PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF TOKENIZERS IN LARGE LANGUAGE MODELS FOR THE ASSAMESE LANGUAGE

A PREPRINT

 Sagar Tamang* Department of IT The Assam Kaziranga University Jorhat, India cs22bcagn033@kazirangauniversity.in Dr. Dibya Jyoti Bora Department of IT The Assam Kaziranga University Jorhat, India dibyajyotibora@kazirangauniversity.in

October 8, 2024

ABSTRACT

Training of a tokenizer plays an important role in the performance of deep learning models. This research aims to understand the performance of tokenizers in five state-of-the-art (SOTA) large language models (LLMs) in the Assamese language of India. The research is important to understand the multi-lingual support for a low-resourced language such as Assamese. Our research reveals that the tokenizer of SUTRA from Two AI performs the best with an average Normalized Sequence Length (NSL) value of 0.45, closely followed by the tokenizer of GPT-40 from Open AI with an average NSL value of 0.54, followed by Gemma 2, Meta Llama 3.1, and Mistral Large Instruct 2407 with an average NSL value of 0.82, 1.4, and 1.48 respectively.

Keywords tokenizer · LLM · tokens · GPT · Assamese · SUTRA.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Transformer architecture-based Large Language Models have gained significant popularity in recent years revolutionizing the majority of fields of Artificial Intelligence [6, 7]. Tokenization is an important part of the pre-processing step for training and fine-tuning Large Language Models [8]. Thus, the performance of the models also depends on the performance of its tokenizers [8, 5].

Typically, Transformer-based LLMs employ tokenization methods such as WordPiece or Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) [9, 10]. In the WordPiece method, the primary operation involves counting and merging the most frequent subword pairs. The frequency of a subword pair $(X \rightarrow Y)$ can be conceptually represented as [21]:

 $Count(X \to Y) =$ number of occurrences of the subword pair (X, Y) in the corpus (1)

Whereas, in Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) the main operation is to count and merge the most frequent adjacent symbol pairs, and the frequency of an adjacent symbol pair (a, b) can be conceptually determined by [10]:

$$Count(ab) = number of occurrences of the pair (a, b) in the corpus$$
 (2)

Byte Pair Encoding (BPE), originally introduced by Sennrich et al. [21] for NLP tasks, is a tokenization algorithm that learns from subword-based encoding from training data. It follows a bottom-up approach where the training dataset is divided into individual characters or tokens, which are then aggregated together in pairs of tokens based on the number of occurrences. BPE has become a near-universal choice for modern language models [10, 21].

^{*}Correspondance can be addressed to cs22bcagn033@kazirangauniversity.in

1.2 Challenges in Assamese

Assam is the largest state of the North-Eastern region of India with a population of over 36.6 million. Though the state of Assam harbours a wide range of linguistic groups, Assamese is the official language with 15.1 million people [1, 15]. We selected Assamese language for our study due to it being a low-resource language, which presents us with a unique challenge and opportunities for evaluating tokenizers. Though there has been research on corpus building, POS tagging, WordNet development, image captioning, and cognate detection, there has been no significant work on Assamese distributional semantics or a monolingual Transformer-based language model for Assamese evaluated on multiple tasks [11, 12].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents an overview of the recent developments in NMT in Assamese language; Section 3 provides the methodology of the conduction of this research; Section 4 showcases the experiments and its results; Section 5 is where the discussions are performed; Section 6 is for the summary of the research with future outlooks.

2 Literature Review

In this section, we conduct a literature review of related works in the related field.

A. Vaswani et al. (2017) introduced the Transformer model, a groundbreaking architecture for sequence-to-sequence tasks that relies entirely on self-attention mechanisms, eliminating the need for recurrent and convolutional layers. They demonstrated that the Transformer achieves superior performance on machine translation benchmarks, specifically WMT 2014 English-to-German and English-to-French, outperforming previous models. The key innovation of the Transformer is its ability to handle long-range dependencies more effectively, leading to faster training times and improved accuracy in generating complex sequences. Their model has significantly influenced and revolutionized the field of natural language processing [6].

