Impact of dumpsite compost on heavy metal accumulation in some cultivated plants

Basma T. Abd-Elhalim^{1*}, Mathew Gideon², Kuzmin Anton³ and Mercy O. Boyi⁴

Abstract

The study examines the concentrations of heavy metals in agricultural soil, compost from landflls, maize plants, and spinach crops. The results show that compost from landflls had levels exceeding EU requirements for Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn. However, agricultural soil contained trace amounts of heavy metals. The order of metal absorption by plants was Cd>Pb>Cu>Ni>Cr>Zn>Fe>Mn. This highlights potential health risks associated with consuming crops grown in compost or soil tainted with heavy metals.

Keywords Dumpsite compost, Heavy metals, Human and animal health, Maize plant, Spinach plant

Background

Uncontrolled waste disposal in African countries like Egypt, South Africa, and Nigeria is causing environmental degradation due to inadequate waste management techniques. This leads to the accumulation of pollutants, particularly in soil and groundwater, which are essential storage areas. Metal contamination from open garbage disposal, industrial processes, and automobile emissions can pose health and ecosystem risks $[1-16]$ $[1-16]$ $[1-16]$. Dumpsite soils, rich in essential nutrients like calcium, magnesium, potassium, and salt, have been extensively studied for heavy metal contamination, revealing potential environmental deterioration and potential consequences [[17–](#page-5-2)[26](#page-5-3)].

Heavy metals, causing toxicity, inhibiting biodegradability, and singnifcantly impact the environment and ecosystem health. Polluted from household, commercial, or municipal waste, they accumulate in plants, causing health concerns. Dumpsites contribute to soil heavy metal pollution, including As, Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Pb, Ni, and Zn [[27–](#page-5-4)[29](#page-5-5)]. Heavy metals like lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd) pose signifcant threats to soil health and agricultural productivity due to their toxicity and environmental persistence. Lead remains on the soil surface for extended periods, while cadmium moves through soil based on pH and organic matter. Soil pollution leads to higher metal levels, lower organic matter, nutrient retention, and ion exchange [\[30–](#page-5-6)[32\]](#page-5-7). Heavy metal concentrations negatively impact soil biota by disrupting microbial processes and reducing microbial activity. Pollution reduces specifc cation adsorption, altering soil pH and inhibiting enzymatic activity. Soil enzyme activity remains stable at 10 μg/g but increases to 50 μg/g lead to decreased activity, particularly in sandy loam soils. Heavy metals like Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} completely vanish urease activity $[32-34]$ $[32-34]$. Heavy metals pose a global environmental hazard, accumulating in plants and organisms. Variables like temperature, humidity, organic matter content, pH, and nutrient availability afect their absorption. Higher summer transpiration rates in spinach increase metal absorption, contaminating agricultural soil and endangering human health. Converting biomass into organic amendments can address this issue [[35–](#page-6-0)[56](#page-6-1)]. Due

© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

^{*}Correspondence:

Basma T. Abd‑Elhalim

basma.talaat@agr.asu.edu.eg

¹ Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain

Shams University, Hadayek Shubra, Cairo 11241, Egypt

² Department of Environment, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, Kaduna, Kaduna State, Nigeria

³ Department of Agricultural Products Processing, Mordovia State University, Saransk, Russia

⁴ Department of Chemistry, FacultyofScience, Nigerian Defence Academy Kaduna State, Kaduna, Nigeria

to the high expense of inorganic fertilizers, farmers are increasingly employing huge dumpsite composted soil as a soil supplement (Figure S1).

The study explores the absorption of trace metals by maize and spinach in soil from a large dumpsite in Kaduna Metropolis, focusing on its impact on heavy metal accumulation, health risks, and plant growth. It compares dumpsite compost with soil, assessing heavy metal levels and offering recommendations for safe agricultural practices.

