Regulation of Escherichia coli glnB, prsA, and speA by the Purine Repressort

BIN HE, KANG YELL CHOI, AND HOWARD ZALKIN*

Department of Biochemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907-1153

Received 1 February 1993/Accepted 4 April 1993

A strategy was devised to identify Escherichia coli genes subject to coregulation by purR. From a data base search, similarities to the *pur* regulon cis-acting control site were found in $26 E$. coli genes. Of five genes examined in which the putative pur operator is upstream of the coding sequence, $glnB$, prsA, and speA bound purified purine repressor in vitro. Binding of the repressor to a pur operator in these genes was dependent upon a corepressor. The pur operator in $glnB$ is located between two major transcription start sites that were located by primer extension mapping. The effect of purR on expression of glnB, prsA, and speA was examined by using a lacZ reporter. The results indicated two- to threefold repression of these genes by purR. Coregulation by purR provides evidence that expands the pur regulon to include $glnB$, prsA, and speA. These genes have functions related to nucleotide metabolism.

Genes for purine nucleotide synthesis in Escherichia coli are organized in nine operons, eight of which are coregulated by $purR$ (14, 25). The mechanism for regulation of one gene, $purA$ (49), has not been reported. $purR$ encodes an aporepressor that combines with purine corepressors (26, 34), resulting in an increased affinity for a 16-bp palindromic operator (34) in the eight operons of the regulon. At least four other genes that supply intermediates for purine nucleotide biosynthesis or are involved in synthesis or salvage of pyrimidine nucleotides are part of the purR-regulated regulon. These genes include gly A (40), cod A (22), pyrC (7, 48), and *pyrD* (48). In addition, *purR* is autoregulated (25, 35).

To determine whether there are additional genes that may be coregulated by $purR$, we searched a nucleotide sequence data base for PurR-binding sites. Here we report the results of this search and the characterization of three additional genes, prsA, speA, and glnB, which each contain a site to which PurR binds in vitro and which are coregulated in vivo by purR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and media. Strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. LB and 2xYT were used as rich media (27). Minimal growth medium has been described (14).

Data base search for *PurR*-binding sites. E. coli nucleotide sequences in the GenBank and EMBL data bases (release no. 71), consisting of 2.8×10^6 nucleotides and 1,727 entries, were screened by using the sequence analysis program FIND in the Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group software package. The search pattern for PurR-binding sites was $5'$ -G(A,T,C)AA(A,T,C)CG(T,G)(T,G)T(A,T,C,G)C. Alternative bases, allowed in nonconserved positions based on the operators aligned in Fig. 1, are given in parentheses. No mismatches were allowed in conserved positions in this search pattern.

Plasmid constructions. All plasmids used in this work are listed in Table 1. In all cases nucleotide sequences are numbered relative to the start of translation. To clone the glnB control region, a segment of glnB from nucleotide -140 to nucleotide +203 (39), containing the PurR-binding site, was amplified from chromosomal DNA of E. coli W3110 by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (29). The amplified fragment containing EcoRI and BamHI adapters was ligated into the EcoRI and BamHI sites of pUC118, and the resulting plasmid was named pBH500. The same DNA fragment was also inserted into the EcoRI and BamHI sites of pRS415 to yield a glnB'-lacZ transcriptional fusion in plasmid pBH550.

The 5' flanking region of prsA from Salmonella typhimurium containing the control site was isolated from plasmid pBS111R (4). First, pBS111R was digested with EcoRI and a 128-bp fragment from nucleotide -500 to nucleotide -373 was isolated. This fragment, containing the *prsA* promoter and purR control site, was treated with the Poll Klenow fragment to make blunt ends and was then ligated into the HincII site of pUC118, yielding plasmid pPRSA1. Plasmid $pPRSA1$ was the source of the *purR* control site in $prSA$ that was used for interaction with PurR. For construction of a prsA'-'lacZ translational fusion, an 873-bp RsaI fragment of pBS111R extending from 559 nucleotides upstream of the GTG translation start site to position ⁷⁰ downstream of the GTG was isolated and ligated into the HincII site of pUC118 to give plasmid pPRSA2. Next, a 643-bp BamHI fragment that included the *pur* operator, *prsA* promoter, the first 23 codons of prsA, and the adjoining polylinker was isolated from pPRSA2, made blunt with PolI Klenow enzyme, and inserted into the SmaI site of pMLB1034 to yield pKY100 (see Fig. 2D). In this $prsA'-lacZ$ translational fusion, the first 23 codons of *prsA* followed by 7 triplets from the polylinker are joined to codon 8 of 'lacZ.

To clone the putative speA control region, a segment of speA from nucleotide -426 to nucleotide 120 (28) containing the PurR-binding site was amplified from E. coli chromosomal DNA by PCR (29). The amplified fragment containing EcoRI and BamHI adaptors was ligated into the EcoRI and BamHI sites of pRS415 to yield a speA'-lacZ transcriptional fusion in plasmid pBH600 (see Fig. 2C). The lacZ reporter constructs were all crossed into XRZ5 for insertion into the chromosome (32).

