Abstract

There exists a surface of a convex polyhedron P and a partition L of P into geodesic convex polygons such that there are no connected "edge" unfoldings of P without self-intersections (whose spanning tree is a subset of the edge skeleton of L).

Introduction

There is a conjecture: every convex polyhedron has an edge net. This conjecture was made independently by several people. The earliest reference to nets was made by Dürer [2].

This conjecture can be generalized: let us define a 1-skeleton G on the surface of a polyhedron. This 1-skeleton divides the polyhedron into geodesic polygons, which are convex in terms of the intrinsic metric. Does there exist an unfolding of the polyhedron, whose spanning tree lies inside G?

In this paper we construct a counterexample to this question.

Similar question about geodesically triangulated 1-skeletons was asked by Jean-Mark Schlenker.

It appears that for this question similar counterexample also can be constructed. Outline of the proof:

1. Criterion for unfoldability of a very flat polyhedron.

Here we will give a necessary condition for the existence of an unfolding. This condition is defined on the plane in terms of the weighted center of subtree vertices with respect to their corresponding root edges.

Sufficient conditions can also be formulated in these terms.

2. Construction a spiral-like gadget.

Here we will show a graph T with some special properties. In particular, the thin polyhedron corresponding to this graph does not have an unfoldable net.

3. Construction of a counterexample.

Here we will show a counterexample (in which additional vertices with no curvature are used).

- 4. Proof that the counterexample does not have an unfolding.
- 5. Proof of the main theorem and construction of a counterexample without additional zero-curvature vertices.
- 6. Most simple counterexample.

Definitions

Following A.D. Alexandrov, let us adopt the convention that a convex polyhedron and its surface mean the same thing.

An unfolding is a set of polygons with a rule for gluing the boundaries.

Definition 1. The curvature curv(v) of a vertex v is the difference between 2π and the sum of planar angles adjacent to this vertex.

Definition 2. An *infinitesimally curved polyhedron* is a convex polygon P on the plane T together with internal points $a_i \in int(P)$ and weights $\alpha_i \ (\alpha_i \ge 0, \sum_i \alpha_i = 1);$

Definition 3. For any infinitesimally curved polyhedron P and $0 < \beta < 2\pi$, there exists a polyhedron P_{β} with the following properties:

1. the projection of P_{β} on the plane T is P;

- 2. points a_i are projections of vertices v_i of P_β on the plane T;
- 3. Curvature $curv(v_i) = \alpha_i * \beta;$

This polyhedron P_{β} is called a *hat*. The existence of a hat follows from Alexandrov's theorem (Theorem 20, Page 117 [1]):

Theorem For any set of points A_1, \ldots, A_m on the plane T and any set of numbers $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$, there exists an infinite convex polyhedron Q with the following properties: 1. Points A_1, \ldots, A_m are projections of the vertices of Q;

2. $\underline{0} < \omega_i < 2\pi$ for all i;

3. $\sum \omega_i \leq 2\pi$ for all *i*.

By Alexandrov's theorem there exists an infinite polyhedron Q satisfying these necessary conditions.

Let us cut a part of the polyhedron Q above P; this part is a hat P_{β} .

Note that we can construct P_{β} so that it depends continuously on β . If follows from Alexandrov's lemm (Lemm 3, Page 192 [1]).

Definition 4. Let L be any partition of the polygon P into convex polygons P_i , such that the vertices of L are the vertices of P, that is, points b_i and a_i . Denote by E(L) the 1-skeleton of partition L.

Note, that the patition L does not have any correspondence with the faces of $P\beta$.

Definition 5. Any graph G on the polygon P has a natural corresponding graph G_{β} on the polyhedron P_{β} satisfying the following properties:

1. The vertices of G_{β} project on the vertices of G.

2. Every edge of graph G corresponds to a shortest path between the corresponding vertices of G_{β} .

Definition 6. A subgraph G of E(L) is called a *cut* if the following conditions hold: (i) G contains all points with positive weights;

(ii) $G \cup \partial P$ is connected.

Definition 7. An edge e of a cut G is called an A-edge if $(G \cup \partial P) \setminus e$ is disconnected, and a B-edge otherwise.

Note that, if a graph $G \cup \partial P$ contains only 2-faces, then G does not contain B-edges.