Materials and methods

Description of study area

Sabon Tasha Railway Station is in Kaduna State, Nigeria's Chikun Local Government Area (Figure S2). The state is known for its short trees, shrubs, and grasses, and has seven neighbouring states: Kano, Bauchi, Plateau, Nasarawa, Abuja Federal Capital Territory, and Niger [[38–](#page-6-2)[40](#page-6-3)].

Environmental characteristics

Kaduna State's natural landscape features diverse flora and soil composition, infuenced by semi-arid to subhumid climates and primarily loamy to sandy soil with clay areas.

Regional context

Kaduna State's biological and socioeconomic characteristics are infuenced by its borders with Zamfara, Katsina, Kano, Bauchi, Plateau, Nasarawa, Abuja, and Niger State. Its proximity to Nigeria's political hub and potential for interstate trade and cross-cultural interchange make it a significant region in the country $[41]$.

Samples collection and preparation

The study collected heavy metal samples from composted soil, garden area, and dumpsite using a careful sampling technique, extracting magnetic metals using a 1 kg bar magnet, and testing in small containers.

Planting experiment

A garden plot with composted soil was planted with maize and spinach, without soil amendments or fertilizers. After 40 days, plants were harvested and carefully picked, cleaned, and labelled (Figure S3). Samples were sent to the Multi-User Laboratory, Chemistry Department, and Agricultural Microbiology Department for further analysis. The samples were dehydrated, dried, ground into a powder, and examined for heavy metals [[41\]](#page-6-4).

Determination of heavy metals

Heavy metal analysis was conducted using Microwave Plasma- Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (MP-AES42000) with laboratory-quality hydrochloric and nitric acids from German supplier Riedel–de Haen. Materials were prepared by adhering to strict pre-treatment methodology, including cleaning, immersing in nitric acid, and drying. Samples were weighed, digested, and filtered. The actual concentration was calculated in mg/kg using an equation (1) (1) . The process involved heating, cooling, and adding deionized water to ensure precision and consistency [\[42](#page-6-5), [43\]](#page-6-6).

$$
Actual\ Concentration = (Instrument\ Reading\times Dilution\ Factor)/Sample\ Weight
$$
 (1)

The transfer factor (TF) of heavy metals from soil to plants

It is an important metric used to assess the extent to which plants absorb contaminants from their growing medium. The TF can be calculated using the following formula [[45](#page-6-7)]:

(2) Transfer Factor(TF) = Metal in Plant Concentration (mg/kg) /Metal in Soil Concentration (mg/kg)

Statistical analysis

T-statistics were used to analyse three duplicate samples with a 95% confidence level. The findings are displayed as means \pm standard deviations, with $p < 0.01$ denoting statistical signifcance.

Quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) protocols

The study aimed to assess the impact of dumpsite compost on heavy metal accumulation in cultivated plants. To ensure reliability and accuracy, QA/QC protocols were implemented. Standardized methods were used to collect compost samples, soil, and plant materials, and proper labeling and storage conditions were maintained. Plant samples were dehydrated and ground into a fne powder for accurate measurement. Heavy metal analysis was conducted using Microwave Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (MP-AES), with calibration standards prepared from certifed stock solutions. Multiple replicates were analyzed to assess variability. Documentation of procedures and deviations was meticulous.