Enzyme assay. Cells were grown to mid-log phase in minimal medium with $100 \mu g$ of adenine per ml. Strains

^{*} Corresponding author.

t Journal paper 13764 from the Purdue University Agricultural Experiment Station.

KYC4201 ($prsA'$ -'lacZ $pyrB$ pur R^+) and KRC4201 ($prsA'$ $lacZ$ pyrB purR) were grown in the same medium, but the pyrimidine auxotrophs were starved for pyrimidines. The medium contained 0.24 mM UMP as ^a limiting source of pyrimidines (7). β -Galactosidase activity was determined in permeabilized cells by the Miller assay (27).

Repressor-operator binding. Corepressor-dependent binding of PurR to operator DNA was assayed by gel retardation as previously described (34). DNA fragments of glnB from nucleotide -140 to nucleotide $+203$, speA from -426 to +120, cytR from -296 to -29 (44), and dskA from -426 to -40 (21) were cloned by PCR from E. coli W3110. These fragments, containing EcoRI and BamHI adaptors, were end labeled at either the BamHI or EcoRI sites by using $[\gamma^{32}P]$ ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase. A 158-bp BamHI-HindIII fragment of prsA from plasmid pBS111R was also end labeled with ³²P. Incubations contained 10 fmol of $32P$ -labeled DNA fragment, homogeneous PurR, 50 μ M guanine corepressor, and buffer II (34) in 20 μ l. The DNase ^I footprinting assay conditions have been described (33).

Primer extension mapping. E. coli R320 (purR) either with or without plasmid pBH550 was grown in 50 ml of minimal medium. Cells in mid-log phase were poured onto ice chilled to -20° C and were collected by centrifugation. Cells were suspended in cold TE buffer containing ¹⁰ mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6)-O.1 mM EDTA, and RNA was isolated by hot phenol extraction (15). The RNA was dissolved in TE buffer and stored at -70° C. Two 19-base oligomeric primers complementary to glnB mRNA from nucleotides 29 to 47 and 80 to 98 were labeled with $[\gamma^{32}P]ATP$ and T4 polynucleotide kinase and annealed to 50 μ g of RNA. The conditions for primer extension have been described (33).

RESULTS

Identification of putative target sites for PurR protein. A computer search of known E. coli nucleotide sequences was

conducted to identify genes which may be regulated by purR. A target sequence, $G(A, T, C)AA(A, T, C)CG(T, G)$ $(T,G)T(A,T,C,G)C$, was derived from the known pur regulon operator sites shown in Fig. 1. The search found 39 sequences that are closely related to the purine repressor control site target. Of the 39 matches, 26 were newly identified and 13 were previously reported operator sites shown in Fig. 1. The 26 newly identified candidates for a cis-acting control site are placed in three groups in Table 2 based on location in the ⁵' flanking region, coding sequence,

FIG. 1. Alignment of pur regulon operators. Bases that match the perfect palindrome are highlighted.

Region and gene	Gene product or function	Nucleotide sequence ^a	Reference	
5' flanking				
glnB	PII protein, nitrogen regulation	ATGCAAAC GATTTCAA	39	
cytR	CytR repressor	CTGTAACC GTTTTCAC	44	
dksA	DnaK suppressor protein	GTGAAATC GGTTACGT	21	
speA	Arginine decarboxylase	AAGAAACC GGTTGCGC	28	
<i>ascF</i>	Phosphotransferase system	GTGAAACC GGTTTCTT	11	
gshII	Glutathione synthetase	TCGTAACC GGGTGCCG	10	
ppiA	Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans-isomerase	TTGCAATC GGTTGCTA	13	
gltS	Na ⁺ /glutamate symport carrier	GCGCAACC GGTTGCGC	9	
gltS	Na ⁺ /glutamate symport carrier	AAGCAAAC GTTTGCCA	9	
prsA	PRPP synthetase	AAGAAAAC GTTTTCGC	4	
Coding				
kdpD	Regulatory protein of kdp operon	CGGGAAAC GTTTGCTG	46	
tdcR	Regulatory protein of tdc operon	ATGCAATC GTTTTCCA	36	
LT -IIa	Heat-labile enterotoxin type IIa	CAGCAAAC GATTTCTT	31	
$_{rimI}$	Enzyme acetylating ribosomal protein S18	ATGAACAC GATTTCTT	50	
metH	B_{12} -dependent methionine synthase	TTGAAACC GTTTTCGA	$\overline{2}$	
terC3	Replication terminus gene	CTGAACCC GGTTTCGA	17	
rmB	23S rRNA	CTGAAACC GTGTACGT	6	
rmA	23S rRNA	CCGAAACC GTGTACCG	37	
gltA	Citrate synthase	AGGCAAAC GGGTTCGC	19	
nirB	NADH-dependent nitrite reductase	TGGCAACC GGTTCCTA	$\frac{3}{5}$	
gap	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	ACGAAACC GGTTACTC		
3' flanking				
recQ	Recombination	CCGTAAAC GTTTCCCC	20	
rshA	DNA duplication	TTGAACCC GATTTCTG	45	
fimA	Type 1 fimbrial subunit	CAGTAACC GGTTTCAT	23	
metK	S-adenosylmethionine synthetase	CTGAAACC GATTACAC	24	
aacC2	Aminoglycoside-(3)-N-acetyltransferase	AGGAAATC GGGTTCGT	43	

