

Mean Residual Life Ageing Intensity Function

Ashutosh Singh¹, Ishapathik Das^{1*}, Asok Kumar Nanda², Sumen Sen³

¹Indian Institute of Technology Tirupati, India

²Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata, India

³Austin Peay State University, Clarksville, TN, USA

Abstract

The ageing intensity function is a powerful analytical tool that provides valuable insights into the ageing process across diverse domains such as reliability engineering, actuarial science, and healthcare. Its applications continue to expand as researchers delve deeper into understanding the complex dynamics of ageing and its implications for society. One common approach to defining the ageing intensity function is through the hazard rate or failure rate function, extensively explored in scholarly literature. Equally significant to the hazard rate function is the mean residual life function, which plays a crucial role in analyzing the ageing patterns exhibited by units or components. This article introduces the mean residual life ageing intensity (MRLAI) function to delve into component ageing behaviours across various distributions. Additionally, we scrutinize the closure properties of the MRLAI function across different reliability operations. Furthermore, a new order termed the mean residual life ageing intensity order is defined to analyze the ageing behaviour of a system, and the closure property of this order under various reliability operations is discussed.

Keywords: Ageing intensity function, Coherent systems, Mean residual life, Stochastic orders, Survival functions.

1 Introduction

In reliability theory, a pivotal concept is “ageing,” which denotes an intrinsic attribute of a unit, whether it be a living organism or a system comprising various components. The phenomenon of ageing within

*Corresponding author email: ishapathik@iittp.ac.in

items and compound structures constitutes a significant and complex subject within lifetime analysis, affecting numerous systems and their constituent parts. This phenomenon has been extensively examined in the realm of reliability theory. The characteristics of random lifetimes are typically elucidated through their respective distribution, survival, and failure rate (hazard rate) functions. In the context of lifetime analysis, ageing does not signify the advancement of a statistical unit in terms of chronological time; instead, it pertains to the behavior of residual life. Ageing, therefore, encapsulates the phenomenon whereby a unit that is chronologically older exhibits a statistically shorter residual life than a newer or chronologically younger unit. Lifetime distributions are predominantly delineated, concerning ageing, by the behavior of their hazard rate function $r_X(t)$ or their mean residual life $\mu_X(t)$.

The ageing intensity function is a relatively new concept that can be also used in lifetime analysis. Literature offers a range of ageing intensity functions utilized for assessing different facets of ageing propensity. Jiang, Ji, and Xiao [2003] [8] have observed that a unimodal failure rate can be interpreted effectively as either roughly decreasing, increasing, or remaining roughly constant. In their study, they introduced a quantitative measure known as the Ageing Intensity (AI) function, which is defined as the ratio of the failure rate to a baseline failure rate. Nanda et al. [2007] [10] studies the various properties of this ageing intensity function. Subarna Bhattacharjee et al. [2013] [2] investigated the characteristics of various generalized Weibull models and system properties concerning AI function. Their research highlights the significant and novel contribution of AI function in analyzing the ageing behavior of systems from a reliability standpoint. Magdalena Szymkowiak (2018) [12] described a method for characterizing univariate positive absolutely continuous random variables using the ageing intensity function. Again, Magdalena Szymkowiak (2018) [14] introduced and examined a set of generalized ageing intensity functions. These functions are used to define the lifetime distributions of univariate positive absolutely continuous random variables. S. M. Sunoj et al. (2018) studied a quantile-based ageing intensity function and investigated its diverse ageing characteristics. Szymkowiak (2019) [13] introduced and studied a family of generalized ageing intensity functions for univariate absolutely continuous lifetime random variables. These functions facilitate the analysis and assessment of ageing tendencies from multiple perspectives.

Sunoj et al. (2020) [11] further expand this ageing intensity function to include conditionally specified and conditional survival models. Giri et al. (2021) [6] determined the AI function for contemporary

continuous Weibull distributions. In 2021, Buono et al. [4] introduced and studied a family of generalized reversed ageing intensity functions. These functions are contingent upon a real parameter. When this parameter is positive, they distinctly characterize the distribution functions of univariate positive absolutely continuous random variables. Conversely, when the parameter is negative, they delineate families of distributions. Bhattacharjee et al. (2022) [1] examined the ageing classes by considering the monotonicity of new functions, namely the arithmetic mean, geometric mean, and harmonic mean of the AI function. Additionally, they discuss the relationships between these functions and the classical AI function. Francesco Buono (2022) [3] extended the concept of ageing intensity function to the multivariate scenario through the utilization of multivariate conditional hazard rate functions. Various properties of these functions are examined, with particular emphasis placed on the bivariate case.

In the realm of component or system ageing analysis, both the hazard rate and mean residual life function play pivotal roles as indispensable metrics. While the literature extensively covers the ageing intensity function derived from the hazard rate function, its counterpart based on the mean residual life has remained unexplored until now. This article introduces a novel concept, referred to as “Mean Residual Life Ageing Intensity (MRLAI) function”, aimed at providing a quantitative assessment of ageing properties. The manuscript delves into various properties and results associated with these new measures, shedding light on their potential implications.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the MRLAI function for various distributions is presented, the ageing class based on the MRLAI function is introduced. In Section 3, the closure properties of this ageing class are studied. In Section 4, the MRLAI ordering is defined, and its properties are discussed. The closure of the MRLAI ordering under different reliability operations is also studied.

2 Mean Residual Life Ageing Intensity (MRLAI) Function

Jiang, Ji, and Xiao (2003) [8] defined the ageing intensity function based on the failure rate function as the ratio of the failure rate function to the average of that function up to the time t . We have discussed in the previous section that both the failure rate function and mean residual life function are equally important for analyzing the ageing properties of a component. Here, we use the mean residual

life function to define the ageing intensity function and analyze the ageing properties of lifetime data.

Definition 2.1. For a non-negative random variable X , the Mean Residual Life Ageing Intensity (MRLAI) function is defined as

$$L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du}, \quad \text{for } 0 < t < \infty,$$

where $\mu_X(t)$ denotes the mean residual life, defined as the expected additional lifetime of a component given that the component has survived till time t , given by

$$\mu_X(t) = E[X - t | X > t] = E[X | X > t] - t.$$

Let $\bar{F}_X(t) = 1 - F_X(t)$ denote the reliability function. The relationship between the MRL function and the reliability function $\bar{F}_X(t)$ can be expressed as ([7])

$$\mu_X(t) = \frac{\int_t^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{\bar{F}_X(t)}.$$

Then the MRLAI function of a random variable X , can also be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} L_X^\mu(t) &= \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du}, \\ &= \frac{t \cdot \frac{1}{\bar{F}(t)} \int_t^\infty \bar{F}(u) du}{\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{\bar{F}(u)} \int_u^\infty \bar{F}(z) dz \right) du}, \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < t < \infty$. The larger the value of $L_X^\mu(t)$, the weaker is the tendency of ageing of the random variable X .