Concentration (mg/kg)/Trace metals	Cd	Cr	Cu	Mn	Ni	Fe	Pb	Zn
Dumpsite compost	$6.00 + 0.02$	$89.00 + 0.63$	$21.00 + 0.32$	$101.00 + 2.01$	$17.12 + 0.23$	$1570.02 + 15.21$	$29.31 + 0.31$	$315.18 + 2.23$
Soil for cultivation	$0.40 + 0.01$	$2131 + 032$	$172 + 0.01$	$12.98 + 0.15$	$743 + 008$	$520.11 + 5.31$	$0.98 + 0.01$	$67.31 + 1.04$
Total in soil	$6.40 + 0.03$	$110.31 + 0.95$	$22.72 + 0.33$	$13.98 + 2.16$	$19.55 + 0.31$	7090.13 ± 20.52		$30.29 + 0.32$ $382.49 + 3.27$
Maize plant	$588 + 003$	$4717 + 051$	$1701 + 005$	$41.46 + 0.36$	$11.56 + 0.11$	$832.10 + 9.57$	$7407 + 009$	$164.92 + 3.06$
Spinach vegetable	$5.94 + 0.02$	$54.29 + 0.40$	$18.69 + 0.17$	$50.53 + 0.69$	$12.07 + 0.09$	$910.60 + 12.20$		$26.10 + 0.12$ $180.45 + 1.70$
Absorbed by maize	$0.52 + 0.01$	$6314 + 044$	$571 + 0.28$	$7252+18$	$7.99 + 0.2$	125803+1095		$6.22 + 0.23$ $217.57 + 0.21$
Absorbed by spinach	$0.46 + 0.01$	$56.02 + 0.55$	$4.03 + 0.16$	$63.45 + 1.47$	$7.48 + 0.22$	1179.53 ± 8.32	$4.19 + 0.20$	$202.04 + 1.57$
(Directive 2014/118/EU) limit	1.50	100.00	140.00	420.00	70.00	N ₁	100.00	300.00

Table 1 Concentrations of heavy metal of all samples analysed in mg/kg

The EU does not typically set a specifc limit for iron in agricultural soil as it is considered an essential nutrient

 $NI: No$ Limit

Fig. 1 Concentration of Cd, Cu, Ni and Pb present in soil and plant samples

Results

As shown in Table [1](#page-2-1) and Figs. 1 and $2₁$ $2₁$ the study measured heavy metal concentrations in compost from waste sites, cultivation soil, maize plants, and spinach crops, comparing them to Directive 2014/118/EU limitations. Although the concentrations were higher than EU limits, they were lower than compost from waste sites, suggesting soil mitigation. The average concentrations were less than 1.

The study examines the transfer factors (TF) of maize and spinach, indicating their ability to absorb heavy metals from contaminated composted soil. The results show that both plants absorb diferent amounts of heavy metals, highlighting diferences in their uptake capabilities. Monitoring these TFs is crucial due to potential health risks associated with consuming contaminated crops (Table [2](#page-3-1)).

Discussion

The study examined heavy metal concentrations in soil, compost, maize, and spinach crops. Results showed significant differences in heavy metal amounts. The study used Directive 2014/118/EU limits to compare trace metal quantities. Higher levels in compost from dumpsites suggested contamination. Heavy metal levels in compost from dumpsites were within acceptable limits, while farmed soil showed lower contamination. However, cultivable soil exceeded EU Directive limits, potentially causing food safety and crop growth issues. Soil mitigation measures mitigate higher Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn concentrations in maize plants, but still lower than compost from trash sites, potentially endangering consumer health [\[10](#page-5-9), [16,](#page-5-1) [41](#page-6-4)[–44](#page-6-8)]. More monitoring and remediation operations are crucial for food safety and

Fig. 2 Concentration of Cr, Mn, Fe and Zn present in soil and plants samples

Concentration (mg/kg)/Trace metals	Cd	Cr	Cu	Mn	Ni	Fe	Pb	Zn
Total in soil	$640 + 003$	$1031 + 095$	$2272 + 033$	$113.98 + 2.16$	$19.55 + 0.31$	$2090.13 + 20.52$	$30.29 + 0.32$	$382.49 + 3.27$
Absorbed by maize	$0.52 + 0.01$	$63.14 + 0.44$	$5.71 + 0.28$	$72.52 + 1.8$	$7.99 + 0.2$	1258.03 + 10.95	$6.22 + 0.23$	$217.57 + 0.21$
TF	0.08	0.57	0.25	0.63	0.40	0.60	0.20	0.56
Absorbed by spinach	$0.46 + 0.01$	$56.02 + 0.55$	$4.03 + 0.16$	$63.45 + 1.47$	$7.48 + 0.22$	$1179.53 + 8.32$	$4.19 + 0.20$	$202.04 + 1.57$
TF	0.072	0.53	0.17	0.55	0.38	0.56	0.13	0.52
(Directive 2014/118/EU) limit	1.50	100.00	140.00	420.00	70.00	NL	100.00	300.00
p-Value	0.0030	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	0.0020	0.0380	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010
Significant difference	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table 2 The calculated p-values for each heavy metal absorbed by maize and spinach

