

# Separating pairs of points in the plane by monotone subsequences

TOMOKI NAKAMIGAWA

*Department of Mathematics  
Keio University  
Yokohama 223-8522  
Japan*

MAMORU WATANABE

*Department of Computer Science and Mathematics  
Kurashiki University of Science and the Arts  
Kurashiki 712-8505  
Japan*

## Abstract

Let  $S$  be a finite set of points in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer. A pair of points  $\{a, b\}$  of  $S$  is called  $k$ -linked if there exists a weakly monotone sequence with  $k+1$  points of  $S$  in which  $a$  and  $b$  are two endpoints. Let  $f(n, k)$  be the maximum integer  $t$  such that every  $n$ -set  $S \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  has  $t$   $k$ -linked pairs. It is known that  $f(n, k) = 0$  if and only if  $n \leq k^2$ . Let  $t(n, k)$  be  $(1/2) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k (\lfloor (n+i-1)/k \rfloor - k + 1)(\lfloor (n+i-1)/k \rfloor - k)$  for  $n \geq k^2 + 1$ . It is known that  $f(n, 2) = t(n, 2)$  for  $n \geq 5$ . In this paper, it is shown that  $f(n, 3) = t(n, 3)$  for  $n \geq 10$ . For  $k \geq 4$ , it is shown that there exists a positive constant  $c_k$  depending only on  $k$  such that  $t(n, k) - c_k \leq f(n, k) \leq t(n, k)$  for  $n \geq k^2 + 1$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $S$  be a set in the Euclidean  $d$ -dimensional space  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . We say that  $S$  is an  $n$ -set if  $|S| = n$ . A sequence of points  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l \subset \mathbf{R}^d$  is called *weakly monotone* or simply *monotone* if it is weakly monotone in each of its coordinates. We say that  $v_1$  and  $v_l$  are endpoints of the sequence. Let  $k$  be a positive integer. A pair of points  $\{a, b\}$  of  $S$  is called  $k$ -*linked* if there exists a monotone sequence in  $S$  with  $k+1$  points containing  $a$  and  $b$  as its endpoints. Throughout the paper, we concentrate on the case  $d = 2$ .

For a positive integer  $n$ , let  $f(n, k)$  be the largest integer  $t$  such that every  $n$ -set  $S \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  has  $t$   $k$ -linked pairs. In this paper, we study  $f(n, k)$ . Obviously  $f(n, 1) = \binom{n}{2}$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . The Erdős-Szekeres theorem on monotone subsequences implies that  $f(n, k) = 0$  if and only if  $n \leq k^2$  [2]. Several proofs of the Erdős-Szekeres theorem are reviewed in [3]. Alon, Füredi and Katchalski introduced a notion of separation for a pair of points in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  [1]. A 2-subset  $\{a, b\}$  is called *separated* if it is not 2-linked in our definition. In [1], it is proved that  $f(n, 2) = \binom{n}{2} - (\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + n - 2)$  for  $n \geq 2$ , and the corresponding problem in the case of higher dimensions is asymptotically solved.

## 2 Main Results

First, we show an upper bound of  $f(n, k)$ . Let us partition  $n$  into  $k$  parts as equal as possible. Precisely, set  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$  such that  $n_i = \lfloor (n+i-1)/k \rfloor$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . For  $v \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , let us denote the coordinates of  $v$  by  $(x(v), y(v))$ . Put  $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  such that  $x(v_i) = i$  and  $(y(v_1), y(v_2), \dots, y(v_n)) = (n_1, n_1 - 1, \dots, 1, n_1 + n_2, n_1 + n_2 - 1, \dots, n_1 + 1, \dots, n, n - 1, \dots, n - n_k + 1)$ . Let  $t(n, k)$  be the number of  $k$ -linked pairs of  $S$ . It is easily checked that  $t(n, k) = 0$  for  $n \leq k^2$  and  $t(n, k) = (1/2) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - k + 1)(n_i - k)$  for  $n \geq k^2 + 1$ . By the definition,  $f(n, k) \leq t(n, k)$  holds. It is plausible that the above arrangement is a best one.

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $n$  and  $k$  be positive integers. Then  $f(n, k) = t(n, k)$ .*

We propose another conjecture, which is slightly stronger than Conjecture 1. For a finite set  $S \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  and for a point  $v \in S$ , we denote the number of points of  $S$  being  $k$ -linked with  $v$  by  $n_k(v)$ .

