

# The Parameterized Complexity of $s$ -Club with Triangle and Seed Constraints

Jaroslav Garvardt, Christian Komusiewicz<sup>[0000-0003-0829-7032]</sup>, and Frank Sommer <sup>\*[0000-0003-4034-525X]</sup>

Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Philipps-Universität Marburg,  
Marburg, Germany

{garvardt,komusiewicz,fsommer}@informatik.uni-marburg.de

**Abstract.** The  $s$ -CLUB problem asks, for a given undirected graph  $G$ , whether  $G$  contains a vertex set  $S$  of size at least  $k$  such that  $G[S]$ , the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S$ , has diameter at most  $s$ . We consider variants of  $s$ -CLUB where one additionally demands that each vertex of  $G[S]$  is contained in at least  $\ell$  triangles in  $G[S]$ , that each edge of  $G[S]$  is contained in at least  $\ell$  triangles in  $G[S]$ , or that  $S$  contains a given set  $W$  of seed vertices. We show that in general these variants are  $W[1]$ -hard when parameterized by the solution size  $k$ , making them significantly harder than the unconstrained  $s$ -CLUB problem. On the positive side, we obtain some FPT algorithms for the case when  $\ell = 1$  and for the case when  $G[W]$ , the graph induced by the set of seed vertices, is a clique.

## 1 Introduction

Finding cohesive subgroups in social or biological networks is a fundamental task in network analysis. A classic formulation of cohesiveness is based on the observation that cohesive groups have small diameter. This observation led to the  $s$ -club model originally proposed by Mokken [15]. An  $s$ -club in a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a set of vertices  $S$  such that the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S$  has diameter at most  $s$ . The 1-clubs are thus precisely the cliques and the larger the value of  $s$ , the more the clique-defining constraint of having diameter one is relaxed. In the  $s$ -CLUB problem we aim to decide whether  $G$  contains an  $s$ -club of size at least  $k$ .

A big drawback of  $s$ -clubs is that the largest  $s$ -clubs are often not very cohesive with respect to other cohesiveness measures such as density or minimum degree. This behavior is particularly pronounced for  $s = 2$ : the largest 2-club in a graph is often the vertex  $v$  of maximum degree together with its neighbors [10]. To avoid these so-called hub-and-spoke structures, it has been proposed to augment the  $s$ -club definition with additional constraints [5,14,16,18].

One of these augmented models, proposed by Carvalho and Almeida [5], asks that every vertex is part of a triangle. This property was later generalized to

---

\* Supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), project EAGR (KO 3669/6-1).

the *vertex- $\ell$ -triangle* property, which asks that every vertex of  $S$  is in at least  $\ell$  triangles in  $G[S]$  [1].

VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB

**Input:** An undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , and two integers  $k, \ell \geq 1$ .

**Question:** Does  $G$  contain an  $s$ -club  $S$  of size at least  $k$  that fulfills the vertex- $\ell$ -triangle property?

The vertex- $\ell$ -triangle constraint entails some desirable properties for cohesive subgraphs. For example, in a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club, the minimum degree is larger than  $\sqrt{2\ell}$ . However, some undesirable behavior of hub-and-spoke structures remains. For example, the graph consisting of two cliques of size  $d + 1$  that are connected via one edge is a vertex- $\binom{d}{2}$ -triangle 3-club but it can be made disconnected via one edge deletion. Thus, vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -clubs are not robust with respect to edge deletions.

To overcome this problem, we introduce a new model where we put triangle constraints on the edges of the  $s$ -club. More precisely, we say that a set  $S$  fulfills the *edge- $\ell$ -triangle* property when every edge is in at least  $\ell$  triangles in  $G[S]$ .

EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB

**Input:** An undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , and two integers  $k, \ell \geq 1$ .

**Question:** Does  $G$  contain an  $s$ -club  $S$  of size at least  $k$  such that every edge of  $G[S]$  is contained in at least  $\ell$  triangles in  $G[S]$ ?

Observe that every set that fulfills the edge- $\ell$ -triangle property also fulfills the vertex- $\ell$ -triangle property. Moreover, an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club  $S$  is robust against up to  $\ell$  edge deletions, as desired.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph and let  $S$  be an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club in  $G$ . If  $\ell$  edges are removed from  $G[S]$ , then  $S$  is an  $(s + \ell)$ -club and a  $2s$ -club.*

*Proof.* We show that if  $\ell$  edges are removed from  $G[S]$ , the diameter of the resulting graph  $G'[S]$  increases by at most  $\ell$ . Let  $P = (v_1, \dots, v_{s+1})$  be a path of length  $s$  in  $G[S]$ . Since  $S$  is an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club, every edge  $v_i v_{i+1}$ ,  $i \in [s]$ , of  $P$  is part of at least  $\ell$  triangles in  $G[S]$ . Thus, for two vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  in  $P$  there is a path of length at most two from  $v_i$  to  $v_{i+1}$  in  $G'[S]$ , either directly through the edge  $v_i v_{i+1}$  or via a vertex  $u$  that forms one of the  $\ell$  triangles with  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  in  $G[S]$ . Thus,  $\text{dist}(v_i, v_{i+1})$  increases by at most 1 and only if  $v_i v_{i+1}$  is removed. Since at most  $\ell$  of the edges in  $P$  are removed, we have  $\text{dist}(v_1, v_{s+1}) \leq \text{dist}(v_1, v_2) + \dots + \text{dist}(v_s, v_{s+1}) \leq s + \ell$  in  $G'[S]$ . By the same arguments, we also have  $\text{dist}(v_1, v_{s+1}) \leq 2s$ .

Thus, after deleting  $\ell$  edges in  $G[S]$ ,  $S$  is an  $(s + \ell)$ -club and a  $2s$ -club.  $\square$

The following further variant of  $s$ -CLUB is also practically motivated but not necessarily by concerns about the robustness of the  $s$ -club. Here the difference to the standard problem is simply that we are given a set of seed vertices  $W$  and aim to find a large  $s$ -club that contains all seed vertices.

### SEEDED $s$ -CLUB

**Input:** An undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a subset  $W \subseteq V$ , and an integer  $k \geq 1$ .

**Question:** Does  $G$  contain an  $s$ -club  $S$  of size at least  $k$  such that  $W \subseteq S$ ?

This variant has applications in community detection, where we are often interested in finding communities containing some set of fixed vertices [12,19].

In this work, we study the parameterized complexity of the three above-mentioned problems with respect to the standard parameter solution size  $k$ . Our goal is to determine whether FPT results for  $s$ -CLUB [6,17] transfer to these practically motivated problem variants.

*Known Results.* The  $s$ -CLUB problem is NP-hard for all  $s \geq 1$  [4], even when the input graph has diameter  $s + 1$  [2]. For  $s = 1$ ,  $s$ -CLUB is equivalent to CLIQUE and thus W[1]-hard with respect to  $k$ . In contrast, for  $s > 1$ ,  $s$ -CLUB is fixed-parameter tractable (FPT) with respect to the solution size  $k$  [6,17]. This fixed-parameter tractability can be shown via a Turing kernel with  $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$  vertices for even  $s$  and  $\mathcal{O}(k^3)$  vertices for odd  $s$  [17,6]. The complexity of  $s$ -CLUB has been also studied with respect to different classes of input graphs [9] and with respect to structural parameters such as degeneracy of the input graph [11]. The  $s$ -CLUB problem can be solved efficiently in practice, in particular for  $s = 2$  [4,6,10]. In particular, the 2-CLUB problem has efficient branch-and-bound algorithms [6,10] which can compute the optimal solutions on very large sparse graphs.

VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB is NP-hard for all  $s \geq 1$  and for all  $\ell \geq 1$  [5,1]. We are not aware of any algorithmic studies of EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB or SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB. NP-hardness of EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB for  $\ell = 1$  can be shown via the reduction for VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB for  $\ell = 1$  [1]. Also, the NP-hardness of SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB follows directly from the fact that an algorithm for the case where  $|W| = 1$  can be used as a black box to solve  $s$ -CLUB. Further robust models of  $s$ -clubs, which are not considered in this work, include  $t$ -hereditary  $s$ -clubs [16],  $t$ -robust  $s$ -clubs [18], and  $t$ -connected  $s$ -clubs [20,14]. For an overview on clique relaxation models and complexity issues for the corresponding sub-graph problems we refer to the relevant surveys [13,16].

*Our Results.* For VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB and EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB, we provide a complexity dichotomy into cases that are FPT or W[1]-hard with respect to  $k$ , respectively. For SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB, we provide a kernel with respect to  $k$  for clique seeds  $W$  and W[1]-hardness with respect to  $k$  for some other cases. For  $s = 2$ , our results provide a dichotomy into FPT and W[1]-hardness with respect to  $k$ . An overview of the dichotomies is given in Table 1. Our W[1]-hardness reduction for EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB for  $\ell \geq 2$  also shows the NP-hardness of this case. The FPT algorithms are obtained via adaptations of the Turing kernelization for  $s$ -CLUB. Interestingly, VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB with  $\ell = 1$  is FPT only for larger  $s$ , whereas EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB is FPT for all  $s$ . In our opinion, this means that the edge- $\ell$ -triangle property is preferable not only from a modelling standpoint but also from an algorithmic standpoint as

**Table 1.** Overview of our results of the parameterized complexity of the three problems with respect to the solution size  $k$ .

	VERTEX $s$ -CLUB	TRIANGLE EDGE TRIANGLE $s$ -CLUB	SEEDED $s$ -CLUB
FPT	$\ell = 1$ and $s \geq 4$	$\ell = 1$ for each $s \geq 1$	$G[W]$ is a clique
W[1]-h	$\ell = 1$ and $s \in \{2, 3\}$ $\ell \geq 2$ for each $s \geq 1$	$\ell \geq 2$ for each $s \geq 1$	$s = 2$ and $G[W]$ contains at least two non-adjacent vertices or $G[W]$ contains at least two connected components

it allows to employ Turing kernelization as a part of the solving procedure, at least for  $\ell = 1$ . For the variants with triangle constraints, we only obtain Turing kernels and it is easy to see that standard problem kernels are unlikely to exist:  $s$ -clubs are necessarily connected and thus taking the disjoint union of graphs gives a trivial or-composition and, therefore, a polynomial problem kernel implies  $\text{coNP} \subseteq \text{NP/poly}$  [3]. All W[1]-hardness results are shown via reduction from CLIQUE. The idea is to use the triangle constraints to ensure that selecting some vertex  $v'$  in the (VERTEX-/EDGE) TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB instance which corresponds to a vertex  $v$  in the CLIQUE instance triggers a cascade which will imply that we need to select a full vertex gadget corresponding to  $v$ . We can then use the distance constraint to make sure that full vertex gadgets are chosen only if the corresponding vertices are adjacent. While this idea is very natural, using the triangle constraint without creating many vertices that are too close to each other turned out to be technically challenging.

For SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB we provide two reductions from CLIQUE. One for the case  $s = 2$  if the seed contains at least two non-adjacent vertices  $u$  and  $z$ , and one for the case  $s \geq 3$  if the seed contains at least two connected components  $U$  and  $Z$ . In both cases we add two copies  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of the graph of CLIQUE instance to the new instance of SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB such that each vertex of  $X_1$  has distance at most  $s$  to  $u$  or  $U$  if its copy in  $X_2$  is also part of the solution. We show a similar property for  $X_2$  and  $z$  or  $Z$ . This feature will then ensure that the same clique has to be chosen from both copies.

Our W[1]-hardness results, in particular those for SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB, show that the FPT results for  $s$ -CLUB are quite brittle and that adding even simple further constraints makes finding small-diameter subgraphs much harder.

*Preliminaries.* For integers  $p, q$ , we denote  $[p, q] := \{p, p + 1, \dots, q\}$  and  $[q] := [1, q]$ . For a graph  $G$ , we let  $V(G)$  denote its vertex set and  $E(G)$  its edge set. We let  $n$  and  $m$  denote the order of  $G$  and the number of edges in  $G$ , respectively. A *path of length  $p$*  is a sequence of pairwise distinct vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_{p+1}$  such that  $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(G)$  for each  $i \in [p]$ . We let  $C_p$  denote the cycle graph on  $p$  vertices. The *distance*  $\text{dist}_G(u, v)$  is the length of a shortest path between vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . Furthermore, we define  $\text{dist}_G(u, W) := \min_{w \in W} \text{dist}(u, w)$ . We denote by  $\text{diam}_G(G) := \max_{u, v \in V(G)} \text{dist}_G(u, v)$  the *diameter* of  $G$ . Let  $S \subseteq V(G)$  be

a vertex set. We denote by  $N_i(S) := \{u \in V \mid \text{dist}(u, S) = i\}$  the *open  $i$ -neighborhood* of  $S$  and by  $N_i[S] := \bigcup_{j \leq i} N_j(S) \cup S$  the *closed  $i$ -neighborhood* of  $S$ . For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , we write  $N_i(v) := N_i(\{v\})$  and  $N_i[v] := N_i[\{v\}]$ . By  $G[S] := (S, \{uv \in E(G) \mid u, v \in S\})$  we denote the *subgraph induced by  $S$* . Furthermore, by  $G - S := G[V \setminus S]$  we denote the subgraph obtained after the deletion of the vertices in  $S$ . A complete subgraph is called a *clique* and a clique consisting of three vertices is referred to as a *triangle*.

For the definitions of parameterized complexity theory, we refer to the standard monographs [7,8]. All of our hardness results are shown by a reduction from CLIQUE.

CLIQUE

**Input:** An undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  and an integer  $k$ .

**Question:** Does  $G$  contain a clique of size at least  $k$ ?

CLIQUE is W[1]-hard with respect to  $k$  [7,8].