Definition 8. A vertex a_1 is called *upstream* to a vertex a_2 ($a_1 > a_2$) if any path connecting a_1 with ∂P passes through a_2 . Similarly, we define the upstream partial order on A-edges.

Definition 9. A *flow* of the point x on an A-edge is the vector $\sum_{a_i>x} \alpha_i a_i x$. Another way to define the flow is: let a point c_x be $\sum_{a_i>x} \alpha_i a_i$ (the weighted barycenter), then the flow is equal to

$$p_x = \alpha_x c_x x,\tag{1}$$

where $\alpha_x = \sum_{a_i > x} \alpha_i$.

Definition 10. A cut G is called *l*-stable if for any A-edge $e \in G$, point $x \in e$, and vector h of length less than l,

$$(p_x + \alpha_x h, \overline{e}) < 0. \tag{2}$$

We assume that edge \overline{e} is directed from the upper to the lower vertex.

A cut G is called *cuttable* if it is not 0-stable.

Definition 8. A partition L is called l-stable, if any cut G without B-edges is l-stable.

Any 0-stable partition L is l-stable for some l > 0. Since the number of possible cuts of G is finite, inequality (2) is strict.

Necessary condition for the existence an unfolding of a very thin polyhedron

Proposition 1. For any $\varepsilon < 1/2$ there exists a small $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $\beta < \delta$, the unfolding R_G of the polyhedron P_β can be combined with the polygon P so that:

1. The distance $|xx'| < \varepsilon$, for any point $x \in P$ and any its image $x' \in R_G$;

2. For any segment $xy \in P$ and its connected image x'y', $|xy - x'y'| < \varepsilon |xy|$.

3. The dissection L_{β} (corresponding to L) of the polyhedron p_{β} dissects this polyhedron into convex (in the intrinsic sense) geodesic polygons.

When $\beta = 0$, the unfolding r_g coincides with p. Since r_g continuously depends on β , all conditions of the above Proposition hold.

Lemma 1. Let r_g be an unfolding combined with a polygon p as in the previous Proposition.

If β is small enough $(\beta < \sqrt{1/5d})$, then for any point $x \in g$, we have that the vector connecting x'_l and x'_r equals

$$\overline{x'_l, x'_r} = \beta M_{rot} \cdot \tilde{c}'_x x, \tag{3}$$

where $c'_x = \sum_{a_i > x} \alpha_i a'_i$ (here point a'_i is any image of a_i), \tilde{c}'_x is a point such that $|c'_x \tilde{c}'_x| < 4\varepsilon$, and M_{rot} is a $\pi/2$ -counterclockwise rotation matrix.

Proof.

1. Let s be a closed curve on P, which intersects G only at a point x, contains the inside upstream part $G_x = \{y \in G | y > x\}$, and touches G at all vertices $a_i > x$. Let f(s) be the image of the curve s on the polyhedron P_β , and let g(f(s)) be the connected image of f(s) on R_G .

Let x'_l and x'_r be the endpoints of the curve g(f(s)). The outer semi-neighborhood of the curve s has a unique unfolding.

2. Let us replace G_x in the spanning tree of G by curves, which connect each vertex v_i and x without intersecting each other and curve s. Denote by R' the unfolding corresponding to this constructed curved spanning tree G' (this unfolding is not an "edge" unfolding with respect to E(L)).

Every vertex v_i has a unique image in the unfolding R', which coincides with one of the images of this vertex in R_G (namely the one touched by f(s)).

3. Consider a cut part of G' connecting points v_i and x. There are two images of x corresponding to this cut: $x'_{i,l}$ and $x'_{i,r}$. Vector $\overline{x'_{i,l}x'_{i,r}}$ is equal to $M_{rot} \cdot \overline{w'_i x'_{i,c}} 2\sin(\beta_i/2)$, where $x'_{i,c}$ is the middle point of the segment $x'_{i,l}x'_{i,r}$. The distance between $x'_{i,c}$ and x is less than ε .

Denote by w_i'' the point such that $w_i'' x_{i,c}' = w_i' x_{i,c}' \frac{2\sin(\beta_i/2)}{\beta_i}$. Then $|w_i'' w_i'| < d\frac{\beta_i^3}{24} < \beta$ if $\beta \le \varepsilon < \sqrt{1/5d}$.