TF=Transfer factor. TF<1: Indicates that the plant does not accumulate the metal efficiently from the soil. TF=1: Suggests that the plant accumulates the metal at the same rate as it is available in the soil. TF > 1: Implies the plant has a high capacity to absorb the metal from the soil, which could pose health risks if these plants are consumed

environmental health, as the index values indicate severe pollution.

The trace metal concentration absorption index values were ranked in order of Mn, Pb, Cu, Ni, Cr, Zn, Fe, and Cd, with Cd being highly soluble in soil, particularly in acidic environments $[45]$. This process increases the solubility of cadmium in acidic soils with lower pH values, which increases its availability for plant absorption [[46](#page-6-9)]. Additionally, compared to neutral or alkaline soils, acidic soils have greater solubility for lead (Pb), which makes it easier for plants to absorb [[47](#page-6-10)]. Studies show that lead solubility increases in acidic soils, while copper solubility is moderate in acidic ones, with complex building afecting Cu availability in alkaline soils [[48\]](#page-6-11). Increased solubility of nickel (Ni) in acidic conditions and the formation of soluble complexes with certain soil minerals and organic matter augment Ni's availability for plant absorption [[46\]](#page-6-9).

Soil pH, organic matter concentration, and redox potential afect zinc solubility, with acidic soils being more soluble due to interaction with soil minerals and organic matter [\[45\]](#page-6-7). Soil chromium solubility is poor, especially in trivalent states, and decreases with pH. Soil mineral and organic matter synthesis afects solubility. Iron solubility is low in aerobic conditions but increases under anaerobic conditions [\[47\]](#page-6-10). Research shows that manganese solubility decreases with higher

pH levels, as it precipitates at higher values, afecting its availability for plant absorption [\[46](#page-6-9)].

The study reveals that corn absorbs more cadmium than spinach, suggesting maize may be better at absorbing it. Both crops absorb chromium diferently, potentially increasing the risk of accumulation. Maize absorbs more copper than spinach, suggesting distinct bioavailability and absorption methods. Additionally, maize shows greater manganese absorption than spinach, indicating diferent accumulation methods.

The study reveals significant differences in the absorption of trace metals in maize and spinach. Maize absorbed nickel more than spinach, suggesting diferent nickel accumulation patterns. Iron absorption was also signifcantly diferent, with maize absorbing more iron than spinach. Lead absorption was signifcantly higher in maize, suggesting potential lead accumulation. Zinc absorption was signifcantly higher in maize, indicating distinct mechanisms. Understanding these diferences is crucial for food safety, environmental contamination, and agricultural management strategies.

The research reveals that spinach and maize have varying capacities to absorb trace metals from polluted soil. Spinach often accumulates more metal due to its wide leaf shape, higher affinity transporters, and effective metal uptake systems, while maize may have a smaller leaf surface area and distinct root architecture [[47\]](#page-6-10).

A recent study found that maize grain absorbs less metal than spinach, but cattle consuming harvested plants are at risk due to soil metal content, pH, organic matter, and redox potential. Spinach may absorb more soluble metals from soil than corn [\[48](#page-6-11), [49](#page-6-12)].

The study shows that organic geo-sorbents can immobilize toxic elements in mine-degraded soil, enhancing nutrient content and reducing their bioaccumulation in spinach. Specifc amendments increased spinach biomass and reduced arsenic uptake, compared to control treatments [[55\]](#page-6-13). Plant growth stage and chemical speciation in soil afect metal absorption dynamics. Spinach absorbs metals faster than maize due to its quick vegetative development, highlighting increased danger. Soil chemical speciation also afects metal absorption [[45,](#page-6-7) [50](#page-6-14)[–52\]](#page-6-15).