## 2 Vertex Triangle $s$ -Club

In this section we settle the parameterized complexity of VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB with respect to the solution size  $k$ . First, we show that this problem is fixed-parameter tractable when  $\ell = 1$  and  $s \geq 4$ . Afterwards, we provide W[1]-hardness for all remaining cases, that is, when  $\ell \geq 2$ , and when  $\ell = 1$  and  $s \in \{2, 3\}$ .

### 2.1 Tractable Cases

The first step of the FPT algorithm is to remove all vertices which are not in a triangle.

**Reduction Rule 1.** *Let  $(G, k)$  be an instance of VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB. Delete all vertices from  $G$  which are not part of any triangle.*

Clearly, Reduction Rule 1 is correct and can be exhaustively applied in polynomial time. We show that the exhaustive application of Reduction Rule 1 implies that if some vertex  $v$  is close to many vertices, then  $(G, k)$  is a trivial yes-instance.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(G, k)$  be an instance of VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB with  $\ell = 1$  and  $s \geq 4$  to which Reduction Rule 1 is applied exhaustively. Then,  $(G, k)$  is a yes-instance if  $|N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]| \geq k$  for any vertex  $v \in V(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V(G)$  be a vertex such that  $|N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]| \geq k$ . We construct a vertex-1-triangle  $s$ -club  $T$  of size at least  $|N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]| \geq k$ . Initially, we set  $T := N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]$ . Now, for each vertex  $w \in N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}(v)$  we do the following: Since Reduction Rule 1 is applied exhaustively, we conclude that there exist two

vertices  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $G[\{w, x, y\}]$  is a triangle. We add  $x$  and  $y$  to the set  $T$ . We call this the  $T$ -expansion.

Next, we show that  $T$  is indeed a vertex-1-triangle  $s$ -club for  $s \geq 4$ . Observe that each vertex in  $T$  is either in  $N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]$  or a neighbor of a vertex in  $N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}(v)$ . Hence, each vertex in  $T$  has distance at most  $\lfloor s/2 \rfloor$  to vertex  $v$ . Thus,  $T$  is an  $s$ -club.

It remains to show that each vertex is in a triangle. Observe that for each vertex  $w \in N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 2}[v]$  we have  $N(w) \subseteq N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]$ . Recall that since Reduction Rule 1 is applied exhaustively, each vertex in  $G$  is contained in a triangle. Thus, each vertex  $N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 2}[v]$  is contained in a triangle in  $T$ . Furthermore, all vertices in  $N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}(v)$  are in a triangle because of the  $T$ -expansion. Also, all vertices in  $T \setminus N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]$  are in a triangle because of the  $T$ -expansion. Since  $|T| \geq |N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]| \geq k$ , the statement follows.  $\square$

Next, we show that Lemma 2 implies the existence of a Turing kernel. This in turn implies that the problem is fixed-parameter tractable.

**Theorem 2.** *VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB for  $\ell = 1$  admits a  $k^4$ -vertex Turing kernel if  $s = 4$  or  $s = 7$ , a  $k^5$ -vertex Turing kernel if  $s = 5$ , and a  $k^3$ -vertex Turing kernel if  $s = 6$  or  $s \geq 8$ .*

*Proof.* First, we apply Reduction Rule 1 exhaustively. Because of Lemma 2 we conclude that  $(G, k)$  is a trivial yes-instance, if  $|N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]| \geq k$  for any vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . Hence, in the following we can assume that  $k > |N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]|$  for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . With this property we bound the size of  $N_s[v]$  in non-trivial instances.

Note that if  $s = 4$  or  $s = 5$  we have  $\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1 = 1$ . Thus, we obtain a  $k^4$ -vertex Turing kernel for  $s = 4$  and a  $k^5$ -vertex Turing kernel for  $s = 5$ . Furthermore, if  $s = 7$  we have  $\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1 = 2$ . Thus, we obtain a  $k^4$ -vertex Turing kernel for  $s = 7$  since  $N_7[v] \subseteq N_8[v] = N_2[N_2[N_2[N_2[v]]]]$ .

If  $s = 6$  or  $s \geq 8$ , then  $\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1 \geq \lceil s/3 \rceil$ . Observe that  $N_s[v]$  is contained in  $N_{\lceil s/3 \rceil}[N_{\lceil s/3 \rceil}[N_{\lceil s/3 \rceil}[v]]]$ . Thus, we obtain a  $k^3$ -vertex Turing kernel for  $s = 6$  or  $s \geq 8$ .  $\square$

Note that  $s \geq 4$  is necessary to have  $\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1 \geq 1$ . The property  $\ell = 1$  is necessary for the following reason: if  $\ell \geq 2$ , then the remaining vertices of the other triangles of a vertex in the  $T$ -expansion may be contained in  $N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor + 1}$  and, thus, adding them will not necessarily give an  $s$ -club. Also note that using  $N_t[v]$  for some  $t < \lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1$  does not help: By the above argumentation, remaining vertices of the other triangles of a vertex in the  $T$ -expansion can be contained in  $N_{t+1}$ . But now, another  $T$ -expansion for the vertices in  $N_{t+1}$  is necessary. This argument can be repeated until the vertex set is no  $s$ -club anymore.

## 2.2 Intractable Cases

In the following we prove that VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB parameterized by the solution size  $k$  is W[1]-hard for  $\ell \geq 2$ , and for  $\ell = 1$  and  $s \in \{2, 3\}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB is  $W[1]$ -hard if  $\ell \geq 2$ , and if  $\ell = 1$  and  $s \in \{2, 3\}$  for parameter  $k$ .*

For some cases we provide hardness in even more restricted cases. That is, we prove that VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB is  $W[1]$ -hard even if each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is contained in *exactly*  $\ell$  triangles in the input graph. In other words, the hardness does not depend on the triangles we have to choose for a specific vertex. We provide this hardness for the case  $s \geq 3$ . Furthermore, we also show this hardness for the case  $s = 2$  and all values of  $\ell$  such that  $\ell = \binom{c-1}{2}$  for some integer  $c$ .

We prove the theorem by considering four cases. The proofs for the four cases all use a reduction from the  $W[1]$ -hard CLIQUE problem. Furthermore, in these constructions each vertex  $v$  of the CLIQUE instance is replaced by a vertex gadget  $T^v$  such that every vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club  $S$  either contains  $T^v$  completely or contains no vertex of  $T^v$ . This property is obtained since each vertex in  $T^v$  will be in exactly  $\ell$  triangles and each of these triangles is within  $T^v$ . The idea is that if  $uv \notin E(G)$  then there exists a vertex  $x \in T^u$  and a vertex  $y \in T^v$  such that  $\text{dist}(x, y) \geq s + 1$ .

*Vertex Triangle 2-Club.* First, we handle the case  $s = 2$ .

**Construction 4.** Let  $(G, k)$  be an instance of CLIQUE and let  $c$  be the smallest number such that  $\binom{c-1}{2} \geq \ell$ . We construct an instance  $(G', c(k+1))$  of VERTEX TRIANGLE 2-CLUB as follows. For each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  we add a clique  $T^v$  of size  $c$  to  $G'$  and call these vertices  $x_1^v, \dots, x_c^v$ . Furthermore, we add a clique  $Y$  of size  $c$  with vertices  $y_1, \dots, y_c$  to  $G'$ . We also add, for each  $i \in [c]$  and each  $v \in V(G)$ , the edge  $x_i^v y_i$  to  $G'$ . For each edge  $vw \in E(G)$ , we connect the cliques  $T^v$  and  $T^w$  by adding the edge  $x_{2i-1}^v x_{2i}^w$  and  $x_{2i-1}^w x_{2i}^v$  to  $G'$  for each  $i \in \lfloor c/2 \rfloor$ .

Note that the clique size  $c$  ensures that each vertex  $x \in V(G')$  is contained in at least  $\binom{c-1}{2} \geq \ell$  triangles. Furthermore, note that the clique  $Y$  is only necessary when  $c$  is odd to ensure that the vertices  $x_c^v$  and  $x_c^w$  have a common neighbor. We add the clique  $Y$  in both cases to unify the construction and the correctness proof. Next, we show that for each vertex gadget  $T^v$  the intersection with each vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club is either empty or  $T^v$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $S$  be a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club  $S$  of  $G'$ . Then,*

- a)  $S \cap T^v \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow T^v \subseteq S$ , and
- b)  $S' := S \cup Y$  is also a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club in  $G'$ .

*Proof.* First, we show statement a). So we assume that for a vertex  $z \in T^v$  for some  $v \in V(G)$  we have  $z \in S$  for some vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club  $S$ . Note that the set  $T^v$  contains all vertices which form a triangle with vertex  $z$ . Since  $c$  is minimal such that  $\binom{c-1}{2} \geq \ell$  and since  $T^v$  is a clique, we conclude that  $T^v \subseteq S$  to fulfill the property that vertex  $w$  is contained in at least  $\ell$  triangles. Thus,  $T^v \subseteq S$ .

Second, we show statement b). Since each vertex  $y \in Y$  forms only triangles with vertices in  $Y$  and  $Y$  has size  $\binom{c-1}{2}$ , we conclude that  $Y \subseteq S^* \Leftrightarrow S^* \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ .

$\emptyset$  for each vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club  $S^*$ . In the following, let  $S$  be a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club such that  $Y \cap S = \emptyset$ . From statement *a*) we conclude that  $S := \bigcup_{v \in P} T^v$  for some set  $P \subseteq V(G)$ . Next, we show that  $S' := S \cup Y$  is also a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club. By the above argumentation on the number of triangles it remains to prove that  $S'$  is a 2-club. Hence, consider some vertex  $x_i^v$  and some vertex  $y_j$  for some  $i, j \in [c]$  and  $v \in P$ . Observe that  $y_i$  is a common neighbor of  $x_i^v$  and  $y_j$ . Hence,  $S'$  is a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club and thus *b*) holds.  $\square$

Now, we prove the correctness of Construction 4. If  $\ell = \binom{c-1}{2}$  for some integer  $c$ , then Lemma 4 also holds for the restriction that each vertex is contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles in the input graph  $G'$ .

**Lemma 4.** *For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  the VERTEX TRIANGLE 2-CLUB problem parameterized by  $k$  is  $W[1]$ -hard.*

*Proof.* We prove that  $G$  contains a clique of size  $k$  if and only if  $G'$  contains a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club of size at least  $c(k+1)$ .

Let  $C$  be a clique of size at least  $k$  in  $G$ . We argue that  $S := Y \cup \bigcup_{v \in C} T^v$  is a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club of size  $c(k+1)$  in  $G'$ . Note that for each vertex  $v \in T^v$  we have  $|T^v| = c$ . Since  $T^v$  is a clique, we conclude that each vertex in  $T^v$  is contained in exactly  $\binom{c-1}{2} \geq \ell$  triangles. The same is true for each vertex in  $Y$ . Hence, each vertex in  $S$  is contained in at least  $\ell$  triangles. Thus, it remains to show that  $S$  is a 2-club. Consider the vertices  $x_i^v$  and  $x_j^w$  for  $v, w \in C$ ,  $i \in [c-1]$ , and  $j \in [c]$ . If  $i$  is odd, then  $x_{i+1}^w \in N(x_i^v) \cap N(x_j^w)$ . Otherwise, if  $i$  is even,  $x_{i-1}^w \in N(x_i^v) \cap N(x_j^w)$ . In both cases we obtain  $\text{dist}(x_i^v, x_j^w) \leq 2$ . Next, consider two vertices  $x_c^v$  and  $x_c^w$  in  $S$ . Observe that  $y_c \in N(x_c^v) \cap N(x_c^w)$ . Since  $Y$  is a clique, it remains to consider the vertices  $x_i^v$  and  $y_j$  in  $S$  for  $i \in [c]$  and  $j \in [c]$ . Observe that  $x_j^v \in N(y_j) \cap N[x_i^v]$ . Thus,  $G'$  contains a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2 club of size at least  $c(k+1)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $G'$  contains an vertex- $\ell$ -triangle 2-club  $S$  of size at least  $c(k+1)$ . Because of Lemma 3 we can assume that  $Y \subseteq S$  and for each vertex gadget  $T^v \in G'$  we either have  $T^v \subseteq S$  or  $T^v \cap S = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $S$  contains at least  $k$  cliques of the form  $T^v$ . Assume towards a contradiction that  $S$  contains two cliques  $T^v$  and  $T^w$  such that  $vw \notin E(G)$  and consider the two vertices  $x_1^v \in T^v$  and  $x_2^w \in T^w$ . Note that these vertices always exist since  $c \geq 3$ . Observe that  $N[x_1^v] = T^v \cup \{x_2^u \mid uv \in E(G)\} \cup \{y_1\}$  and  $N[x_2^w] = T^w \cup \{x_1^u \mid uw \in E(G)\} \cup \{y_2\}$ . Thus,  $\text{dist}(x_1^v, x_2^w) \geq 3$ , a contradiction. Hence, for each two distinct vertex gadgets  $T^v$  and  $T^w$  that are contained in  $S$  we observe that  $vw \in E(G)$ . Hence, the set  $\{v \mid T^v \subseteq S\}$  is a clique of size at least  $k$  in  $G$ .  $\square$

*Vertex Triangle  $s$ -Club for  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s = 3$  or  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 4$ .* Now, we provide hardness for the remaining cases. To this end, we consider three subcases. Case 1 deals with odd  $s$ . Case 2 covers the case that  $s$  is even and that  $\ell \geq 3$ . Case 3 deals with the case that  $s$  is even and that  $\ell = 2$ . All three cases will use the same vertex gadget. Only the *connector edges* between these gadgets differ. The first part of the following construction describes the vertex gadget which is used in all three cases.