TABLE 2. Sequences related to PurR-binding sites in genes not directly related to purine nucleotide synthesis

^a Positions that match the perfect palindromic pur regulon operator shown in Table 1 are in boldface type.

or ³' flanking region. Of the genes listed in Table 2, eight have functions related to nucleotide metabolism or DNA replication. prsA encodes phosphoribosylpyrophosphate (PRPP) synthetase (4), which supplies PRPP for nucleotide and amino acid synthesis. $cytR$ encodes a protein that regulates genes involved in nucleoside and deoxynucleoside salvage (44). gltS and glnB encode proteins that function in the uptake of glutamate and conversion of glutamate to glutamine, respectively. The amide of glutamine provides three of the purine ring nitrogen atoms. speA and metH are involved in the synthesis of polyamines, which have been
implicated in the synthesis of RNA and DNA (41). *terC3* and dksA are thought to have roles in DNA replication (17, 21). We have focused on ^a subset of the genes with ^a possible relationship to nucleotide metabolism in which the putative purR control site is in the 5' flanking region. Our strategy was to isolate fragments of the 5' flanking region of relevant genes and determine whether PurR binds in vitro and confers regulation in vivo.

Binding of PurR to control sites. DNA fragments containing the putative purR control site from glnB, speA, cytR, and dksA were amplified by PCR and cloned from E. coli chromosomal DNA. The $prsA$ 5' flanking region was isolated from the cloned S. typhimurium gene (4). This site is identical in E. coli and S. typhimurium prs \vec{A} and in each case is situated 4 bp upstream of the -35 promoter element (4). DNA fragments were end labeled with $32P$, and binding of PurR was evaluated by gel retardation assays. The results presented below indicate that PurR bound to sites in glnB, prsA, and speA but not to the putative sites in $cyt\overline{R}$ and dksA. Thus, the sites in glnB, $prsA$, and speA were examined further.

Interaction of PurR with glnB was determined with a DNA fragment corresponding to nucleotides -140 to $+203$ relative to the translation start (39). The nucleotide sequence of the glnB ⁵' region is shown in Fig. 2A. Binding of PurR to the glnB DNA fragment is shown in Fig. 3A. Binding of PurR was quantitated by counting the radioactivity in gel slices containing free DNA and protein-DNA complexes. The concentration dependence for PurR binding is plotted in Fig. $3B$ and is compared with the binding to the *purF* operator (16). Approximately 0.2 μ M PurR was required for 50% binding to glnB compared with 6 nM for comparable binding to purF. Therefore, the affinity of PurR for the purF control site was approximately 33-fold higher than for the site in $g ln B$. Binding of PurR to the $g ln B$ site was dependent upon a purine corepressor (Fig. 3B).

Gel retardation assays described above provided evidence for corepressor-dependent binding of PurR to a $glnB$ fragment containing the ⁵' flanking region. DNase ^I footprinting was performed to define the site of protein-DNA interaction. A DNase I footprint for the PurR-glnB interaction is shown in Fig. 4A. A region from -63 to -85 that includes the inferred pur operator site was protected against DNase ^I cleavage. Thus, PurR binds specifically to a pur gene operator in glnB.

Interaction of PurR with prsA and speA was examined by an approach similar to that used for glnB. Gel retardation assays were conducted with a *prsA* fragment corresponding to nucleotides -500 to -373 relative to the start of translation and with ^a 386-bp speA DNA fragment, nucleotides -426 to -41 relative to the translation initiation site. The level of binding of PurR to speA is shown in Fig. 3C, and that to prsA is shown in Fig. 3D. The concentrations of PurR

AAACAGCTATGACCATG-lacZ Met

FIG. 2. (A) DNA sequence of glnB'-lacZ fusion. Numbers indicate positions relative to the translational start site of glnB. The PurR-binding site is shaded. Arrows represent transcriptional start sites. The 5' flanking sequence of lacZ preceding the translation start is underlined. (B) Schematic representation of g/hB' -lacZ fusion in plasmid pBH550. The minor promoter P_3 has been omitted. (C) Schematic representation of speA'-lacZ fusion in plasmid pBH600. (D) Schematic representation of $prsA'$ -'lacZ fusion in plasmid pPKY100. In all cases, numbers indicate the nucleotide positions relative to the translation start, and arrows indicate transcriptional start sites. The shaded boxes indicate the PurR-binding sites. Open boxes in front of lacZ indicate either a plasmid polylinker or lacZ $\bar{5}'$ flanking region. Black bars indicate lacZ coding regions.

required for 50% binding were 0.15 μ M for *prsA* and 0.045 μ M for speA. Binding affinities for PurR were thus $purF$ >spe A >prs A >gln B . In each case repressor binding was dependent upon a corepressor. DNase ^I footprinting established that PurR bound to the predicted control site in speA and prsA (Fig. 4C and E).