**Conjecture 2.** *Let  $n = mk + 1$  with  $m \geq k \geq 1$ . Then every  $n$ -set  $S \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  contains a point  $v$  such that  $n_k(v) \geq m - k + 1$ .*

Note that Conjecture 2 implies Conjecture 1. Indeed, assume that Conjecture 2 holds for a fixed  $k$  and for all  $m$  with  $m \geq k$ . Let  $S \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  be an  $n$ -set with  $mk + 1 \leq n \leq (m+1)k$ . Let us take a point  $v \in S$  with  $n_k(v) \geq m - k + 1$ . Set  $S' = S - v$ . Then by induction on  $n$ , the number of  $k$ -linked pairs in  $S'$  is at least  $t(n-1, k)$ . Hence, the number of  $k$ -linked pairs in  $S$  is at least  $m - k + 1 + t(n-1, k) = t(n, k)$ .

Conjecture 2 is true for  $m = k$  [2], and for  $k = 2$  with all  $m \geq 2$  [1]. In this paper, we prove:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n = mk + 1$  with  $k \geq 3$  and  $m \geq (k-1)^2$ . Then every  $n$ -set  $S \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  contains a point  $v$  such that  $n_k(v) \geq m - k + 1$ .*

For  $k = 3$ , Theorem 3 with the result of Erdős-Szekeres implies the following result.

**Corollary 4.**  *$f(n, 3) = t(n, 3)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

For  $k \geq 4$ ,  $f(n, k) - f(n-1, k) \geq t(n, k) - t(n-1, k)$  holds for  $n \geq (k-1)^2k + 1$  by Theorem 3. Hence, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.** *For  $k \geq 4$ , there exists a positive constant  $c_k$  depending only on  $k$  such that  $t(n, k) - c_k \leq f(n, k) \leq t(n, k)$ .*

### 3 Proof of Theorem 3

Let  $S$  be an  $n$ -set in the plane such that  $n_k(v) \leq m - k$  for all  $v \in S$ . We may assume  $x(a) \neq x(b)$  and  $y(a) \neq y(b)$  for any pair  $\{a, b\}$  of  $S$ , because otherwise we may slightly change a position of some point without increasing the number of  $k$ -linked pairs. Let  $v_0 \in S$  be the leftmost point of  $S$ . Precisely,  $x(v_0) \leq x(v)$  holds for all  $v \in S$ . Set  $S^+ = \{v \in S : y(v) > y(v_0)\}$  and  $S^- = \{v \in S : y(v) < y(v_0)\}$ . For a pair  $\{a, b\}$  of  $S$ , let us denote the largest integer  $t$  such that  $a$  and  $b$  are  $t$ -linked with each other by  $d(a, b)$ . Set  $S_i = \{v \in S : d(v, v_0) = i\}$ ,  $S_i^+ = S_i \cap S^+$  and  $S_i^- = S_i \cap S^-$  for  $i \geq 1$ . We cannot have  $u, v \in S_i^+$  with  $x(u) < x(v)$  and  $y(u) < y(v)$  since then the monotone sequence ending at  $(x(u), x(v))$  could be extended to include  $(x(v), y(v))$ , contradicting its assumed maximality. Thus each  $S_i^+$  is the range of a monotone sequence with the  $x$  components increasing and the  $y$  components decreasing. Similarly each  $S_i^-$  is the range of a monotone sequence with the  $x$  components and the  $y$  components both increasing. Let  $v_i^+$  and  $v_i^-$  be the rightmost points of  $S_i^+$  and  $S_i^-$ , respectively for each  $i$ . Moreover, let  $w$  be the rightmost point of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} S_i$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $w = v_\alpha^-$  for some  $\alpha$  with  $1 \leq \alpha \leq k - 1$ . Because  $S_i^-$  is monotone,  $v_i^-$  is  $k$ -linked with at least  $|S_i^-| - k$  points in  $S_i^-$  for each  $i$ . Since  $n_k(v_i^-) \leq m - k$ , we have

$$|S_i^-| \leq m \quad (1)$$

for each  $i$ . We can make a better estimate for  $n_k(w)$ , because  $w$  is  $k$ -linked with at least  $|S_i^+| - (k - 1)$  points in  $S_i^+$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ . It follows that  $m - k \geq n_k(w) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (|S_i^+| - (k - 1)) + |S_\alpha^-| - k$ . Hence, we have

$$|S_\alpha^-| + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |S_i^+| \leq m + (k - 1)^2. \quad (2)$$

Set  $T = \bigcup_{i \geq k} S_i$ . Since  $T$  is the set of points  $k$ -linked with  $v_0$ , we have

$$|T| \leq m - k. \quad (3)$$

Case 1.  $x(v_j^-) > x(v_{j+1}^-)$  for some  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq k - 2$ .