Let \tilde{w}_i be given by the formula $\tilde{w}_i = w_i'' + \overline{x_{i,c}x}$.

Then $\overline{x'_{i,l}, x'_{i,r}} = M_{rot} \cdot \overline{w'_i x'_{i,c}} 2sin(\beta_i/2) = M_{rot} \cdot \overline{w''_i x'_{i,c}} \beta_i = \beta_i M_{rot} \cdot \overline{\tilde{w}_i x}$ and $|\tilde{w}w'_i| < \varepsilon + \beta \le 2\varepsilon$.

We get $\overline{x'_l x'_r} = \sum_{a_i > x} \overline{x'_{i,l} x'_{i,r}} = \sum_{a_i > x} \beta_i \tilde{w}'_i x \cdot M = \beta_x M_{rot} \cdot \tilde{c}'_x x \ (\beta_x = \beta \cdot \alpha_x)$ We obtain that unfolding R' satisfies $|\tilde{c}'_x - c'_x| < 2\varepsilon$.

4. Let us return to the unfolding R_G . The point c'_x is the mass center of the fixed images of the vertices. If we take another image for every vertex, the mass center will move by no more than 2ε .

Thus, for the unfolding R_G , we have $|\tilde{c}'_x - c'_x| < 4\varepsilon$.

Theorem 1. For any *l*-stable partition *L*, there exists an ε such that, for the polyhedron P_{β} with curvature $\beta < \varepsilon$, there are no non-intersecting "edge" unfoldings.

1. Let A be a sufficiently small number, such that the disk with radius A and center a_i intersects the skeleton E(L) only at the edges incident to the point a_i . Let γ be a minimal angle between adjacent edges of the skeleton E(L).

Let G be a cut of L. Let R_G be an unfolding corresponding to the cut G combined with the polygon P as in Proposition 1. 2. If the cut G is stable, then there exists an edge $a_i a_j \in G$, $a_i > a_j$, which satisfies the stability condition (2), $(p_{a_i}, a_i a_j) < 0$. Let us take a point a on the edge $a_i a_j$, such that the distance between a_i and this point is $r_1 = \min(A/2, l/2)$. Since $p_{a_i} = \beta_a \overline{c_a a_i} = \beta_a (\overline{c_a a} - \overline{a_i a}) = p_a - \beta_a \overline{a_i a}$, for any h satisfying |h| < l/2 we have

$$(p_a + \beta_a h, a_i a_j) < 0. \tag{4}$$

3. Denote by b the point $a + M_{rot} \cdot p_a$.

Denote by P_L , P_R two polygons of the partition L adjacent to the edge $a_i a_j$, respectively to the left and to the right of the downstream direction.

Let D be a disk with the center b and radius $r_D = \beta_a \frac{l}{2}$.

If β is small enough $(\beta_a \leq \beta < \frac{A}{2(d+l)})$, then we have $a_i a + ab + r_D = r_1 + |p_x| + r_D \leq A/2 + \beta_a d + \beta_a \frac{l}{2} < A$. If $\beta_a < \beta < \frac{r_1 \sin^{-1}(\gamma)}{d+l/2}$, then for any point $x \in D \ \angle aa_i x < \gamma$.

Therefore, if β is small enough, then the disk D lays inside $P_L \cup P_R$. By (4), the disk D does not intersect with the edge $a_i a_j$. Hence, $D \subset int(P_L)$.

- 4. Taking into account Proposition 2, we obtain $|\overline{a'_l b'} \overline{ab}| < \varepsilon ab = \varepsilon |p_a|$.
- 5. Hence, $|\overline{a'_r b'}| = |\overline{a'_l a'_r} \overline{a'_l b'}| < |a'_l a'_r ab| + \varepsilon |p_a| = \beta_a |\overline{\tilde{c}_a a} \overline{c_a a}| + \varepsilon \beta_a |c_a a| \le \beta_a (4\varepsilon + \varepsilon |c_a a|) < \beta_a \varepsilon (d+4).$

Using $\beta < \frac{l}{2(d+4)}$, we obtain that $|a'_r b'| < r_D$.

Thus, if β is small enough, the point a'_r lays inside the image of the disk D in the unfolding, i.e. an intersection has occurred.

