



Notification template for Articles 133 and 134(5) of the Capital Requirements Directives (CRD) – Systemic risk buffer (SyRB)

Template for notifying the European Central Bank (ECB) and European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB) of the setting or resetting of one or more systemic risk buffer rates pursuant to Article 133(9) CRD and to request that the ESRB issue a recommendation to other Member States to reciprocate the measure under Article 134(5) CRD

Please send/upload this template to

- <u>macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ECB (under Article 5 of the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM) Regulation¹);
- DA<u>RWIN/ASTRA</u> when notifying the ESRB.

The ESRB will forward the notification to the European Commission, the European Banking Authority (EBA) and the competent and designated authorities of the Member States concerned without delay. This notification will be made public by the ESRB once the relevant authorities have adopted and published the notified macroprudential measure².

E-mailing/uploading this template to the above addresses constitutes official notification; no further official letter is required. To facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows the information to be read electronically.

1. Notifying national authority	and scope of the notification
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Ministry for Business, Industry and Financial Affairs.
1.2 Country of the notifying authority	Denmark
	Which SyRB measure do you intend to implement?
1.2 Turns of massure (also for	□ Change the level of an existing SyRB
1.3 Type of measure (also for reviews of existing measures)	Change the scope of an existing SyRB (incl. changes to a subset of institutions or exposures)
	□ De-activate an existing SyRB
	□ Reset an existing SyRB (review)

¹ Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013 conferring specific tasks on the European Central Bank concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions (OJ L 287, 29.10.2013, p. 63).

² On request by the notifying authority, it may be agreed with the Head of the ESRB Secretariat that this notification, or a part thereof, should not be published for reasons of confidentiality or financial stability.

2. Description of the measure	1					
	Please indicate whether the	e SyRB applies to:				
	☑ All institutions authors	☑ All institutions authorised in the Member State				
	□ One or more subsets of credit institutions in the sector (please provide the names and identifiers (Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) code) of institutions covered)					
2.1 Institutions covered by the	Name of institution	LEI code	Consolidation level			
intended SyRB		parent is established in a mes and identifiers (LEI c				
	Name of subsidiary	Name of the parent	LEI code of the subsidiary			
	<u>Nordea Kredit</u> <u>Realkreditaktieselskab</u>	<u>Nordea Bank Abp (Finland)</u>	52990080NNXXLC14OC65			
	Express Bank A/S	BNP Paribas	529900PTQQGGAZ61PQ39			
2.2 Exposures covered by the SyRB (Article 133(5) CRD)	residential propert (ii) □ all exposures to commercial immo (iii) □ all exposures to (iii);	d in the Member State that al exposures located in the ures to natural persons that ty; o legal persons that are se vable property; o legal persons excluding o natural persons excluding <u>e sectoral exposures identi</u> ion 2.3; d in other Member States;	t is setting the buffer; Member State that is at are secured by ecured by mortgages on those specified in point g those specified in point			
2.3 Subsets of sectoral exposures	identify the subset	oint 2.2 (c)), please specif	hensions that were used to as laid down in the EBA			