First, we assume that  $j \neq \alpha$ . In this case, we have  $n_k(v_j^-) \geq |S_j^-| - k + |S_{j+1}^-| - (k - 1)$ . Then we have

$$|S_j^-| + |S_{j+1}^-| \leq m + (k - 1). \quad (4)$$

By adding (1) for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$  and  $i \neq j, j + 1, \alpha$ , (2), (3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} n - 1 &\leq (k - 4)m + m + (k - 1)^2 + m - k + m + (k - 1) \\ &= (k - 1)m + (k - 1)^2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the assumption that  $m \geq (k-1)^2$ . If  $j = \alpha$ , then (2) can be replaced by

$$|S_\alpha^-| + |S_{\alpha+1}^-| + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |S_i^+| \leq m + k(k-1).$$

In the same manner as in the case  $j \neq \alpha$ , we have  $m \leq (k-1)^2 - 1$ , a contradiction.

Case 2.  $x(v_i^-) < x(v_{i+1}^-)$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ .

In this case,  $\alpha = k-1$  and  $w = v_{k-1}^-$ . By the definition of  $S_i^-$ , we have  $y(v_i^-) > y(v_{i+1}^-)$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ . We claim that  $|S_1^-| \geq k$ . Indeed, by adding (1) for all  $i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq k-2$ , (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} n-1 - |S_1^-| &\leq (k-3)m + m + (k-1)^2 + m - k \\ &= (k-1)m + (k-1)^2 - k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have  $|S_1^-| - k \geq n-1 - (k-1)m - (k-1)^2 = m - (k-1)^2 \geq 0$ , as required. Let us partition  $S^+$  into  $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_{k-1} \cup P \cup Q$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &= \{v \in S_i^+ : x(v) < x(v_1^-)\} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ P &= \{v \in S^+ \cap T : x(v) < x(v_1^-)\}, \\ Q &= \{v \in S^+ : x(v) > x(v_1^-)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $z$  be the leftmost point of  $S_1^-$ . Since  $|S_1^-| \geq k$ , all the points of  $Q$  are  $k$ -linked with  $z$ . Therefore  $n_k(z) \geq |S_1^-| - k + |Q|$  holds. Hence, we have

$$|S_1^-| + |Q| \leq m. \quad (5)$$

Next, we consider  $n_k(w)$ . Since  $v_1^-, v_2^-, \dots, v_{k-1}^- (= w)$  is monotone decreasing and  $U_i$  is monotone decreasing for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , at least  $|U_i| - 1$  points of  $U_i$  are  $k$ -linked with  $w$ . Therefore  $n_k(w) \geq |S_{k-1}^-| - k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (|U_i| - 1)$  holds. Hence, we have

$$|S_{k-1}^-| + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |U_i| \leq m + k - 1. \quad (6)$$

Lastly, note that  $n_k(v_0) = |T| \geq |T \cap S^-| + |P|$ . Hence, we have

$$|T \cap S^-| + |P| \leq m - k. \quad (7)$$

By adding (1) for all  $i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq k-2$ , (5), (6) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} n-1 &\leq (k-3)m + m + (m+k-1) + (m-k) \\ &= mk - 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## Acknowledgment

We thank the referees for helpful suggestions, which improved the presentation of the paper.

## References

- [1] N. Alon, Z. Füredi and M. Katchalski, Separating pairs of points by standard boxes, *Europ. J. Combin.* **6** (1985), 205–210.
- [2] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry, *Compositio Math.* **2** (1935), 463–470.
- [3] J. M. Steele, Variations on the monotone subsequence theme of Erdős and Szekeres, *Discrete probability and algorithms* (Minneapolis, MN, 1993), 111–131, *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.* **72**, Springer, New York, 1995.

(Received 28 Feb 2003)