To Quantum Field Theory,” *Reading, USA: Addison-Wesley (1995) 842 p*
- [6] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, “Superstring Theory. Vol. 2: Loop Amplitudes, Anomalies And Phenomenology,” *Cambridge, Uk: Univ. Pr. (1987) 596 P. (Cambridge Monographs On Mathematical Physics)*