**Construction 5.** We set  $s^* := \lfloor (s-1)/2 \rfloor$ . Let  $(G, k)$  be an instance of CLIQUE. The idea is to construct the vertex gadgets  $T^v$  in such a way that there are pairs of vertices in  $T^v$  of distance  $2s^*$  which is almost  $s$ . Thus, in a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club the distance between two different vertex gadgets must be small. We construct an equivalent instance  $(G', 3\ell ks^*)$  of VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s = 3$ , or for some  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 4$  as follows.

For each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  we construct a vertex gadget  $T^v$ . For an illustration of this construction see Fig. 1.

- We add an edge  $p_i^v q_i^v$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$  to  $G'$ .
- We add a vertex  $x_{j,i}^v$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$  and each  $j \in [s^*]$  to  $G'$ .
- We add an edge  $y_{t,i}^v z_{t,i}^v$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$  and each  $t \in [s^* - 1]$  to  $G'$ . Note that these vertices only exist, if  $s \geq 5$ .

The vertices  $x_{j,i}^v$ ,  $y_{t,i}^v$ , and  $z_{t,i}^v$  are referred to as the *cascading vertices* and are used to ensure that all vertices in  $T^v$  are in exactly  $\ell$  triangles and that there are vertex pairs of distance  $2s^*$  within  $T^v$ . Note that since  $s \geq 3$  we create at least  $\ell$  many  $x$ -vertices. We connect these vertices as follows:

- We add the edges  $p_i^v x_{1,j}^v$ , and  $q_i^v x_{1,j}^v$  for each  $i \in [\ell - 1]$  and each  $j \in [\ell]$  to  $G'$ .
- We add the edges  $p_\ell^v x_{s^*,j}^v$ , and  $q_\ell^v x_{s^*,j}^v$  for each  $j \in [\ell]$  to  $G'$ .
- We add the edges  $y_{t,i}^v x_{t,i}^v$ , and  $z_{t,i}^v x_{t,i}^v$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$ , and each  $t \in [s^* - 1]$  to  $G'$ .
- We add the edges  $y_{t,i}^v x_{t+1,j}^v$ , and  $z_{t,i}^v x_{t+1,j}^v$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$ , each  $j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}$ , and each  $t \in [s^* - 1]$  to  $G'$ .


Note that if  $s = 3$  or  $s = 4$ , the graph  $G'$  is a non-induced biclique where one partite set consists of the vertices  $\{x_{1,j}^v \mid j \in [\ell]\}$ . Furthermore, the additional edges are  $p_i^v q_i^v$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$ . Also, observe that each vertex gadget  $T^v$  consists of exactly  $3\ell s^*$  vertices.

Next, we connect these vertex gadgets by introducing the *connector edges*: Let  $vw \in E(G)$  and let  $T^v$  and  $T^w$  be the corresponding vertex gadgets. We distinguish the three cases.

1.  **$s$  is odd:** We add the edges  $p_i^v q_i^w$  and  $q_i^v p_i^w$  to  $G'$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$  to  $G'$ .
2.  **$s$  is even and  $\ell \geq 3$ :** We add the edges  $p_1^v q_1^w$ ,  $q_1^v p_1^w$ ,  $p_\ell^v q_\ell^w$ , and  $q_\ell^v p_\ell^w$  to  $G'$ .
3.  **$s$  is even and  $\ell = 2$ :** We add the edges  $p_1^v x_{s^*,1}^w$ , and  $p_1^w x_{s^*,1}^v$  to  $G'$ .

We make the following observation about the connector edges between different vertex gadgets: If  $s$  is odd, or if  $s$  is even and  $\ell \geq 3$ , we have  $N(p_i^v) \setminus T^v \subseteq \{q_i^w \mid vw \in E(G)\}$  and also  $N(q_i^v) \setminus T^v \subseteq \{p_i^w \mid vw \in E(G)\}$  for each  $v \in V(G)$  and each  $i \in [\ell]$ . Otherwise, if  $s$  is even and  $\ell = 2$ , observe that we have  $N(p_1^v) \setminus T^v = \{x_{s^*,1}^w \mid vw \in E(G)\}$  and also  $N(x_{s^*,1}^v) \setminus T^v = \{p_1^w \mid vw \in E(G)\}$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ . Hence, we observe the following.

**Observation 6.** *Each triangle in  $G'$  is contained in exactly one vertex gadget.*



**Fig. 1.** a) The vertex gadgets  $T^v$  and  $T^w$  for  $s \in \{7, 8\}$  and  $\ell = 2$ . The blue lines are only added if  $s$  is odd and the red lines are only added if  $s$  is even. b) The vertex gadget  $T^v$  for  $s \in \{5, 6\}$  and  $\ell = 3$ .

Next, we show that each vertex in a vertex gadget  $T^v$  is contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles. Together with Observation 6 this implies that each vertex in  $G'$  is contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $T^v$  be a vertex gadget. Each vertex in  $T^v$  is contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles.*

*Proof.* We make a complete case distinction, that is, for each vertex  $a \in T^v$  we present exactly  $\ell$  triangles containing vertex  $a$ .

- Consider vertex  $p_d^v$  for some  $d \in [\ell - 1]$ . By construction we have  $N(p_d^v) \subseteq \{q_d^v\} \cup \{x_{1,j}^v \mid j \in [\ell]\} \cup \{q_d^w \mid vw \in E(G)\}$  if  $s$  is odd or  $s$  is even and  $\ell \geq 3$ . If  $s$  is even and  $\ell = 2$  we have  $N(p_d^v) = \{q_d^v\} \cup \{x_{1,j}^v \mid j \in [\ell]\} \cup \{x_{s^*,1}^w \mid vw \in E(G)\}$ . Observe that the only edges within  $N(p_d^v)$  are the edges  $q_d^v x_{1,j}^v$  for each  $j \in [\ell]$ . Thus,  $p_d^v$  is contained in the  $\ell$  triangles  $\{\{p_d^v, q_d^v, x_{1,i}^v\} \mid i \in [\ell]\}$ . By similar arguments the same is true for vertex  $q_d^v$ .
- Consider vertex  $p_\ell^v$ . By construction we have  $N(p_\ell^v) = \{q_\ell^v\} \cup \{x_{s^*,i}^v \mid i \in [\ell]\} \cup \{q_d^w \mid vw \in E(G)\}$  if  $s$  is odd or  $s$  is even and  $\ell \geq 3$ . If  $s$  is even and  $\ell = 2$  we have  $N(p_\ell^v) = \{q_\ell^v\} \cup \{x_{s^*,i}^v \mid i \in [\ell]\}$ . Observe that the only edges within  $N(p_\ell^v)$  are the edges  $q_\ell^v x_{s^*,i}^v$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$ . Thus,  $p_\ell^v$  is contained in the  $\ell$  triangles  $\{\{p_\ell^v, q_\ell^v, x_{s^*,i}^v\} \mid i \in [\ell]\}$ . By similar arguments the same is true for vertex  $q_\ell^v$ .
- Now, consider vertices  $x_{1,i}^v$  and  $x_{s^*,i}^v$  for some  $i \in [\ell]$ . Here we have to distinguish if  $s \in \{3, 4\}$  or  $s \geq 5$  since in the first case no vertex  $y_{t,i}^v$  exists. First, consider the case  $s = 3$  or  $s = 4$ . Note that we now have  $x_{1,i}^v = x_{s^*,i}^v$ . By construction we have  $N(x_{1,i}^v) = \{p_j^v \mid j \in [\ell]\} \cup \{q_j^v \mid j \in [\ell]\}$ . Note that

the only edges within  $N(x_{1,i}^v)$  have the form  $p_j^v q_j^v$  for each  $j \in [\ell]$ . Thus,  $x_{1,i}^v$  is contained in the  $\ell$  triangles  $\{\{x_{1,i}^v, p_j^v, q_j^v\} \mid j \in [\ell]\}$ .

Second, consider the case  $s \geq 5$ . First, we investigate vertex  $x_{1,i}^v$ . By construction we have  $N(x_{1,i}^v) = \{p_j^v \mid j \in [\ell-1]\} \cup \{q_j^v \mid j \in [\ell-1]\} \cup \{y_{1,i}^v, z_{1,i}^v\}$ . Observe that the only edges within  $N(x_{1,i}^v)$  are the edge  $p_j^v q_j^v$  for each  $j \in [\ell-1]$  and the edge  $y_{1,i}^v z_{1,i}^v$ . Thus,  $x_{1,i}^v$  is contained in the  $\ell-1$  triangles  $\{\{x_{1,i}^v, p_j^v, q_j^v\} \mid j \in [\ell-1]\}$ , and in the triangle  $\{x_{1,i}^v, y_{1,i}^v, z_{1,i}^v\}$ .

Now, we examine vertex  $x_{s^*,i}^v$ . By construction we observe that  $N(x_{s^*,i}^v) \subseteq \{p_\ell^v, q_\ell^v\} \cup \{y_{s^*-1,j}^v, z_{s^*-1,j}^v \mid j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}\} \cup \{p_1^v \mid vv \in E(G)\}$ . Note that the only edges within  $N(x_{s^*,i}^v)$  are the edge  $p_\ell^v q_\ell^v$  and the edge  $y_{s^*-1,j}^v z_{s^*-1,j}^v$  for each  $j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}$ . Thus,  $x_{s^*,i}^v$  is contained in the triangle  $\{x_{s^*,i}^v, p_\ell^v, q_\ell^v\}$  and in the  $\ell-1$  triangles  $\{\{x_{s^*,i}^v, y_{s^*-1,j}^v, z_{s^*-1,j}^v\} \mid j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}\}$ .

- Now consider vertex  $x_{r,i}^v$  for some  $i \in [\ell]$  and some  $r \in [2, s^* - 1]$ . Recall that these vertices only exist if  $s \geq 7$ . By construction  $N(x_{r,i}^v) = \{y_{r,i}^v, z_{r,i}^v\} \cup \{y_{r-1,j}^v, z_{r-1,j}^v \mid j \in [\ell] - 1\}$ . Observe that the only edges within  $N(x_{r,i}^v)$  have the form  $y_{r,i}^v z_{r,i}^v$  and  $y_{r-1,j}^v z_{r-1,j}^v$  for each  $j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}$ . Thus,  $x_{r,i}^v$  is contained in the triangle  $\{x_{r,i}^v, y_{r,i}^v, z_{r,i}^v\}$  and the  $\ell-1$  triangles  $\{\{x_{r,i}^v, y_{r-1,j}^v, z_{r-1,j}^v\} \mid j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}\}$ .
- Finally, consider vertex  $y_{t,i}^v$  for some  $i \in [\ell]$  and some  $t \in [s^* - 1]$ . Recall that these vertices only exist if  $s \geq 5$ . By construction we have  $N(y_{t,i}^v) = \{x_{t,i}^v, z_{t,i}^v\} \cup \{x_{t+1,j}^v \mid j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}\}$ . Observe that the only edges within  $N(y_{t,i}^v)$  is the edge  $x_{t,i}^v z_{t,i}^v$  and the edge  $x_{t+1,j}^v z_{t,i}^v$  for each  $j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}$ . Thus,  $y_{t,i}^v$  is contained in the triangle  $\{y_{t,i}^v, z_{t,i}^v, x_{t,i}^v\}$  and the  $\ell-1$  triangles  $\{\{y_{t,i}^v, z_{t,i}^v, x_{t+1,j}^v\} \mid j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}\}$ . By similar arguments the same holds for vertex  $z_{t,i}^v$ .

Thus, each vertex in  $T^v$  is contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles.  $\square$

From Lemma 5 and Observation 6, we conclude the following.

**Observation 7.** *Let  $S$  be a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club for  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s = 3$  or for some  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 4$  in  $G'$ . Then,  $S \cap T^v \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow T^v \subseteq S$ .*

Now, we prove the correctness of Construction 5.

**Lemma 6.** *For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s = 3$ , and for each  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 4$  the VERTEX TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB problem parameterized by  $k$  is  $W[1]$ -hard, even if each vertex in the input graph is contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles.*

*Proof.* Let  $\ell$  and  $s$  be fixed as specified in the lemma. We show that  $G$  contains a clique of size at least  $k$  if and only if  $G'$  contains a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club of size at least  $3\ell ks^*$ .

Let  $K$  be a clique of size at least  $k$  in  $G$ . We argue that  $S := \bigcup_{v \in K} T^v$  is a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club of size at least  $3\ell ks^*$  in  $G'$ . The size bound follows from the fact that each  $T^v$  consists of exactly  $3\ell s^*$  vertices. Furthermore, by Lemma 5 each vertex in  $T^v$  for some  $v \in V(G)$  is contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles in  $G'[T^v]$ . Hence, it remains to show that  $S$  is an  $s$ -club.

To do so, we first prove the following two claims. To formulate the claims, we need some further notation. We define  $T_0^v := \{p_1^v, \dots, p_{\ell-1}^v\} \cup \{q_1^v, \dots, q_{\ell-1}^v\}$

and  $T_\ell^v := \{p_\ell^v, q_\ell^v\}$ . Note that if  $\ell = 1$ , then  $T_0^v = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, if  $\ell \geq 2$ , both sets are nonempty.

*Claim 1.* For  $\ell \geq 2$ , we have  $\text{dist}(u, a) + \text{dist}(u, b) = 2s^*$  for each vertex  $a \in T_0^v$ , each vertex  $b \in T_\ell^v$ , and for each vertex  $u \in T^v \setminus (T_0^v \cup T_\ell^v)$ .

