# Approximation Algorithms for Finding Maximum Independent Sets in Unions of Perfect Graphs

Authors: Chakaravarthy, Pandit, Roy, Sabharwal Speaker: Arindam Pal

> Department of Computer Science and Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

> > November 30, 2012

- The Maximum Independent Set Problem in Graphs
- Perfect Graphs and their Properties
- Summary of Results
- The Common Independent Set Problem
- LP Formulation for 2-MAXCIS
- The Rounding Algorithm and Analysis

4 E b

### The Maximum Independent Set Problem in Graphs

- Given a graph G = (V, E), and a profit (weight) function  $p: V \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$ , the goal is to find an independent set of maximum profit.
- If  $p(v) = 1, \forall v \in V$ , this is the cardinality version.
- [ZUCKERMAN]: It is NP-Hard to approximate MIS within a factor of  $n^{1-\epsilon}$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .
- However, there are easy families of graphs for which MIS can be solved optimally in polynomial time.
- Examples are {*interval, chordal, comparability*} graphs.
- All of them are *perfect graphs*.

- 本間 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト 三 ヨ

#### What is a Perfect Graph?

- A perfect graph is one in which the chromatic number of every induced subgraph equals the size of the largest clique of that subgraph. For every H ⊆ G, χ(H) = ω(H).
- THE PERFECT GRAPH THEOREM [LOVASZ]: A graph is perfect if and only if its complement graph is also perfect.
- THE STRONG PERFECT GRAPH THEOREM [CHUDNOVSKY, ROBERTSON, SEYMOUR, AND THOMAS]: A graph is perfect if and only if it has no induced subgraph that is an odd cycle of length at least five or its complement. These graphs are called *Berge graphs*.
- Perfect graphs can be recognized in polynomial time.
- For perfect graphs, graph coloring, maximum clique, and maximum independent set problems can all be solved in polynomial time using the ellipsoid method.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

### Common Independent Set

- A subset of vertices X ⊆ V is called a common independent set (CIS) of graphs G<sub>i</sub> = (V, E<sub>i</sub>), 1 ≤ i ≤ t, if X is an independent set in each graph G<sub>i</sub>.
- Alternatively, a CIS is an independent set in the union graph G = (V, E), where  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} E_i$ .
- The goal is to find the maximum weight CIS (MAXCIS).
- For a fixed constant k, the k-MAXCIS problem is the special case where the number of input graphs is t = k.
- We consider restricted versions of the MaxCIS problem, where all the input graphs belong to a particular class of graphs C.
- In this talk,  $\mathcal C$  will be the family of perfect graphs.

## Summary of Results

- An  $O(\sqrt{n})$ -approximation algorithm for the weighted 2-MAXCIS problem on perfect graphs.
- Can be easily generalized to an  $O(n^{\frac{k-1}{k}})$ -approximation algorithm for the weighted k-MAXCIS problem on perfect graphs.
- The LP has an integrality gap of  $\sqrt{n}$ , even when both the graphs are comparability graphs and all the weights are unit.
- A stronger LP has an integrality gap of  $n^{0.16}$ , for unweighted comparability graphs.
- If  $P\neq NP$ , then the 2-MAXCIS problem on comparability graphs cannot be approximated within any constant factor.
- If NP  $\not\subseteq$  DTIME $[n^{O(\log n)}]$ , then 2-MAXCIS on comparability graphs cannot be approximated within a factor of  $2^{\sqrt{\log n}}$ .

## Weighted 2-MAXCIS Problem on Perfect Graphs

- Write an (exponential size) LP relaxation.
- Compute an optimal fractional solution for the LP.
- Partition the vertex set into sets of large and small vertices.
- Recover an  $O(\sqrt{n})$  fraction of the LP solution for both large and small instances.
- This gives an  $O(\sqrt{n})$ -approximation algorithm.

We give a natural linear programming formulation for 2-MAXCIS. Here x(v) denotes whether the vertex  $v \in V$  is included in the independent set.  $C_i$  is the set of all cliques in  $G_i$ .

$$\label{eq:maximize} \begin{array}{ll} \displaystyle \max_{v \in V} p(v) x(v) \\ \\ \mbox{such that} & \displaystyle \sum_{v \in C} x(v) \leq 1 \qquad \forall C \in \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \\ \\ & 0 \leq x(v) \leq 1 \qquad \forall v \in V \end{array}$$

This LP has exponentially many constraints in n. How to solve it?

- Assign x(v) as the profit of v.
- Find the maximum profit clique in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .
- If both these cliques have profit at most 1, then x is a feasible solution.
- Otherwise, the constraint corresponding to the clique having profit greater than 1 is violated.

- 4 目 ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト

## A Preparatory Lemma

#### Lemma

For any  $X \subseteq V$ , there exists a  $I \subseteq X$  such that I is an independent set in  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  and  $p(I) \ge \frac{p(X)}{\omega_1(X)\omega_2(X)}$ .

- $G_1$  is perfect, so  $G_1[X]$  can be colored with  $\omega_1(X)$  colors.
- So, X can be partitioned into  $\omega_1(X)$  color classes (independent sets), one of which must have profit at least  $\frac{p(X)}{\omega_1(X)}$ .
- Compute the maximum profit independent set  $I_1$  in  $G_1[X]$ .

• Clearly, 
$$p(I_1) \ge \frac{p(X)}{\omega_1(X)}$$
.

