
Supplementary Material: A Dynamic Edge Exchangeable Model for Sparse Temporal Networks

Yin Cheng Ng
y.ng.12@ucl.ac.uk
Statistical Science
University College London

Ricardo Silva
ricardo.silva@ucl.ac.uk
Statistical Science
University College London

Abstract

The contents of this document supplement the material presented in the main paper.

A Variational Inference

We derive the ELBO and the relevant update equations for the proposed dynamic network model in this section. The derived algorithm was implemented using TensorFlow [1].

A.1 Model Joint Distribution

We derive the proposed model's joint distribution for a temporal network data set $\{G^{(t)}\}_{t=1}^T$ and assume M communities in the model.

Each undirected network $G^{(t)} = (V^{(t)}, E^{(t)})$ consists of $|V^{(t)}| = Q^{(t)}$ vertices $V^{(t)} = \{1, \dots, Q^{(t)}\}$ and $|E^{(t)}| = N^{(t)}$ edges $E^{(t)} = \{e_1^{(t)}, \dots, e_{N^{(t)}}^{(t)}\}$ where $e_i^{(t)} = (v_i^{(t)}, v_i'^{(t)})$ and $v_i^{(t)}, v_i'^{(t)} \in V^{(t)}$. New vertices are added to the vertex set at each time step as they participate in at least one observed edge. Therefore, $V^{(t-1)} \subset V^{(t)}$ and $V^{(0)} = \{\}$.

We introduce the following latent variables to model the network temporal dependency, and the dependency within each network.

- $c_i^{(t)}$: edge community type indicator for edge $e_i^{(t)}$.
- $\mathbf{k}^{(t)}$: $\mathbf{R}^{M \times 1}$ latent vector parameterizing the multivariate logistic normal distributions where $c_i^{(t)}$ are sampled.
- $\mathbf{h}_v^{(t)}$: $\mathbf{R}^{M \times 1}$ latent state vector for vertex v first observed at τ_v . The t index enumerates from τ_v to T .
- $\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)}$: $\mathbf{R}^{M \times 1}$ latent state vector for unobserved potential new vertex z . We denote the set of unobserved potential new vertices at t as $V_z^{(t)}$.
- $L^{(t)}$: The sum of the number of unobserved potential new vertices and the newly observed vertices at t .
- $\lambda^{(t)}$: The Poisson log-rate parameter for $L^{(t)}$.

The joint probability distribution of the observed temporal networks and latent variables conditioning on model parameters $\theta = \{\mu_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda, a_\lambda, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{B}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \mathbf{A}_k, \mathbf{B}_k\}$ is as follow.

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_\theta(\{G^{(t)}\}_{t=1}^T, \{\{c_i^{(t)}\}_{i=1}^{N^{(t)}}\}_{t=1}^T, \{\mathbf{h}_v^{(\tau_v:T)}\}_{v=1}^{Q^{(T)}}, \{\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)} | z \in V_z^{(t)}\}_{t=1}^T, \{L^{(t)}\}_{t=1}^T, \{\lambda^{(t)}\}_{t=1}^T) \quad (1) \\
& = \left(\prod_{t=1}^T \prod_{i=1}^{N^{(t)}} \prod_{j \in e_i^{(t)}} P_t(v = j | c_i^{(t)}, \{\mathbf{h}_v^{(t)} | v \in V^{(t)}\}, \{\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)} | z \in V_z^{(t)}\}) P_t(c = c_i^{(t)} | \mathbf{k}^{(t)}) \right) \\
& \quad \left(\prod_{t=1}^T \prod_{z \in V_z^{(t)}} p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{B}) \left(\prod_{v \in V^{(t)}} p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_v^{(\tau_v)}) \prod_{t=\tau_v+1}^T p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_v^{(t+1)} | G^{(t)}, \{\mathbf{h}_i^{(t)} | i \in V^{(t)}\}) \right) \right) \\
& \quad (p_\theta(\mathbf{k}^{(1)}) \prod_{t=2}^T p_\theta(\mathbf{k}^{(t)} | \mathbf{k}^{(t-1)})) (P(L^{(1)} | \lambda^{(1)}) p_\theta(\lambda^{(1)}) \prod_{t=2}^T P(L^{(t)} | \lambda^{(t)}) p_\theta(\lambda^{(t)} | \lambda^{(t-1)}))
\end{aligned}$$

The probability distributions specified in Equation 1 are detailed in Section 2 of the main text.