2.1 Properties of MRLAI

Here, we study some properties of MRLAI function for a non-negative random variable X .

Theorem 2.1. Let $L_X^\mu(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if the mean residual life function $\mu_X(t)$ is a constant function.

Proof. Let $L_X^\mu(t) = 1 \iff L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Leftrightarrow \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du = t \cdot \mu_X(t) \quad \forall t \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du = \frac{d}{dt}(t \cdot \mu_X(t)) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \mu_X(t) = \mu_X(t) + t \cdot \mu'_X(t) \\
&\Leftrightarrow t \cdot \mu'_X(t) = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \mu'_X(t) = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \mu_X(t) = \lambda
\end{aligned}$$

where λ is a constant.

Conversely, let $\mu_X(t) = \lambda$, then $L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{t \cdot \lambda}{t \cdot \lambda} = 1$. □

Theorem 2.2. *If $\mu_X(t)$ is an increasing function in $t \geq 0$ then $L_X^\mu(t) > 1$ but the converse is not true i.e. if $L_X^\mu(t) > 1$ then $\mu_X(t)$ may not be a increasing function in t .*

Proof. The proof is easy, and it's omitted. To show the converse part, we will give a counterexample. □

Theorem 2.3. *If $\mu_X(t)$ is a decreasing function in $t \geq 0$ then $L_X^\mu(t) < 1$ but the converse is not true, i.e. if $L_X^\mu(t) < 1$, then $\mu_X(t)$ may not be a decreasing function in t .*

Proof. The proof is easy, and it's omitted. For the converse part, we will give a counterexample. □

Counterexample 2.1. *Suppose the mean residual life is given by*

$$\mu_X(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - 0.4e^{t-1}, & 0 \leq t < 1 \\ 0.6t, & 1 \leq t < 2 \\ 1.2, & t \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Then the MRLAI function is given by

$$L_X^\mu(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2e^t - 5e}{2e^t - 5et - 2}, & 0 \leq t < 1 \\ \frac{6et^2}{3e \cdot (t^2 + 1) + 4}, & 1 \leq t < 2 \\ \frac{12et}{3e \cdot (4t - 3) + 4}, & t \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

It can be verified that $\mu_X(t)$ is not a decreasing function in t but $L_X^\mu(t) < 1$.

Theorem 2.4 (Cox (1962)[5], Meilijson (1972)[9]). If $F(0) = 0$ and let F be right continuous, then the relationship between the reliability function and the MRL function is

$$\bar{F}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu_X(0)}{\mu_X(t)} e^{-\int_0^t \frac{1}{\mu_X(u)} du} & 0 \leq t < F^{-1}(1) \\ 0 & F^{-1}(1) \leq t < \infty \end{cases}$$

where $F^{-1}(1) = \sup\{t | F(t) < 1\}$.

Theorem 2.5. Let F be right continuous and $\mu_X(t) = a + bt$, where $a > 0, b > 0$ then the reliability function is

$$\bar{F}(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a}{a+bt}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}+1} & 0 \leq t < F^{-1}(1) \\ 0 & F^{-1}(1) \leq t < \infty \end{cases}$$

where $F^{-1}(1) = \sup\{t | F(t) < 1\}$, and the MRLAI function is $L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{a + bt}{a + \frac{bt}{2}}$.

Proof. Using theorem(2.4), we have

$$\bar{F}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{a+bt} e^{-\int_0^t \frac{1}{a+bu} du} & 0 \leq t < F^{-1}(1) \\ 0 & F^{-1}(1) \leq t < \infty \end{cases}$$

where $F^{-1}(1) = \sup\{t | F(t) < 1\}$. The MRLAI function is

$$L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} = \frac{a + bt}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t (a + bu) du} = \frac{a + bt}{a + \frac{bt}{2}}$$

□

Theorem 2.6. (a) If $\mu_X(t) = \frac{1}{a+bt}$ then $\bar{F}_X(t) = \left(\frac{a+bt}{a}\right) \exp\left(-\left(at + \frac{bt^2}{2}\right)\right)$ and $L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{bt}{(a+bt) \ln\left(\frac{a+bt}{a}\right)}$.

(b) If $\mu_X(t) = e^{a+bt}$ then $\bar{F}_X(t) = \exp\left(\frac{e^{-a}(e^{-bt} - 1)}{b} - bt\right)$ and $L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{bt(e^{a+bt})}{e^a(e^{bt}-1)}$.

Proof. (a) Let $\mu_X(t) = \frac{1}{a+bt}$, then using theorem (2.4), the reliability function of X is given by

$$\bar{F}_X(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu_X(0)}{\mu_X(t)} e^{-\int_0^t \frac{1}{\mu_X(u)} du} & 0 \leq t < F^{-1}(1) \\ 0 & t \geq F^{-1}(1) \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{F}_X(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a+bt}{a}\right) \exp\left(-\left(at + \frac{bt^2}{2}\right)\right) & 0 \leq t < \infty \\ 0 & t \geq \infty \end{cases}$$

The mean residual ageing intensity is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_X^\mu(t) &= \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{a+bt}}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{a+bu}\right) du} \\ &= \frac{bt}{(a+bt) \ln\left(\frac{a+bt}{a}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

which is a decreasing function in t .

(b) Let $\mu_X(t) = e^{a+bt}$, then $\bar{F}_X(t) = \frac{\mu_X(0)}{\mu_X(t)} e^{-\int_0^t \frac{1}{\mu_X(u)} du} = \exp\left(\frac{e^{-a}(e^{-bt} - 1)}{b} - bt\right)$

and $L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} = \frac{e^{a+bt}}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t e^{a+bu} du} = \frac{bt(e^{a+bt})}{e^a(e^{bt}-1)}$.

□

Theorem 2.7. For a random variable X , $L_X^\mu(t) = 1$, for $t > 0$, if and only if X follows exponential distribution with a rate parameter λ .

