

Errata list for “Error Control Coding” by Lin and Costello

Erik Agrell
Department of Electrical Engineering,
Chalmers University of Technology,
Göteborg, Sweden
agrell@chalmers.se

v. 2.10, Mar. 13, 2021

Introduction

This document lists some errors found in the second edition of *Error Control Coding* by Shu Lin and Daniel J. Costello, Jr. [1]. The list is made in good faith, in the hope that it will be both correct and helpful, but it is not endorsed by the book’s authors or publisher.

Typographical text errors, where the meaning is obvious, are omitted. On the other hand, some reading hints, which do not exactly indicate errors, are included.

The author of this list would like to thank Alex Alvarado, Frank Bologna, Arash Ghasemmehdi, Naga VishnuKanth Irukulapati, Clay McKell, Leela Srikar Muppirisetty, Mohsen Nosratinia, Alireza Sheikh, Arash Tahmasebi Toyserkani, and Mehrnaz Tavan for contributions. He would appreciate reports of other errors in the book or in this list.

Errata

- p. 4, lines 5–6 from below: With the usual definition of redundancy, it is not correct that “more redundancy is added by increasing the memory order m while holding k and n , and hence the code rate R , fixed”. A correct statement would be that *lower error probabilities*

are achieved by increasing the memory order m while holding k and n fixed, as mentioned on p. 453.

- p. 9, (1.6): $y + \sqrt{E_s}$ should be $(y + \sqrt{E_s})^2$ and $y - \sqrt{E_s}$ should be $(y - \sqrt{E_s})^2$.
- p. 10, line 6: $\frac{1}{2}T$ should be $\frac{1}{2T}$.
- p. 12, lines 8–12 after (1.12): $p(r_i|v_i)$ should be $P(r_i|v_i)$ and “probability $P(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{v})$ ” should be “probability density function $p(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{v})$ ” twice.
- p. 12, last line: “actually on the average” should be “actually the average”.
- p. 18, (1.21): The right-hand side is meant to be interpreted as

$$10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{1}{2} R d_{min} \right).$$

This expression, however, is still not accurate for odd values of d_{min} (with $d_{min} = 1$ being the most obvious example). The correct expression is

$$10 \log_{10} \left(R \left\lceil \frac{d_{min}}{2} \right\rceil \right),$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer not less than x .

- pp. 19 and 21: “BER” in the legends of Figures 1.11 and 1.12 should be “BPSK coded”.
- p. 20, line 3: 3.91 should be 3.89. The entries of Table 1.2 differ by up to 0.014 dB from the exact values.
- p. 21, line 6 from below: R/W should be $(R/T)/W$, because the information transmission rate in bps is denoted by R/T in this book (see [1, p. 10]), not R as in [2, p. 2] (which is ref. 7 in [1, p. 21]).
- p. 38, line 17: The word “uniquely” is missing before “solve the preceding equations”.
- p. 40, lines 10–24: The theorem that $f(X) = q(X)g(X) + r(X)$ has a unique solution $(q(X), r(X))$ for given $(f(X), g(X))$, where the degree of $r(X)$ is less than the degree of $g(X)$, is usually not called “Euclid’s division algorithm”. The purpose of Euclid’s division algorithm, often simply called the Euclidean algorithm, is to find the greatest common divisor of two integers or polynomials, see Section 7.5.
- p. 45, (2.19c): $(a_{i0} + a_{i1} + \dots + a_{i,m-1}\alpha^{m-1})$ should be $(a_{i0} + a_{i1}\alpha + \dots + a_{i,m-1}\alpha^{m-1})$.