Conclusion

Heavy metal pollution in agricultural soil and compost from waste sites poses threats to crop development and food safety. Compost from waste sites contained high amounts of Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn, all over EU limits. Soil intended for agriculture had lower heavy metal concentrations. Maize plants had moderate amounts of Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn, while spinach had higher metal concentrations than maize plants. The sequence of metal absorption by plants shows considerable contamination. To reduce risks and ensure sustainable farming practices, ongoing monitoring and corrective actions are essential.

The study suggests future research on reducing heavy metal contamination in agricultural soils, using hyperaccumulator plant species, beneficial microbes, organic amendments, crop rotation, microbial bioremediation, regular monitoring, health risk assessments, and education programs, and engaging local communities in sustainable agricultural practices.

Limitations

However, based on the context of the study, potential limitations could include:

- 1. Geographical scope: The study may be limited to specifc regions in Egypt and Nigeria, which may not represent heavy metal accumulation in other geographical areas with diferent environmental conditions.
- 2. Sample size: The number of samples collected from compost, soil, and plants may be limited, afecting the generalizability of the results.
- 3. Temporal factors: Heavy metal concentrations can vary over time due to seasonal changes, agricultural practices, and waste management practices, which may not be fully captured in a single study.
- 4. Analytical methods: The accuracy of heavy metal measurements may depend on the sensitivity and specifcity of the analytical techniques used, which could introduce variability in the results.
- 5. Health risk assessment: The study may not account for all potential exposure pathways or individual susceptibility factors, which could afect the overall health risk assessment.
- 6. Lack of longitudinal data: Without long-term monitoring, it is difficult to assess the ongoing impact of heavy metal accumulation on soil health and crop safety.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-025-07083-9) [org/10.1186/s13104-025-07083-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-025-07083-9).

Supplementary Material 1.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Agricultural Microbiology Department at Ain Shams University, Mrs. Linda Asabat Yakubu from the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources Kaduna State, and the Multi-User Laboratory at Ahmadu Bello University.

Author contributions

M.G., K.A., M.B., and B.T. designed and wrote the manuscript. M.G., K.A., M.B., and B.T. analyzed the generated data. B.T. revised the manuscript and made the corrections.

Funding

Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB). No funding.

Data availability

All data produced or analysed during this study are included in the article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 11 September 2024 Accepted: 3 January 2025 Published online: 17 January 2025