Proof. The density function of a exponential random variable X is given by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} & t \geq 0 \\ 0 & t < 0 \end{cases}$$

The survival function is given by

$$\bar{F}(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda t} & 0 < t < \infty \\ 1 & t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Now,

$$\mu_X(t) = E[X|X > t] - t$$

$$f_{X|X>t}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda t}}{e^{-\lambda t}} & t < x < \infty \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_X(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$L_X^\mu(t) = 1$$

Conversely, let $L_X^\mu(t) = 1$ then using theorem (2.1), $\mu_X(t) = \lambda$ where, λ is a constant. Also, using theorem (2.4), we get

$$\bar{F}(t) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}\right) e^{-\int_0^t \frac{1}{\lambda} du} = e^{-\frac{t}{\lambda}}$$

$$F(t) = 1 - \bar{F}(t) = 1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\lambda}}$$

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{t}{\lambda}} & 0 < t < \infty \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

□

Theorem 2.8. For a random variable X , $L_X^\mu(t) = 2$, for $t \geq 1$, if and only if X follows Pareto distribution.

Proof. The density function of X is given by

$$f_X(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{ab^a}{t^{a+1}} & t \geq b \\ 0 & t < b \end{cases}$$

The survival function is given by

$$\bar{F}_X(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{b}{t}\right)^a & b \leq t < \infty \\ 1 & -\infty < t < b \end{cases}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_X(t) &= \frac{\int_t^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{\bar{F}_X(t)} \\ &= \frac{\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{b}{x}\right)^a dx}{\left(\frac{b}{t}\right)^a} \\ &= \frac{t}{a-1} \quad a > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} = \frac{\left(\frac{t}{a-1}\right)}{\int_0^t \left(\frac{u}{a-1}\right) du} = 2.$$

Conversely, let $L_X^\mu(t) = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies L_X^\mu(t) &= \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} = 2 \\ \implies \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du &= \frac{t}{2} \mu_X(t) \quad \forall t \\ \implies \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{t}{2} \cdot \mu_X(t) \right) \\ \implies \mu_X(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu_X(t) + \frac{t}{2} \cdot \mu_X'(t) \\ \implies \mu_X(t) &= t \cdot \mu_X'(t) \\ \implies \frac{dt}{t} &= \frac{d\mu_X(t)}{\mu_X(t)} \\ \implies \ln t &= \ln(\mu_X(t)) + \ln(a-1) \\ \implies \mu_X(t) &= \frac{t}{c} \end{aligned}$$

where c is a constant. Using theorem 1.2, we get

$$\bar{F}_X(t) = \left(\frac{b}{t}\right) e^{-\int_b^t \left(\frac{c}{u}\right) du} = \left(\frac{b}{t}\right)^{c+1}$$

which is a survival function of Pareto distribution. \square

Definition 2.2. A random variable X is said to be increasing in mean residual life ageing intensity (IMRLAI) if the corresponding MRLAI function $L_X^\mu(t)$ is increasing in $t > 0$. We call the random variable X as decreasing in mean residual life ageing intensity (DMRLAI) if $L_X^\mu(t)$ is decreasing in $t > 0$.

Theorem 2.9. Let X be a random variable with linear mean residual life function $\mu_X(t) = a + bt$ with $a > 0, b \geq 0$ which is increasing then X is IMRLAI.

Proof. From theorem (2.1) we have

$$L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} = \frac{a + bt}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t (a + bu) du} = \frac{a + bt}{a + \frac{bt}{2}}$$

which is an increasing function for $t \geq 0$. \square

In the next three examples it shows that if mean residual life function is monotonic then its ageing intensity function may not hold its monotonic property.

Result 2.1. If $\mu_X(t)$ is a monotonic function then $L_X^\mu(t)$ need not to be monotonic.

Counterexample 2.2. Let X be a random variable having Erlang distribution with density function

$$f_X(t) = 4te^{-2t} \quad t \geq 0 \text{ then}$$

$$\mu_X(t) = \frac{\int_t^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{\bar{F}_X(t)} = \frac{t + 1}{2t + 1}$$

which is decreasing in $t \geq 0$. So X is decreasing in MRL. The mean residual life ageing intensity (MRLAI) function of random variable X is

$$L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} = \frac{4t \cdot (t + 1)}{(2t + 1) (\ln(2t + 1) + 2t)}$$

which is nonmonotone in $t \geq 0$ as $L_X^\mu(0.5) = 0.885924163724462$, $L_X^\mu(2.0) = 0.855700709220817$ and $L_X^\mu(4.5) = 0.875905814337691$.

Counterexample 2.3. Let X be a random variable having a uniform distribution over $[a, b]$, $0 \leq a < b < \infty$. Then, the mean residual life is given by $\mu_X(t) = \frac{(b-t)}{2}$, $a < t < b$, which is decreasing in t . So, X is DMRL function. Then $L_X^\mu(t)$ decreases with t for $a < t < b$.

Here,

$$f_{X|X>t}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-t} & t < x < b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_X(t) = E[X|X > t] - t = \frac{(b+t)}{2} - t = \frac{(b-t)}{2}$$

$$L_X^\mu(t) = b - t$$

which decreases with t , for $a < t < b$.

Counterexample 2.4. Let X be a random variable having gamma distributions with respective probability density functions given by $f_X(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 e^{-t}$, $t > 0$ with its survival function $\frac{(t^2+2t+2)e^{-t}}{2}$. The mean residual life function is given by $\mu_X(t) = E[X|X > t] - t = \frac{t^2+4t+6}{t^2+2t+2}$ which is monotonically decreasing for $t > 0$. Now, mean residual life ageing intensity function

$$\begin{aligned} L_X^\mu(t) &= \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} \\ &= \frac{t \cdot \left(\frac{t^2+4t+6}{t^2+2t+2} \right)}{\ln(t^2 + 2t + 2) + 2 \arctan(t + 1) + t - \frac{2 \ln(2) + \pi}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

As $L_X^\mu(2.5) = 0.7767024$, $L_X^\mu(5.0) = 0.7525321$ and $L_X^\mu(10) = 0.7720608$ clearly it's a non-monotone function.

From the foregoing three counterexamples, it is observed that an IMRL random variable can be IMRLAI or DMRLAI. For the IMRL random variable, the MRLAI function could be non-monotonic as well.

Counterexample 2.5. Let a random variable X have mean residual life function

$$\mu_X(t) = \begin{cases} 0.50 & 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ t - 0.50 & 1 < t < \infty \end{cases}$$

which is monotonically increasing for $t > 0$. The corresponding MRLAI function of X is

$$L_X^\mu(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ \frac{2t-1}{t-1} & 1 < t < \infty \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $L_X^\mu(t)$ is constant for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and decreasing for $t > 1$. Here, $L_X^\mu(t)$ is non-monotone.