 $glnB$ promoter. Based on the identification of a pur gene operator in the 5' flanking region of $glnB$, it was of interest to localize the promoter. In all of the pur genes except $purB$ and purR the operator overlaps or is contiguous with the promoter $(14, 35)$. Although glnB has been cloned and sequenced (39), the promoter was not previously identified. A transcriptional fusion plasmid was constructed to detect glnB promoter activity. A DNA fragment corresponding to g lnB nucleotides -140 to $+203$ was ligated to a promoterless lacZ gene to yield plasmid pBH550 (Fig. 2B). This plasmid was transformed into strain R320 ($purR$), and β -galactosidase activity was measured. A β -galactosidase activity of 16,500 Miller units was obtained from the multicopy glnB' $lacZ$ fusion, indicating that the $glnB$ fragment has promoter activity. The β -galactosidase control with the vector alone gave 15 U of β -galactosidase activity.

To determine the physical relationship of the PurR-binding site relative to the $g \ln B$ promoter, the transcription start site

was mapped by primer extension using reverse transcriptase. With a primer complementary to $g \ln B$ nucleotides ²⁹ to 47, two major cDNA bands were obtained and provisionally aligned to start sites at nucleotides -33 and -95 (Fig. 2A and 5). This experiment was conducted with RNA isolated from strain R320 carrying the $glnB'$ -lacZ fusion plasmid pBH550. The same mRNA ⁵' ends were obtained with a second primer that annealed to nucleotides 80 to 98 (data not shown). The 5' end of the $glnB$ mRNA was also mapped by using RNA transcribed from the chromosomal gene in plasmid-free strain R320 (Fig. 5, lane 3). Although smearing occurred, two bands were obtained that correspond to species seen with RNA from the multicopy glnB'lacZ plasmid. In addition a minor band was detected corresponding to a 5' end at approximately nucleotide -118 . Although it is not possible to distinguish between cDNA species arising from premature termination by reverse transcriptase and those resulting from authentic multiple transcription start sites, the data are consistent with transcription from two major promoters, designated P1 and P2 in Fig. 2A, and a weaker promoter, P3. The PurR-binding site is located between P1 and P2.

There are potential -10 sites for a σ 70 promoter upstream of P1 and P2, but these positions lack appropriately spaced

FIG. 3. Binding of PurR to operators in glnB, speA, and prsA. Gel retardation assays were carried out as described in Materials and Methods. Bound and unbound DNA fragments were counted for radioactivity, and the percent bound was plotted as a function of PurR concentration. Guanine-dependent binding of PurR to glnB, speA, and prsA was compared with that to purF (16). (A) Gel retardation assay for binding of PurR to glnB; (B) binding curves for glnB; (C) binding curves for speA; (D) binding curves for prsA. Symbols: \circ , binding with guanine; $\ddot{\bullet}$, binding without guanine; \blacksquare , binding with guanine to purF.

matches to a consensus -35 element (12). In vitro transcripts were not obtained when RNA polymerase was used with σ 70 and a linear glnB template containing nucleotides -140 to 230, although in a control experiment correct transcription initiation was obtained from linear purF template DNA. Therefore, we cannot distinguish whether ghB promoter function in vivo requires additional factors, a different sigma factor, or specialized template DNA topology or whether the in vitro conditions were inappropriate. To determine whether the $glnB$ promoter is nitrogen regulated and dependent upon σ 54, plasmid pBH550 was transformed into strain BW9653 with a disruption of the $rpoN$ gene encoding σ 54. β -Galactosidase levels were essentially

unchanged in the $g \ln F$ mutant compared with those in the wild type, suggesting that transcription of glnB does not require σ 54 (data not shown).