Construction of the gadget

For a fixed vertex a of the cut G, rule (2) defines a half-plane, where the downstream edge from a should point. There exists at least one such edge, because L is a convex partition. But this rule allows this edge not to be unique. Indeed, one can construct special cases, for which such continuation is almost unique.

Here we construct a partition, for which any cuttable cut is defined unambiguously, given a starting point.

Let O be the vertex with coordinates (0,0) and weight 1. For some fixed parameters $q_1 \in R$, $q_1 > 1$, $N, N_2 \in N$, let A_i be the point with coordinates $(r_i cos(\phi_i), r_i sin(\phi_i))$, where $r_i = e^{q_1 i/N}$; $\phi_i = \frac{2\pi i}{N}$, $1 \le i \le N_2$.

Let k be an integer number such that $\angle OA_iA_{i+k} < \pi/2$ and $\cot(\angle OA_iA_{i+k}) < q_1$. This k exists if N is large enough.

For any *i*, construct an edge between points A_i and A_{i+1} . For i < k, construct an edge between points O and A_i . For $i \leq N_2 - k$, construct an edge between points A_i and A_{i+k} .

The constructed set of edges is a partition L_{spiral} of the convex hull P_{spiral} of the points A_i .

Thus, any cuttable cut of this partition contains the polyline $A_k, A_{k+1}, \ldots, A_{N_3}$, where N_3 is the minimal index of the point A_i on the boundary of the convex hull P_{spiral} .

Such unambiguous continuation is the main idea in the construction of our counterexample.



Figure 1: The gadget T and central part of T (square).

Let us show a gadget T (see figures 1,2,3). One can download the list of its points and vertices from the webpage http://dcs.isa.ru/taras/durer and explore it in detail.

This gadget contains two points c_1, c_2 with weights 1/2 which are centers of two inner spirals. The weights of all other vertices are equal to 0.

Denote by G_A the graph consisting of the A-edges of the cut G. Similarly, define graph G_B .

 $G_1, G_2, G_{1,2}$ that do not share edges. and G_2 . $G_1 = \{ e \in G | v_1 > e \text{ and not } (v_2 > e) \},\$ $G_2 = \{e \in G | v_2 > e \text{ and not } (v_1 > e)\}, G_1 = \{e \in G | v_1 > e \text{ and } v_2 > e\}.$

 $G_A = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_{1,2}.$

We adopt the convention that a graph containing an edge also contains its vertices.

The gadget T is constructed so that the following conditions hold:

All polygons of the partition L(T) are convex. For all points v adjacent to the point $c_{i,j}$ (j < 91), except the point $c_{i,j+1}$, the angle $\angle c_i c_{i,j} v$



Also, divide G_A into 3 graphs Figure 2: More zoom with possible places of G_1

is acute.

For all points v adjacent to the point e_i , except the point h_i , the angle $\angle c_i e_i v$ is acute.

For all points v adjacent to the point h_i , except the point h_{3-i} , the angle $\angle c_i h_i v$ is acute.

For all points v adjacent to the point h_{3-i} , except the point f_{3-i} , the angle $\angle c_i h_{3-i} v$ is acute. For all points v adjacent to the point f_{3-i} , except the point g_{3-i} , the angle $\angle c_i f_{3-i} v$ is acute. For all points v adjacent to the point g_{3-i} , except the point m_{3-i} , the angle $\angle c_i g_{3-i} v$ is acute.

For all points v adjacent to the point m_{3-i} , except the point $c_{1,81}$, the angle $\angle c_i m_{3-i} v$ is acute.

For all points v adjacent to the point $c_{i,91}$, except the point $c_{3-i,139}$, the angle $\angle c_i c_{i,91} v$ is acute.

For all points v adjacent to the point h_i , except the point f_i , the angle $\angle oh_i v$ is acute.

For all points v adjacent to the point f_i , except the point $c_{i,80}(i_i)$, the angle $\angle oh_i v$ is acute. For all points v adjacent to the point $c_{i,80}(i_i)$, except the point $c_{i,10}$, the angle $\angle c_i c_{i,80} v$ is acute.

For all points v adjacent to the point $c_{1,91}$, except the point o_{21} , the angle $\angle c_1 c_{1,91} v$ is acute.