G Dagan et al investigated the critical role of tokenization in optimizing large language models (LLMs) for code generation tasks, demonstrating that factors such as tokenizer size, pre-tokenization strategies, and training data significantly influence model performance, generation speed, and memory usage. Through extensive experiments, they revealed that specialized Byte-Pair Encoding (BPE) tokenizers can achieve substantial compression rates without degrading performance, and they provide recommendations for selecting tokenizer hyper-parameters tailored to specific domains [19].

2.1 Tokenization in Low-Resource Languages

A Bendale et al introduced SUTRA, a scalable multilingual large language model architecture that effectively separates core conceptual understanding from language-specific processing. This innovative design allows SUTRA to achieve high performance and efficiency across over 50 languages, utilizing a Mixture of Experts framework to optimize computational resources and enhance multilingual capabilities, thereby addressing the limitations of existing models and promoting greater accessibility in AI technology [20]. SUTRA poses as a promising alternative to industry-standard NMT models for the multilingual tasks, specially for the low-resource languages of South-Asia and beyond [23].

Conneau et al. (2020) demonstrated that pretraining multilingual language models on a large scale led to substantial performance improvements across a variety of cross-lingual transfer tasks. They trained a Transformer-based masked language model, known as XLM-R, on data from one hundred languages, utilizing over two terabytes of filtered CommonCrawl data. XLM-R achieved significant gains over multilingual BERT (mBERT), including a +14.6% average accuracy on the XNLI benchmark, a +13% average F1 score on MLQA, and a +2.4% F1 score on Named Entity Recognition (NER). The model showed particularly strong performance on low-resource languages, improving XNLI accuracy by 15.7% for Swahili and 11.4% for Urdu compared to previous XLM models. They also provided a comprehensive empirical analysis of the factors contributing to these improvements, such as the balance between positive transfer and capacity dilution, and the performance of high and low-resource languages at scale. They highlighted the success of multilingual modeling without compromising per-language performance, with XLM-R being highly competitive against strong monolingual models on the GLUE and XNLI benchmarks. The authors made their code, data, and models publicly available [24].

2.2 Assamese Language

A. Nath et al developed AxomiyaBERTa, a monolingual BERT-based model tailored for the Assamese language, a low-resource language from Assam, India. They constructed a diverse corpus from Assamese Wikipedia, news articles, and literary texts, which was used to pre-train the model using the masked language modeling (MLM) task, without



Figure 1: Transformer Architecture from (Vaswani et al., 2017)

the next sentence prediction (NSP) task. A key innovation was incorporating Assamese phonological features into the tokenization process, enhancing the model's ability to capture linguistic nuances. Additionally, they introduced an embedding disperser mechanism to address embedding space anisotropy, improving the model's generalization. AxomiyaBERTa outperformed multilingual models like MBERT and XLM in tasks such as Named Entity Recognition (NER), sentiment analysis, and Cloze-style Question Answering (QA), demonstrating its effectiveness in processing Assamese text and setting a precedent for other low-resource languages [11].

P. Nath et al, highlighting the challenges of the unavailability of annotated datasets for Assamese, conducted a study that aims to develop an image captioning generation for the low-resource Assamese language using an encoder-decoder framework combining Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) [11].

H. Bharali et al, working on the Assamese dataset, conducted a study to categorize different types of synonymous words and highlighted their synonymic patterns and grammatical categories found [13].

D Pathak et al introduced AsNER, a named entity annotation dataset for Assamese, consisting of approximately 99,000 tokens sourced from the Prime Minister's speeches and Assamese plays. They implemented baseline approaches using state-of-the-art sequence tagging architectures like Bi-LSTM-CRF, achieving the highest F1-score of 80.69% [15].