1. Type of debtor or counterparty sector Nan-financial corporations 3. a Economic activity Teal estate activities "Need Townson associations and basing associations and basing associations in Additional Townson associations in Additional Townson associations in Additional Townson associations in Additional Townson associations and basing associations in Additional Townson associations in Additional Townson associations in Additional Townson associations in the systemic relevance of the tasks stemming from this subset (aking into accounts) or a significant share of the total lending of credit institutions of the present conducted in accounts for a significant share of the total lending of credit institutions and medium sized basis have a significant and increasing share of exposures towards real estate companies accounts for 37 per cent of total lending of credit institutions and medium sized basis have a significant and increasing share of exposures towards real estate companies accounts for 37 per cent of total corporate lending as of <u>Cl 2023</u> . (i) Risk factors point to riskiness of the portfolic: A number of risk factors point to riskiness of the companies incomes. This increases the risk that real estate companies income as well as value of assets posted as collateral are sensitive to interest rate indexing of economic growth have a negative impact on the real estate companies the isk and real estate companies design. In additional real estate companies in the commercial real estate companies account for a significant share of total lending (14 pcl). Historically suffered large losses. (iii) Interconnectedness: Implementer and avalue of assets the size and estate economic growth have a negative impact on the real estate ecompanies will not be able to service the is loss	Dimensions/subdimensions	Elements
and the second base of the second base	1. Type of debtor or counterparty sector	Non-financial corporations
2. <i>Risk profile</i> Unsecured and the part of secured second and the part of secure distributions of the systemic relevance of the risks stemming from this subset, taking into account for a significant share of the total lending of credit institutions (14 per cent) The share has also been increasing over the past years. Especially mortgage credit institutions and medium sized banks have a significant and increasing share of exposures towards real estate companies. Lending to real estate companies accounts for 37 per cent of total corporate lending as of 24 2023. (ii) Riskiness: A mumber of risk factors point to riskiness of the portfolio: • Real estate will as value of assets posted as collateral are sensitive to insign interest traincreases. • Higher interest rate increases. • Higher interest rate increases. • Higher interest rate increases. • Credit exposures towards real estate companies will not be able to service their loans based on their current profits. In addition, declining prices in the companies will not be able to service their loans based on their current profits. In addition, declining prices in the companies will not be able to service their loans based on their current profits. In addition, declining areases the size of potential losses for the institutions. • Credit exposures towards real estate companies has historically subfred large losses. (iii) Interconnectedness: Real estate companies are highly escular thare of economic activity and a significant share of total lending (14 pc.	1.a Economic activity	apart from social housing associations and housing cooperative associations). Additionally, "Development of building projects" (41.1) under "Construction" (NACE
9. Type of collateral Unsecured and the part of secured exposures outside the 0-15% LTV-band. 3 a Geographical area Dummak • Assessment conducted in accordance with Section 5 of the EBA Guidelines on the systemic relevance of the risks stemming from this subset, taking into account: (i) Size: Real-estate companies (companies designated based on 1, a above) account for a significant share of the total lending of credit institutions (14 per cent) The share has also been increasing over the past years. Especially mortgage credit institutions and medium sized banks have a significant and increasing share of exposures towards real estate companies. Lending to real estate companies accounts for 37 per cent of total corporate lending as of Q1 2023. (ii) Riskiness: A number of risk factors point to riskiness of the portfolio: • Real estate companies and general economic conditions. Both the companies' increases the also value of assets posted as collateral are sensitive to interest rates increases. • Higher interest rates and a weakening of economic growth have a negative impact on the real estate companies finances. This increases the size of potential losses for the institutions. • Credit exposures towards real estate companies has historically suffered large losses. (iii) Interconnectedness: • Real estate companies account for a significant share of economic activity and a significant share of total lending (14 pcl.). Historically, the development in the comparical real estate sector has contributed to anplifying cycical fluctuations, for example vis the section and redrependent	2. Type of exposure	All types of exposure
3.a Geographical area Demmats 3.a Geographical area Demmats 4. Assessment conducted in accordance with Section 5 of the EBA Guidelines on the systemic relevance of the risks stemming from this subset, taking into account: (1) Size: Real-estate companies (companies designated based on 1, a above) account for a significant share of the total lending of credit institutions (14 per cent) The share has also been increasing over the past years. Especially mortgage credit institutions and medium sized banks have a significant and increasing share of exposures towards real estate companies. Lending to real estate companies accounts for 37 per cent of total corporate lending as of 01 2023. (1) Riskiness: A number of risk factors point to riskiness of the portfolio: • Real estate companies are disective to rising interest rates and general economic conditions. Both the companies' income, as well as value of assets posted ac collateral are sensitive to interest rates ind assets posted an collateral are sensitive to interest rates ind a seakening of economic growth have a negative impact on the real estate companies' finances. This increases the risk that real estate companies will not be able to service their loans based on their current profits. In addition, declining prices in the commercial real estate market may increase the size of potential losses for the institutions. • Credit exposures towards real estate companies has historically suffered large losses. (iii) Interconnectdness: Real estate companies account for a significant share of economic activity and a significant share of toe conomic al estate sector can therefore lead to losses on loans to other industries and among households. The materialization	2.a Risk profile	
 Assessment conducted in accordance with Section 5 of the EBA Guidelines on the systemic relevance of the risks stemming from this subset, taking into account: Stze: Real-estate companies (companies designated based on 1, a above) account for a significant share of the total lending of credit institutions (14 per cent) The share has also been increasing over the past years. Especially mortgage credit institutions and medium sized banks have a significant and increasing shore the past years. Especially mortgage credit institutions and medium sized banks have a significant and increasing shore of exposures towards real estate companies. Lending to real estate companies accounts for 37 per cent of total corporate lending as of Q1 2023. Riskiness: Real estate companies are highly sensitive to rising interest rates and general economic conditions. Both the companies' income, as well as value of assets posted as collateral are sensitive to interest rate increases. Higher interest rates and a weakening of economic growth have a negative impact on the real estate companies will not be able to service their loans based on their current profits. In addition, declining prices in the commercial real estate market may increase the size of potential losses for the institutions. Credit exposures towards real estate companies historically suffered large losses. (iii) Interconnectedness: Real estate companies account for a significant share of economic activity and a significant share of total lending (14 pcL). Historically, the development in the commercial real estate sector has contributed to amplifying cyclical fluctuations, for example via its effect on construction activity. Problems in the real estate ecomy and using households. The materialization of risk in the targeted subset could lead to negative spill-over	3. Type of collateral	
 Guidelines on the systemic relevance of the risks stemming from this subset. taking into account: (i) Size: Real-estate companies (companies designated based on 1. a above) account for a significant share of the total lending of credit institutions (14 per cent) The share has also been increasing over the past years. Especially mortgage credit institutions and medium sized banks have a significant and increasing share of exposures towards real estate companies. Lending to real estate companies accounts for 37 per cent of total corporate lending as of Chi 2023. (ii) Riskiness: A number of risk factors point to riskiness of the portfolio: Real estate companies accounts for 37 per cent of total corporate lending as of Chi 2023.	3.a Geographical area	Denmark
targeted?	 Guidelines on the systemic releasubset, taking into account: (i) Size: Real-estate compania 1.a above) account for a sincreasing over the past year institutions and medium sizincreasing share of exposure Lending to real estate comporter lending as of Q1 (ii) Riskiness: A number of risk factors Real estate companies rates and general econdincome, as well as values sensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values sensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values ensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values ensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values ensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values ensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values ensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values ensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values ensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values ensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values ensitive to interest rates and general econdincome, as well as values ensitive to interest rates and have a negative impact finances. This increased will not be able to serve profits. In addition, decoding the institutions. Credit exposures toware historically suffered lar (iii) Interconnectedness: Real estate companies accondicativity and a signed Historically, the development has contributed to amplifying its effect on construction activity and a signed Historically, the development has contributed to amplifying its effect on construction activity and a signed and among households. The materialization of risk in negative spill-over effects the economy. Real-estate companies are dependent on credit instituticompanies also have some increasing the risk of container as a suffer at the level of a sector 	rdance with Section 5 of the EBA wance of the risks stemming from this es (companies designated based on gnificant share of the total lending of ent) The share has also been ears. Especially mortgage credit ted banks have a significant and ares towards real estate companies. panies accounts for 37 per cent of total 2023. point to riskiness of the portfolio: is are highly sensitive to rising interest nomic conditions. Both the companies' ue of assets posted as collateral are te increases. Ind a weakening of economic growth et on the real estate companies' es the risk that real estate companies ice their loans based on their current clining prices in the commercial real rease the size of potential losses for ards real estate companies has rge losses. count for a significant share of inificant share of total lending (14 pct.). ent in the commercial real estate sector ng cyclical fluctuations, for example via ctivity. Problems in the real estate to losses on loans to other industries in the targeted subset could lead to o other exposures as well as the e highly capital intensive and are tion-financing. Danish pension e exposures to the real estate market, gion to the broader financial sector.