For all points v adjacent to the point $c_{2,91}$, except the point o_{63} , the angle $\angle c_2 c_{2,91} v$ is acute. For all points v adjacent to the point o_j ($20 \le j \le 121$), except the point o_{j+1} , the angles $\angle c_1 o_j v$, $\angle c_2 o_j v$, $\angle oc_j v$ are acute (point o is the middle of the segment $h_1 h_2$).

For all points v adjacent to the point o_{121} , except the point t_2 , the angles $\angle c_1 o_{121} v$, $\angle c_2 o_{121} v$, $\angle o_{121} v$ are acute.

For all points v adjacent to the point t_2 , except the point u_2 , the angles $\angle c_1 t_2 v$, $\angle c_2 t_2 v$, $\angle ot_2 v$ are acute.

These conditions were verified by a simple computer program and can be confirmed manually as well.



Figure 3: Even more zoom.

Lemma 2. The gadget T satisfies the following conditions:

- 1. The graphs G_1 and G_2 do not intersect with ∂T .
- 2. If the graph G_{12} is not empty, then $G_{12} \cap G_B \neq \emptyset$. Proof.
 - 1. If the graph G_B does not have cycles, then G_1 and G_2 are connected graphs.

Let see how G_1 or G_2 can intersect ∂T . Using the properties of the T and condition (2), we can define the edges of G_1 and G_2 as follows (see picture, red and green colors):

Graph G_1 starts from the point c_1 , goes to the spiral on any possible edge, then goes on spiral to the point e_1 (last point of the spiral). Further the G_1 goes on the points h_1, h_2, f_2, g_2 . Further the G_1 goes to the border of square, then goes on the outside spiral to the border of the T.

Similarly, G_2 starts from the point c_2 , goes on right spiral, points c_2 , h_1 , h_1 , f_1 , g_1 , border of the square, outside spiral.

If G_1 intersects with ∂T , then it goes through the outer spiral in such a way that c_2 can not be connected with ∂T without intersecting G_1 .

Similarly, the graph G_2 does not intersect with ∂T .

2. If the graph $G_{1,2}$ is not empty and $G_{1,2} \cap G_B = \emptyset$, then this polyline can start only from the points h_1 or h_2 , since these points are the only possible common points of G_1 and G_2 . Starting from point h_1 , graph $G_{1,2}$ is continued in the unique way h_1, f_1, i_1 , and after that it intersects with G_2 . As above, if $G_{1,2}$ starts from h_2 , it must pass through h_2, f_2, i_2 and intersect with G_1 .

Thus, $G_{1,2}$ can not intersect with ∂T without intersecting G_B .

The following Lemma follows from the previous one. Lemma 3. The gadget T is stable. Indeed, for any cuttable graph $G, G_B \neq \emptyset$. Thus T is stable.

Construction of the counterexample

Definition 11.

Consider the surface Q of a convex polyhedron. Let a_1, a_2 be two vertices satisfying $curv(a_1) + curv(a_2) < 2\pi$.

Take a geodesic a_1a_2 . Cut the surface Q by this geodesic. Take the double triangle $a'_1a'_2a'$ with $a'_1a'_2 = a_1a_2$; $\angle a'a'_1a'_2 = curv(a_1)/2$; $\angle a'a'_2a'_1 = curv(a_2)/2$. Cut this triangle by $a'_1a'_2$. Glue the triangle and the surface by the lines of the cut, so that the new glued surface Q' is homeomorphic to sphere.

The surface Q' satisfies the conditions of Alexandrov's Theorem, whence Q' is the surface of a convex polyhedron. This polyhedron contains one vertex v with curvature $curv(v) = curv(a_1) + curv(a_2)$ instead of the two vertices a_1, a_2 .

This procedure is called *cone-shaping*.

By Theorem 1, for the gadget T, there exists an ε such that the hat T_{β} is not cuttable for $\beta < \varepsilon$.

Consider a convex polyhedron Q in which the minimal distance between vertices is at least 2diameter(T) and the curvature of any vertex is less than ε .

Such polyhedron exists: for example, the fulleren of high order or the doubled right N-gon, where $N > \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}$, satisfies this condition.

For any vertex of the polyhedron Q, apply the following procedure: cut the vertex with a geodesic triangle, and glue in its place the hat T_v with curvature curv(v).

Denote this surface by P.