2.3 Evaluation Metrics for Tokenizers

Several works have been conducted to measure the performance of tokenizers in NMT models. For example, F. Qarah and T. Alsanoosy [22] used the F1 score to gauge performance across multiple metrics for Arabic LLMs. Additionally, they included the Accuracy metric for the majority of the evaluation tasks, whereas the Exact Match (EM) metric was used only for the QA task. EM is a binary evaluation metric that assesses whether the predicted answer exactly matches the referenced answer. The following formulas were used to determine the performance of each classification model:

Ρ

$$F1\text{-score} = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} = \frac{2 \times \text{TP}}{2 \times \text{TP} + \text{FP} + \text{FN}}$$
(3)

$$recision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$
(4)

$$\operatorname{Recall} = \frac{\mathrm{TP}}{\mathrm{TP} + \mathrm{FN}}$$
(5)

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$
(6)

$$EM = \frac{\text{Number of Exact Matches}}{T}$$
(7)

where TP is True Positive, TN is True Negative, FP is False Positive, and FN is False Negative. Additionally, EM represents the average of all individual exact match scores in the set, and T represents the total number of predictions in the set.

But, for our research, we have used the Vocabulary Size, Average Normalized Sequence Length (NSL) Score, and the Number of Tokens for the analysis of different tokenizers.

2.4 Gaps in the Literature

As we have seen through our study, there have been several works done in comparing different tokenizers for arabic LLMs [22] or on developing LLMs and tokenizers for low-resource languages [20, 23], or Assamese [11], however, there has been no research on comparing or evaluating the performance of different tokenizers of LLMs, specially for the Assamese language.

3 Methodology

This section presents how we acquired and analyzed the tokenizers. In this research, we have used the Huggingface platform extensively for its wide availability of ready-made & open-source models (with tokenizers) [14]. To be specific, we used the tokenizers from the models listed in Table 1 for our study:

Table 1: Models u	sed in the evaluation	ation along with	their Hugging	Face details
		6	22 2	

Name of the Model	Hugging Face Username/Model
gpt-4o_tokenizer	Xenova/gpt-4o
google_gemma_2_27b_it	google/gemma-2-27b-it
meta_llama_405B	meta-llama/Meta-Llama-3.1-405B
mistral_large_instruct_2407	mistralai/Mistral-Large-Instruct-2407
sutra_mlt256_v2	TWO/sutra-mlt256-v2

We used Google Colab with the default CPU hardware accelerator and Python 3 runtime environment for our research. The hugging face transformers' AutoTokenizer function was used to extract the tokenizers from the models listed in the Table 1.

All the tokenizers are used to generate the tokens for an Assamese text to analyze their NSL score and the number of tokens they convert the text into. To maintain consistent results in the experiments, we used an excerpt from an early Assamese novel "Miri Jiyori" by Rajanikanta Bordoloi. [18]. Rajnikanta Bordoloi, was a notable writer, journalist, and tea planter from Assam, India. The novel "Miri Jiyori" unveils some important aspects of then-contemporary Mising society and a series of their customs and traditions. It is a social novel based on a simple love story [25, 26].

Text: জৰিনার পওরশাির মঃতি ঃওরাট বনেছানয়ি। Translated: It is desirable to be captivated by the expanse of life.

An important compression metric we used for our analysis of tokenizers, we used the Normalized Sequence Length (NSL), formally defined NSL $c_{\lambda/\beta}$ as the ratio between the length of an encoded sequence from a tokenizer T_{λ} and a tokenizer T_{β} . For N examples taken from a dataset D, the NSL is given by:

$$c_{\lambda/\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{length}(T_{\lambda}(D_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{length}(T_{\beta}(D_i))}$$
(8)

A Python function for calculating the average Normalized Sequence Length (NSL) and another one for showing the generated tokens were created.

T · · ·	1 D	1	C		C	1	1	. •	NTOT
1 10fing	1 · P	wthon	tun	otion	tor	COLC	1110	tina	
LISUIIZ	1.1	VUICTI	TUIL	CUOIL	ю	Carc	uia	יווצ	INDL
		/							

```
def calculate_nsl(tokenizer_lambda, tokenizer_beta, dataset):
 nsl_sum_lambda = 0
 nsl_sum_beta = 0
 for data_point in dataset:
     nsl_sum_lambda += len(tokenizer_lambda(data_point))
     nsl_sum_beta += len(tokenizer_beta(data_point))
 return nsl_sum_lambda / nsl_sum_beta
```

Listing 2: Python function for showing tokens

```
def show_generated_tokens(tokenizer, dataset):
 for data_point in dataset:
     tokens = tokenizer(data_point)
     print(f"Data_point:__{data_point}")
     print(f"Tokens:__{tokens}")
```

4 Experiments and Results

We have used the Vocabulary Size, Average NSL score, and Number of Tokens as the main data for the analysis of tokens. Typically, higher compression, i.e., less number of tokens or lower average NSL score is considered better but it leads to a higher vocabulary size at the cost of computing and memory [19].