A.2 Variational Distributions

We introduce the approximating variational distribution q_β with a set of variational parameters β for the latent variables introduced in Section A.1. The variational distributions belong to the structured mean-field exponential family, and preserve the time dependency of the latent variables.

- $q_\beta(c_i^{(t)})$: Categorical distribution with M categories and parameters $\pi_i^{(t)}$
- $q_\beta(\mathbf{k}^{(1:T)})$: M dimensional Gaussian Markov chain. We assumed the Markov chain factorizes across the M dimensions to reduce the number of variational parameters.
- $q_\beta(\mathbf{h}_v^{(\tau_v:T)})$: M dimensional Gaussian Markov chain. We assumed the Markov chain factorizes across the M dimensions to reduce the number of variational parameters.
- $q_\beta(\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)})$: This is the prior distribution $p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_v^{(\tau_v)})$ as the vertices in $V_z^{(t)}$ are never observed.
- $q_\beta(L^{(t)})$: Shifted Poisson Distribution with rate variational parameter $\eta^{(t)}$. The Poisson distribution is shifted to the right by $|V^{(t)}| - |V^{(t-1)}|$ because $L^{(t)} \geq |V^{(t)}| - |V^{(t-1)}|$ a posteriori.
- $q_\beta(\lambda^{(1:T)})$: 1 dimensional Gaussian Markov chain.

A.3 Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)

The ELBO $\mathcal{L}(\beta, \theta)$ is the variational objective function for estimating the variational parameters β and learning model parameters θ . ELBO is the sum of the expectation of log model joint distribution in Equation 1 with respect to q_β and the entropy of q_β .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\beta, \theta) = & \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(t)}} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln P_t(c_i^{(t)} | \mathbf{k}^{(t)})] + \sum_{j \in e_i^{(t)}} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln P_t(v = j | c_i^{(t)}, \{\mathbf{h}_v^{(t)} | v \in V^{(t)}\}, \{\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)} | z \in V_z^{(t)}\})] \quad (2) \\ & + \sum_{v \in V^{(T)}} \{\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_v^{(\tau_v)})] + \sum_{t=\tau_v+1}^T \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_v^{(t+1)} | G^{(t)}, \{\mathbf{h}_i^{(t)} | i \in V^{(t)}\})]\} \\ & + \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln p_\theta(\mathbf{k}^{(1)})] + \sum_{t=2}^T \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln p_\theta(\mathbf{k}^{(t)} | \mathbf{k}^{(t-1)})] + \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln p_\theta(\lambda^{(1)})] + \sum_{t=2}^T \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln p_\theta(\lambda^{(t)} | \lambda^{(t-1)})] \\ & + \sum_{t=1}^T \{\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln P(L^{(t)} | \lambda^{(t)})] + \eta^{(t)} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{B})] - \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln q_\beta]\} \end{aligned}$$

The $\eta^{(t)} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{B})]$ term in Equation 2 is contributed by the product of prior distributions for the latent vectors $\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)}$ of unobserved potential new vertices. As these vertices are never observed, their numbers are uncertain and the uncertainty is accounted for in $q_\beta(L^{(t)})$. Therefore, the expected numbers of unobserved potential new vertices are $\eta^{(1:T)}$. As we explained in Section A.2, the variational distribution $q_\beta(L^{(t)})$ is set as the prior distribution $p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{B})$. The factor $\eta^{(t)} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{B})]$ is a multiple of the negative entropy of $p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{B})$ and cancels out the corresponding entropy term in $\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln q_\beta]$.

A.4 Bounding the Logistic Normal Distributions

Computing the expected log-normalizing constants of the logistic normal distributions $P_t(c_i^{(t)} | \mathbf{k}^{(t)})$ and $P_t(v | c_i^{(t)}, \{\mathbf{h}_v^{(t)} | v \in V^{(t)}\}, \{\mathbf{h}_z^{(t)} | z \in V_z^{(t)}\})$ is intractable as $-\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln(\sum_{m=1}^M e^{k_m^{(t)}})]$ and $-\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln(\sum_{v \in V^{(t)}} e^{h_{v,m}^{(t)}} + \sum_{z \in V_z^{(t)}} e^{h_{z,m}^{(t)}})]$ cannot be analytically evaluated. We apply the bound $-\ln Z \geq -\frac{Z}{\zeta} - \ln \zeta + 1$ to linearize the log-sum-exp expression such that their expected linear approximations can be evaluated analytically [2]. The additional parameters ζ introduced by the bound are additional variational parameters that can be optimized.