Proposition 2. This construction is correct, i.e. the gluing can be made isometrically, and every point of the gluing has a zero curvature.

Indeed, let T'_v be a cone-shaped hat T_v .

The hat T'_v has one vertex with curvature curv(v). So, T'_v is isometric to a fragment of the surface of Q around the vertex v. Denote this fragment by T''_v . The hat T_v and the coneshaped hat T'_v have isometric neighborhoods of the border. Therefore, fragments T_v and T''_v also have isometric neighborhoods of the border. Thus, we can change the T''_v into the T_v subject to the conditions of the Proposition.

By the Alexandrov's Theorem, the surface P is a surface of some convex polyhedron. This is our counterexample. The partition L(P) is the following: for every fragment T_v , the partition is already defined by the original partition L. Outside of all fragments T_v , L(P) can be defined in arbitrary way.



Figure 4: Fulleren

Proof of the main theorem

Theorem 2. There exists a surface of a convex polyhedron P and a partition L of P into geodesic convex polygons, such that there are no connected "edge" unfoldings of P without self-intersections (whose spanning tree is a subset of the edge skeleton of L).

Let G be a spanning tree of the polyhedron P such that $G \subset L(P)$ and the corresponding unfolding does not have any intersections. Denote by G' the graph consisting of edges $e \in G$, which divide G into two parts G_1, G_2 , where each part contains vertices of nonzero curvature. The graph G' is a "real spanning tree", because P has vertices with zero curvature.

Consider a fragment T_v . Denote by H_v the cut of the gadget T corresponding to $G' \cap T_v$. By Lemma 3, H_v has a nonempty subgraph $H_{v,B}$ (which consists of *B*-edges of H_v).

Therefore, H_v is connected to $G \setminus H_v$ by at least two points. By Lemma 2, the graph H_v can be disconnected only if $H_{v,B}$ is disconnected. However, since gadget T has only 3 vertices, one of the arc components of $H_{v,B}$ contains a cycle. This contradicts that the fact that G is a graph. Thus, H_v is a connected graph.

Denote by G'' the graph G', in which any fragment H_v is replaced by single vertex. This graph G'' has a cycle, because the index of any vertex is at least 2.

Since any H_v is connected, G' also contains a cycle.

Q.e.d.

Note that there exists a polyhedron P' without zero-curvature vertices, combinatorially equivalent and arbitrary near to P.

The number of subtrees of L(P) is finite, so there exists P' without zero-curvature vertices that any "edge" unfolding of P' has self-intersections as similar unfolding in P'.

So, P' is also a counterexample.

Most simple counterexample

Let take polyhedron P with skeleton dual to the Tutte graph G_T [3] (this graph does not have the Hamiltonian cycle). Such polyhedro exists by Steinitz-Rademaher theorem [4].

Let polyhedron P^* be polar to the P with the center of polar transformation arbitrary near to the center of some edge of the P.

So P^* has two vertices v_1, v_2 with the sum of the curvatures near to 4π . And the total curvature of all other vertices is arbitrary small.

Now replace any vertex with small curvature into gadget T. We got polyhedron P_{needle} . Skeleton outside gadgets should be similar to the G_T . Repeat previous procedure: let G any spanning tree of P_{needle} . Replace any part inside of H_v into point. New graph G' can have index 1 only in vertices v_1, v_2 . So if G' does no have cycles, than G' is a Hamiltonian path from v_1 to v_2 . But it's impossible because G' is a subgraph of G_T and G_T contains the edge v_1v_2 .

 P_{needle} contains 43 gadgets and 2 + 43 * 479 = 20599 vertices.

Bibliography

1. A. D. Alexandov, *Convex polyhedra*, Moscow, (in russian) (1949).

2. Albrecht Dürer. The Painter's Manual: A Manual of Measurement of Lines, Areas, and Solids my Mean of Compass and Rules assembled by Albrecht Dürer for the Use of All Lovers of Art with Appropriate Illustrations Arranged and Printed in the Year MDXXV. Abaris Books, Inc.

3. Tutte, W. T. On Hamiltonian Circuits., J. London Math. Soc. 21, 98-101, 1946.

4. Steinitz E. and H. Rademacher, Vorlesungen über die Theorie der Polyeder., Springer, Berlin, 1934.