	The measure tail real-estate comp exposures to leg commercial imm corporations not have put up as of The measure is income closely r properties. It tar secured by com commercial or re estate (CRE). Lending to "Coo per cent of lendi code "Real estat rise to losses in activities of coop those of real esta purpose, but are severally liable f 'Cooperative hoi Lending to "Soci cent of lending t "Real estate acti government gua weight of 0 per of sector-specific s 'Social housing a In order to align in the original re measure exemp LTV-band. This identified by the	panies. A broad gal persons the novable propertion is subject to the collateral their intended to the gets corporate mercial and re- esidential propertion perative housines the same way be activities". I the same way be activities and re- esidential propertion is a business the activities and re- esidential propertion of the same way be activities. I the same way be activities and re- esidential propertion is a business to business the activities and re- esidential propertion of the same way be activities. I the same way be activities and re- esidential propertion of the same way be activities and re- esidential propertion of the same way be activities. I the same way be activities and re- esidential propertion of the same way be activities and re- esidential propertion of the same way be activities and re- esidential propertion of the same way be activities. I the same way be activities and re- esidential properties and re- esidential properties and re- esidential properties. I the same way be activities and re- esidential properties and r	ader coverage o at are secured b rty would dispro- e identified risks office or produc- arget loans to co- development, re- e exposures and esidential proper- berties are also ing societies" ac ses engaged in distorically, these as real estate of ng societies also s in that they do e members, who on this basis all of s' will be exempted and will therefore outfer. On this basis h is why that pa ans will therefore outfer. On this basis will be exempted and will be exempted and the buffer, n from the Syste each exposure f ementation addi	f the buffer, i by mortgages portionately , i.e. corpora- ction building mpanies with antal or buyin d can be both rties. In this in called commen- counts for a activities under to differ signif o not have a companies. to differ signif o not have a companies. the firm the companies to the form the companies to the form the bu- with the imp emic Risk Co- that lies in th resses the ris	targeting all s on affect tes that is. h a primary g/selling of n exposures notification tercial real pprox. 12 der activity e not given The ficantly from commercial and buffer. trox. 26 per activity code ed by central n has a risk ected by a sures to uffer. act intended puncil, the e 0-15% sks
2.4 Exposures located in other Member States and in third countries	of the covered e	•	cated in Denma	ırk <mark>.</mark>	
	[
	Exposures	New S	SyRB rate	Previous	SyRB rate
		All institutions (SyRB rate)	Set of institutions (range of SyRB rates)	All institutions (SyRB rate)	Set of institutions (range of SyRB rates)
2.5 Buffer rate	(a) All exposures located in the Member State that is	%	% - %		
(Article 133(9)(e) CRD)	setting the buffer		he Member State		
	(b) The following sectoral expo that is setting the buffer:	isures located in t	ne meniber State		
	(i) All retail exposures to natural persons that are secured by residential property	%	% - %		
	(ii) All exposures to legal persons that are secured by	%	% - %		