The ageing property of a component using hazard rate ageing intensity function can not be generalized to the ageing intensity function based on mean residual life function and vice versa.

Result 2.2. If ageing intensity based on hazard rate function is monotonic then ageing intensity based on mean residual life function may not be monotonic.

Counterexample 2.6. Let X be a random variable having Erlang distribution with density function $f_X(t) = 4te^{-2t}$, $t \geq 0$ then $r_X(t) = \frac{f_X(t)}{\bar{F}_X(t)} = \frac{4t}{(1+2t)}$ and $L_X(t) = \frac{r_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t r_X(u) du} = \frac{4t}{(1+2t)}$, which decreases in $t > 0$. Now, $\mu_X(t) = \frac{t+1}{2t+1}$ then $L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{4t \cdot (t+1)}{(2t+1)(\ln(2t+1) + 2t)}$ it is clear from counterexample (2.1) $L_X^\mu(t)$ is nonmonotone in $t > 0$.

3 Closure properties of IMRLAI and DMRLAI classes

In this section, we study whether IMRLAI and DMRLAI classes are closed under different reliability operations, viz., mixture of distributions, convolution of distributions, and formation of k-out-of-n systems. By k-out-of-n system, we mean a system which operates as long as at least k out of the n components forming the system work.

The subsequent counterexamples demonstrate that the IMRLAI class does not maintain closure under the aforementioned operations.

Counterexample 3.1. Let X_1 and X_2 be two random variables having respective mean residual life

functions $\mu_{X_1}(t) = 1 + 8t$ and $\mu_{X_2}(t) = 1 + 0.1t$, $t > 0$ then using theorem (2.1) its survival function is $\bar{F}_{X_1}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{8t+1}\right)^{\frac{9}{8}}$ and $\bar{F}_{X_2}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{0.1t+1}\right)^{11}$ respectively. Let X be another random variable having survival function $\bar{F}_X(t) = 0.2\bar{F}_{X_1}(t) + 0.8\bar{F}_{X_2}(t)$ then

$$\bar{F}_X(t) = 0.2 \left(\frac{1}{8t+1}\right)^{\frac{9}{8}} + 0.8 \left(\frac{1}{0.1t+1}\right)^{11}$$

The mean residual life function of random variable X is given by

$$\mu_X(t) = \frac{\int_t^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{\bar{F}_X(t)} = \frac{\frac{1}{5 \sqrt[8]{8t+1}} + \frac{8000000000}{(t+10)^{10}}}{\frac{1}{5(8t+1)^{\frac{9}{8}}} + \frac{4}{5(\frac{t}{10}+1)^{11}}}$$

Then the mean residual ageing intensity function $L_X^\mu(t)$ is nonmonotone as $L_X^\mu(6) = 3.18404390537899$, $L_X^\mu(8) = 3.44726388676388$ and $L_X^\mu(20) = 2.37496470241032$. Using theorem(3.1) both X_1 and X_2 having IMRLAI, but the random variable X of its mixture is nonmonotone. Thus, MRLAI is not closed with respect to the mixture.

Further, we demonstrate that the closure property under convolution does not apply to the MRLAI class.

Counterexample 3.2. Let X_1 and X_2 be two identical and independently distributed random variables having exponential distribution with parameter 1. Then the MRLAI function of random variables X_1 and X_2 is given by $L_{X_1}^\mu(t) = L_{X_2}^\mu(t) = 1$, clearly which is a monotonic function. Now, let $X_c = X_1 + X_2$ then the survival function of the random variable X_c is $\bar{F}_{X_c}(t) = (t+1)e^{-t}$ with mean residual life function is $\mu_{X_c}(t) = \frac{t+2}{t+1}$. Then the MRLAI function of the random variable X_c is given by

$$L_{X_c}(t) = \frac{t \cdot (t+2)}{(t+1)(\ln(t+1) + t)}$$

As $L_{X_c}(0.20) = 0.9590531$, $L_{X_c}(3.0) = 0.8549358$, and $L_{X_c}(10.0) = 0.8799147$ which is nonmonotone for $t > 0$.

Order statistics find practical use in analysing the sequence of failures in components or systems. The k -th order statistic represents the lifespan of the $(n-k+1)$ -out-of- n system. Furthermore, we present a counterexample to demonstrate that the IMRLAI class does not remain closed when forming a k -out-of- n system.

Counterexample 3.3. Let X be a random variable with mean residual life function $\mu_X(t) = 1+t, t > 0$ then $L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{t \cdot (t+1)}{\ln(t+1)}$ which is increasing for $t \geq 0$ and $f_{X(2:3)}(t)$ be the density function of the 2nd order statistics in a sample of size 3 from the distribution of X is given by $f_{X(2:3)}(t) = \frac{12t \cdot (t+2)}{(t+1)^7} \quad t \geq 0$ and its survival function is $\bar{F}_{X(2:3)}(t) = \frac{3t^2 + 6t + 1}{(t+1)^6}$ then the mean residual life function of 2nd order statistics is $\mu_{X(2:3)}(t) = \frac{(t+1)(5t^2 + 10t + 3)}{5(3t^2 + 6t + 1)}$ and the mean residual life ageing intensity function of $X_{(2:3)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{X(2:3)}^\mu(t) &= \frac{\mu_{X(2:3)}(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu_{X(2:3)}(u) du} \\ &= \frac{t \cdot (t+1) \cdot (5t^2 + 10t + 3)}{450 \cdot (3t^2 + 6t + 1) \cdot (4 \ln(3t^2 + 6t + 1) + 15t \cdot (t+2))} \end{aligned}$$

which is non-monotone as

$$L_{X(2:3)}^\mu(0.11) = 0.0001189386$$

$$L_{X(2:3)}^\mu(0.12) = 0.0001189296$$

$$L_{X(2:3)}^\mu(0.13) = 0.0001189584$$

From the counterexample given below, we see that MRLAI class is not closed under the formation of a k-out-of-n system.