- p. 53, line 3 from below: $GF(2^7)$ should be $GF(2^4)$.
- p. 64, Problem 2.23: “vector space $GF(2)$ ” should be “vector space over $GF(2)$ ”.
- p. 67, (3.3): $\mathbf{u} \cdot G$ should be $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{G}$.
- p. 81, line 2: “closer to ... than” should be “at least as close to ... as”.
- p. 89, Figure 3.8: s_{n-1} should be s_{n-k-1} .
- p. 89, Example 3.9: Λ should be \wedge throughout the example.
- p. 97, ref. 3: J. J. A. Sloane should be N. J. A. Sloane.
- p. 130, line 6: “columns and rows” should be “rows and columns”.
- p. 130, line 9: $\lfloor (d_1 d_2 - 1)/2 \rfloor$ should be $\lfloor (d_1 d_2 - 1)/2 \rfloor$.
- pp. 131, 133: “ $d_1 + d_2 - 1$ ” on p. 131 and in Problem 4.22 should be “at least $d_1 + d_2 - 1$ ”. The bound holds with equality if and only if both codes contain a minimum-weight codeword with only one nonzero information bit.
- p. 134, ref. 7: T. Klove should be T. Kløve and V. I. Korzhik.
- p. 142, line 11: “followed by” should be “preceded by”.
- p. 143, last line of Table 5.2: $1 + X^2 + X^5$ should be $1 + X^2 + X^3$.
- p. 147, caption of Figure 5.1: $g_1 X^2$ should be $g_1 X$.
- p. 151, line 1 after (5.21): “Dividing both sides of (5.21) by $\mathbf{g}(X)$ ” should be removed.
- p. 190, Problem 5.12: $e^{(i)}(X)$ should be $\mathbf{e}^{(i)}(X)$.
- p. 195: It is stated after (6.3) that if t is small, then $n - k = mt$. In this context, “small” should be interpreted in relation to m . For example, if $t = 3$, then $n - k = mt$ for $m \geq 5$ but not for $m = 4$.
- pp. 196–197, Table 6.1: The top rows on p. 196 are for $n = 63, 255$, and 511 , resp. The top rows on p. 197 are all for $n = 1023$. For $n = 255$ and $k = 139, 131, 123, 115, 107, 99$, the t values should be $15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23$, resp. For $(n, k) = (511, 10)$, $t = 127$, and for $(n, k) = (1023, 16)$, $t = 247$.
- p. 211, (6.28): $\mathbf{b}_5(X) = X^2$ should be $\mathbf{b}_5(X) = 1 + X$. This error does not propagate to the rest of Example 6.5 and does not change the result, since now $S_5 = 1 + \alpha^5 = \alpha^{10}$, which is the same value as in the unnumbered equation after (6.29).

- p. 233, ref. 25: Sidel'nikov should be Sidel'nikov.
- p. 238, (7.3): $(q^{2t} - q^i)$ should be $(q^{2t} - q^j)$.
- pp. 344–355, 361, and 373: The symbol ϕ (phi) should, wherever it is *not* followed by a parenthesis, be replaced with \emptyset (empty set). The function $\phi(\cdot)$, however, denotes an interval (pp. 344–345 and 372–373) and should be kept unchanged.
- p. 439, last paragraph: Section 4.2 should be Section 4.3 twice.
- p. 461, (11.26a): $[\mathbf{v}^0(D), \mathbf{v}^1(D)]$ should be $[\mathbf{v}^{(0)}(D), \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(D)]$.
- p. 466, 2–3 lines before (11.47): $h_i^{(j)}$ should be $\mathbf{h}_i^{(j)}$ twice.
- p. 483: \cong should be \geq in three places.
- p. 487, (11.95): σ_k should be σ_l .
- p. 487, (11.96): σ should be σ_l .
- p. 493, line 1 after (11.107): “Problem 11.8” should be “Problem 11.17”.
- p. 499, last line before (11.129): “any w and d ” should be “any d ”.
- p. 500, (11.134): The last sum should be over w only, not z .
- p. 511: If Problem 11.3b was intended to agree with Problem 11.1c, then $\mathbf{u}(D)$ should be $1 + D + D^2 + D^4$.
- p. 517, line 3 after (12.3): $\log(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{v})$ should be $\log P(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{v})$.
- p. 519: $c_2 = 17.3$ should be approximately 16.7. Although both values generate Fig. 12.4(b) after rounding to the nearest integer, $c_2 = 17.3$ is hardly “chosen so that all metrics can be approximated by integers”.
- p. 522, last line before (12.9): (1.11) should be (1.12).
- p. 525, second line of (12.15): $(1 + X^2L^2)$ should be $(1 + X^2L)^2$.
- p. 526, (12.16): $\binom{7}{3}$ should be $\binom{7}{e}$.
- p. 528, Figure 12.9: The figure illustrates three paths: \mathbf{v} which is the lowest path, \mathbf{v}'' which is the highest path, and \mathbf{v}' which goes first high and then low.