References

- 1. Al-Khatib IA, Kontogianni S, Abu Nabaa H, Alshami N. Sustainable waste management: waste-to-energy incineration plants as an alternative to landfll. J Environ Manag. 2015;156:102–13.
- 2. Adewumi JR, Oladunjoye MA, Ogunjimi DA. Assessment of groundwater quality in a municipal solid waste dumpsite in Abeokuta, southwestern Nigeria. Environ Monit Assess. 2019;191(10):629.
- 3. Ojuri OO, Adegbite KA, Alagbe SA, Onibokun EA. Social and economic challenges of municipal solid waste management in Nigeria. 2018.
- 4. Ajibade FO, Adewumi JR, Oyediran GO. Microbial degradation of textile dye effluent using indigenous bacteria isolated from dumpsite soil in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2019;26(15):15359–70.
- 5. Kanmani S, Gandhimathi R. Assessment of heavy metal contamination in soil due to leachate migration from an open dumping site. Appl Water Sci. 2013;3:193–205. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-012-0072-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-012-0072-z)
- 6. Akinbile CO, Erazua AE, Babalola TE, Ajibade FO. Environmental implications of animal wastes pollution on agricultural soil and water quality. Soil Water Res. 2016;11:172–80.<https://doi.org/10.17221/29/2015-SWR>.
- 7. Yañez LM, Alfaro JA, Carreras NA, Mitre GB. Arsenic accumulation in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) and broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) crops and its potential risk for human consumption. Heliyon. 2019;5: e01152. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01152) doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01152.
- 8. Cesaro A, Belgiorno V, Guida M, Notarnicola M, Pirozzi F. Municipal solid waste management and energy recovery in a circular economy perspective: case study of the Campania Region, Italy. Waste Manag. 2019;95:3–13.
- 9. Oluwatuyi OE, Ojuri OO. Effect of dumpsite leachate on groundwater quality in Ogbomoso metropolis, Nigeria. Appl Water Sci. 2017;7(8):4345–54.
- 10. Alsbou EME, Al-Khashman OA. Heavy metal concentrations in roadside soil and street dust from Petra region, Jordan. Environ Monit Assess. 2018;190:1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-6409-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-6409-1)
- 11. Ojuri OO, Ojuri LO, Ndambuki JM, Adeola AJ, Taiwo AM. Heavy metals and hydrocarbon concentrations in soil from automobile workshops in Ibadan, Nigeria: effects of car washing on the environment. Environ Earth Sci. 2016;75(2):1–10.
- 12. Oluwatuyi OE, Adewumi JR, Ajibade FO. Geospatial assessment of soil properties and microbial pollution in selected refuse dumpsites in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. Environ Monit Assess. 2019;191(3):139.
- 13. Alsbou EM, Al-Adamat RA, Rababah A. Heavy metals contamination of soil and water in the vicinity of Al-Mafraq landfll, Jordan. Environ Earth Sci. 2018;77(16):571.
- 14. Akinbile CO, Ajibade FO, Ofuafo O. Soil quality analysis for dumpsite environment in a university community in Nigeria. J Eng Eng Technol. 2016;10:68–73.
- 15. Ahmed W, Rashid MT, Arif M, Sattar A, Mahmood T, Nafees M, Ghani S. Heavy metals contamination and their health risk assessment in drinking water from the populated urban areas of Pakistan. J Chem. 2018.
- 16. Aazami J, KianiMehr H. Health risk assessment of heavy metals via dietary intake of fve pistachio (*Pistacia vera* L.) cultivars collected from diferent geographical sites of Iran. Food Chem Toxicol. 2018;118:705–12.
- 17. Welty CJ, Sousa ML, Dunnivant FM, Yancey PH. High-density element concentrations in fsh from subtidal to hadal zones of the Pacifc Ocean. Heliyon. 2018;4: e00840. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00840.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00840)
- 18. Ilori MO, Alabi AS, Amund OO, Lajide L. Levels of heavy metals and potential human health risk assessment in soils of automobile mechanic waste dumpsites in Ibadan, Nigeria. Environ Monit Assess. 2019;191(6):387.
- 19. Meghea A, Baciu C, Bordean DM, Toma C, Borcan F. Characterization and assessment of heavy metal content of urban soil. Bulletin of University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca. Horticulture. 2009;66(1–2):310–5.
- 20. Tiwari M, Gautam RK, Kumar P. Heavy metal contamination in groundwater around an open dumpsite of Jabalpur, India. Pollut Res. 2017;36(1):178–82.