Counterexample 3.4. Let X_1 and X_2 be two random variables having mean residual life functions $\mu_{X_1}(t) = \frac{1}{1+t}$ and $\mu_{X_2}(t) = \frac{1}{1+2t}$ respectively with $L_{X_1}^\mu(t) = \frac{t}{(1+t)\ln(1+t)}$ and $L_{X_2}^\mu(t) = \frac{2t}{(1+2t)\ln(1+2t)}$ each of which is DMRLAI for $t > 0$. Let the survival function of the mixture of random variable X be $\bar{F}_X(t) = 0.2\bar{F}_{X_1}(t) + 0.8\bar{F}_{X_2}(t)$ where $\bar{F}_{X_1}(t) = (1+t)e^{-(t+\frac{t^2}{2})}$ and $\bar{F}_{X_2}(t) = (1+2t)e^{-(t+t^2)}$. Now $\bar{F}_X(t) = 0.2[(1+t)e^{-(t+\frac{t^2}{2})}] + 0.8[(1+2t)e^{-(t+t^2)}]$ then mean residual life function of X is $\mu_X(t) = \frac{e^{t^2} + 4e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}}{(t+1)e^{t^2} + (8t+4)e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}}$ it follows that DMRLAI class is not closed under mixture of distributions as $L_X^\mu(0.3) = 0.8129797$ $L_X^\mu(2.0) = 0.6127436$ and $L_X^\mu(3.0) = 0.6381471$ the MRLAI function of X , is non monotone for $t > 0$.

Counterexample 3.5. Let X be a random variable having a uniform distribution over $[a, b], 0 \leq a < b < \infty$. and $f_{X(2)}(t)$ be the density function of the 2nd order statistics $X_{(2)}$ in a sample of size 3 from this distribution. The mean residual life function of $X_{(2)}$ is given by $\mu_{X(2)}(t) = \frac{(b-t)(t+b-2a)}{2(2t+b-3a)}$ and

the corresponding MRLAI function is $L_{X(2)}(t) = \frac{h(t)}{k(t)}$, where $h(t) = 8t \cdot (b-t)(t+b-2a)$ and

$$k(t) = (2t + b - 3a) \times \left(3(b-a)^2 \ln(2t + b - 3a) - 2t^2 + 2(b+a)t - 3(b-a)^2 \ln(b-a) - 2ab \right)$$

It can be verified that $L_{X(2)}(t)$ is nonmonotone, although from counterexample (2.2) we get $L_X^\mu(t) = b-t$ which decreases with t for $a < t < b$.

4 Some properties of MRLAI order

We define a probabilistic order based on the MRLAI function $L_X^\mu(t)$ as follows.

Definition 4.1. A random variable X is said to be smaller than random variable Y in the MRLAI order (denoted by $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y$) if $L_X^\mu(t) \leq L_Y^\mu(t)$, for all $t > 0$.

We mention a family of parametric distributions where MRLAI between the random variables is present.

Example 4.1. Let X_i be a random variable having Weibull distribution with survival function $\bar{F}_{X_i}(t) = \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{\beta_i}\right)^{\alpha_i}\right)$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i > 0, t \geq 0, i = 1, 2$. If $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ then $X_1 \leq_{MRLAI} X_2$.

Theorem 4.1. For two random X and Y , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y$,
- (ii) $\frac{\int_0^t \mu_X(u) du}{\int_0^t \mu_Y(u) du}$ is decreasing in $t > 0$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii)

Let $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y$ then $\frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} \leq \frac{\mu_Y(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_Y(u) du}$. Let $G_X(t) = \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du$ and $G_Y(t) = \int_0^t \mu_Y(u) du$.

Also, $G'_X(t) = \mu_X(t)$ and $G'_Y(t) = \mu_Y(t)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_X(t) \cdot G'_Y(t) - G_Y(t) \cdot G'_X(t) \geq 0 \\
& \iff \frac{G_X(t) \cdot G'_Y(t) - G_Y(t) \cdot G'_X(t)}{(G_X(t))^2} \geq 0 \\
& \iff h'(t) \geq 0, \quad h(t) = \frac{G_Y(t)}{G_X(t)} \\
& \iff h(t) = \frac{G_Y(t)}{G_X(t)} \text{ is increasing in } t > 0. \\
& \iff \frac{G_X(t)}{G_Y(t)} \text{ is decreasing in } t > 0. \\
& \iff \frac{\int_0^t \mu_X(u) du}{\int_0^t \mu_Y(u) du} \text{ is decreasing in } t > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The reflexive, commutative, and antisymmetric properties of MRLAI order are given below.

Lemma 4.2. (i) $X \leq_{MRLAI} X$.

(ii) If $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y$ and $Y \leq_{MRLAI} Z$, then $X \leq_{MRLAI} Z$

(iii) If $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y$ and $Y \leq_{MRLAI} X$, then X and Y have proportional mean residual life.

Proof. (i) It's obvious for all $t > 0$,

$$L_X^\mu(t) \leq L_X^\mu(t) \implies X \leq_{MRLAI} X$$

(ii) If $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y \implies L_X^\mu(t) \leq L_Y^\mu(t)$ and $Y \leq_{MRLAI} Z \implies L_Y^\mu(t) \leq L_Z^\mu(t)$. Hence,

$$L_X^\mu(t) \leq L_Z^\mu(t) \text{ then } X \leq_{MRLAI} Z.$$

(iii) If $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y \implies L_X^\mu(t) \leq L_Y^\mu(t)$ and $Y \leq_{MRLAI} X \implies L_Y^\mu(t) \leq L_X^\mu(t)$. Hence,

$$L_X^\mu(t) = L_Y^\mu(t) \text{ then } \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} = \frac{\mu_Y(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_Y(u) du}. \text{ Let } G_X(t) = \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du \text{ and } G_Y(t) = \int_0^t \mu_Y(u) du$$

Now, $G_X(t) \cdot \mu_Y(t) - G_Y(t) \cdot \mu_X(t) = 0$. As, $G'_X(t) = \mu_X(t)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_X(t) \cdot G'_Y(t) - G_Y(t) \cdot G'_X(t) = 0 \\
& \iff \frac{G_X(t) \cdot G'_Y(t) - G_Y(t) \cdot G'_X(t)}{(G_Y(t))^2} = 0 \\
& \iff h'(t) = 0, \quad h(t) = \frac{G_X(t)}{G_Y(t)} \\
& \iff h(t) = c \\
& \iff G_X(t) = c G_Y(t) \\
& \iff \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du = c \int_0^t \mu_Y(u) du \\
& \iff \mu_X(t) = c \mu_Y(t)
\end{aligned}$$

That is, X and Y have proportional mean residual life functions.

□

The following counterexample shows that MRLAI ordering does not imply increasing convex ordering.