	mortgages on co immovable prope				
	(iii) All exposures persons excludin specified in point	g those	%	% - %	
	(iv) All exposures persons excludin specified in point	g those	%	% - %	
_	(c) All exposures other Member St		%	% - %	
-	(e) Exposures loo third countries	cated in	%	% - %	
	(f) Subsets of any	y of the sectoral	exposures iden	tified in point (b):	
_	(i) Exposures to companies]	real-estate	<u>7%</u>	% - %	
I	he same buffer	rate is applie	d to all institut	ions.	
			Set of in	stitutions	
	Exposures	Name of institution		code New SyRI rate	B Previous SyRB rate
_	[%	
-				%	
3. Timing for the measure					<u>I</u>
Ē	<u>CB:</u> provide t	the date on v	which the de	? <u>For SSM countrie</u> cision referred to ir tion (SSMR) will be	
re		on regarding	the activation	-	published a sk buffer of 7 per cent
а				-	and Financial Affairs, the Danish Systemic
	On April 25 th th uffer to take e			on an adjusted imp	plementation of the
V	Vhat is the pro	oposed date	of publicatio	n of the notified m	easure?
2	023. In the sa	ame announ	cement, the	Risk Council was p Minister for Busine to follow the meas	•
h		on on impler	nenting the r	neasure as soon a	expects to announce as the Commission
fo re	or Business, I	ndustry and	Financial Aff	ished a recommen fairs on October 3 ^r mic risk buffer tar <u>c</u>	
	he Minister fo				

3.4 Timing for application	What is the intended date of application of the measure? 30/06/2024
3.5 Phasing in	No phasing-in is envisioned
3.6 Review/deactivation of the measure	Until when will the measure presumably be in place? What are the conditions for its deactivation? On what indicators would the decision be based? Please specify whether you intend to review the measure before the maximum period of two years foreseen in Article 133(8)(b) CRD. The decision will be reviewed at the latest after two years. Monitoring of risks related to the commercial real estate market and real estate companies is part of the regular surveillance and assessment of systemic risks performed by the Danish Systemic Risk Council. Should there be a significant shift in the risk assessment of the segment subject to the sector specific systemic risk buffer, that would also feed into the assessment of the appropriate level of the systemic risk buffer.
4. Reasons for the notified Sy	RB
4.1 Description of the macroprudential or systemic risk in your Member State (Article 133(9)(a) of the CRD)	 Where applicable, please classify the risks targeted by the notified SyRB under the following categories: (i) risks stemming from the structural characteristics of the banking sector Size and concentration of banks Ownership structure Other structural risks (ii) risks stemming from the propagation and amplification of shocks within the financial system Exposure concentration/asset commonality Commonality in bank business models Financial interconnections and contagion (iii) risks to the banking system stemming from either the real economy or specific sectors Economic openness Sectoral risks from the private non-financial sector, households and the public sector (iv) Other risks Please specify: Whether these risks are widespread across the whole financial sector? Or whether they are concentrated only in one or more subsets of the sector? Exposures to real-estate companies (as defined in 2.3.) make up a significant share of lending by Danish credit institutions, 14% of total lending and 37% of lending to non-financial corporates. These shares have been increasing for several years.