The outputs revealed that among the five models (as seen in Table 1), SUTRA by Two AI's tokenizer [20] performed the best with an average NSL score and number of Tokens of 0.45 and 16 respectively. Following the SUTRA model's



Figure 2: Detailed breakdown of the Example text by the SUTRA Tokenizer.

tokenizer closely was the Openai's GPT-4o's Tokenizer with an average NSL score and number of tokens of 0.54 and 19 respectively. Trailing the GPT-4o's tokenizers were the google's Gemma 2's tokenizer [4], Meta's Llama 405B and the Mistral Large Instruct 2407's tokenizer.

Name of the Model	Vocab Size	Avg NSL	Number of Tokens
gpt-4o_tokenizer	200k	0.54	19
google_gemma_2_27b_it	256k	0.82	29
meta_llama_405B	128k	1.4	49
mistral_large_instruct_2407	32.7k	1.48	52
sutra_mlt256_v2	256k	0.45	16

Table 2: Average NSL and number of tokens for various models (lower better)

The detailed generation of the tokens can be found in Table 3, where we can see the first five tokens generated by the models for the example Assamese text. The detailed split of the Example text by the SUTRA AI is illustrated in the figure 2.

5 Discussion

Our findings from the results reveal that among the many models' tokenizers, Sutra's TWO AI performs the best with an average value NSL of 0.45 and generating 16 tokens in the example text. This showcases the multi-lingual capacity of Sutra's TWO AI is well versatile with the Assamese language showcasing its multi-lingual capacity [20].

The worst-performing tokenizer for the Assamese language was from the model is Mistral Large Instruct 2407 with an average NSL value of 1.48 and 52 numbers of tokens for our example text. Mistral Large Instruct 2407 is a 123B parameters model with an immense knowledge, but it performed very badly probably due to the limited vocabulary size of just 32.7k, which if compared to the GPT-4o's vocabulary size is 200k (Table 2).

Delving deeper in the tokens, in Table 3, the tokens generated by GPT-40, and Llama 3.1 seems to be of different script from the Bengali-Assamese script used by the Assamese language. Whereas, the tokens generated by other models generates the Bengali-Assamese script. This suggests that the GPT-40 and Llama 3.1 tokenizers uses the unicode to work with the Bengali-Assamese script. The tokens generated by Gemma 2, Llama 3.1, and Mistral Large Instruct 2407 shows that it is not able to manage the grouping of words unlike the tokens generated by the GPT-40 or SUTRA. This reveals the lack of presence of Bengali-Assamese script in the tokenizers training dataset.

Index	Model	Number of Tokens	Breakdown	
			Token Token I àli' 8271 8271 à8Gà8+ 104175 104175	<u>D</u>
1	gpt-4o	19	aş daşı 104175 àl às 74976 Gàl às 28325 àl; àl, 20919 	
2	Gemma 2	29	Token Token II <bos> 2 জ 237642 ি 238105 ৱ 244307 ন 236389 </bos>) -
3	Llama 3.1	49	Token <lbegin_of_textl> àl l' à§ G </lbegin_of_textl>	Token ID 128000 11372 250 28025 222
4	Mistral Large Instruct 2407	52	Token Token <s> 1 জ 31988 ি 32174 <0xE0> 995 <0xA7> 938 </s>	ID
5	SUTRA	16	Token Token eng_Latn 25601 জৰিনার 18024 পওর 26897 শ 851 র 23288	2 1

6 Conclusion

Our study found that the TWO AI's Sutra tokenizers performs the best in Assamese language, followed closely by the tokenizers of GPT-40, Google's Gemma 2, Llama 3.1, and Mistral Large Instruct 2407, each with an average NSL score of 0.45, 0.54, 0.82, 1.4, and 1.48 respectively (lower the better) as seen in the Table 2. However, one area that we could not focus while comparing the tokenizers was that we could not take the vocab size much into account, this can be the future scope of the research. In our research, we have used vocab size, average NSL, and number of tokens to compare the tokenizers, this could further be increased for more matrices to increase the reliability of the verdicts.