In vivo regulation by *purR*. Fusions to a $lacZ$ reporter were used to examine regulation of glnB, prsA, and speA by purR. For glnB and speA, purR⁺ and purR strains were grown with excess adenine. Data in Table 3 show about twofold repression of $glnB$ and $speA$ by $purR⁺$. Under similar growth conditions there was nearly complete repression of prsA by endogenous pyrimidines in a prototrophic strain (data not shown). Accordingly, a pyrB strain was limited for pyrimidines. Under this growth condition expression of prsA was readily detectable (Table 3). The low level of pyrimi-

FIG. 4. DNase I footprinting for interaction of PurR with glnB, speA, and prsA control sites. (A) glnB. Lanes 1 and 4, 343-bp EcoRI-BamHI fragment of glnB labeled at the EcoRI site; lanes 2 and 3, the same DNA plus the purine repressor. G, A, C, and T, sequencing ladder for size standards. (B) Nucleotide sequence of glnB protected by the repressor. The pur operator is boxed. (C) speA. Lanes 1 and 4, 547-bp EcoRI-BamHI fragment of speA labeled at the BamHI site; lanes 2 and 3, the same DNA plus the purine repressor. G, A, C, and T, sequencing ladder size standards. (D) Nucleotide sequence of speA protected by the repressor. The purR site is boxed. (E) prsA. Lanes 1 and 4, 158-bp BamHI-HindIII fragment of prsA labeled at the HindIII site; lanes 2 and 3, the same DNA plus the purine repressor. G, A, C, and T, sequencing ladder size standards. (F) Nucleotide sequence of prsA protected by the repressor.

dine-regulated prsA expression may result from regulatory elements in the long \sim 416-nucleotide 5' untranslated region (4). Nevertheless, prsA expression was repressed about threefold by $purR$ (Table 3).

Since glnB has a role in regulating the expression of $glnA$ (see reference 1) and glnB-encoded P_{II} functions to modulate the activity of glutamine synthetase (39), we looked for an effect of $purR$ on glutamine synthetase level and activity. An effect of $purR$ on the level or activity of glutamine synthetase was not seen under conditions of nitrogen excess or limitation (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have shown that *purR* regulates not only eight of the nine operons for de novo purine nucleotide synthesis (14, 25) but also gly A (40), cod \overline{A} (22), pyrC (7, 48), and $pyrD$ (48), in addition to autoregulation of $purR$ itself $(25, 35)$. These genes thus constitute a *pur* regulon having functions associated with purine and pyrimidine nucleotide synthesis. Here we have provided evidence that expands the pur regulon to include prsA, glnB, and speA. prsA and presumably glnB function to generate metabolites that are used for synthesis of purine nucleotides. Control of speA, on the other hand, suggests some type of coordination between the pool of purines and polyamines. Three criteria were applied to the assignment of these genes to the *pur* regulon. (i) Each gene contains a 16-bp nucleotide sequence that is similar to the *pur* operator consensus. For this study we restricted our analysis to genes with putative operator sites located upstream of the coding sequence. It is known, however, that operator sites in $purB (15)$ and in $purR (33)$ are within the coding region. (ii) Each putative operator bound the purine repressor in vitro, and binding was dependent upon a guanine corepressor. It is, however, possible that in some cases additional factors such as cyclic AMP and cyclic AMP receptor protein (30) may be required for binding of the repressor to the operator. Absence of an accessory factor could account for lack of binding in vitro. (iii) In each case the putative control site conferred regulation by $purR$ to a $lac\overline{Z}$ reporter gene in vivo. Considering criteria i and ii, it is possible that additional genes listed in Table 2 may be subject to control by $purR$. In addition, it is important to note that our data base search may have missed some biologically relevant pur operator sites since mismatches were not allowed in the search pattern at conserved positions. An evaluation of each conserved position in the pur operator has not been conducted.

It is necessary to consider whether a two- to threefold purR-mediated effect on expression has regulatory significance or is simply adventitious. There are two aspects to this issue. First, is a two- to threefold effect on expression large

¹ ² GACT ³

FIG. 5. Primer extension mapping of 5' ends of glnB mRNA. RNA was isolated from strains R320 and R320(pBH550). An oligonucleotide primer was used for the ⁵' end mapping of mRNA and for generating a sequencing ladder (lanes G, A, C, and T) from a phagemid clone. The sequence is of the coding strand, complemen-tary to the mRNA. Lanes ¹ and 2, primer-extended cDNAs from strain R320(pBH550). Start sites P1 and P2 were assigned from a lighter exposure of the autoradiogram in which the bands were sharper. Lane 3, primer-extended cDNA from strain R320. cDNA bands are indicated by arrows.

enough to be significant? Second, what is the likelihood that a twofold difference in $glnB$ expression actually modulates glutamine production? With regard to the first consideration, we believe that ^a two- to threefold modulation of gene expression can be significant. To begin with, two bona fide pur regulon genes, purR (35) and purB (15) , are regulated two- to threefold. In these cases the 16-bp palindromic PurR-binding sites are constrained by their location in protein-coding sequences and yet have been retained during a billion years of evolution. Neither third-position codon changes nor amino acid replacements in a nonconserved region of purB (15) have obliterated the 16-bp palindromic sequence, consistent with the view that there has been selection for this operator site that is involved in two- to threefold regulation. Furthermore, regulation by purR of glyA (40) is 1.5- to 3-fold, while $pyrC$ (7, 48) and $pyrD$ (48) are regulated approximately twofold. There is thus precedence for two- to threefold coregulation of functionally related genes.