	Given the size of the exposures, this can lead to significant losses for credit institutions.
	The value of the assets of real-estate companies are highly interest-rate sensitive, through the discounting rate used to value properties. A general correction in the property market has not yet been observed, but this may be due to an unusually low number of transactions. Low transaction volumes may point to a forthcoming general correction in the market.
	Real-estate companies' loans are mostly with a variable rate of interest, making costs highly sensitive to increasing interest rates. In the first quarter of 2023, 80% of lending to real-estate companies was at a variable rate of interest.
	Previous interest rate increases have not necessarily been fully reflected in real estate prices and the companies' income. The real estate companies' rental income may also come under pressure in the event of a weakening of economic activity, through e.g. higher vacancy rates. This increases the risk that real estate companies will not be able to service their loans based on their current profits. Finally, there is a risk of further increases in interest rates.
	Further rate increases will significantly impair the earnings of real-estate companies, even though some of this interest rate risk is hedged with derivatives.
	Exposures to real-estate companies are widespread across Danish credit institutions, particularly medium sized banks have significant exposures to the segment. Leading up to the financial crisis several medium-sized Danish banks saw large credit growth to real-estate companies, and experienced large losses during the crisis. This was identified as one of the drivers for the crisis in Denmark.
	The description above points to elevated and systemic risks for exposures to real-estate companies. A materialisation of these risks would lead to significant losses for Danish credit institutions.
	Exposures to real-estate companies account for a significant share of the total exposures of Danish credit institutions. Real estate companies are sensitive to rising interest-rates and are highly cyclical. A scenario with rising rates and falling rents due to a slowdown of economic activity could lead to a large share of real estate companies being unable to service their loans. This would in turn mean significant credit losses for credit-institutions.
4.2 Reasons why the dimension of the macroprudential or systemic risks threatens the stability of the financial system in your Member State	The sharply rising inflation and interest rate increases seen recently, combined with the risk of falling commercial real estate prices, is a rare scenario. There is consequently a risk that problems in the real estate sector may result in losses which are significantly higher than would be expected based on historical data and for which the institutions have therefore not made capital provisions.
(Article 133(9)(b) CRD)	The institutions' impairment charges on exposures towards real estate companies are low in a historical perspective. This reflects a period of extraordinarily low interest rates and thus extraordinarily low financing costs. A long period characterised by favourable conditions and low impairment charge ratios may lead to an underestimation of the actual risks related to the segment and result in insufficient provisions. Experience from previous crises shows that negative shocks to the real estate sector may lead to a sudden increase in impairment charges and losses.

	Given their large share of total lending, the elevated uncertainty, and the potential for adverse feedback, a materialisation of risks related to real-estate companies significantly threatens financial stability in Denmark.
4.3 Indicators used for activation of the measure	 The decision is based on the assessment/advice of the Systemic Risk Council. The assessment of the Systemic Risk Council has considered a wide array of indicators as well as qualitative information, when assessing the activation of systemic risk buffer targeted at loans to real-estate companies, these include: Indicators for banking sector exposures & soundness: Loans to real-estate companies, as share of total lending Banks' exposure levels Credit growth to the segment (both on aggregate and in groups of banks based on size) Concentration of exposures at the individual bank level Long time series for impairments and loss-patterns Indicators for soundness of real-estate companies: Indicators for soundness of real-estate companies: Indicators based on individual real estate company accounting data, e.g. companies' solvency and liquidity Sensitivity analysis of real estate companies' debt servicing capacity Indicators for development on the commercial real estate market: Vacancy rates Required yield Market intelligence & bilateral dialogue with real estate companies
4.4 Effectiveness and proportionality of the measure (Article 133(9)(c) CRD)	 The measure is targeted towards the type of economic activity posing the largest systemic risk. The main transmission mechanism of the measure is to provide credit institutions with greater capacity to absorb unexpected losses, resulting from exposures to real estate companies. The measure is considered proportionate. The size of the buffer reflects a cautious approach, balancing the need to conserve capital to cover increased uncertainty, with the need to build up the buffer rapidly before risks materialise. The need to rapidly build up the buffer, without causing a contraction in credit, limits the size of the buffer to the amount that banks can withhold from 2023-earnings. Rising rates have significantly improved credit institutions' profits, meaning that costs of building up the buffer are currently low, and can be done without constraining credit. As the buffer applies to a subset of exposures, credit institutions with relatively large exposures would experience a higher requirement (in nominal terms), compared with credit institutions with relatively smaller exposures. As the buffer applies to the risk exposure amount, exposures with higher risk weights (reflecting higher credit risk) would have a higher requirement (in nominal terms). Thus, the underlying risk weighting of the exposures is preserved. The Systemic Risk Council recommends a buffer rate of 7%. This recommendation is based on a quantitative sensitivity analysis of individual