Further, similar to the study conducted by F. Qarah and T. Alsanoosy [22], where they utilized the F1 score to compare the tokenizers for their performance in Arabic LLMs, and they also trained the LLMs tokenizers on their own by using a dataset, future research can focus on doing something similar to this as well.



Figure 3: Performance of various LLM Tokenizers on Assamese Text (lower is better)

We have created a Hugging Face Space titled "*assamese-tokenizer-comparison*" where anyone can compare the performance of different tokenizers on Assamese or other languages. This space is publicly accessible and can be found at: https://huggingface.co/spaces/tamang0000/assamese-tokenizer-comparison.

Hugging Face is a leading platform in the field of Natural Language Processing (NLP), renowned for its open-source library, Transformers, which provides state-of-the-art pre-trained models for a variety of NLP tasks, including text classification, translation, summarization, and question answering. Hugging Face Spaces, specifically, offer a collaborative environment for hosting machine learning demos and experiments, allowing researchers to share models and datasets, reproduce experiments, and contribute to the ongoing development of NLP technologies. By leveraging Hugging Face Spaces, we provide an interactive platform where researchers and developers can test the performance of various tokenizers on Assamese and other low-resource languages.

The "assamese-tokenizer-comparison" space allows for direct comparison of tokenizers by providing metrics such as tokenization speed, vocabulary coverage, and sequence length distribution. This initiative aims to facilitate the evaluation and refinement of NLP tools for low-resource languages, which are often underrepresented in NLP research. By providing an easy-to-use interface and leveraging Hugging Face's robust infrastructure, we hope to accelerate research efforts and foster greater inclusion of low-resource languages like Assamese in Neural Machine Translation (NMT) systems.

Moreover, this space supports the broader mission of Hugging Face to democratize NLP technology and make it accessible to all, regardless of language or resources. We anticipate that this resource will not only promote collaboration within the NLP community but also encourage further exploration and development of language models that are inclusive and representative of diverse linguistic needs.

7 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank *The Assam Kaziranga University* for providing us with the necessary resources to conduct this research.