*Proof.* We present a path from  $u$  to  $a$  and a path from  $u$  to  $b$  fulfilling the equation. Therefore, we observe the following:

- $T_0^v \cup \{y_{1,i}^v\} \subseteq N(x_{1,i}^v)$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$ ,
- $T_\ell^v \cup \{y_{s^*-1,j}^v\} \subseteq N(x_{s^*,i}^v)$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$  and each  $j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}$ ,
- $\{y_{t,i}^v, y_{t-1,j}^v\} \subseteq N(x_{t,i}^v)$  for each  $t \in [2, s^*-1]$ , each  $i \in [\ell]$  and each  $j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}$ , and
- $\{x_{t,i}^v, x_{t+1,j}^v\} \subseteq N(y_{t,i}^v)$  and also  $\{x_{t,i}^v, x_{t+1,j}^v\} \in N(z_{t,i}^v)$  for each  $t \in [s^*-1]$ , each  $i \in [\ell]$  and each  $j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}$ .

This implies that  $\text{dist}(u, a) + \text{dist}(u, b) = 2s^*$  for each vertex  $a \in T_0^v$ , each vertex  $b \in T_\ell^v$ , and for each vertex  $u \in T^v \setminus (T_0^v \cup T_\ell^v)$  and the claim is proven. ■

Now, we use Claim 1 to show that  $T^v$  is an  $(2s^*)$ -club.

*Claim 2.* If  $s$  is odd, then the vertex gadget  $T^v$  is an  $(s-1)$ -club and if  $s$  is even, then  $T^v$  is an  $(s-2)$ -club for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ .

*Proof.* If  $s \in \{3, 4\}$  the gadget  $T^v$  is a non-induced biclique. Hence, the statement is true. In the following, we assume that  $s \geq 5$ . Note that this implies that  $\ell \geq 2$ . We only consider the case that  $s$  is odd. The case that  $s$  is even follows by similar arguments.

Consider a pair of vertices  $u, \tilde{u}$  of  $T^v \setminus (T_0^v \cup T_\ell^v)$ . We have  $\text{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) \leq \min(\text{dist}(u, a) + \text{dist}(a, \tilde{u}), \text{dist}(u, b) + \text{dist}(b, \tilde{u}))$  for each vertex  $a \in T_0^v$ , and each vertex  $b \in T_\ell^v$ . From Claim 1 we know that  $\text{dist}(u, a) + \text{dist}(u, b) = 2s^*$  and that  $\text{dist}(\tilde{u}, a) + \text{dist}(\tilde{u}, b) = 2s^*$ . Hence,  $\text{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) \leq 2s^* \leq s-1$ . Thus,  $T^v$  is indeed an  $(s-1)$ -club. ■

Now, we show that for two vertices  $v, w \in K$ , a vertex  $u \in T^v$ , and a vertex  $\tilde{u} \in T^w$  we have  $\text{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) \leq s$ . We consider the three cases:

**Case 1:  $s$  is odd:** Observe that since  $vw \in E(G)$ , each vertex  $u \in (T_0^v \cup T_\ell^v)$  has exactly one neighbor in  $T^w$ . Since  $T^w$  is an  $(s-1)$ -club by Claim 2, we obtain that for each vertex  $\tilde{u} \in T^w$  we have  $\text{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) \leq s$ . Hence, it remains to consider the case that  $u \in T^v \setminus (T_0^v \cup T_\ell^v)$  and that  $\tilde{u} \in T^w \setminus (T_0^w \cup T_\ell^w)$ . Note that  $\text{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) \leq \min(\text{dist}(u, p_1^v) + 1 + \text{dist}(q_1^w, \tilde{u}), \text{dist}(u, p_\ell^v) + 1 + \text{dist}(q_\ell^w, \tilde{u})) = 1 + \min(\text{dist}(u, p_1^v) + \text{dist}(q_1^w, \tilde{u}), \text{dist}(u, p_\ell^v) + \text{dist}(q_\ell^w, \tilde{u}))$ . By Claim 1 we know that  $\text{dist}(u, p_1^v) + \text{dist}(u, p_\ell^v) = 2s^*$  and that  $\text{dist}(\tilde{u}, q_1^w) + \text{dist}(\tilde{u}, q_\ell^w) = 2s^*$ . Since  $s$  is odd, we obtain that  $\text{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) \leq 1 + 2s^* = s$ . Thus,  $S$  is a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club of size  $3\ell ks^*$ .

**Case 2:  $s$  is even and  $\ell \geq 3$ :** For each vertex pair  $u \in T^v \setminus T_0^v$  and  $\tilde{u} \in T^w \setminus T_0^w$  the proof of  $\text{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) \leq s$  is analogous to the proof in Case 1 handling odd values of  $s$ . Hence, it remains to show that each vertex  $u \in T_0^v$  has distance at most  $s$  to each vertex  $\tilde{u} \in T^w$ . Observe that  $\text{dist}(u, p_1^v) \leq 2$  since  $T_0^v \subseteq N(x_{1,1}^v)$ . Thus,  $\text{dist}(u, q_1^w) = 3$  since  $vw \in E(G)$ . Furthermore, note that for each

vertex  $\tilde{u} \in T^w \setminus T_\ell^w$  we have  $\text{dist}(\tilde{u}, q_1^w) \leq s-3$  by Claim 2. Hence,  $\text{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) \leq s$ . Thus, it remains to consider the case that  $u \in T_0^v$  and that  $\tilde{u} \in T_\ell^w = \{p_\ell^w, q_\ell^w\}$ . Since  $vw \in E(G)$  we conclude that  $\tilde{u}$  has a neighbor in  $T^v$ . Since  $T^v$  is an  $(s-2)$ -club by Claim 2 we conclude that  $\text{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) = s-1$ . Hence,  $S$  is a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club of size  $3\ell ks^*$ .

**Case 3:  $s$  is even and  $\ell = 2$ :** Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) &\leq \min(\text{dist}(u, p_1^v) + 1 + \text{dist}(x_{s^*,1}^w, \tilde{u}), \text{dist}(u, x_{s^*,1}^v) + 1 + \text{dist}(p_1^w, \tilde{u})) \\ &= 1 + \min(\text{dist}(u, p_1^v) + \text{dist}(x_{s^*,1}^w, \tilde{u}), \text{dist}(u, x_{s^*,1}^v) + \text{dist}(p_1^w, \tilde{u})). \end{aligned}$$

We show that  $\text{dist}(u, p_1^v) + \text{dist}(u, x_{s^*,1}^v) \leq s-1$  for each vertex  $u \in T^v$  in Claim 3. From Claim 3 we conclude that  $\min(\text{dist}(u, p_1^v) + \text{dist}(x_{s^*,1}^w, u'), \text{dist}(u, x_{s^*,1}^v) + \text{dist}(p_1^w, u')) \leq s-1$  and thus  $\text{dist}(u, u') \leq s$ . Hence,  $S$  is a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club of size  $3\ell ks^*$ .

It remains to prove Claim 3, that is, for each vertex  $u$  in the gadget  $T^v$  the sum of the distance from  $u$  to vertex  $p_1^v$  and the distance from  $u$  to  $x_{s^*,1}^v$  is at most  $s-1$ . Recall that  $p_1^v$  and  $x_{s^*,1}^v$  are the only vertices which have neighbors outside  $T^v$ .

*Claim 3.* For each vertex  $u \in T^v$  we have  $\text{dist}(u, p_1^v) + \text{dist}(u, x_{s^*,1}^v) \leq s-1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T^v$  is an  $(s-2)$ -club by Claim 2 and the fact that both vertices  $p_1^v$  and  $x_{s^*,1}^v$  have a neighbor in  $T^w$ , we conclude that each vertex  $u \in (N[p_1^v] \cup N[x_{s^*,1}^v]) \cap T^v$  has distance at most  $s$  to each vertex in  $T^w$ . Hence, it remains to show that each vertex  $u \in T^v \setminus (N[p_1^v] \cup N[x_{s^*,1}^v])$  has distance at most  $s$  to each vertex  $u' \in T^w$ . If  $s = 4$ , then  $T^v \subseteq (N[p_1^v] \cup N[x_{s^*,1}^v])$ . Thus, the claim is proven. Hence, in the following, we consider the case  $s \geq 6$ .

Next, we consider the case that  $u \in T_0^v$ . Since  $T_0^v \subseteq N(x_{1,1}^v)$  we conclude that  $\text{dist}(u, p_1^v) \leq 2$ . By Claim 2 we conclude that  $\text{dist}(u, x_{s^*,1}^v) = s-3$ . Hence, Claim 3 is true in this case.

For all remaining cases, it is sufficient to prove the claim for each vertex  $u \in T^v \setminus (T_0^v \cup T_\ell^v)$ . First, we consider the case that  $u := x_{t,i}^v$  for some  $t \in [s^*]$  and some  $i \in [\ell]$ . Then we have  $\text{dist}(x_{t,i}^v, p_1^v) = 2t-1$  and  $\text{dist}(x_{t,i}^v, x_{s^*,1}^v) \leq 2(s^*-t)+2$  and hence  $\text{dist}(x_{t,i}^v, p_1^v) + \text{dist}(x_{t,i}^v, x_{s^*,1}^v) \leq 2t-1 + s^* - 2t + 2 = s^* + 1 \leq s-1$ .

Second, we consider the case that  $u := y_{t,i}^v$  for some  $t \in [s^*-1]$  and some  $i \in [\ell]$ . Then we have  $\text{dist}(y_{t,i}^v, p_1^v) = 2t$  and  $\text{dist}(y_{t,i}^v, x_{s^*,1}^v) \leq 2(s^*-t)+1$  and hence  $\text{dist}(y_{t,i}^v, p_1^v) + \text{dist}(y_{t,i}^v, x_{s^*,1}^v) \leq 2t + s^* - 2t + 1 = s^* + 1 \leq s-1$ . The case that  $u := z_{t,i}^v$  follows by the same argumentation. ■

Conversely, suppose that  $G'$  contains a vertex- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club of size at least  $3\ell ks^*$ . Because of Observation 7 for each vertex-gadget  $T^v$  we either have  $T^v \subseteq S$  or  $T^v \cap S = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $S$  contains at least  $k$  vertex gadgets. We assume towards a contradiction that  $S$  contains two vertex gadgets  $T^v$  and  $T^w$  such that  $vw \notin E(G)$ . In each case we will determine a vertex  $u_v \in T^v$  and a vertex  $u_w \in T^w$  such that  $\text{dist}(u_v, u_w) \geq s+1$ . This allows us to conclude that the set  $\{v \mid T^v \subseteq S\}$  is a clique of size at least  $k$  in  $G$ .

**Case 1:  $s$  is odd:** We define vertex  $u_v$  as follows. The vertex  $u_w$  is defined similarly. Recall that in this case we have  $s^* = (s-1)/2$ .

- If  $s \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , we set  $u_v := x_{(s+1)/4,1}^v$ .
- Otherwise, if  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we set  $u_v := y_{(s-1)/4,1}^v$ .

Observe that for each vertex  $u \in T_0^v \cup T_\ell^v$  we have  $\text{dist}(u_v, u) = (s-1)/2$ . Furthermore, recall that the vertices in  $T_0^v \cup T_\ell^v$  are the only vertices in  $T^v$  with neighbors in other vertex gadgets.

Similarly, for each vertex  $u' \in T_0^w \cup T_\ell^w$  we have  $\text{dist}(u_w, u') = (s-1)/2$ . But since  $vw \notin E(G)$  there are no edges between  $T^v$  and  $T^w$ , we obtain for each choice of  $u$  and  $u'$  that  $\text{dist}(u_v, u_w) \geq \text{dist}(u_v, u) + 2 + \text{dist}(u', u_w) = (s-1)/2 + 2 + (s-1)/2 = s+1$ , a contradiction.

**Case 2:  $s$  is even and  $\ell \geq 3$ :** We set  $u_v := p_2^v$  and  $u_w := x_{s^*,1}^w$ . Note that  $u_v \in T_0^v$  since  $\ell \geq 3$ . By the construction we obtain that for each vertex  $u_0 \in \{p_1^v, q_1^v\}$  we have  $\text{dist}(u_v, u_0) = 2$  since  $T_0^v \subseteq N(x_{1,1}^v)$ . Furthermore, for each vertex  $u_\ell \in \{p_\ell^v, q_\ell^v\}$  we have  $\text{dist}(u_v, u_\ell) = s-2$ . Next, observe that for each vertex  $u'_0 \in \{p_1^w, q_1^w\}$  we have  $\text{dist}(u_w, u'_0) = s-3$  and for each vertex  $u'_\ell \in \{p_\ell^w, q_\ell^w\}$  we have  $\text{dist}(u_w, u'_\ell) = 1$ .

Since  $vw \notin E(G)$ , there are no edges between the gadgets  $T^v$  and  $T^w$ . Hence,  $\text{dist}(u_v, u_w) \geq \min(\text{dist}(u_v, u_0) + 2 + \text{dist}(u'_0, u_w), \text{dist}(u_v, u_\ell) + 2 + \text{dist}(u'_\ell, u_w))$  for each vertex  $u_0 \in \{p_1^v, q_1^v\}$ , each  $u'_0 \in \{p_1^w, q_1^w\}$ , each  $u_\ell \in T_\ell^v$ , and each  $u'_\ell \in T_\ell^w$ . By the above argumentation we obtain  $\text{dist}(u_v, u_w) \geq \min(2+2+s-3, s-2+2+1) = s+1$ , a contradiction.

**Case 3:  $s$  is even and  $\ell = 2$ :** We define the vertices  $u_v$  and  $u_w$  as follows:

- If  $s = 4$ , we set  $u_v := x_{1,2}^v$  and  $u_w := p_2^w$ .
- If  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $s \geq 8$ , we set  $u_v := x_{s/4,1}^v$  and  $u_w := y_{s/4,1}^w$ .
- If  $s \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ , we set  $u_v := y_{(s-2)/4,2}^v$  and  $u_w := x_{(s+2)/4,1}^w$ .
- If  $s \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ , we set  $u_v := y_{(s-2)/4,1}^v$  and  $u_w := x_{(s+2)/4,2}^w$ .