- $G_2[I_1]$  can be colored with  $\omega_2(I_1) \le \omega_2(X)$  colors.
- Hence,  $G_2[I_1]$  has an independent set of profit at least  $\frac{p(I_1)}{\omega_2(X)}$ .
- Compute the maximum profit independent set I in  $G_2[I_1]$ .
- Note that,  $p(I) \ge \frac{p(I_1)}{\omega_2(X)} \ge \frac{p(X)}{\omega_1(X)\omega_2(X)}$ .
- Moreover, I is an independent set in both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . So, it is an independent set in G.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

### The Rounding Algorithm

- Let x be the optimal fractional solution to the LP.
- Let  $LP^{\star}(X) = \sum_{v \in X} p(v)x(v), X \subseteq V.$
- Partition the vertex set V in two parts, SML and LRG, the set of small and large vertices respectively.
- $SML = \left\{ v : 0 \le x(v) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}.$
- $LRG = \left\{ v : \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} < x(v) \le 1 \right\}.$
- $LP^{\star} = LP^{\star}(V) = LP^{\star}(SML) + LP^{\star}(LRG)$  is the profit of the optimal LP solution.
- Let  $P_{\max} = \max_{v \in V} p(v)$  be the maximum profit and let  $v_{\max}$  be the corresponding vertex.

### Handling Large Vertices

- Define,  $U_i = \left\{ v : \frac{2^i}{\sqrt{n}} < x(v) \le \frac{2^{i+1}}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$ , for  $0 \le i \le \ell 1$ , where  $\ell = \frac{1}{2} \log n$ .
- $U_0, \ldots, U_{\ell-1}$  forms a partition of *LRG*.
- Using the Lemma on  $U_j$ , find an independent set  $I_j$  of G such that  $p(I_j) \geq \frac{p(U_j)}{\omega_1(U_j)\omega_2(U_j)}$ , for  $0 \leq j \leq \ell 1$ .
- Among these ℓ independent sets, let I<sup>\*</sup> be the one having the maximum profit.
- If  $p(I^*) > P_{\max}$ , output  $I^*$ ; else output  $\{v_{\max}\}$ .
- Let *I* be the independent set output by the algorithm.

### Analysis for Large Vertices

#### Lemma

$$LP^{\star}(U_j) \le \left(\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{2^j}\right) \cdot p(I_j), 0 \le j \le \ell - 1.$$

- Define  $\beta = \frac{2^j}{\sqrt{n}}$ . We have to show that  $LP^{\star}(U_j) \leq \frac{2p(I_j)}{\beta}$ .
- We know that,  $\beta \leq x(v) \leq 2\beta$ .
- Hence,  $LP^{\star}(U_j) \leq 2\beta \cdot p(U_j)$ .
- Let  $\omega_{\min} = \min\{\omega_1(U_j), \omega_2(U_j)\}$  and  $\omega_{\max} = \max\{\omega_1(U_j), \omega_2(U_j)\}.$

- There exists a subset  $C \subseteq U_j$ , which is a clique in  $G_1[X]$  or  $G_2[X]$  such that  $|C| = \omega_{\max}$ .
- The LP contains a constraint corresponding to this clique C.

• Hence, 
$$\sum_{v \in C} x(v) \leq 1$$
.  
• Since  $x(v) \geq \beta$ , we have  $\beta \omega_{\max} \leq 1$ , i.e.,  $\beta \leq \frac{1}{\omega_{\max}}$ .  
• Thus,  $LP^{\star}(U_j) \leq \frac{2p(U_j)}{\omega_{\max}}$ .  
• Since,  $p(I_j) \geq \frac{p(U_j)}{\omega_{\min}\omega_{\max}}$ , it follows that  $LP^{\star}(U_j) \leq 2\omega_{\min}p(I_j)$ .  
• But,  $\omega_{\min} \leq \omega_{\max} \leq \frac{1}{\beta}$ . So,  $LP^{\star}(U_j) \leq \frac{2p(I_j)}{\beta}$ .

- 3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

#### Lemma

 $LP^{\star}(LRG) \le 4\sqrt{n} \cdot p(I^{\star}).$ 

Proof.

$$LP^{\star}(LRG) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} LP^{\star}(U_j)$$
  

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{2^j}\right) \cdot p(I_j)$$
  

$$\leq 2\sqrt{n} \cdot p(I^{\star}) \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^j}\right)$$
  

$$\leq 4\sqrt{n} \cdot p(I^{\star}).$$

Arindam Pal (IIT Delhi)

MIS in Unions of Perfect Graphs

November 30, 2012

3

16 / 18

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## The Final Analysis

#### Lemma

$$LP^{\star} \le 5\sqrt{n} \cdot p(I).$$

- Since  $p(I) \ge P_{\max}, LP^{\star}(SML) \le \sqrt{n} \cdot P_{\max} \le \sqrt{n} \cdot p(I)$ .
- Moreover,  $LP^{\star}(LRG) \leq 4\sqrt{n} \cdot p(I^{\star}) \leq 4\sqrt{n} \cdot p(I)$ .
- Hence,  $LP^{\star} = LP^{\star}(SML) + LP^{\star}(LRG) \le 5\sqrt{n} \cdot p(I).$
- Therefore, this is an  $O(\sqrt{n})$ -approximation algorithm.

- In perfect graphs, MIS can be computed in polynomial time.
- In union of k perfect graphs, MIS can be approximated within a factor of  $O(n^{\frac{k-1}{k}})$ .
- As  $k \to \infty$ , the approximation factor approaches O(n), which is no better than general graphs.
- This indicates that as we add more perfect graphs in the union, the *perfection* of the resulting graph reduces.