Counterexample 4.1. Let X be a random variable having exponential distribution with survival function $\bar{F}_X(t) = \exp -0.5t, t \geq 0$, and Y be another random variable having Pareto distribution with survival function $\bar{F}_Y(t) = \frac{1}{t^2}, t \geq 1$. Then, we have $L_X^\mu(t) = 1$ and $L_Y^\mu(t) = 2$, for all $t > 0$. Clearly, $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y$. Let $g(t) = \int_t^\infty \bar{F}_X(u) du = 2e^{-\frac{t}{2}}$ and $h(t) = \int_t^\infty \bar{F}_Y(u) du = \frac{1}{t}$. If $X \leq_{icx} Y$ then $g(t) \leq h(t)$, for all $t \geq 0$. But from the values $g(1.5) = 0.9447331, h(1.5) = 0.66, g(0.5) = 1.557602, h(0.5) = 2$, can not conclude that $g(t) \leq h(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, i.e., $X \not\leq_{icx} Y$. That is, $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y \not\Rightarrow X \leq_{icx} Y$.

Counterexample 4.2. Let X be a random variable having survival function $\bar{F}_X(t) = e^{-2t}$ and Y be another random variable having Pareto distribution with survival function $\bar{F}_Y(t) = \frac{1}{t^3}$, for $t \geq 1$. Then, we have $L_X^\mu(t) = 1$ and $L_Y^\mu(t) = 2$, for all $t \geq 1$. Clearly, $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y$. Let $b(t) = \frac{\int_t^\infty \int_v^\infty \bar{F}_X(v) dv du}{\int_t^\infty \int_v^\infty \bar{F}_Y(v) dv du} = \frac{te^{-2t}}{2}$. If $X \leq_{vrl} Y$, then $b(t)$ must be a decreasing function of t , but the values $b(0.20) = 0.067032, b(0.60) = 0.09035826, b(1.0) = 0.06766764$ reveal that $b(t)$ is not a decreasing function of t , proving that $X \not\leq_{vrl} Y$. Thus $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y \not\Rightarrow X \leq_{vrl} Y$.

Since MRLAI does not imply variance residual life ordering, it is obvious that MRLAI ordering does not imply mean residual life ordering.

The following counterexample shows that likelihood ratio ordering does not imply MRLAI ordering.

Counterexample 4.3. Let X and Y be two independent random variables having Erlang distribution with density functions

$$f_X(t) = 9te^{-3t}, t \geq 0$$

and

$$f_Y(t) = 4te^{-2t}, t \geq 0$$

It is easy to check that $\frac{f_X(t)}{f_Y(t)}$ decreases with $t \geq 0$. Now, $k(t) = \int_0^t \mu_X(u)du = \frac{\ln(3t+1) + 3t}{9}$ and $h(t) = \int_0^t \mu_X(u)du = \frac{\ln(2t+1) + 2t}{4}$. Let $g(t) = \frac{k(t)}{h(t)}$, then $g(t)$ is nonmonotone as $g(0.1) = 0.6537420$, $g(1.5) = 0.6287006$, $g(5) = 0.6371185$. Hence, by theorem 4.1, we have $X \not\leq_{MRLAI} Y$. That is,

$$X \leq_{lr} Y \not\Rightarrow \leq_{MRLAI} Y.$$

Since likelihood ratio ordering does not imply MRLAI ordering, it can be concluded that non of the other orderings implies MRLAI ordering.

Given two random variables, we state a few conditions under which there will be MRLAI ordering between them.

Theorem 4.3. For two non-negative random variables X and Y , if X is decreasing in mean residual life average (DMRLA) and Y is increasing in mean residual life average (IMRLA), then $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y$.

Proof. Let us denote $G_X(t) = \int_0^t \mu_X(u)du$ then $G'_X(t) = \mu_X(t)$.

Let $h(t) = \frac{G_Y(t)}{G_X(t)}$, as X is DMRLA and Y is IMRLA then $h'(t) \geq 0$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
h'(t) &= \frac{G_X(t) \cdot G'_Y(t) - G_Y(t) \cdot G'_X(t)}{(G_X(t))^2} \geq 0. \\
&\implies G_X(t) \cdot G'_Y(t) - G_Y(t) \cdot G'_X(t) \geq 0 \\
&\implies G_X(t) \cdot \mu_Y(t) - G_Y(t) \cdot \mu_X(t) \geq 0 \\
&\implies \frac{\mu_Y(t)}{G_Y(t)} \geq \frac{\mu_X(t)}{G_X(t)} \\
&\implies \frac{\mu_Y(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_Y(u) du} \geq \frac{\mu_X(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du} \\
&\implies L_Y^\mu(t) \geq L_X^\mu(t) \\
&\implies X \leq_{\text{MRLAI}} Y
\end{aligned}$$

□

Further, we have given a counterexample where X is not DMRLA, but $X \leq_{\text{MRLAI}} Y$. This shows that the condition that X is DMRLA and Y is IMRLA are sufficient conditions for $X \leq_{\text{MRLAI}} Y$ to hold.

Counterexample 4.4. Let the random variable X and Y have the mean residual life functions $\mu_X(t) = 2(\sqrt{t} + 1), t > 0$ and $\mu_Y(t) = t, t \geq 1$. Now, $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(u) du = \frac{4\sqrt{t} + 6}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{t} \int_1^t \mu_Y(u) du = \frac{t-1}{2}$. Also, $L_X^\mu(t) = \frac{3(\sqrt{t}+1)}{2\sqrt{t}+3}$ and $L_Y^\mu(t) = 2$ for all $t > 0$. It is easy to check that $L_X^\mu(t) \leq 1.339134 \quad \forall t > 0$. Here both X and Y are IMRLA, but $X \leq_{\text{MRLAI}} Y$.

Theorem 4.4. If X is \downarrow in MRL and Y is \uparrow in MRL then $X \leq_{\text{MRLAI}} Y$ order.

Proof. Suppose X is decreasing in MRL then by result (2.3) $L_X^\mu(t) < 1$ and if Y is increasing in MRL then by theorem (2.1) and (2.2) $L_Y^\mu(t) \geq 1$, then

$$L_X^\mu(t) \leq L_Y^\mu(t) \implies X \leq_{\text{MRLAI}} Y$$

□

We studied the closure properties of the MRLAI order under various reliability operations. Here, we outline the conditions under which series systems composed of two different sets of components can be arranged in MRLAI order.