- p. 532, (12.38): The expression is not correct for odd d_{free} , because the inequality on the second line of (12.21) is not asymptotically tight as $p \rightarrow 0$. The correct expression is

$$\gamma \triangleq 10 \log_{10} \left(R \left\lceil \frac{d_{free}}{2} \right\rceil \right) \text{ dB}$$

- p. 554, line 14: 1/3 should be 3.
- pp. 559–560: $[\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{v}]_t$ should be $[\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{v}]_{t+1}$ and $[\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{v}]_{t-1}$ should be $[\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{v}]_t$ in (12.86), (12.89), (12.94), and two lines after (12.94), to agree with the definition (12.5).
- p. 559, (12.89): u_t means two different things in the last line of this equation; a random variable and a value that this random variable may assume. If we denote with U_t a random variable that represents the unknown information bit at time t , then

$$2 \ln P(U_t = b) - C_u = b \ln \frac{P(U_t = +1)}{P(U_t = -1)}$$

for any $b \in \{-1, 1\}$, which is the last term of (12.89).

- pp. 559–560: This is not an error but it can be easily misunderstood. $L(u)$ in (12.91) is a constant, *not* a function of u . (I.e., $L(1)$ and $L(-1)$ do not make sense.) Similarly, $L(u_t)$ in (12.94) is possibly a function of t but not of u_t .
- p. 561, (12.99): c should be $c/2$ in three places.
- p. 564: The a priori L-values L_a , which are introduced without a definition, should be defined in analogy with (12.91) as

$$L_a(u_l) = \ln \left[\frac{p(u_l = +1)}{p(u_l = -1)} \right]$$

For any given l , $L_a(u_l)$ is a constant, not a function of u_l .

The a posteriori L-values $L(u_l)$ defined in (12.106) depend on \mathbf{r} and l but not on the value of u_l .

- p. 562: Equation (12.104) is not correct. If we rewrite (12.103) as

$$\mathbf{L}_t(S_i) = [L_0^t(S_i), L_1^t(S_i), \dots, L_{t-1}^t(S_i)]$$

to make the time dependence explicit, then (12.104) should read

$$L_l^t(S_i) = \begin{cases} \min[\Delta_{t-1}(S_i), L_l^{t-1}(S_i')] & \text{if } u_l \neq u_l' \\ L_l^{t-1}(S_i') & \text{if } u_l = u_l' \end{cases}, l = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$$

where S'_i is the state through which the ML path to S_i passes at time $t - 1$. By definition, $L_{t-1}^{t-1}(S) = \infty$ for all S . With this notation, the top line of Figure 12.18, which represents $\mathbf{L}_t(S_1)$, should read

$$L_{t-6}^{t-1}(S_0) \quad \min\{\Delta_{t-1}(S_1), \quad L_{t-5}^{t-1}(S_0)\} \quad L_{t-4}^{t-1}(S_0) \quad \min\{\Delta_{t-1}(S_1), \quad L_{t-3}^{t-1}(S_0)\} \quad L_{t-2}^{t-1}(S_0) \quad \infty$$