	real estate companies' accounting data, as well as a qualitative, holistic, assessment of the uncertainty arising from exposures to real-estate companies. The results of the analysis of vulnerabilities are balanced against the capacity of credit institutions to accumulate capital, without a contraction in credit supply. The estimated total effect of the buffer is 10 bn. DKK (0.5% of TREA) equivalent to 18 per cent of credit institutions' total profits during 2023.
4.5 Reason why the systemic risk buffer is not duplicating the functioning of the O-SII buffer provided for in Article 131 CRD (Article 133(9)(f) CRD)	The proposed measure only applies to exposures to real-estate companies, and thus does not duplicate the functioning of the O-SII-buffer.
5. Sufficiency, consistency ar	nd non-overlap of the policy response
5.1 Sufficiency of the policy response	For a macroprudential policy to be 'sufficient', the policy responses must be deemed to significantly mitigate, or reduce the build-up of, risks over an appropriate time horizon with a limited unintended impact on the general economy.
	Note that the ESRB will use this assessment of the macroprudential stance as relevant input in assessing the sufficiency of the macroprudential policy in the Member States.
	Please provide any additional information that the ESRB should consider in assessing the sufficiency of the policy response.
	The proposed measure will increase the ability of credit institutions to absorb unexpected losses for exposures to real-estate companies. This increased loss absorbing capacity will mitigate systemic risks arising from the elevated systemic risks related to significant exposures to real estate companies in an environment of uncertainty due to current macroeconomic conditions (increasing interest rates, falling income base due to a potential slowdown of the economy and potential fall in property prices).
	The exemption for the 0-15% LTV-band serves for the measure to target the identified risks by concentrating the increased requirement on the riskiest exposures with the highest LTV. This is in line with the overall capital impact, which the Danish Systemic Risk Council recommended to the Government.
	On 7 June, the Danish Systemic Risk Council has published its position on the implementation of the measure (<u>Link</u>):
	"The Council finds that the activation of a sector-specific systemic risk buffer by the Minister for Industry, Business and Financial Affairs will address the systemic risks identified sufficiently."
	For a macroprudential policy to be 'consistent', the policy instruments must be deemed to meet their respective objectives as outlined in ESRB/2013/1 ³ and must be implemented in accordance with the common principles set out in the relevant legal texts.

³ Recommendation of the European Systemic Risk Board of 4 April 2013 on intermediate objectives and instruments of macro-prudential policy (ESRB/2013/1) (OJ C 170, 15.6.2013, p. 1).

5.2 Consistency of application of	
the policy response	Note that the ESRB assessment of consistency will consider whether the same systemic risks are addressed in a similar way across and within the Member States over time.
	Please provide any additional information that the ESRB should consider in assessing the consistency of the policy response.
	The proposed measure will increase the ability of banks to absorb unexpected losses on loans to real-estate companies, which is the intended objective of the measure.
5.3 Non-overlap of the policy	For a policy instrument to be 'non-overlapping', it should aim to address a systemic risk that either differs to the risk addressed by other active tools in the same Member State, or to be complementary to another tool in that Member State which addresses the same systemic risk.
response	No other, capital-based, macroprudential measures target risks related to real- estate companies.
	 The Danish Financial Supervisory Authority has enacted <u>microprudential</u> policies, that address risks related to real-estate companies. These are to a higher degree targeting credit institutions' credit policies and are complimentary to the proposed capital-based measure. These microprudential measures include: The "supervisory diamond" for banks: According to the diamond, the DFSA's expectations that banks limit their exposure to real-estate companies to 25% of total lending. The "supervisory diamond" for mortgage credit institutions: The diamond states the DFSA's expectations that mortgage credit institutions limit credit growth to residential rental properties to 15% year-over-year. "Guidelines for financing rental real estate and real estate projects" illuminates what the DFSA believe is prudent financing practice in this area. The guidelines include among others; LTV-limits, LTC-limits (loan-to-cost for building projects), DSTI-limits, ICR, and limits for the borrowers' solvency (equity/assets ratio).
6. Cross-border and cross-se	ctor impact of the measure
6.1 Assessment of cross-border effects and the likely impact on the Internal Market (Article 133(9)(d) of the CRD and	Assessment of the cross-border effects of implementation of the measure. a. Assessment of the spillover channels operating via risk adjustment and regulatory arbitrage. The relevant indicators provided in Chapter 11 of the
Recommendation ESRB/2015/2 ⁴)	ESRB Handbook on Operationalising Macroprudential Policy in the Banking Sector ⁵ and the Framework to assess cross-border spillover

⁴ Recommendation of the European Systemic Risk Board of 15 December 2015 on the assessment of cross-border effects of and voluntary reciprocity for macroprudential policy measures (ESRB/2015/3) (OJ C 97, 12.3.2016, p. 9). ⁵ Available on the ESRB's website at www.esrb.europa.eu.