Theorem 4.5. Let $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, Y_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be two set of independent identical random variables such that $\mu_{X_i}(t) = a + bt, a > 0, b > 0, \mu_{Y_j}(t) = c + dt, c > 0, d > 0$. If $X_i \leq_{MRLAI} Y_j$ for all i, j then

$$\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} \geq 0$$

Proof. Let $X_i \leq_{MRLAI} Y_j \implies L_{X_i}^\mu(t) \leq L_{Y_j}^\mu(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_{X_i}(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_{X_i}(u) du} &\leq \frac{\mu_{Y_j}(t)}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_{Y_j}(u) du} \\ \frac{a + bt}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (a + bu) du} &\leq \frac{c + dt}{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (c + du) du} \\ (a + bt)(ct + \frac{dt^2}{2}) &\leq (c + dt)(at + \frac{bt^2}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(ad - bc)t^2 \geq 0$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} \geq 0$$

□

Counterexample 4.5. The MRLAI ordering is not closed under the formation of a parallel system. To show this, let X_1 and X_2 be two independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables having Erlang distribution with survival function

$$\bar{F}_{X_i}(t) = (1 + t)e^{-t}, t \geq 0$$

Also, let Y_1 and Y_2 be two independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables having exponential distribution with survival function

$$\bar{F}_{Y_i}(t) = e^{-2t}, t \geq 0$$

Then,

$$L_{X_i}^\mu(t) = \frac{t(t+2)}{(t+1)(\ln(t+1)+t)} < 1$$

and $L_{Y_i}^\mu(t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$ and $i, j = 1, 2$. Thus, $X_i \leq_{MRLAI} Y_j$, for all $i, j = 1, 2$.

If we write $X = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2} X_i$ and $Y = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} Y_j$ then

$$\mu_X(t) = \frac{\int_t^\infty (1 - [1 - \bar{F}_X(x)]^2) dx}{1 - [1 - \bar{F}_X(t)]^2} = \frac{2(4(t+2)e^t - t \cdot (t+3)) - 5}{4(t+1)(2e^t - t - 1)}$$

and $0.73 < L_X^\mu(t) < 1$. Similarly,

$$\mu_Y(t) = \frac{\int_t^\infty (1 - [1 - \bar{F}_Y(y)]^2) dy}{1 - [1 - \bar{F}_Y(t)]^2} = \frac{4e^{2t} - 1}{8e^{2t} - 4}$$

Hence

$$L_Y^\mu(t) = \frac{8t \cdot (4e^{2t} - 1)}{(8e^{2t} - 4)(\ln(16e^{4t} - 8e^{2t}) - \ln(8))}$$

Here $0.89 < L_Y^\mu(t) < 1$ for all $t > 0$. As for $t = 0.01$, $L_X^\mu(0.01) = 0.9981785$ and $L_Y^\mu(0.01) = 0.9935494$ hence for some $t > 0$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq 2} X_i \not\leq_{MRLAI} \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} Y_j.$$

The subsequent theorem illustrates that, given exceptionally lenient conditions, the MRLAI order remains unchanged through increasing transformations.

Theorem 4.6. Let X and Y be two continuous random variables. If $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y$, then $\phi(X) \leq_{MRLAI} \phi(Y)$, for any strictly increasing continuous function $\phi: \mathcal{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^+$, with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(x) = ax$.

Proof. Let $U = \phi(X)$, $F_U(u) = P(U \leq u) = P(\phi(X) \leq u) = P(X \leq \phi^{-1}(u)) = F_X(\phi^{-1}(u))$. Then, $\bar{F}_U(u) = \bar{F}_X(\phi^{-1}(u))$. Similarly, let $V = \phi(Y)$, then $\bar{F}_V(u) = \bar{F}_Y(\phi^{-1}(u))$. Let $X \leq_{MRLAI} Y$ then

$$\begin{aligned} L_X^\mu(t) &\leq L_Y^\mu(t) \\ \implies \frac{\frac{\int_t^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{F_X(t)}}{\int_0^t \left(\frac{\int_s^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{F_X(s)} \right) ds} &\leq \frac{\frac{\int_t^\infty \bar{F}_Y(x) dx}{F_Y(t)}}{\int_0^t \left(\frac{\int_s^\infty \bar{F}_Y(x) dx}{F_Y(s)} \right) ds} \end{aligned}$$

Let $u = \phi(t) \implies t = \phi^{-1}(u)$ then

$$\frac{\frac{\int_{\phi^{-1}(u)}^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{F_X(\phi^{-1}(u))}}{\int_0^{\phi^{-1}(u)} \left(\frac{\int_s^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{F_X(s)} \right) ds} \leq \frac{\frac{\int_{\phi^{-1}(u)}^\infty \bar{F}_Y(x) dx}{F_Y(\phi^{-1}(u))}}{\int_0^{\phi^{-1}(u)} \left(\frac{\int_s^\infty \bar{F}_Y(x) dx}{F_Y(s)} \right) ds}$$

Let $x = \phi^{-1}(z) \implies dx = \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z))dz$. As, $z = \phi(x)$, then

At $x = \phi^{-1}(u)$, then $z = \phi(\phi^{-1}(u)) = u$ also at $x = \infty, z = \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_X(\phi^{-1}(z)) \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z)) dz}{F_U(u)} \leq \frac{\int_{\phi^{-1}(u)}^\infty \bar{F}_Y(\phi^{-1}(z)) \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z)) dz}{F_V(u)} \\ & \frac{\int_0^{\phi^{-1}(u)} \left(\frac{\int_s^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{F_X(s)} \right) ds}{\int_0^{\phi^{-1}(u)} \left(\frac{\int_s^\infty \bar{F}_Y(x) dx}{F_Y(s)} \right) ds} \leq \frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_U(z) \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z)) dz}{F_U(u)} \leq \frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_V(z) \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z)) dz}{F_V(u)} \\ & \implies \frac{\int_0^{\phi^{-1}(u)} \left(\frac{\int_s^\infty F_X(x) dx}{F_X(s)} \right) ds}{\int_0^{\phi^{-1}(u)} \left(\frac{\int_s^\infty F_Y(x) dx}{F_Y(s)} \right) ds} \leq \frac{\int_0^{\phi^{-1}(u)} \left(\frac{\int_s^\infty \bar{F}_Y(x) dx}{F_Y(s)} \right) ds}{\int_0^{\phi^{-1}(u)} \left(\frac{\int_s^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{F_X(s)} \right) ds} \end{aligned}$$

Let $s = \phi^{-1}(p) \implies ds = \frac{d}{dp}(\phi^{-1}(p))dp$. As, $p = \phi(s)$, then

At $s = \phi^{-1}(u)$, then $p = \phi(\phi^{-1}(u)) = u$ also at $s = \infty, p = \infty$.