- 21. Ugya AY, Samaila AS, Musa JJ, Maitera ON. Assessment of heavy metals concentration and health risk in soil and plants around Challawa industrial area, Kano-Nigeria. J Appl Sci Environ Manag. 2018;22(7).
- 22. Ihedioha JN, Ukoha PO, Ekere NR. Soil contamination with heavy metals: levels and ecological risk assessment around sand dumps of sand mining activities. Environ Monit Assess. 2017;189(11):581.
- 23. Azeez JO, Adeyemi AO, Bankole SA. Evaluation of soil characteristics and heavy metal content of dumpsites in Abeokuta and Ifo Local Government Areas of Ogun State, Nigeria. Afr J Environ Sci Technol. 2011;5(3):189–98.
- 24. Zhang X, Yang H, Cui Z. Evaluation and analysis of soil migration and distribution characteristics of heavy metals in iron tailings. J Clean Prod. 2018;172:475–80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.277.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.277)
- 25. Sulaiman MB, Asegbeloyin JN, Ihedioha JN, Oyeka EE, Oji EO. Trace metals content of soil around a municipal solid waste dumpsite in Gombe, Nigeria: assessing the ecological and human health impact. J Chem Health Risks. 2019;9(3):173–90. [https://doi.org/10.22034/jchr.](https://doi.org/10.22034/jchr.2019.668183) [2019.668183.](https://doi.org/10.22034/jchr.2019.668183)
- 26. Opeyemi EO, Fidelis O, Temitope FA, Bashir A, Ayodeji SO, James RA, Christopher OA. Total concentration, contamination status and distribution of elements in a Nigerian State dumpsites soil. Environ Sustain Indic. 2020;5:100021.
- 27. Sridevi V, Modi M, Ch MVV, Lakshmi A, Kesavarao L. A review on integrated solid waste management. Int J Eng Sci Adv Technol. 2012;2(5):1491–9.
- 28. Gebre BG, Debelie BH. Soil heavy metals contamination and human health risk assessment around Derba Cement Factory, Ethiopia. J Health Pollut. 2015;5(10):49–58.
- 29. Ugurlu A. Leaching characteristics of fy ash. Environ Geol. 2004;46(6):890–5.
- 30. Karaca A, Cetin SC, Turgay OC, Kizilkaya R. Efects of heavy metals on soil enzyme activities. In: Sherameti I, Varma A, editors. Soil heavy metals. Berlin: Springer; 2010. p. 237–62.
- 31. Singh J, Kalamdhad AS. Efects of heavy metals on soil, plants, human health and aquatic life. Int J Res Chem Environ. 2011;1(2):15–21.
- 32. Bakshi S, Banik C, He Z. The impact of heavy metal contamination on soil health. In: Reicosky D, editor. Managing soil health for sustainable agriculture, vol. 2. Cambridge: Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing Limited; 2018. p. 1–36.
- 33. Hemida SK, Omar SA, Abdel-Mallek AY. Microbial populations and enzyme activity in soil treated with heavy metals. Water Air Soil Pollut. 1997;95(1):13–22.
- 34. Balkhair KS, Ashraf MA. Field accumulation risks of heavy metals in soil and vegetable crop irrigated with sewage water in western region of Saudi Arabia, Saudi. J Biol Sci. 2016;23(1):S32–44. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2015.09.023) [1016/j.sjbs.2015.09.023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2015.09.023)
- 35. Akanchise T, Boakye S, Borquaye LS, Dodd M, Darko G. Distribution of heavy metals in soils from abandoned dump sites in Kumasi, Ghana. Sci Afr. 2020;10(2020): e00614. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2020.e00614>.
- 36. Opaluwa OD, Aremu O, et al. Heavy metal concentrations in soils, plant leaves and crops grown around dump sites in Lafa Metropolis, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. Adv Appl Sci Res. 2012;3(2):780–4.
- 37. Kumar R, Agrawal M, Marshall F. Heavy metal contamination of soil and vegetables in suburban areas of Varanasi, India. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2007;66(2):258–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2005.11.007>.
- 38. Emumejakpor SI, Adewumi AJ. Comparative analysis of heavy metal concentrations and potential health risks across varied land-use zones in Ado-Ekiti, Southwest Nigeria. Acadlore Trans. Geosci. 2023;2(2):113–31.
- 39. Encyclopaedia Britannica. Kaduna. In Encyclopaedia Britannica. 2020. <https://www.britannica.com/place/Kaduna>.
- 40. Aliyu S, Basira B, Tahir SM, Hussaini A, Usman M. Analysis of heavy metals in goat and cow hides singed with scrap tyre in Tudun Wada Zango Abattoir, Kaduna State, Nigeria. Eurasian J Sci Eng. 2023;9(1):262–70.