The question of whether a twofold modulation of $glnB$ by purR could influence glutamine production is more difficult. glnB encodes a P_{II} protein that is considered to be a central element of nitrogen regulation in bacteria (see for example reference 1). P_{II} participates in transcriptional control of nitrogen-regulated genes, including glnA, as well as in controlling the adenylylation and activity of glutamine syn-

TABLE 3. Regulation of prsA, speA, and glnB by purR

Strain	Gene	purR	β-Galactosidase ^a	Repression (fold)
BH401	glnB		510	2.1
BH501	glnB		1,022	
BH601	speA		42	2.3
BH701	speA		97	
KYC4201	prsA		10	2.9
KRY4201	prsA		29	

 a β -galactosidase values in Miller units are the means of two or three experiments, with a variation of approximately 10%.

thetase. Operationally, glnB has been found to mediate a fivefold reduction of glutamine synthetase expression in cells grown under conditions of nitrogen excess (1). Since we were unable to demonstrate an effect of $purR$ on glutamine synthetase level or activity under conditions of nitrogen excess or limitation, it is presently unclear whether a twofold reduction in P_{II} synthesis mediated by a large purine pool could influence the nitrogen excess signal which serves to repress glnA expression and limit glutamine synthetase activity. It is also unclear how such a purine-mediated response would benefit the cell if it were to take place. However, given the fact that P_{II} senses the α -ketoglutarate/ glutamine ratio in cells and the amide of glutamine is used in three steps in the purine nucleotide biosynthetic pathway, a regulatory link may exist.

It is interesting that PurR failed to bind to the putative pur regulon sites in $cytR$ and $dksA$. Comparison of these sites with purine operator sequences revealed that nucleotides which are absolutely conserved in purine operators were also conserved in the putative sites in $cytR$ and $dksA$. Previous work (7, 16, 32, 35) indicated that mutations in highly conserved nucleotides abolished binding of PurR whereas mutations in nonconserved nucleotides did not. Therefore, at least two possibilities exist: (i) bases in nonconserved positions may contribute to PurR binding, or (ii) binding of PurR to $cytR$ and $dksA$ requires additional trans-acting factors. We did not investigate this issue further.

The two- to threefold regulation of glnB, speA, and prsA relative to the 17- to 28-fold regulation of $purF (14, 32)$ can be explained by reduced repressor-operator affinity. Data in Fig. 3 show that the affinity of PurR for binding to the $purF$ operator is 8- to 33-fold greater than that for binding to speA, prsA, and glnB. However, the three- to fourfold greater affinity of PurR for speA than for $prsA$ and glnB was not reflected in comparably greater in vivo regulation of speA by purR. Thus, within these three genes, the pur operator position relative to the promoter may be important for regulation (8). In glnB the pur operator site is located between two major transcription start sites, P1 and P2, as shown in Fig. 2A. In prsA (4) and speA (28) the pur operator is situated near the inferred promoter sites.

There is only limited information available that would allow comparison of $purR$ coregulation with pathway-specific regulation of glnB, prsA, and speA. We are unaware of previous reports about glnB regulation. prsA from S. typhimurium was reported to undergo 3- to 10-fold derepression during pyrimidine starvation (47), whereas a twofold regulation was seen in E . coli (18). Putrescine and spermidine were reported to repress speA-encoded arginine decarboxylase approximately three- to fourfold (42). These results suggest that the magnitude of corepression by purR compares favorably with pathway-specific regulation of these genes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Robert L. Switzer, Barry Wanner, and Ronald Somerville for providing plasmids and strains and Ronald Somerville for helpful suggestions on the manuscript.

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant GM24658 from the National Institutes of Health. Computer facilities were supported by NIH grant AI27713.