	effects of macroprudential policies of the ECP Task Earse on errors harder
	effects of macroprudential policies of the ECB Task Force on cross-border
	spillover effects of macroprudential measures can be used.
	b. Assessment of the:
	 cross-border effects of implementation of the measure in your
	own jurisdiction (inward spillovers);
	The measure would apply directly to Danish banks, and the
	Danish authorities expect the measure to be reciprocated by all
	countries having significant exposures in Denmark. Reciprocation
	would ensure no negative inwards spillovers as all credit
	institutions with a significant presence in Denmark will be covered
	 by the measure. cross-border effects on other Member States and on the Single Market of the measure (outward spillovers);
	The measure applies only to exposures located in Denmark, so no negative outwards spillovers are expected.
	$_{\odot}$ overall impact on the Single Market of implementation of the
	measure.
	The overall impact on the Single Market is expected to be
	positive, as the measure reduces risks arising from lending to
	real-estate companies.
	Referring to your Member State's specific characteristics, what is the scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e. circumvention of the measure/leakages to other parts of the financial sector)?
	Is there scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in other jurisdictions?
6.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	The Authorities do not expect "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" within Denmark for a couple of reasons. Firstly, the increased requirement is not expected to result in credit institutions tightening credit conditions, as they can meet the higher requirement through retaining profits. Second, there is very limited lending from other actors such as insurance and pension companies. Insurance and pension companies invest directly or through investment funds in properties for their portfolios. The Danish Authorities expect any substitution from bank loans to loans from other actors to be limited but will monitor developments closely.
	The Authorities do not expect "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in other jurisdictions. Any lending to the segment from banks operating in other jurisdictions or through branches in Denmark is expected to become subject to the same requirements following the relevant authorities' reciprocity.
6.3 Request for reciprocation by other Member States	Does the authority intend to ask the ESRB to issue a recommendation to other Member States to reciprocate the measure in accordance with Article 134(5) CRD?
(Article 134(5) CRD and Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	Yes. Reciprocity is requested both at the individual and consolidated level (these will be the levels of application in Denmark).
	To request reciprocation, please provide the following:
	- a concise description of the measure to be reciprocated;