- 41. Ibe FC, Beniah OI, Enyoh CE. Trace metals analysis of soil and edible plant leaves from abandoned municipal waste dumpsite in Owerri, Imo state, Nigeria. World News Nat Sci. 2017;13:27–42.
- 42. Yusuf MA. Land use and land cover changes in Kaduna state, Nigeria. Int J Remote Sens Geosci. 2015;4(3):40–51.
- 43. Adam I, Okyere D, Teye M. Assessment of heavy metal residues in hides of goats singed with tyres, and the efect of boiling on the heavy metal concentrations in the hides. J Vet Adv. 2013;3(5):165–9. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.5455/jva.20130531104440) [5455/jva.20130531104440.](https://doi.org/10.5455/jva.20130531104440)
- 44. Abaje IB, Sawa BA, Iguisi EO, Ibrahim AA. Impacts of climate change and adaptation strategies in rural communities of Kaduna State, Nigeria. Ethiop J Enviro Stud Manag. 2016;9(1):97–108. [https://doi.org/10.4314/](https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v9i1.9) [ejesm.v9i1.9](https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v9i1.9).
- 45. Alloway BJ. Heavy metals in soils: trace metals and metalloids in soils and their bioavailability. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
- 46. Csaba B, Arpad I, Seyed MNM, Adrienn S, Brigitta T, Janos N, Csaba LM. Evaluation of the nutrient composition of maize in diferent NPK fertilizer levels based on multivariate method analysis. Int J Agron. 2021;5537549:13. [https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5537549.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5537549)
- 47. Samara C, Zachariadis G, Albanis T. The role of organic matter in the adsorption of copper, lead, cadmium and zinc by some Greek soils. Environ Pollut. 2002;118(3):365–70.
- 48. Vargas I, Gomes E, Silva JB, Espinha Marques J. Efect of pH and organic matter on copper bioavailability. Chemosphere. 2005;58(1):29–36.
- 49. Wuana RA, Okieimen FE. Heavy metals in contaminated soils: a review of sources, chemistry, risks and best available strategies for remediation. ISRN Ecol. 2011. [https://doi.org/10.5402/2011/402647.](https://doi.org/10.5402/2011/402647)
- 50. Nyiramigisha P, Komariah, Sajidan. Harmful impacts of heavy metal contamination in the soil and crops grown around dumpsites. Rev Agric Sci. 2021;9:271–82. https://doi.org/10.7831/ras.9.0_271.
- 51. Alarefee H, Ishak CF, Karam DS, Othman R. Efficiency of rice husk biochar with poultry litter co-composts in oxisols for improving soil physicochemical properties and enhancing maize performance. Agronomy. 2021;2021(11):2409. [https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11122409.](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11122409)
- 52. Tandy S, Mundus S, Yngvesson J, de Bang TC, Lombi E, Schjoerring JK, Husted S. Assessment of cadmium tolerance and accumulation in 68 entries of the world collection of durum wheat, *Triticum turgidum* L. ssp. durum (Desf.) Husn. Environ Sci Technol. 2011;45(13):5734–41.
- 53. Ghani J, Nawab J, Khan S. Organic amendments minimize the migration of potentially toxic elements in soil–plant system in degraded agricultural lands. Biomass Conv Bioref. 2024;14:6547–65. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-022-02816-3) [s13399-022-02816-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-022-02816-3).
- 54. Nawab J, Khan N, Ahmed R. Infuence of diferent organic geo-sorbents on *Spinacia oleracea* grown in chromite mine-degraded soil: a green‑ house study. J Soils Sediments. 2019;19:2417–32. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-019-02260-3) [s11368-019-02260-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-019-02260-3).
- 55. Nawab J, Ghani J, Ullah S, Ahmad I, Akbar Jadoon S, Ali S, Ullah Z. Influence of agro-wastes derived biochar and their composite on reducing the mobility of toxic heavy metals and their bioavailability in industrial contaminated soils. Inter J Phytoremed. 2024;26(11):1824–38. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2024.2357640) [org/10.1080/15226514.2024.2357640.](https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2024.2357640)
- 56. Mostafa MYA, Kadhim NF, Ammer H. The plant transfer factor of natural radionuclides and the soil radiation hazard of some crops. Environ Monit Assess. 2021;193:320. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-09061-7>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.