REFERENCES

- 1. Atkinson, M. R., and A. J. Ninfa. 1992. Characterization of Escherichia coli glnL mutations affecting nitrogen regulation. J. Bacteriol. 174:4538-4548.
- 2. Banerjee, R. V., N. L. Johnston, J. K. Sobeski, P. Datta, and R. G. Matthews. 1989. Cloning and sequence analysis of the Escherichia coli metH gene encoding cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase and isolation of a tryptic fragment containing the cobalamin-binding domain. J. Biol. Chem. 264:13888-13895.
- Bell, A. I., K. L. Gaston, J. A. Cole, and S. J. Busby. 1989. Cloning of binding sequences for the Escherichia coli transcription activators, FNR and CRP: location of bases involved in discrimination between FNR and CRP. Nucleic Acids Res. 17:3865-3874.
- 4. Bower, S. G., B. Hove-Jensen, and R. L. Switzer. 1988. Structure of the gene encoding phosphoribosylpyrophosphate synthetase (prsA) in Salmonella typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 170:3243-3248.
- 5. Branlant, G., and C. Branlant. 1985. Nucleotide sequence of the Escherichia coli gap gene: different evolutionary behavior of the NAD⁺-binding domain and of the catalytic domain of D-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Eur. J. Biochem. 150: 61-66.
- 6. Brosius, J., T. J. Dull, and H. F. Noller. 1980. Complete nucleotide sequence of ²³ S ribosomal RNA gene from Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:201-204.
- 7. Choi, K. Y., and H. Zalkin. 1990. Regulation of Escherichia coli $pyrC$ by the purine regulon repressor protein. J. Bacteriol. 172:3201-3207.
- 8. Collado-Vides, J., B. Magasanik, and J. D. Gralla. 1991. Control site location and transcriptional regulation in Escherichia coli. Microbiol. Rev. 55:371-394.
- 9. Deguchi, Y., I. Yamato, and Y. Anraku. 1990. Nucleotide sequence of *gltS*, the Na⁺/glutamate symport carrier gene of Escherichia coli B. J. Biol. Chem. 265:21704-21708.
- 10. Gushima, H., S. Yasuda, E. Soeda, M. Yokota, M. Kondo, and A. Kimura. 1984. Complete nucleotide sequence of the E. coli glutathionine synthetase gsh-II. Nucleic Acids Res. 12:9299- 9307.
- 11. Hall, B. G., and L. Xu. 1992. Nucleotide sequence, function, activation, and evolution of the cryptic asc operon of Eschenichia coli K12. Mol. Biol. Evol. 9:688-706.
- 12. Hawley, D. K., and W. R. McClure. 1983. Compilation and analysis of Escherichia coli promoter DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 11:2237-2250.
- 13. Hayano, T., N. Takahashi, S. Kato, N. Maki, and M. Suzuki. 1991. Two distinct forms of peptidylprolyl-cis-trans-isomerase are expressed separately in periplasmic and cytoplasmic compartments of *Escherichia coli* cells. Biochemistry 30:3041-3048.
- 14. He, B., A. Shiau, K. Y. Choi, H. Zalkin, and J. M. Smith. 1990. Genes of the *Escherichia coli pur* regulon are negatively controlled by a repressor-operator interaction. J. Bacteriol. 172: 4555-4562.
- 15. He, B., J. M. Smith, and H. Zalkin. 1992. Escherichia coli purB gene: cloning, nucleotide sequence, and regulation by purR. J. Bacteriol. 174:130-136.
- 16. He, B., and H. Zalkin. 1992. Repression of Escherichia colipurB is by a transcriptional roadblock mechanism. J. Bacteriol. 174:7121-7127.
- 17. Hikada, M., M. Akiyama, and T. Horiuchi. 1988. A consensus sequence of three DNA replication terminus sites on the E. coli chromosome is highly homologous to the $terR$ sites of the R6K plasmid. Cell 55:467-475.
- 18. Hove-Jensen, B. 1985. Cloning and characterization of the prs gene encoding phosphoribosylpyrophosphate synthetase of Escherichia coli. Mol. Gen. Genet. 201:269-276.
- 19. Hull, E. P., M. E. Spencer, D. Wood, and I. R. Guest. 1983. Nucleotide sequence of the promoter region of the citrate synthase gene (gltA) of Escherichia coli. FEBS Lett. 156:366-370.
- 20. Irino, N., K. Nakayama, and H. Nakayama. 1986. The $recQ$ gene of Escherichia coli K12: primary structure and evidence for SOS regulation. Mol. Gen. Genet. 205:298-304.
- 21. Kang, P. J., and E. A. Craig. 1990. Identification and characterization of a new Escherichia coli gene that is a dosagedependent suppressor of a $dn a K$ deletion mutation. J. Bacteriol. 172:2055-2064.
- 22. Kilstrup, M., L. M. Meng, J. Neuhard, and P. Nygaard. 1989. Genetic evidence for a repressor of synthesis of cytosine deaminase and purine biosynthesis enzymes in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 171:2124-2127.
- 23. Klemm, P. 1984. The fimA gene encoding the type-1 fimbrial subunit of Escherichia coli. Eur. J. Biochem. 143:395-399.
- 24. Markham, G. D., J. Deparasis, and J. Gatmaintan. 1984. The sequence of metK, the structural gene for S-adenosylmethionine synthetase in *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 259:14505-14507.