From the definition of these vertices we obtain that  $\text{dist}(u_v, p_1^v) = s/2 - 1$ ,  $\text{dist}(u_v, x_{s^*,1}^v) = s/2$ ,  $\text{dist}(u_w, p_1^w) = s/2$ , and that  $\text{dist}(u_w, x_{s^*,1}^w) = s/2 - 1$ . Recall that the vertices  $p_1^u$  and  $x_{s^*,1}^u$  are the only vertices in  $T^u$  which have neighbors outside  $T^u$  for each  $u \in V(G)$ . Furthermore, observe that  $N(p_1^u) \setminus T^u = \{x_{s^*,1}^b \mid ub \in E(G)\}$  and that  $N(x_{s^*,1}^u) \setminus T^u = \{p_1^b \mid ub \in E(G)\}$ . Since  $vw \notin E(G)$ , we conclude that  $\text{dist}(u_v, u_w) \geq \text{dist}(u_v, p_1^v) + 3 + \text{dist}(x_{s^*,1}^w, u_w) = s/2 - 1 + 3 + s/2 - 1 = s+1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3 Edge Triangle $s$ -Club

In this section we settle the parameterized complexity of EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB with respect to the solution size  $k$ . Recall that in an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club  $S$  each edge with both endpoints in  $S$  is contained in at least  $\ell$  triangles within  $S$ . First, we show that EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB is FPT with respect to  $k$  when  $\ell = 1$  irrespective of the value of  $s$  by providing a Turing kernel. To show this, it is sufficient to delete edges which are not part of a triangle. Afterwards, we prove W[1]-hardness of EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB with respect to  $k$  if  $\ell \geq 2$ .

### 3.1 Edge Triangle $s$ -Club with $\ell = 1$

Now, we prove that EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB for  $\ell = 1$  admits a Turing kernel implying that the problem is FPT. To obtain this algorithm we need the following reduction rule which removes edges which are in no triangle.

**Reduction Rule 8.** *Let  $(G, k)$  be an instance of EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB. Delete all edges from  $G$  which are not part of any triangle.*

It is clear that Reduction Rule 8 is correct and can be exhaustively applied in polynomial time. Next, we prove the following properties of graphs to which Reduction Rule 8 is applied exhaustively.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $(G, k)$  be an instance of EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB with  $\ell = 1$  to which Reduction Rule 8 is applied exhaustively. For each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  each edge in  $G[N[v]]$  is contained in at least one triangle in  $G[N[v]]$ .*

*Proof.* First, we consider edges of the form  $uv$  where  $u$  is a neighbor of  $v$ . Since Reduction Rule 8 is applied exhaustively, there exists another vertex  $w \in V(G)$  such that  $G[\{u, v, w\}]$  is a triangle. Observe that  $w \in N(v)$ .

Second, since each edge  $uw$  with  $u, w \in N(v)$  is in a triangle with vertex  $v$ , we conclude that all edges in  $G[N[v]]$  are in at least one triangle in  $G[N[v]]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $(G, k)$  be an instance of EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB with  $\ell = 1$  to which Reduction Rule 8 is applied exhaustively. Then,  $(G, k)$  is a yes-instance if  $|N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v]| \geq k$  for some vertex  $v \in V(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that by Lemma 7 each edge in  $N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v]$  is contained in at least one triangle since  $N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v] \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor - 1}[v]} N[w]$ . Furthermore, observe that each vertex in  $N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v]$  has distance at most  $\lfloor s/2 \rfloor$  to vertex  $v$ . Hence,  $N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v]$  is an  $s$ -club and by definition  $|N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v]| \geq k$ .  $\square$

Next, we show that Lemma 8 implies a Turing kernel for  $k$ . The existence of a Turing kernel implies that the problem is fixed-parameter tractable.

**Theorem 9.** *EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB for  $\ell = 1$  admits a  $k^2$ -vertex Turing kernel if  $s$  is even and admits a  $k^3$ -vertex Turing kernel if  $s$  is odd and  $s \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* First, we apply Reduction Rule 8 exhaustively. Because of Lemma 8 we conclude that  $(G, k)$  is a trivial yes-instance, if  $|N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v]| \geq k$ . Hence, in the following we can assume that  $k > |N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v]|$  for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ .

First, we consider the case that  $s$  is even. Then  $\lfloor s/2 \rfloor = s/2$  and we obtain that  $N_s[v] \subseteq N_{s/2}[N_{s/2}[v]]$ . Thus,  $|N_s[v]| \leq k^2$ .

Second, we consider the case that  $s$  is odd. Observe that we have  $N_s[v] \subseteq N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v]]$ . Thus,  $|N_s[v]| \leq k^3$ .  $\square$

### 3.2 Edge Triangle $s$ -Club for $\ell \geq 2$

Now, for the remaining cases we show  $W[1]$ -hardness.

**Theorem 10.** *EDGE TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB is  $W[1]$ -hard if  $\ell \geq 2$  for parameter  $k$ .*

Next, we describe the construction of the reduction to prove Theorem 10.

**Construction 11.** Let  $(G, k)$  be an instance of CLIQUE with  $k \geq 3$ . We construct an equivalent instance  $(G', k')$  of EDGE-TRIANGLE  $s$ -CLUB for some  $\ell \geq 2$  as follows. Let  $\ell^* := \lceil \ell/2 \rceil$  and let  $x := 6 \cdot \ell^*(s-1) + \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$ . For each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  we construct the following vertex gadget  $D_v$ . For better readability, all sub-indices of the vertices in  $D_v$  are considered modulo  $x$ . Our construction distinguishes even and odd values of  $\ell$ . First, we describe the part of the construction which both cases have in common. For an illustration of this construction see Fig. 2.

1. We add vertex sets  $A_v := \{a_i^v \mid i \in [0, x]\}$  and  $B_v := \{b_i^v \mid i \in [0, x]\}$  to  $G'$ .
2. We add the edges  $a_i^v a_{i+j}^v$ , and  $b_i^v b_{i+j}^v$  for each  $i \in [0, x]$  and each  $j \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*] \setminus \{0\}$  to  $G'$ .
3. We add the edge  $a_i^v b_{i+j}^v$  for each  $i \in [0, x]$  and each  $j \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*]$  to  $G'$ .

In other words, an edge  $a_i^v b_j^v$  is added if the indices differ by at most  $3\ell^*$ . For even  $\ell$  this completes the construction of  $D_v$ . For odd  $\ell$  we extend  $D_v$  as follows:

4. We add the vertex set  $C_v := \{c_i^v \mid i \in [0, x] \text{ and } i \bmod \ell^* = 0\}$  to  $G'$ . Note that  $C_v$  consists of exactly  $6s-5$  vertices.
5. We add the edges  $c_i^v a_{i+j}^v$  and  $c_i^v b_{i+j}^v$  for each  $i \in [0, x]$  such that  $i \bmod \ell^* = 0$  and each  $j \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*]$  to  $G'$ .
6. Also, we add the edge  $c_i^v c_{i+j}^v$  to  $G'$  for each  $i \in [0, x]$  such that  $i \bmod \ell^* = 0$  and each  $j \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*] \setminus \{0\}$  to  $G'$  if the corresponding vertex  $c_{i+j}^v$  exists.

In other words, an edge between  $c_i^v$  and  $a_j^v$ ,  $b_j^v$ , or  $c_j^v$  is added if the indices differ by at most  $3\ell^*$ . Now, for each edge  $uv \in E(G)$  we add the following to  $G'$ :


7. We add the edges  $a_i^v b_{i+j}^u$  and  $a_i^u b_{i+j}^v$  for  $i \in [0, x]$  and  $j \in [0, \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor]$  to  $G'$ .
8. If  $\ell$  is odd, we also add the edges  $c_i^v b_{i+j}^u$  and  $c_i^u b_{i+j}^v$  for each  $i \in [0, x]$  such that  $i \bmod \ell^* = 0$  and each  $j \in [0, \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor]$  to  $G'$ .

Observe that each vertex  $b_{i+j}^u$  is adjacent to *exactly* one vertex in  $C_v$ .

In other words, an edge between  $a_i^v$  or  $c_i^v$  and  $b_j^v$  is added if  $j$  exceeds  $i$  by at most  $\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$ . Finally, if  $\ell$  is even, we set  $k' := 2(x+1)k = (\ell(6s-5) + 2) \cdot k$ , and if  $\ell$  is odd, we set  $k' := (2(x+1) + 6s-5)k = (\ell+2)(6s-5) \cdot k$ .

Construction 11 has two key mechanisms: First, if  $uv \notin E(G)$  then for each vertex  $a \in A_v$  there is at least one vertex  $b \in B_u$  such that  $\text{dist}(a, b) > s$ . Second, each edge with one endpoint in  $A_v$  and one endpoint in  $B_u$  is contained in *exactly*  $\ell$  triangles. Furthermore, if  $\ell$  is odd, then this also holds for each edge with one endpoint in  $C_v$  and one in  $B_u$ . As we will show, this ensures that both





**Fig. 2.** Construction for Theorem 10 when  $s = 3$  and  $\ell = 2$  and  $G$  is a  $P_3$  on  $\{u, v, w\}$  with  $uv \notin E(G)$ . Only the gadgets  $A_v, B_w, A_w$ , and  $B_u$  are shown. For simplicity, no edges within  $A_v, B_w, A_w$ , and  $B_u$  are drawn and edges between  $B_w$  and  $A_w$  are only drawn if one endpoint is  $a_3^w, a_4^w, a_9^w$ , or  $a_{10}^w$ . Blue encircled vertices are neighbors of  $a_0^v$ , red encircled vertices have distance 2 to  $a_0^v$ , and black encircled vertices have distance 3 to  $a_0^v$ . Thus,  $a_0^v$  and  $b_7^u = b_{0+1+3 \cdot 1 \cdot 2}^u = b_{0+[\ell/2]+3\ell^*(s-1)}^u$  have distance at least 4.

endpoints of an edge with one endpoint in  $A_v$  (or  $C_v$ ) and the other endpoint in  $B_u$  are contained in an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club  $S$  if and only if  $S$  contains all vertices of  $A_v$  and  $B_u$  if  $\ell$  is even, and all vertices of  $A_v, B_u$ , and  $C_v$  if  $\ell$  is odd. We call this the *enforcement property*. This property can be formalized as follows:

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $S$  be an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club in the graph  $G'$  constructed in Construction 11.*

1. *Consider the case that  $\ell$  is even.*

*Let  $a_i^v b_j^u \in E(G')$ . Then  $a_i^v, b_j^u \in S$  if and only if  $A_v, B_u \subseteq S$ .*

*Furthermore, each edge  $a_i^v b_j^u \in E(G')$  is contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles whose vertex sets are contained in  $G'[A_v \cup B_u]$ .*

2. *Consider the case that  $\ell$  is odd.*

*Furthermore, consider the edge  $a_i^v b_j^u$  or the edge  $c_i^v b_j^u$  in  $G'$ . Then  $a_i^v, b_j^u \in S$  or  $c_i^v, b_j^u \in S$  if and only if  $A_v, B_u, C_v \subseteq S$ .*

*Furthermore, each edge  $a_i^v b_j^u, c_i^v b_j^u \in E(G')$  is contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles whose vertex sets are contained in  $G[A_v \cup C_v \cup B_u]$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, the direction if  $\{A_v, B_u\} \subseteq S$  or  $\{A_v, B_u, C_v\} \subseteq S$  is trivial. Thus, in the following we focus on showing the part where  $\{a_i^v, b_j^u\} \subseteq S$  or  $\{c_i^v, b_j^u\} \subseteq S$ .

First, we show the statement for even values of  $\ell$ . Note that  $\ell^* = \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor = \ell/2 = \lceil \ell/2 \rceil$ . By construction we have

$$\begin{aligned}
- N(a_i^v) &:= \{a_{i+i'}^v \mid i' \in [-3\ell/2, 3\ell/2] \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{b_{i+i'}^v \mid i' \in [-3\ell/2, 3\ell/2]\} \cup \\
&\quad \{b_{i+i'}^w \mid i' \in [0, \ell/2] \text{ and } vw \in E(G)\}, \text{ and} \\
- N(b_j^u) &:= \{b_{j+i'}^u \mid i' \in [-3\ell/2, 3\ell/2] \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{a_{j+i'}^u \mid i' \in [-3\ell/2, 3\ell/2]\} \cup \\
&\quad \{a_{j-i'}^w \mid i' \in [0, \ell/2] \text{ and } uw \in E(G)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_i^v b_j^u \in E(G')$  we obtain by Construction 11 Point 7 that  $j = i + z$  for some  $z \in [0, \ell/2]$ . Furthermore, let  $y := \ell/2 - z$ . We conclude that  $N(a_i^v) \cap N(b_j^u) = \{a_{i+i'}^v \mid i' \in [-y, z] \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{b_{j+i'}^u \mid i' \in [-z, y] \setminus 0\}$ . Hence, both vertices have exactly  $\ell$  common neighbors and thus  $N(a_i^v) \cap N(b_j^u) \subseteq S$ . Thus, the edge  $a_i^v b_j^u$  is contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles whose vertex sets are contained in  $G'[A_v \cup B_u]$ . Now, since  $\{a_{i+1}^v, b_{j+1}^u\} \subseteq S$  we can repeat the above argumentation for the edge  $a_{i+1}^v b_{j+1}^u$ . Iteratively, we can repeat the above statement for the edge  $a_{i+i'}^v b_{j+i'}^u$  whose endpoints are both contained in  $S$ . If  $i' = x$  we then have verified that  $\{A_v, B_u\} \subseteq S$ . Recall that  $A_v$  and  $B_u$  have size  $x + 1$ .