Applying the bound to $\ln(\sum_{m=1}^M e^{k_m^{(t)}})$ and $\ln(\sum_{v \in V^{(t)}} e^{h_{v,m}^{(t)}} + \sum_{z \in V_z^{(t)}} e^{h_{z,m}^{(t)}})$ yields the following expressions

$$-\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln (\sum_{v \in V^{(t)}} e^{h_{v,m}^{(t)}} + \sum_{z \in V_z^{(t)}} e^{h_{z,m}^{(t)}})] \geq -\frac{1}{\zeta_m^{(t)}} (\sum_{v \in V^{(t)}} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{h_{v,m}^{(t)}}] + \eta^{(t)} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{h_{z,m}^{(t)}}]) - \ln \zeta_m^{(t)} + 1 \quad (3)$$

$$-\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln (\sum_{m=1}^M e^{k_m^{(t)}})] \geq -\frac{1}{\zeta_c^{(t)}} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{k_m^{(t)}}] - \ln \zeta_c^{(t)} + 1. \quad (4)$$

The expectations of the bounds can be evaluated analytically as $\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{h_{v,m}^{(t)}}]$, $\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{h_{z,m}^{(t)}}]$ and $\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{k_m^{(t)}}]$ are simply expectations of log-normal random variables.

The fixed point update equations for the $T + MT$ variational parameters $\{\{\zeta_m^{(t)}\}_{m=1}^M\}_{t=1}^T$ and $\{\zeta_c^{(t)}\}_{t=1}^T$ can be derived by setting the derivatives of the bounds with respect to the parameters to 0.

$$\zeta_m^{(t)} = \sum_{v \in V^{(t)}} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{h_{v,m}^{(t)}}] + \eta^{(t)} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{h_{z,m}^{(t)}}] \quad (5)$$

$$\zeta_c^{(t)} = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{k_m^{(t)}}] \quad (6)$$

The bounds are tight when the variational parameters are updated according to Equation 5 and 6.

A.5 Monte Carlo Approximations for ATTAS

Another source of intractability in ELBO is the expectations of the ATTAS conditional distributions $\mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [\ln p_\theta(\mathbf{h}_v^{(t+1)} | G^{(t)}, \{\mathbf{h}_i^{(t)} | i \in V^{(t)}\})]$. The expectations of the log conditional Gaussian distributions cannot be evaluated analytically because the conditional means are parameterized as non-linear functions of $\{\mathbf{h}_i^{(t)} | i \in V^{(t)}\}$ as described in Section 2 of the main text.

Fortunately, the Gaussian $q_\beta(\mathbf{h}_v^{(\tau_v:T)})$ allows the expectations to be approximated stochastically using unbiased Monte Carlo samples. The gradients of the parameters in $q_\beta(\mathbf{h}_v^{(\tau_v:T)})$ can also be approximated stochastically with unbiased Monte Carlo samples. The stochastic gradients computed using only a single Monte Carlo sample and the reparameterization tricks [6] worked well in our experiments.

A.6 Update Equations for Edge Type Indicators $c_i^{(t)}$

The variational parameters of $q_\beta(c_i^{(t)})$, $\boldsymbol{\pi}_i^{(t)} = [\pi_{i,1}^{(t)}, \dots, \pi_{i,M}^{(t)}]$ where $\sum_{m=1}^M \pi_{i,m}^{(t)}$, can be updated analytically by exploiting the conjugate structures in the relevant parts of $\mathcal{L}(\beta, \theta)$ and the linear log bounds in Equation 3 and 4.

Isolating the relevant terms in $\mathcal{L}(\beta, \theta)$ and applying the log-bounds to linearize the expected log-normalizing constants,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_i^{(t)}) = & \sum_{m=1}^M \pi_{i,m}^{(t)} \{ \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [h_{v_i^{(t)},m}^{(t)}] + \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [h_{v_i'^{(t)},m}^{(t)}] - \frac{2}{\zeta_m^{(t)}} (\sum_{v \in V^{(t)}} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{h_{v,m}^{(t)}}] + \eta^{(t)} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{h_{z,m}^{(t)}}]) - 2 \ln \zeta_m^{(t)} + 2 \} \\ & + \sum_{m=1}^M \pi_{i,m}^{(t)} \{ \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [k_m^{(t)}] - \frac{1}{\zeta_c^{(t)}} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{k_m^{(t)}}] - \ln \zeta_c^{(t)} + 1 \} - \sum_{m=1}^M \pi_{i,m}^{(t)} \ln \pi_{i,m}^{(t)} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Setting the derivative of Equation 7 with respect to $\pi_{i,m}^{(t)}$ to 0 results in the coordinate descent update equation