$$\frac{\frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_U(z) \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z)) dz}{F_U(u)}}{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_{\phi^{-1}(p)}^\infty \bar{F}_X(x) dx}{F_X(\phi^{-1}(p))} \right) \frac{d}{dp}(\phi^{-1}(p)) dp} \leq \frac{\frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_V(z) \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z)) dz}{F_V(u)}}{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_{\phi^{-1}(p)}^\infty \bar{F}_Y(x) dx}{F_Y(\phi^{-1}(p))} \right) \frac{d}{dp}(\phi^{-1}(p)) dp}$$

Let $x = \phi^{-1}(w) \implies dx = \frac{d}{dw}(\phi^{-1}(w))dw$. As, $w = \phi(x)$, then

At $x = \phi^{-1}(p)$, then $w = \phi(\phi^{-1}(p)) = p$ also at $x = \infty, w = \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_U(z) \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z)) dz}{F_U(u)}}{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_p^\infty \bar{F}_X(\phi^{-1}(w)) \frac{d}{dw}(\phi^{-1}(w)) dw}{F_U(p)} \right) \frac{d}{dp}(\phi^{-1}(p)) dp} \\ & \leq \frac{\frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_V(z) \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z)) dz}{F_V(u)}}{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_p^\infty \bar{F}_Y(\phi^{-1}(w)) \frac{d}{dw}(\phi^{-1}(w)) dw}{F_V(p)} \right) \frac{d}{dp}(\phi^{-1}(p)) dp} \\ & \implies \frac{\frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_U(z) \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z)) dz}{F_U(u)}}{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_p^\infty \bar{F}_U(w) \frac{d}{dw}(\phi^{-1}(w)) dw}{F_U(p)} \right) \frac{d}{dp}(\phi^{-1}(p)) dp} \\ & \leq \frac{\frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_V(z) \frac{d}{dz}(\phi^{-1}(z)) dz}{F_V(u)}}{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_p^\infty \bar{F}_V(w) \frac{d}{dw}(\phi^{-1}(w)) dw}{F_V(p)} \right) \frac{d}{dp}(\phi^{-1}(p)) dp} \end{aligned}$$

When $\phi(x) = ax$ then $\frac{d}{dx}\phi^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{a}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_U(z) (\frac{1}{a}) dz}{F_U(u)}}{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_p^\infty \bar{F}_U(w) (\frac{1}{a}) dw}{F_U(p)} \right) (\frac{1}{a}) dp} \leq \frac{\frac{\int_u^\infty \bar{F}_V(z) (\frac{1}{a}) dz}{F_V(u)}}{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_p^\infty \bar{F}_V(w) (\frac{1}{a}) dw}{F_V(p)} \right) (\frac{1}{a}) dp} \\ & \frac{a \int_u^\infty \bar{F}_U(z) dz}{F_U(u)} \leq \frac{a \int_u^\infty \bar{F}_V(z) dz}{F_V(u)} \\ & \frac{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_p^\infty \bar{F}_U(w) dw}{F_U(p)} \right) dp}{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_p^\infty \bar{F}_V(w) dw}{F_V(p)} \right) dp} \leq \frac{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_p^\infty \bar{F}_V(w) dw}{F_V(p)} \right) dp}{\int_0^u \left(\frac{\int_p^\infty \bar{F}_U(w) dw}{F_U(p)} \right) dp} \end{aligned}$$

If $a > 0$ then $L_X^\mu(t) \leq L_Y^\mu(t)$ and if $a < 0$ then $L_X^\mu(t) \geq L_Y^\mu(t)$.

□

5 Conclusion

It is of practical interest to study the ageing behaviour of a system which has already survived up to a specific time $t > 0$. In this paper, we have introduced the mean residual life ageing intensity function and studied its monotonic behaviour by comparing the monotonic behaviour of the mean residual life function and AI function.

We have defined two new classes, IMRLAI and DMRLAI, and studied closure properties under different reliability operations, viz., the mixture of distributions, convolution of distributions, and formation of k-out-of-n systems. We have defined a new mean residual life ageing intensity order and discussed its different properties.

In the future, researchers can study the ageing behaviour of a system using residual life functions for various aspects including the variance residual life function, and the r-th mean residual life function.

References

- [1] BHATTACHARJEE, S., MOHANTY, I., SZYMKOWIAK, M., AND NANDA, A. K. Properties of aging functions and their means. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation* (2022), 1–20.
- [2] BHATTACHARJEE, S., NANDA, A. K., AND MISRA, S. K. Reliability analysis using ageing intensity function. *Statistics & Probability Letters* 83, 5 (2013), 1364–1371.
- [3] BUONO, F. Multivariate conditional aging intensity functions and load-sharing models. *Hacetatepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* (2022), 1–13.
- [4] BUONO, F., LONGOBARDI, M., AND SZYMKOWIAK, M. On generalized reversed aging intensity functions. *Ricerche di matematica* (2021), 1–24.
- [5] COX, D. *Renewal theory*, methuen & co. ltd, 1962.

- [6] GIRI, R. L., NANDA, A. K., DASGUPTA, M., MISRA, S. K., AND BHATTACHARJEE, S. On ageing intensity function of some weibull models. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 52, 1 (2023), 227–262.
- [7] GUPTA, R. C., AND BRADLEY, D. M. Representing the mean residual life in terms of the failure rate. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 37, 12-13 (2003), 1271–1280.
- [8] JIANG, R., JI, P., AND XIAO, X. Aging property of unimodal failure rate models. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 79, 1 (2003), 113–116.
- [9] MEILIJSON, I. Limiting properties of the mean residual lifetime function. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 43, 1 (1972), 354–357.
- [10] NANDA, A. K., BHATTACHARJEE, S., AND ALAM, S. Properties of aging intensity function. *Statistics & probability letters* 77, 4 (2007), 365–373.
- [11] SUNOJ, S., NAIR, N. U., NANDA, A. K., AND RASIN, R. Ageing intensity function for conditionally specified models. *American Journal of Mathematical and Management Sciences* 39, 4 (2020), 329–344.
- [12] SZYMKOWIAK, M. Characterizations of distributions through aging intensity. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability* 67, 2 (2018), 446–458.
- [13] SZYMKOWIAK, M. Measures of ageing tendency. *Journal of Applied Probability* 56, 2 (2019), 358–383.
- [14] SZYMKOWIAK, M., AND SZYMKOWIAK, M. G-generalized aging intensity functions. *Lifetime Analysis by Aging Intensity Functions* (2020), 111–142.