Second, we show the statement for odd values of  $\ell$ , that is  $\ell = 2t + 1$  for some integer  $t$ . Note that  $\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor = t$  and that  $\ell^* = \lceil \ell/2 \rceil = t + 1$ . Furthermore, observe that vertex  $b_j^u$  has exactly one neighbor  $c_{j+i'}^v$  in  $C_v$  for some  $i' \in [0, t]$  such that  $j + i' \pmod{t+1} = 0$ .

Hence, by construction we have

$$\begin{aligned} - N(a_i^v) &:= \{a_{i+i'}^v \mid i' \in [-3(t+1), 3(t+1)] \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{b_{i+i'}^v \mid i' \in [-3(t+1), 3(t+1)]\} \cup \{c_{i+i'}^v \mid i' \in [-3(t+1), 3(t+1)] \text{ and } (i+i') \pmod{t+1} = 0\} \cup \{b_{i+i'}^w \mid i' \in [0, t] \text{ and } vw \in E(G)\}, \\ - N(b_j^u) &:= \{b_{j+i'}^u \mid i' \in [-3(t+1), 3(t+1)] \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{a_{j+i'}^u \mid i' \in [-3(t+1), 3(t+1)]\} \cup \{c_{j+i'}^u \mid i' \in [-3(t+1), 3(t+1)] \text{ and } (j+i') \pmod{t+1} = 0\} \cup \{a_{j-i'}^w \mid i' \in [0, t] \text{ and } uw \in E(G)\} \cup \{c_{j-i'}^w \mid i' \in [0, t] \text{ and } (j-i') \pmod{t+1} = 0 \text{ and } uw \in E(G)\}, \text{ and} \\ - N(c_i^v) &:= \{a_{i+i'}^v \mid i' \in [-3(t+1), 3(t+1)]\} \cup \{b_{i+i'}^v \mid i' \in [-3(t+1), 3(t+1)]\} \cup \{c_{i+i'}^v \mid i' \in [-3(t+1), 3(t+1)] \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } (i+i') \pmod{t+1} = 0\} \cup \{b_{i+i'}^w \mid i' \in [0, t] \text{ and } vw \in E(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_i^v b_j^u \in E(G')$  we obtain by Construction 11 Point 7 that  $j = i + z$  for some  $z \in [0, t]$ . Now, let  $y := t - z$  and let  $c_i^v$  be the unique neighbor of  $b_j^u$  in  $C_v$ . We conclude that  $N(a_i^v) \cap N(b_j^u) = \{a_{i+j'}^v \mid j' \in [-y, z] \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{b_{j+j'}^u \mid j' \in [-z, y] \setminus 0\} \cup \{c_{i'}^v\}$ .

Hence, both vertices have exactly  $t + t + 1 = \ell$  common neighbors and thus  $N(a_i^v) \cap N(b_j^u) \subseteq S$ . By similar arguments a similar statement can be shown for the edge  $c_i^v b_j^u$ . Thus, the edges  $a_i^v b_j^u$  and  $c_i^v b_j^u$  are contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles whose vertex sets are contained in  $G'[A_v \cup C_v \cup B_u]$ . Now, since  $\{a_{i+1}^v, b_{j+1}^u\} \subseteq S$  we can repeat the above argumentation for the edge  $a_{i+1}^v b_{j+1}^u$ . Iteratively, we can repeat the above statement for the edge  $a_{i+i'}^v b_{j+i'}^u$  whose endpoints are both contained in  $S$ . If  $i' = x$  we then have verified that  $\{A_v, B_v, C_v\} \subseteq S$ .  $\square$

Now, we prove the correctness of the reduction for Theorem 10.

*Proof (of Theorem 10).* We show that  $G$  contains a clique of size at least  $k$  if and only if  $G'$  contains an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club of size at least  $k'$ .

Let  $C$  be a clique of size  $k$  in  $G$ . Recall that  $D_v$  is the gadget of vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . We verify that  $S := \{D_v \mid v \in C\}$  is an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club of size at least  $k'$ . Since  $|D_v| = 2\ell^*(6s - 5) + 2$  if  $\ell$  is even and  $|D_v| = (2\ell^* + 1)(6s - 5)$  if  $\ell$  is odd for each  $v \in C$  and since  $|C| \geq k$ , we have  $|S| \geq k'$ . It remains to show that  $S$  is an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club.

First, we show that  $S$  is an  $s$ -club.

- First, we show that  $D_v$  is an  $s$ -club. Therefore, consider the vertex pair  $\{a_i^v, a_j^v\}$  for some  $v \in C$ . Observe that  $P := (a_i^v, a_{i+1}^v, \dots, a_{i+p}^v)$  for  $i+p = j$  is a path of length  $p$  from  $a_i^v$  to  $a_j^v$  and that  $Q := (a_i^v, a_{i-1}^v, \dots, a_{i-q}^v)$  for  $i-q = j$  is a path of length  $q$  from  $a_i^v$  to  $a_j^v$ . Clearly,  $p+q = x+1$ . Hence,  $\min(p, q) \leq (x+1)/2 \leq 3\ell^*(s-1) + \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$ . Without loss of generality assume that the minimum is achieved by path  $P$  and assume that  $p = \alpha \cdot (3\ell^*) + \beta$  for some  $\alpha \in [s-1]$  and some  $\beta < 3\ell^*$ . Recall that by Construction 11 Point 2,  $a_i^v, a_j^v \in E(G')$  if and only if  $j' = i' + z$  for some  $z \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*] \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence,  $(a_i^v, a_{i+1 \cdot (3\ell^*)}^v, \dots, a_{i+\alpha \cdot (3\ell^*)}^v, a_{i+\alpha \cdot (3\ell^*)+\beta}^v)$  is a path of length at most  $(s-1) + 1 = s$  from  $a_i^v$  to  $a_j^v$ .

These arguments also apply symmetrically to the vertex pairs  $\{b_i^v, b_j^v\}$  and  $\{a_i^v, b_j^v\}$  for each  $v \in C$ . Furthermore, if  $\ell$  is odd, observe that the above argumentation can also be used to show that the vertex pairs  $\{c_i^v, a_j^v\}$ ,  $\{c_i^v, b_j^v\}$ , and  $\{c_i^v, c_j^v\}$  have distance at most  $s$  to each other by replacing  $a_i^v$  with  $c_i^v$  and replacing  $a_j^v$  with  $c_j^v$ , respectively, in the paths  $P$  and  $Q$ .

- Next, we show that  $a_i^v$  has distance at most  $s$  to  $b_j^u$ . Note that by Construction 11 Point 7  $a_i^v$  has neighbors  $b_i^u, \dots, b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}^u$  since  $uv \in E(G)$ . Therefore, in the following we assume that  $j \neq i + z$  for all  $z \in [0, \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor]$ . Consider the paths  $P := (a_i^v, b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}^u, b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor+1}^u, \dots, b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor+p}^u)$  for  $i + \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + p = j$  of length  $p+1$  and  $Q := (a_i^v, b_i^u, b_{i-1}^u, \dots, b_{i-q}^u)$  for  $i-q = j$  of length  $q+1$ . Observe that  $(p+1)+(q+1) = (x+3) - \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$ . Thus,  $p+q = (x+1) - \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor = 6\ell^*(s-1) + 1$ . Since  $p$  and  $q$  are integers we have  $\min(p, q) \leq ((x+1) - \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor)/2 = 3\ell^*(s-1)$ .

Without loss of generality assume that the minimum is achieved by path  $P$  and assume that  $p = \alpha \cdot (3\ell^*) + \beta$  for some  $\alpha \in [s-2]$  and some  $\beta \leq 3\ell^*$ . Recall that by Construction 11 Point 2 we have  $b_i^u, b_j^u \in E(G')$  if and only if  $j' = i' + z$  for some  $z \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*] \setminus \{0\}$ . Now, observe that  $(a_i^v, b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}^u, b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor+1 \cdot (3\ell^*)}^u, \dots, b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor+\alpha \cdot (3\ell^*)}^u, b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor+\alpha \cdot (3\ell^*)+\beta}^u)$  is a path of length at most  $1 + (s-2) + 1 = s$  from  $a_i^v$  to  $b_j^u$ .

Furthermore, if  $\ell$  is odd, observe that the above argumentation can also be used to show that the vertex pairs  $\{c_i^v, b_j^u\}$  have distance at most  $s$  to each other by replacing  $a_i^v$  with  $c_i^v$  in the paths  $P$  and  $Q$ .

- The fact that vertices  $a_i^v$  and  $a_j^u$ , and  $b_i^v$  and  $b_j^u$ , respectively, have distance at most  $s$  to each other can be proven similar as we showed that  $a_i^v$  and  $b_j^u$  have distance at most  $s$  by observing that  $a_i^v$  has distance 2 to each vertex  $a_{i+z}^u$  with  $z \in [-3\ell^*, \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*]$  since  $a_i^v$  has neighbors  $b_i^u, \dots, b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}^u$  and since by Construction 11 Point 2 we have  $b_i^u, a_j^u \in E(G')$  if and only if  $j' = i' + z'$  for some  $z' \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*]$ .

Furthermore, if  $\ell$  is odd, observe that the above argumentation can also be used to show that the vertex pairs  $\{c_i^v, a_j^u\}$  and  $\{c_i^v, c_j^u\}$  have distance at most  $s$  to each other by replacing  $a_i^v$  with  $c_i^v$  and replacing  $a_j^u$  with  $c_j^u$ , respectively, in the paths  $P$  and  $Q$ .

Hence,  $S$  is indeed an  $s$ -club. Second, we show that each edge with both endpoints in  $S$  is contained in at least  $\ell$  triangles which are contained in  $S$ .

- Consider the edge  $a_i^v a_{i+j}^v$  for some  $j \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*] \setminus \{0\}$ . Consider the case  $j > 0$ . By Construction 11 Point 2 both vertices are adjacent to each vertex  $a_{i+i'}^v$  with  $i' \in [3\ell^*] \setminus \{j\}$ . Hence, both vertices are in at least  $3\ell^* - 1 \geq \ell$  triangles. The case  $j < 0$  can be shown analogously. Furthermore, the statement can be shown analogously for the edges  $b_i^v b_{i+j}^v$  (and  $c_i^v c_{i+j}^v$  if  $\ell$  is odd) for some  $j \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*] \setminus \{0\}$ . Also, the statement can be shown analogously for the edge  $a_i^v b_{i+j}^v$  for some  $j \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*]$ . If  $j \geq 0$ , then  $a_{i+z}^v$  for each  $z \in [3\ell^*]$  is a common neighbor of both vertices and thus the edge  $a_i^v b_{i+j}^v$  is contained in at least  $\ell$  triangles. The case  $j < 0$  can be shown analogously. Furthermore, the statement can also be shown analogously for the edges  $a_i^v c_{i+j}^v$ ,  $b_i^v c_{i+j}^v$ , and  $c_i^v c_{i+j}^v$  for some  $j \in [-3\ell^*, 3\ell^*]$  if the corresponding vertices  $c_i^v$  and  $c_{i+j}^v$ , respectively, exist (if  $\ell$  is odd).
- The fact that the edges  $a_i^v b_{i+j}^u$  and  $c_i^v b_{i+j}^u$  are contained in exactly  $\ell$  triangles follows from Lemma 9.

We conclude that each edge with both endpoints in  $S$  is contained in at least  $\ell$  triangles in  $S$ . Thus,  $S$  is indeed an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club of size  $k'$ .

Conversely, let  $S$  be an edge- $\ell$ -triangle  $s$ -club of size at least  $k'$  in  $G'$ . We show that  $G$  contains a clique of size at least  $k$ . First, we show that for each vertex  $x \in A_v \cup B_v \cup C_v$  there exists a vertex  $y \in A_u \cup B_u \cup C_u$  such that  $\text{dist}(x, y) \geq s + 1$  if  $uv \notin E(G)$ . For this, recall that by construction each two vertices with sub-indices  $i'$  and  $j'$  are not adjacent if their difference (modulo  $x$ ) is larger than  $3\ell^*$ .

*Claim 4.* In  $G'$  we have  $\text{dist}(x_i, y_j) \geq s + 1$  for each  $i \in [0, x]$ ,  $j := i + \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s - 1)$ ,  $x_i \in \{a_i^v, b_i^v, c_i^v\}$ , and  $y_j \in \{a_j^u, b_j^u, c_j^u\}$  if  $uv \notin E(G)$ .

*Proof.* There are two possible paths from  $x_i$  to  $y_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s-1)}$  with respect to the indices. First, there is a subsequence of the indices which is increasing  $(i, i + 1, \dots, i + \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s - 1))$ . This path has length  $\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s - 1)$ . Second, there is a subsequence of the indices which is decreasing  $(i, i - 1, \dots, i - i')$  where  $-i' = i + \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s - 1)$ . This path has length  $3\ell^*(s - 1) + 1$ .

Hence, each path from  $x_i$  to  $y_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s-1)}$  has to overcome at least  $3\ell^*(s - 1) + 1$  indices. Observe that whenever an edge between  $A_p$  (or  $C_p$ ) and  $B_q$  for  $p, q \in V(G)$  with  $pq \in E(G)$  is traversed, by construction the index can increase/decrease by at most  $\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$ . Now, we use the fact that  $uv \notin E(G)$ : There are no edges between the vertex gadgets  $D_v$  and  $D_u$ . Thus, at least two times such a traversal of at most  $\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$  indices has to be done. Hence, the index  $i$  can increase or decrease by at most  $2 \cdot \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s - 2) < 3\ell^*(s - 1) + 1$  if at least 2 edge traversals between different vertex gadgets are necessary. Thus, both vertices have distance at least  $s + 1$ . ■

We now use Claim 4 to show that  $G$  contains a clique of size at least  $k$ . We distinguish the cases whether  $S$  contains only parts of one of the gadgets  $A_v, B_v$ , or  $C_v$  or whether  $S$  contains each of the gadgets  $A_v, B_v$ , or  $C_v$  completely.