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \pi_{i,m}^{(t)} = & \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [h_{v_i^{(t)},m}^{(t)}] + \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [h_{v_i'^{(t)},m}^{(t)}] - \frac{2}{\zeta_m^{(t)}} (\sum_{v \in V^{(t)}} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{h_{v,m}^{(t)}}] + \eta^{(t)} \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{h_{z,m}^{(t)}}]) - 2 \ln \zeta_m^{(t)} + 2 \\ & + \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [k_m^{(t)}] - \frac{1}{\zeta_c^{(t)}} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbb{E}_{q_\beta} [e^{k_m^{(t)}}] - \ln \zeta_c^{(t)} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The sums over the vertices in $V^{(t)}$ in Equation 8 and 5 are the computational bottleneck of the variational inference algorithm, as they scale linearly with respect to the number of observed vertices. Fortunately, each summation only has to be computed once per iteration and applies to all $c_i^{(t)}$.

B Simulation Experiment

We simulated a temporal network with 3 time steps and 2 communities using the dynamic edge exchangeable network model with the RW state-space. Parallel edges in the simulated networks were collapsed to 1, with the ground truth edge type indicator random variable assigned through majority voting. Ties were broken by random selections.

The variational inference algorithm recovered 96% of the ground truth edge type indicators $c_i^{(t)}$ at a normalized mutual information (NMI) score of 0.75 using the variational inference algorithm. The adjacency matrices of the simulated network is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Adjacency matrices of the simulated temporal network. The red and blue edges correspond to the two classes of edges that are correctly classified with the variational algorithm. The black edges are the mis-classified edges.

C Link Prediction Experiment Model Descriptions

The following paragraphs describe the details of the models compared in the link prediction 3-fold cross-validation experiment.

ATTAS, RW The proposed dynamic network model with the ATTAS/random walk state-space. The models were trained for 50,000 iterations and given 5 random restarts per experiment. The predictive probability of seeing an edge between vertex i and j were computed using 500 Monte Carlo samples drawn from the fitted variational distributions.

dM³SB The dynamic mixture of mixed-membership stochastic blockmodel proposed in [4]. The model hyper-parameters were selected using the BIC grid search procedure proposed in [4]. The hyper-parameter grids for the number of mixture component and the number of community are [2, 3, 4, 5] and [3, 4, 5, 6] respectively. We performed 5 random restarts per configuration. The model was also modified to leave out the links in the hold-out set.

aMMSB This is the assortative MMSB proposed in [3] with Poisson likelihood. All the edges observed in the training data set were aggregated and modelled as counts. The models were trained to convergence and given 5 random restarts per experiment. The predictive probability is the probability of observing at least one edge between two vertices conditioning on the training data.

Dirichlet-Mult. The Dirichlet-multinomial distributions over edges is equivalent to an Infinite Relational Model [5] where each pair of vertices is in its own cluster. Please refer to [7] for details.

Equi-probable Equi-probable links baseline [7]. This baseline assumes the probability of observing an edge between two vertices is $\frac{1}{N \times (N-1)}$, where N is the number of vertices in the training data.

References

- [1] Martín Abadi, Ashish Agarwal, Paul Barham, Eugene Brevdo, Zhifeng Chen, Craig Citro, Greg S Corrado, Andy Davis, Jeffrey Dean, Matthieu Devin, et al. Tensorflow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous distributed systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.04467*, 2016.
- [2] David M Blei and John D Lafferty. Dynamic topic models. In *Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on Machine learning*, pages 113–120. ACM, 2006.
- [3] Prem K Gopalan, Sean Gerrish, Michael Freedman, David M Blei, and David M Mimno. Scalable inference of overlapping communities. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 2249–2257, 2012.
- [4] Qirong Ho, Le Song, and Eric P. Xing. Evolving cluster mixed-membership blockmodel for time-evolving networks. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, AISTATS 2011, Fort Lauderdale, USA, April 11-13, 2011*, pages 342–350, 2011.
- [5] Charles Kemp, Joshua B Tenenbaum, Thomas L Griffiths, Takeshi Yamada, and Naonori Ueda. Learning systems of concepts with an infinite relational model. In *AAAI*, volume 3, page 5, 2006.
- [6] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013.
- [7] Sinead A Williamson. Nonparametric network models for link prediction. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(202):1–21, 2016.