- 25. Meng, L. M., M. Kilstrup, and P. Nygaard. 1990. Autoregulation of purR repressor synthesis and involvement of purR in the regulation of purB, purC, purL, purMN and guaBA expression in Escherichia coli. Eur. J. Biochem. 187:373-379.
- 26. Meng, L. M., and P. Nygaard. 1990. Identification of hypoxanthine and guanine as the corepressors for the purine regulon genes of Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 4:2187-2192.
- 27. Miller, J. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 28. Moore, R. C., and S. M. Boyle. 1990. Nucleotide sequence and analysis of the speA gene encoding biosynthetic arginine decarboxylase in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 172:4631-4640.
- 29. Mullis, K. B., and F. A. Faloona. 1987. Specific synthesis of DNA in vitro via a polymerase-catalyzed chain reaction. Methods Enzymol. 155:335-350.
- 30. Pederson, H., L. Sogaard-Anderson, B. Hoist, and P. Valentin-Hansen. 1991. Heterologous cooperativity in Escherichia coli. The CytR repressor both contacts DNA and the cAMP receptor protein when binding to the deoP2 promoter. J. Biol. Chem. 266:17804-17808.
- 31. Pickett, C. L., D. L. Weinstein, and R. K. Holmes. 1987. Genetics of type IIa heat-labile enterotoxin of Escherichia coli: operon fusions, nucleotide sequence, and hybridization studies. J. Bacteriol. 169:5180-5187.
- 32. Rolfes, R. J., and H. Zalkin. 1988. Regulation of Escherichia coli purF. Mutations that define the promoter, operator, and purine repressor gene. J. Biol. Chem. 263:19649-19652.
- 33. Rolfes, R. J., and H. Zalkin. 1988. Escherichia coli gene purR encoding a repressor protein for purine nucleotide synthesis. Cloning, nucleotide sequence and interaction with the purF operator. J. Biol. Chem. 263:19653-19661.
- 34. Rolfes, R. J., and H. Zalkin. 1990. Purification of the Escherichia coli purine regulon repressor and identification of corepressors. J. Bacteriol. 172:5637-5642.
- Rolfes, R. J., and H. Zalkin. 1990. Autoregulation of Escherichia coli pur R requires two control sites downstream of the promoter. J. Bacteriol. 172:5758-5766.
- 36. Schweizer, H. D., and P. Datta. 1989. Identification and DNA sequence of tdcR, a positive regulatory gene of the tdc operon of Escherichia coli. Mol. Gen. Genet. 218:516-522.
- 37. Sekiya, T., and S. Nishimura. 1979. Sequence of the gene for isoleucine tRNA-1 and the surrounding region in a ribosomal RNA operon of Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res. 6:575-592.
- 38. Simons, R. W., F. Houman, and N. Klechner. 1987. Improved single and multicopy lac-based cloning vector for protein and operon fusions. Gene 53:85-96.
- 39. Son, H. S., and S. G. Rhee. 1987. Cascade control of Escherichia coli glutamine synthetase. Purification and properties of P_{II} protein and nucleotide sequence of its structural gene. J. Biol. Chem. 262:8690-8695.
- 40. Steiert, J. G., R. J. Rolfes, H. Zalkin, and G. V. Stauffer. 1990. Regulation of the *Escherichia coli glyA* gene by the purR gene product. J. Bacteriol. 172:3799-3803.
- 41. Tabor, C. W., and H. Tabor. 1985. Polyamines in microorgan-
- isms. Microbiol. Rev. 49:81-99. 42. Tabor, H., and C. W. Tabor. 1969. Formation of 1,4-diaminobutane and of spermidine by an ornithine auxotroph of Escherichia coli grown on limiting ornithine or arginine. J. Biol. Chem. 244:2286-2292.
- 43. Vakulenko, S. B., and E. G. Entina. 1990. Nucleotide sequence of aacC2 gene from a clinical strain of Escherichia coli. Antibiot. Khimioter. 35:46-50.
- 44. Valentin-Hansen, P., J. E. L. Larsen, P. Højrup, S. A. Short, and C. S. Barbier. 1986. Nucleotide sequence of the cytR regulatory gene of E. coli K-12. Nucleic Acids Res. 14:2215-2228.
- 45. Vlazry, D. H., J. Zhang, S. Zhao, and C. W. Hill. 1990. Structure of the rhsA locus from Escherichia coli K-12 and comparison of *rhsA* with other members of the *rhs* multigene family. J. Bacteriol. 172:446-456.
- 46. Walderhaug, M. O., J. W. Polarek, P. Voelkner, J. M. Daniel,

J. E. Hesse, and K. Altendorf. 1992. KdpD and KdpE, proteins that control expression of the kdpABC operon, are members of the two-component sensor-effector class of regulators. J. Bacteriol. 174:2152-2159.

- 47. White, M. N., J. Olszowy, and R. L. Switzer. 1971. Regulation and mechanism of phosphoribosylpyrophosphate synthetase: repression by end products. J. Bacteriol. 108:122-131.
- 48. WIlson, H. R., and C. L. Turnbough. 1990. Role of the purine repressor in the regulation of pyrimidine gene expression in Escherichia coli K-12. J. Bacteriol. 172:3208-3213.
- 49. Wolf, S. A., and J. M. Smith. 1985. Separate regulation of purA and purB loci of Escherichia coli K-12. J. Bacteriol. 162:822-825.
- 50. Yoshikawa, A., S. Isono, A. Sheback, and K. Isona. 1987. Cloning and nucleotide sequencing of genes $rimI$ and $rimJ$ which encode enzymes acetylating ribosomal proteins S18 and S5 of Escherichia coli K-12. Mol. Gen. Genet. 209:481-488.