First, assume that for some vertex  $v \in V(G)$  we have  $A_v \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $A_v \not\subseteq S$ . Since  $A_v \not\subseteq S$ , we conclude that in  $G'[S]$  we have  $N(A_v \cap S) \subseteq (B_v \cup C_v)$  as otherwise vertex  $a_v$  has a neighbor  $b_u \in B_u$  and by Lemma 9 we would

obtain  $A_v \subseteq S$ , a contradiction to the assumption  $A_v \not\subseteq S$ . If  $B_v \not\subseteq S$  then by Lemma 9 no vertex in  $B_v$  can have a neighbor  $a_i^w$  or  $c_i^w$  for some  $w \neq v$ . Hence,  $S \cap D_v$  would be a connected component of size at most  $3(x+1)$ , a contradiction to the size of  $S$  since  $k \geq 3$ . Thus, we may assume that  $B_v \subseteq S$ . Observe that if  $a_i^w \in S$  or  $c_i^w \in S$  for some  $w \in V(G)$  such that  $vw \in E(G)$  then we have  $A_w \subseteq S$  and  $C_w \subseteq S$  by Lemma 9 since each vertex  $a_i^w$  and  $c_i^w$  has a neighbor in  $B_v$ . Let  $W := \{w_1, \dots, w_t\}$  denote the set of vertices  $w_j$  such that  $vw_j \in E(G)$  and  $A_{w_j}, C_{w_j} \subseteq S$ . If  $w_x w_y \notin E(G)$  for some  $x, y \in [t]$  with  $x \neq y$ , then  $a_0^{w_x}$  and  $a_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s-1)}^{w_y}$  have distance at least  $s+1$  by Claim 4. Thus  $w_x w_y \in E(G)$  for each  $x, y \in [t]$  with  $x \neq y$ .

Assume towards a contradiction that  $a_i^p \in S$  or  $c_i^p \in S$  for some  $p \in V(G)$  with  $p \neq v$  and  $p \notin W$ . Note that  $pv \notin E(G)$  since otherwise  $p \in W$  by the definition of  $W$ . Observe that since  $B_v \subseteq S$  we also have  $b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s-1)}^v \in S$ . But since  $pv \notin E(G)$  we obtain from Claim 4 that  $\text{dist}(z_i, b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s-1)}^v) \geq s+1$  for  $z_i = a_i$  or  $z_i = c_i$ , a contradiction. We conclude that  $S$  does not contain any vertex  $a_i^p$  or  $c_i^p$  with  $p \neq v$  or  $p \neq w_j$  for  $j \in [t]$ .

Next, assume towards a contradiction that  $b_i^p \in S$  for some  $p \in V(G)$  with  $p \neq v$  and  $p \notin W$ . If  $pv \notin E(G)$ , then  $b_i^p$  and  $b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*}^v$  have distance at least  $s+1$  again by Claim 4. Thus, we can assume that  $pv \in E(G)$ . Recall that  $b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s-1)}^v \in S$ . As defined by Claim 4, each shortest path from  $b_i^p$  to  $b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*}^v$  can do at most one swap between different vertex gadgets. In this case, there is exactly one swap from  $D_p$  to  $D_v$ . From the above we know that  $(A_p \cup C_p) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Thus, each shortest path from  $b_i^p$  to  $b_{i+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*(s-1)}^v$  uses at least one vertex in  $A_v \cup C_v$ . Since at least one edge with endpoint  $A_v \cup C_v$  is contained in  $S$ , we conclude from Lemma 9 that  $A_v \cup C_v \subseteq S$ , a contradiction to the assumption  $A_v \not\subseteq S$ .

Hence, there is no vertex  $p \neq v$  and  $p \neq w_j$  such that  $D_p \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $S \subseteq D_v \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^t D_{w_j}$ . By definition of  $k'$ , we have  $t \geq k-1$  and we conclude that  $G$  contains a clique of size at least  $k$ . The cases that for some vertex  $v \in V(G)$  we have  $B_v \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $B_v \not\subseteq S$  or  $C_v \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $C_v \not\subseteq S$  can be handled similarly.

Second, consider the case that for each set  $A_v$  with  $A_v \cap S \neq \emptyset$  we have  $A_v \subseteq S$ , that for each set  $B_v$  with  $B_v \cap S \neq \emptyset$  we have  $B_v \subseteq S$ , and that for each set  $C_v$  with  $C_v \cap S \neq \emptyset$  we have  $C_v \subseteq S$ . If there is no  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $B_v \subseteq S$  then each connected component in  $G'[S]$  has size at most  $2x < k'$ . Thus, consider some  $v \in V(G)$  with  $B_v \subseteq S$ . Furthermore, let  $W$  denote the set of vertices such that  $vw_j \in E(G)$  and  $A_{w_j} \subseteq S$  for each  $w_j \in W$ . Analogously to the above argumentation we have  $w_j w_{j'} \in E(G)$ . If  $A_p \subseteq S$  or  $C_p \subseteq S$  for some  $p \in V(G) \setminus (W \cup \{v\})$ , then also  $a_1^p \in S$  and  $c_1^p \in S$  (if  $\ell$  is odd), and similar to the above by Claim 4 vertices  $a_1^p$  and  $b_{1+\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 3\ell^*}^v$  have distance at least  $s+1$ , a contradiction. Similarly, we can show that there is no  $p \in V(G) \setminus (W \cup \{v\})$  such that  $B_p \subseteq S$ . Hence,  $t \geq k-1$  and thus  $G$  contains a clique of size at least  $k$ .  $\square$

## 4 Seeded $s$ -Club

In this section we study the parameterized complexity of SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB with respect to the standard parameter solution size  $k$ . Unfortunately, we were not able to provide a complete dichotomy for  $k$ .

### 4.1 Tractable Cases

For clique seeds, we provide the following kernel.

**Theorem 12.** *SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB admits a kernel with  $\mathcal{O}(k^{2|W|+1})$ -vertices if the induced subgraph  $G[W]$  is a clique.*

In the following, assume that  $G[W]$  is a clique. To prove this kernel, we first remove all vertices with distance at least  $s + 1$  to any vertex in  $W$ . Second, we show that if  $N_s[W]$  is sufficiently large, then  $(G, W, k)$  is a trivial yes-instance.

**Reduction Rule 13.** *Let  $(G, W, k)$  be an instance of SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB. If  $G$  contains a vertex  $u$  such that  $\text{dist}(u, w) \geq s + 1$  for some  $w \in W$ , then remove  $u$ .*

Clearly, Reduction Rule 13 is correct and can be applied exhaustively in polynomial time. Next, we show that if there are many vertices close to  $W$  then  $(G, W, k)$  is a yes-instance of SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB.

**Lemma 10.** *An instance  $(G, W, k)$  of SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB is a trivial yes-instance if  $|N_{s-1}[W]| \geq k^2$ .*

To prove Lemma 10 for  $s \geq 3$  we need the following technical lemmas.

**Lemma 11.** *An instance  $(G, W, k)$  of SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB with  $s \geq 3$  is a yes-instance if  $|N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}[W]| \geq k$ .*

*Proof.* By definition  $W \subseteq N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}[W]$  and  $|N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}[W]| \geq k$ . Thus, it remains to show that  $N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}[W]$  is an  $s$ -club. Therefore, consider a pair of vertices  $u, v \in N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}[W]$ . Observe that by definition  $\text{dist}(u, W) \leq \lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1$  and also  $\text{dist}(v, W) \leq \lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1$ . Since  $W$  is a clique, we have  $\text{dist}(u, v) \leq \lfloor ((s+1)/2) - 1 \rfloor + 1 + (\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1) \leq s$ . Hence, the lemma follows.  $\square$

Note that the assumption  $s \geq 3$  in Lemma 11 is necessary to obtain that  $\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1 \geq 1$ . Next, we show that if a vertex in  $N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}(W)$  has many vertices close to it, then  $(G, W, k)$  is a yes-instance.

**Lemma 12.** *An instance  $(G, W, k)$  of SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB with  $s \geq 3$  is a yes-instance if  $|N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}(v)| \geq k$  for some vertex  $v \in N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}(W)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a vertex as specified in the lemma. By definition of  $v$  there exists a path  $P := (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1})$  of length  $\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1$  in  $G$  such that  $w_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1} = v$ ,  $w_0 \in W$ , and  $w_i \in N_i(w_0)$ . Next, we show that  $S := N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}(v) \cup W \cup P$  is an  $s$ -club containing  $W$  of size  $k$ . Clearly,  $W \subseteq S$  and  $|S| \geq k$ . Thus, it remains to show that  $S$  is an  $s$ -club.

- Consider a vertex  $w \in W$ . Vertex  $w$  has distance at most  $i + 1$  to vertex  $w_i$ . In particular,  $\text{dist}(w, v) \leq \lfloor (s + 1)/2 \rfloor$ . Since each vertex  $u \in N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v]$  has distance at most  $\lfloor s/2 \rfloor$  to  $v$  we obtain that  $\text{dist}(w, u) \leq \lfloor (s + 1)/2 \rfloor + \lfloor s/2 \rfloor = s$ .
- By similar arguments we can also show that vertex  $w_i$  for  $i \in [\lfloor (s + 1)/2 \rfloor - 1]$  has distance at most  $s$  to each vertex in  $S$ .
- Finally, consider two vertices  $x, y \in N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v]$ . Note that  $\text{dist}(x, v) \leq \lfloor s/2 \rfloor$  and also  $\text{dist}(y, v) \leq \lfloor s/2 \rfloor$  and thus  $\text{dist}(x, y) \leq s$ .

Thus,  $S$  is indeed an  $s$ -club.  $\square$

With those two lemmas we are now able to prove Lemma 10.

*Proof (Proof of Lemma 10).* First, we consider the case  $s = 2$ . Clearly, it is sufficient to show that  $(G, W, k)$  is a yes-instance if  $|N[w]| \geq k$  for some  $w \in W$  since  $|W| \leq k$  and since  $N[W] \geq k^2$  by our assumption. Since all vertices in  $N(w)$  have the common neighbor  $w$ , we conclude that  $N[w]$  is a 2-club. Also, since  $W$  is a clique we have  $W \subseteq N[w]$ . The size bound follows from  $|N[w]| \geq k$ . Thus,  $(G, W, k)$  is a yes-instance.

Second, we consider the case  $s \geq 3$ . Observe that

$$N_{s-1}[W] \subseteq N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}[W] \cup \bigcup_{v \in N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}[W]} N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}[v].$$

By Lemma 11 we have that  $(G, W, k)$  is a yes-instance if  $|N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}[W]| \geq k$  and by Lemma 12 we have that  $(G, W, k)$  is a yes-instance if  $|N_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}(v)| \geq k$  for some  $v \in N_{\lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor - 1}(W)$ . Thus,  $(G, W, k)$  is a yes-instance if  $|N_{s-1}[W]| \geq k^2$ .  $\square$

Finally, we bound the size of  $N_s(W)$ . There we assume that  $|N_{s-1}[W]| < k^2$  by Lemma 10 and that Reduction Rule 13 is applied exhaustively.

**Lemma 13.** *An instance  $(G, W, k)$  of SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB is a trivial yes-instance if  $|N_s(W)| \geq k^{2|W|+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that since Reduction Rule 13 is applied exhaustively, each vertex  $p \in N_s(W)$  has distance exactly  $s$  to each vertex in  $W$ . In other words, for each vertex  $w_\ell \in W$  there exists a vertex  $u_{s-1}^\ell \in N_{s-1}(w_\ell)$  such that  $pu_{s-1}^\ell \in E(G)$ . Note that  $N_{s-1}(w_\ell) \subseteq N_{s-1}[W]$ . Moreover, by Lemma 10 we have that  $|N_{s-1}[W]| < k^2$  and thus in particular  $|N_{s-1}(W)| < k^2$ . Since  $|N_s(W)| \geq k^{2|W|+1}$ , by the pigeonhole principle there exists a set  $\{u_{s-1}^1, u_{s-1}^2, \dots, u_{s-1}^{|W|}\}$  with  $u_{s-1}^\ell \in N_{s-1}(w_\ell)$  for  $\ell \in [|W|]$  such that for the set  $P := N_s(W) \cap \bigcap_{\ell \in [|W|]} N(u_{s-1}^\ell)$  we have  $|P| \geq k$ . By the definition of vertex  $u_{s-1}^\ell$  for each  $i \in [s - 2]$  there exists a vertex  $u_i^\ell \in N_i(w_\ell)$  such that  $w_\ell, u_1^\ell, \dots, u_{s-1}^\ell$  is a path of length  $s - 1$  in  $G$ . Next, we define the set  $U := \bigcup_{\ell \in [|W|], i \in [s-1]} u_i^\ell$ . In the following, we show that  $Z := P \cup W \cup U$  induces an  $s$ -club.

First, observe that all vertices in  $P$  have distance at most 2 to each other since they have the common neighbor  $u_{s-1}^1$ . Second, note that the vertices  $w_\ell$ ,

$u_1^\ell, \dots, u_{s-1}^\ell, p, u_{s-1}^j, \dots, u_1^j, w_j$  form a  $C_{2s+1}$  for each  $p \in P$  and each two indices  $j, \ell \in [|W|]$ . Hence,  $Z$  is indeed an  $s$ -club.  $\square$

Recall that Lemma 10 showed that if  $(G, W, k)$  is no trivial yes-instance, the number of vertices with distance *at most*  $s - 1$  to  $W$  is bounded by  $k^2$ . Together with Lemma 13 now Theorem 12 is proven.