References

- [1] Census 2011. Assam Population Census data 2011. 2011. https://www.census2011.co.in/census/state/assam.html. Accessed: 2024-07-11.
- [2] Rust, Phillip, Jonas Pfeiffer, Ivan Vulić, Sebastian Ruder, and Iryna Gurevych. "How Good is Your Tokenizer? On the Monolingual Performance of Multilingual Language Models." In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 3118–3135. Online, August 2021. https://aclanthology.org/2021.acl-long.243. DOI: 10.18653/v1/2021.acl-long.243.
- [3] OpenAI, Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, et al. *GPT-4 Technical Report*. 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.08774.
- [4] Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, et al. Gemini: A Family of Highly Capable Multimodal Models. 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.11805.
- [5] Mohamed Taher Alrefaie, Nour Eldin Morsy, and Nada Samir. *Exploring Tokenization Strategies and Vocabulary Sizes for Enhanced Arabic Language Models*. 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.11130.
- [6] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention Is All You Need. 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762.
- [7] Saidul Islam, Hanae Elmekki, Ahmed Elsebai, Jamal Bentahar, Najat Drawel, Gaith Rjoub, and Witold Pedrycz. A Comprehensive Survey on Applications of Transformers for Deep Learning Tasks. 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.07303.
- [8] Cagri Toraman, Eyup Halit Yilmaz, Furkan Sahinuc, and Oguzhan Ozcelik. "Impact of Tokenization on Language Models: An Analysis for Turkish." ACM Transactions on Asian and Low-Resource Language Information Processing 22, no. 4 (March 2023): 1–21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3578707.
- [9] Schuster, M., & Nakajima, K. (2012). Japanese and Korean voice search. In *2012 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)* (pp. 5149–5152). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2012.6289079
- [10] Hayase, J., Liu, A., Choi, Y., Oh, S., & Smith, N. A. (2024). Data Mixture Inference: What do BPE Tokenizers Reveal about their Training Data? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.16607*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.16607
- [11] Nath, A., Mannan, S., & Krishnaswamy, N. (2023). AxomiyaBERTa: A Phonologically-aware Transformer Model for Assamese. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023* (pp. 11629–11646). Association for Computational Linguistics. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.findings-acl.739
- [12] Nath, P., Adhikary, P. K., Dadure, P., Pakray, P., Manna, R., & Bandyopadhyay, S. (2022). Image Caption Generation for Low-Resource Assamese Language. In *Proceedings of the 34th Conference on Computational Linguistics and Speech Processing (ROCLING 2022)* (pp. 263–272). ACLCLP. https://aclanthology.org/2022.rocling-1.33
- [13] Bharali, H., Mahanta, M., Sarma, S. K., Saikia, U., & Sarmah, D. (2014). An Analytical Study of Synonymy in Assamese Language Using WorldNet: Classification and Structure. In *Global WordNet Conference*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:15673301
- [14] Wolf, T., Debut, L., Sanh, V., Chaumond, J., Delangue, C., Moi, A., ... & Rush, A. M. (2020). HuggingFace's Transformers: State-of-the-art Natural Language Processing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03771*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.03771
- [15] Pathak, D., Nandi, S., & Sarmah, P. (2022). AsPOS: Assamese Part of Speech Tagger using Deep Learning Approach. In *2022 IEEE/ACS 19th International Conference on Computer Systems and Applications (AICCSA)*. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/AICCSA56895.2022.10017934
- [16] Wang, G., Ge, Y., Ding, X., Kankanhalli, M., & Shan, Y. (2024). What Makes for Good Visual Tokenizers for Large Language Models. *OpenReview*. https://openreview.net/forum?id=V8aD5pUcVX
- [17] Choo, S., & Kim, W. (2023). A study on the evaluation of tokenizer performance in natural language processing. *Applied Artificial Intelligence, 37*(1), 2175112. Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.1080/08839514.2023.2175112
- [18] Bordoloi, R. (2018). *Miri Jiyori (Assamese Edition)*. Createspace Independent Publishing Platform.
- [19] Dagan, G., Synnaeve, G., & Rozière, B. (2024). Getting the most out of your tokenizer for pre-training and domain adaptation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01035*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.01035
- [20] Bendale, A., Sapienza, M., Ripplinger, S., Gibbs, S., Lee, J., & Mistry, P. (2024). SUTRA: Scalable Multilingual Language Model Architecture. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.06694*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.06694

- [21] Sennrich, R., Haddow, B., & Birch, A. (2016). Neural Machine Translation of Rare Words with Subword Units. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)* (pp. 1715–1725). Association for Computational Linguistics. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P16-1162
- [22] Qarah, F., & Alsanoosy, T. (2024). A Comprehensive Analysis of Various Tokenizers for Arabic Large Language Models. *Applied Sciences, 14*(13), Article 5696. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14135696
- [23] DeepLearning.AI. (2024). Startup Two AI Launches Sutra, a Multilingual Model for South Asian Markets. Retrieved from https://www.deeplearning.ai/the-batch/startup-two-ai-launches-sutra-a-multilingual-model-forsouth-asian-markets/
- [24] Conneau, A., Khandelwal, K., Goyal, N., Chaudhary, V., Wenzek, G., Guzmán, F., ... & Stoyanov, V. (2020). Unsupervised Cross-lingual Representation Learning at Scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02116*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.02116
- [25] Wikipedia contributors. (2024). Miri Jiyori. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Miri_Jiyori
- [26] Wikipedia contributors. (2024). Rajanikanta Bordoloi. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rajanikanta_Bordoloi