6.4 Justification for the request for reciprocation by other Member States (Article 134(5) CRD and Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	A systemic risk buffer of 7 Denmark, i.e. loans to non- of building projects (41.1) u estate activities (NACE coo housing cooperative assoc LTV-band are expemted.	-financial corp under Construe de "L"), apart f	orates with activities ction (NACE code "F' rom social housing a	in Development ") as well as real ssociations and
		ons why the r	ions underlying the re eciprocity of the activ iveness.	
	The Ministry for Business I threshold of 200 mn. EUR. exposures to real estate co the ESRB handbook on op	in recent year hese exposur- iterest rates a the real estate npanies will no iddition, the ris rket may incre- nat problems in <i>eriality thresho</i> ndustry and F This is appro- ompanies. Son erationalising	s. Experience from, f es may result in subs nd a weakening econ e companies' finance of be able to service t sk of declining prices ase the size of poten n the real estate sect old and justification for inancial Affairs propo kimately equal to 0.30 newhat below the 1% macroprudential polic	for example, the stantial losses for nomic growth s. This increases their loans based in the tial losses for or may affect or that level. by ses a materiality % of the total o suggested by cy in the banking
7. Combination of the SyRB w		ies are covere	ed by the measure.	
7. Combination of the SyRB w	Danish real-estate compan vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will res 5% for all Danish systemic	lies are covere ult in a combir	ed by the measure.	er of greater than
7. Combination of the SyRB w	Danish real-estate compan vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will res	lies are covere ult in a combir	ed by the measure.	er of greater than
	Danish real-estate compan vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will res 5% for all Danish systemic companies.	ult in a combir credit institution G-SII/O-SII	ed by the measure. ned O-SII/SyRB buffe ons, having exposure O-SII consolidation	er of greater than es to real-estate Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB
	Danish real-estate companies vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will rest 5% for all Danish systemic companies. Name of institution	ult in a combir credit institutio G-SII/O-SII buffer rate	ed by the measure. ned O-SII/SyRB buffe ons, having exposure O-SII consolidation level	er of greater than es to real-estate Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates
7.1 Combination with G-SII and/or O-SII buffers	Danish real-estate companion vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will rest 5% for all Danish systemic companies. Name of institution Danske Bank A/S	ult in a combir credit institution G-SII/O-SII buffer rate	ed by the measure. ned O-SII/SyRB buffe ons, having exposure O-SII consolidation level Consolidated	er of greater than es to real-estate Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates 10%
7.1 Combination with G-SII and/or	Danish real-estate companies vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will res 5% for all Danish systemic companies_ Name of institution Danske Bank A/S Nykredit Realkredit A/S	ult in a combir credit institution G-SII/O-SII buffer rate	ed by the measure. ned O-SII/SyRB buffe ons, having exposure O-SII consolidation level Consolidated Consolidated	er of greater than es to real-estate Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates 10% 9%
7.1 Combination with G-SII and/or O-SII buffers	Danish real-estate companies vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will rest 5% for all Danish systemic companies. Name of institution Danske Bank A/S Nykredit Realkredit A/S Jyske Bank A/S Nordea Kredit Realkreditselskab	ult in a combir credit institution G-SII/O-SII buffer rate 3% 2% 1.5%	ed by the measure. med O-SII/SyRB buffe ons, having exposure O-SII consolidation level Consolidated Consolidated Consolidated	er of greater than es to real-estate Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates 10% 9% 8.5%
7.1 Combination with G-SII and/or O-SII buffers	Danish real-estate company vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will rest 5% for all Danish systemic companies. Name of institution Danske Bank A/S Nykredit Realkredit A/S Jyske Bank A/S Nordea Kredit Realkreditselskab Sydbank A/S	ult in a combir credit institution G-SII/O-SII buffer rate 3% 2% 1.5% 1.5% 1%	ed by the measure. med O-SII/SyRB buffe ons, having exposure O-SII consolidation level Consolidated Consolidated Consolidated Individual Consolidated	er of greater than es to real-estate Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates 10% 9% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5%
7.1 Combination with G-SII and/or O-SII buffers	Danish real-estate companies vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will rest 5% for all Danish systemic companies. Name of institution Danske Bank A/S Nykredit Realkredit A/S Jyske Bank A/S Nordea Kredit Realkreditselskab Sydbank A/S DLR Kredit A/S	ult in a combir credit institution G-SII/O-SII buffer rate 3% 2% 1.5% 1.5% 1%	ed by the measure. The do-SII/SyRB buffe ons, having exposure O-SII consolidation level Consolidated Consolidated Consolidated Individual Consolidated Individual	er of greater than es to real-estate Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates 10% 9% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8%
7.1 Combination with G-SII and/or O-SII buffers	Danish real-estate companies vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will rest 5% for all Danish systemic companies_ Name of institution Danske Bank A/S Nykredit Realkredit A/S Jyske Bank A/S Nordea Kredit Realkreditselskab Sydbank A/S DLR Kredit A/S A/S Arbejdernes Landsbank	ult in a combir credit institution G-SII/O-SII buffer rate 3% 2% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% 1% 1%	ed by the measure. ned O-SII/SyRB buffe ons, having exposure O-SII consolidation level Consolidated Consolidated Individual Consolidated Individual Consolidated	er of greater than es to real-estate Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates 10% 9% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5
7.1 Combination with G-SII and/or O-SII buffers	Danish real-estate companies vith other buffers A buffer-rate of 7% will rest 5% for all Danish systemic companies. Name of institution Danske Bank A/S Nykredit Realkredit A/S Jyske Bank A/S Nordea Kredit Realkreditselskab Sydbank A/S DLR Kredit A/S	ult in a combir credit institution G-SII/O-SII buffer rate 3% 2% 1.5% 1.5% 1%	ed by the measure. The do-SII/SyRB buffe ons, having exposure O-SII consolidation level Consolidated Consolidated Consolidated Individual Consolidated Individual	er of greater than es to real-estate Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates 10% 9% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8%
7.1 Combination with G-SII and/or O-SII buffers	Danish real-estate companies /ith other buffers /A buffer-rate of 7% will res 5% for all Danish systemic companies. Name of institution Danske Bank A/S Nykredit Realkredit A/S Jyske Bank A/S Nordea Kredit Realkreditselskab Sydbank A/S DLR Kredit A/S A/S Arbejdernes Landsbank Saxo Bank A/S	ult in a combir credit institution G-SII/O-SII buffer rate 3% 2% 1.5% 1.5% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%	ed by the measure. med O-SII/SyRB buffe ons, having exposure O-SII consolidation level Consolidated Consolidated Consolidated Individual Consolidated Individual Consolidated	er of greater than es to real-estate Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates 10% 9% 8.5% 8.5% 8.5% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 10% 9% 10% 10% 9% 10% 10% 9% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10

8.1 Contact person(s)/mailbox at notifying authority	Contact person(s) (name, phone number and e-mail address) and mailbox for further inquiries. Jonathan Gunge Hjorth jgunge@em.dk + 45 91 33 73 30
8.2 Any other relevant information	Announcement by the Danish Systemic Risk Council
8.3 Date of the notification	Please provide the date on which this notification was uploaded/sent.