## 4.2 Intractable Cases

Now, we show hardness for some of the remaining cases.

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $H$  be a fixed graph. SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB is  $W[1]$ -hard parameterized by  $k$  even if  $G[W]$  is isomorphic to  $H$ , when*

- $s = 2$  and  $H$  contains at least two non-adjacent vertices, or if
- $s \geq 3$  and  $H$  contains at least two connected components.

*Hardness for  $s = 2$ .* First, we prove hardness for  $s = 2$  when  $H$  contains at least one non-edge.

**Construction 15.** Let  $(G, k)$  be an instance of CLIQUE. We construct an equivalent instance  $(G', k')$  of SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB as follows. Initially, we add a vertex set  $W$  to  $G'$  which is seeded, that is, forced to be in any solution, and add edges such that  $G'[W]$  is isomorphic to  $H$ . Since  $H$  is not a clique, there exist two vertices  $u, v \in H$  such that  $uv \notin H$ . Let  $R := W \setminus \{u, v\}$ . Next, we add two copies  $G_u$  and  $G_v$  of  $G$  to  $G'$  and we add edges to  $G'$  such that  $u$  is adjacent to each vertex in  $V(G_u)$  and such that  $v$  is adjacent to each vertex in  $V(G_v)$ . We denote with  $x_u$ , and  $x_v$  the copies of  $x$  in  $G_u$  and  $G_v$ , respectively. Next, we add the edge  $x_u x_v$  for each  $x \in V(G)$ . Furthermore, we add a new vertex  $p$  which is adjacent to each vertex in  $W$ . Next, we add a new vertex  $u^*$  which is adjacent to  $p$ , each vertex in  $V(G_u)$ , and each vertex in  $R$ . Analogously, we add a new vertex  $v^*$  which is adjacent to  $p$ , each vertex in  $V(G_v)$ , and each vertex in  $R$ . Finally, we set  $k' := 2k + |W| + 3$ .

Now, we prove the correctness of Construction 15.

**Lemma 14.** *For any graph  $H$  which is not a clique, SEEDED 2-CLUB is  $W[1]$ -hard parameterized by  $k$  if  $G'[W]$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .*

*Proof.* We prove that  $G$  contains a clique of size  $k$  if and only if  $G'$  contains a 2-club  $S$  containing  $W$  of size  $k' = 2k + |W| + 3$ .

Let  $K$  be a clique of size  $k$  in  $G$  and let  $K_u$  and  $K_v$  be the copies of  $K$  in  $G_u$  and  $G_v$ . We argue that  $S := K_u \cup K_v \cup W \cup \{u^*, v^*, p\}$  is a 2-club containing  $W$  of size at least  $k'$ . Clearly,  $|S| = k'$  and  $S$  contains  $W$ . Thus, it remains to show that  $G[S]$  is a 2-club.



- First, we show that each vertex in  $R$  has distance at most 2 to each vertex in  $S$ .  
All vertices in  $R$  have the common neighbors  $p$ ,  $u^*$ , and  $v^*$ . Furthermore,  $pu, pv \in E(G')$ ,  $u^*x_u \in E(G')$  for each vertex  $x_u \in V(G_u)$ , and  $v^*x_v \in E(G')$  for each vertex  $x_v \in V(G_v)$ . Hence, each vertex in  $R$  has distance at most 2 to any vertex in  $S$ .
- Second, we show that each vertex in  $K_u$  has distance at most 2 to each vertex in  $S \setminus R$ .  
Observe that  $\{u, u^*, x_v\} \cup K_u \subseteq N[x_u]$  for each vertex  $x_u \in K_u$ . Hence,  $x_u$  has distance at most 2 to  $p$ ,  $v$ ,  $v^*$ , each vertex in  $K_u$ , and each vertex in  $K_v$ . By symmetric arguments the statement also holds for each vertex in  $K_v$ .
- Third, we show that each pair of vertices of  $\{p, u, u^*, v, v^*\}$  has distance at most 2 to each other.  
To this end, observe that  $p, u, u^*, v$ , and  $v^*$  induce a star with four leaves.

Thus,  $S$  is indeed a 2-club.

Conversely, suppose that  $G'$  contains an 2-club  $S$  of size at least  $2k + |W| + 3$  which contains all vertices of  $W$ . Observe that we have  $N(x_u) \cap N(v) = \{x_v\}$  for each vertex  $x_u \in V(G_u)$ , and symmetrically  $N(x_v) \cap N(u) = \{x_u\}$  for each vertex  $x_v \in V(G_v)$ . Hence,  $x_u \in S$  if and only if  $x_v \in S$ . Let  $K_u := S \cap V(G_u)$ . By definition of  $k'$  we obtain that  $|K_u| \geq k$ . Assume towards a contradiction that  $K_u$  contains a pair of nonadjacent vertices  $x_u$  and  $y_u$ .

By the argumentation above we obtain  $x_v \in S$ . Now, observe that  $N(x_u) = \{u, u^*, x_v\} \cup \bigcup_{z: xz \in E(G)} \{z_u\}$  and that  $N(y_v) = \{v, v^*, y_u\} \cup \bigcup_{z: yz \in E(G)} \{z_v\}$ . Since  $xy \notin E(G)$  we thus obtain  $N(x_u) \cap N(y_v) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $G$  contains a clique of size  $k$ .

*Hardness for seeds with at least two connected components and  $s \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* We prove that  $G$  contains a clique of size  $k$  if and only if  $G'$  contains a  $W$ -seeded  $s$ -club of size at least  $k' = sk + |W| + s - 1$ .

Let  $K$  be a clique of size  $k$  in  $G$ . Furthermore, we denote by  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  the copies of  $K$  in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , respectively. We show that  $S := W \cup P \cup K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \bigcup_{x \in K} Q_x$  is a  $W$ -seeded  $s$ -club of size at least  $k'$ . Clearly,  $|S| = k'$  and  $S$  contains  $W$ . Thus, it remains to verify that  $G[S]$  is an  $s$ -club. Note that since each vertex in  $V(G_1)$  is adjacent to each vertex in  $D_1$ , each two vertices in  $D_1$  have distance at most 2, and similar each two vertices in  $V(G_1)$  have distance at most 2. Analogously, we can show that each two vertices in  $D_2$  and each two vertices in  $V(G_2)$  have distance at most 2. Furthermore, the vertices  $(x, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{s-1}, y, u_2, q_{s-2}^u, \dots, q_1^u, u_1)$  for each vertex  $x \in D_1$ , each vertex  $y \in D_2$ , and each vertex  $u \in K$  form a  $C_{2s+1}$ . Observe that also the vertices  $(u_1, q_1^u, \dots, q_{s-2}^u, u_2, v_2, q_{s-2}^v, \dots, q_1^v, v_1)$  form a  $C_{2s}$  for each two vertices  $u, v \in K$ . Hence,  $S$  is indeed an  $s$ -club.

Conversely, suppose that  $G'$  contains a  $W$ -seeded  $s$ -club  $S$  of size at least  $k'$ . Let  $Q'_v := \{v_1, q_1^v, \dots, q_{s-2}^v, v_2\}$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ . We show that  $Q_v \cap S \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $Q_v \subseteq S$ . Assume towards a contradiction that  $Q'_v \cap S \neq \emptyset$  for some  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $Q'_v \not\subseteq S$ . If  $v_1, v_2 \notin S$ , then no vertex in  $S \cap Q'_v$

is connected with any vertex in  $S \setminus Q'_v$ . Hence, we can assume without loss of generality that  $v_1 \in S$ . Note that  $N(D_2) = V(G_2) \cup \{p_{s-1}\}$ . Furthermore, observe that  $\text{dist}(v_1, p_{s-1}) = s$ , that  $\text{dist}(v_1, q_{s-2}^v) = s-2$ , and that  $\text{dist}(v_1, q_{s-2}^u) \geq s-1$  for each  $u \in V(G) \setminus \{v\}$ . Thus, the unique path of length at most  $s$  from  $v_1$  to  $D_2$  contains all vertices in  $Q'_v$ . Hence,  $Q'_v \cap S \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $Q'_v \subseteq S$ . By the definition of  $k'$  we may thus conclude that  $Q'_v \subseteq S$  for at least  $k$  vertices  $v \in V(G)$ .

Assume towards a contradiction that  $Q'_u \subseteq S$  and  $Q'_v \subseteq S$  such that  $uv \notin E(G)$ . We consider the vertices  $v_1$  and  $u_2$ . Recall that a path from  $v_1$  to  $u_2$  containing any vertex  $p_i$  has length at least  $s+1$ . Hence, each shortest path from  $v_1$  to  $u_2$  contains the vertex set of  $Q'_w$  for some  $w \in V(G)$ . Since the path induced by each  $Q'_w$  has length  $s-1$ , we conclude that  $w = u$  or  $w = v$ . But since  $uv \notin E(G)$  we have by construction that  $u_1v_1 \notin E(G')$  and that  $u_2v_2 \notin E(G')$ . Hence,  $\text{dist}(v_1, u_2) \geq s+1$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $S$  is an  $s$ -club. Thus,  $\{v \mid Q'_v \subseteq S\}$  is a clique of size at least  $k$  in  $G$ .  $\square$

## 5 Conclusion

For SEEDED  $s$ -CLUB we were not able to provide a dichotomy for  $k$ . More precisely, we could not resolve the complexity for  $s \geq 3$  if the seed is connected and contains at least one non-edge. To show hardness, it would thus be sufficient to provide a reduction if the seed contains at least one  $P_3$ . For future work, it seems interesting to study the complexity of the considered variants of  $s$ -CLUB with respect to further parameters, for example with respect to structural parameters of the input graph  $G$  such as the treewidth of  $G$ . Additionally, the parameterized complexity of further robust variants of  $s$ -CLUB such as  $t$ -HEREDITARY  $s$ -CLUB [16,14] with respect to  $k$  remains open. From a practical perspective, we plan to implement combinatorial algorithms for all three problem variants for the most important special case  $s = 2$ . Based on experience with previous implementations for 2-CLUB [10] and some of its robust variants [14] we are optimistic that these problems can be solved efficiently on sparse real-world instances.

## References

1. Almeida, M.T., Brás, R.: The maximum  $l$ -triangle  $k$ -club problem: Complexity, properties, and algorithms. *Computers & Operations Research* **111**, 258–270 (2019)
2. Balasundaram, B., Butenko, S., Trukhanov, S.: Novel approaches for analyzing biological networks. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization* **10**(1), 23–39 (2005)
3. Bodlaender, H.L., Downey, R.G., Fellows, M.R., Hermelin, D.: On problems without polynomial kernels. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **75**(8), 423–434 (2009)
4. Bourjolly, J., Laporte, G., Pesant, G.: An exact algorithm for the maximum  $k$ -club problem in an undirected graph. *European Journal of Operational Research* **138**(1), 21–28 (2002)
5. Carvalho, F.D., Almeida, M.T.: The triangle  $k$ -club problem. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization* **33**(3), 814–846 (2017)

6. Chang, M., Hung, L., Lin, C., Su, P.: Finding large  $k$ -clubs in undirected graphs. *Computing* **95**(9), 739–758 (2013)
7. Cygan, M., Fomin, F.V., Kowalik, L., Lokshtanov, D., Marx, D., Pilipczuk, M., Pilipczuk, M., Saurabh, S.: *Parameterized Algorithms*. Springer (2015)
8. Downey, R.G., Fellows, M.R.: *Fundamentals of Parameterized Complexity*. Texts in Computer Science, Springer (2013)
9. Golovach, P.A., Heggernes, P., Kratsch, D., Rafiey, A.: Finding clubs in graph classes. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **174**, 57–65 (2014)
10. Hartung, S., Komusiewicz, C., Nichterlein, A.: Parameterized algorithmics and computational experiments for finding 2-clubs. *Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications* **19**(1), 155–190 (2015)
11. Hartung, S., Komusiewicz, C., Nichterlein, A., Suchý, O.: On structural parameterizations for the 2-club problem. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **185**, 79–92 (2015)
12. Kanawati, R.: Seed-centric approaches for community detection in complex networks. In: *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Social Computing and Social Media (SCSM '14)*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 8531, pp. 197–208. Springer (2014)
13. Komusiewicz, C.: Multivariate algorithmics for finding cohesive subnetworks. *Algorithms* **9**(1), 21 (2016)
14. Komusiewicz, C., Nichterlein, A., Niedermeier, R., Picker, M.: Exact algorithms for finding well-connected 2-clubs in sparse real-world graphs: Theory and experiments. *European Journal of Operational Research* **275**(3), 846–864 (2019)
15. Mokken, R.J., et al.: Cliques, clubs and clans. *Quality & Quantity* **13**(2), 161–173 (1979)
16. Pattillo, J., Youssef, N., Butenko, S.: On clique relaxation models in network analysis. *European Journal of Operational Research* **226**(1), 9–18 (2013)
17. Schäfer, A., Komusiewicz, C., Moser, H., Niedermeier, R.: Parameterized computational complexity of finding small-diameter subgraphs. *Optimization Letters* **6**(5), 883–891 (2012)
18. Veremyev, A., Boginski, V.: Identifying large robust network clusters via new compact formulations of maximum  $k$ -club problems. *European Journal of Operational Research* **218**(2), 316–326 (2012)
19. Whang, J.J., Gleich, D.F., Dhillon, I.S.: Overlapping community detection using seed set expansion. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM '13)*. pp. 2099–2108. ACM (2013)
20. Yezerska, O., Pajouh, F.M., Butenko, S.: On biconnected and fragile subgraphs of low diameter. *European Journal of Operational Research* **263**(2), 390–400 (2017)