- $P(\mathbf{r})$ on pp. 564–565 should be read as $p(\mathbf{r})$, because it is a probability density function in the soft-decision case.
- p. 567, (12.123): u_l means two different things in this equation; a random variable and a value that this random variable may assume. What (12.123) tries to say is, with a different notation, $P(U_l = b) = A_l e^{bL_a^{(l)}/2}$, where $b \in \{-1, 1\}$, U_l is a random variable representing the unknown information bit at time l ,

$$A_l = \sqrt{P(U_l = 1)P(U_l = -1)},$$

and

$$e^{L_a^{(l)}/2} = \sqrt{\frac{P(U_l = 1)}{P(U_l = -1)}}.$$

Both A_l and $e^{L_a^{(l)}/2}$ depend on the statistics of U_l , but none of them depend on a certain outcome b .

- p. 576, (12.142): The expressions should be

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1^*(S_0) &= \max(1.00, 3.30) = 3.30 \\ \beta_1^*(S_1) &= \max(2.00, 2.30) = 2.30 \end{aligned}$$

- p. 576, (12.143): The last line in the expression for $L(u_0)$ should be

$$= (2.75) - (2.85) = -0.10$$

and the last two lines in the expression for $L(u_1)$ should be

$$\begin{aligned} &= \max[(2.45), (2.85)] - \max[(0.55), (2.75)] \\ &= (2.85) - (2.75) = +0.10 \end{aligned}$$

- pp. 585–586: The concept of “tail-biting convolutional code” is used incorrectly in parts of Section 12.7. One way (not the only way) to fix this is to replace “tail-biting” with “unterminated” twice on p. 585 and once on the first line of p. 586. Also replace “modify” with “introduce” on p. 586, line 14. No changes are needed in the rest of the section, where “tail-biting” is used in its usual sense, which is the sense defined in the last paragraph of Section 11.1, p. 486.

- p. 598, Problem 12.1: “code listed in Table 12.1(d)” should probably be “encoder in Example 11.2”, because a given code can have several different trellises depending on the encoder implementation.
- p. 599, Problem 12.10: The value $p = 0.1$ in Problem 12.10a should be reduced, because the bounds (12.25) and (12.29) diverge for $p \geq 0.055$. It seems plausible that $p = 0.01$ and 0.001 were intended in the two subproblems, because these values were used in the first edition of the book [3, Problem 11.8].
- p. 689, ref. 23: The first two authors, Vinck and de Paepe, should be swapped.
- p. 739, lines 5–7: The sentence “Concatenated coding...” is incomplete. Perhaps the last comma should be replaced with “and the”.
- p. 754, lines 8–9: The sentence “In the following...” is incomplete. Probably “is described” or something similar should be appended.
- p. 763, Problem 15.2: 15.12 should be (15.12).
- p. 766, line 3 from below: “exceed” is incorrect in this context, because the discussed E_b/N_0 value is *less* (and hence better) than that of previously known codes.
- p. 768, Figure 16.1(b): The arrows for $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{v}^{(2)}$ should both be moved 1 cm down, to represent the outputs of the adders.
- p. 822, (16.103a): The denominator $P_{L_a}(\xi | u_l = -1) + P_{L_a}(\xi | u_l = +1)$ should be understood as $P_{L_a}(\xi | -1) + P_{L_a}(\xi | +1)$, because it is not a function of the summation variable u_l .
- p. 830, line 3 after (16.108a): (16.107a) should be (16.108a).
- p. 832, (16.114b): $-\frac{1}{2}(L_{u0} + L_{p0})$ should be $\frac{1}{2}(L_{u0} + L_{p0})$.
- pp. 875–879: The backslash symbol is, by mathematical convention, defined as an operation on two sets (the first set minus the second). Therefore, the notation $X \setminus y$ should be read as $X \setminus \{y\}$ throughout Section 17.6.4.
- p. 875, (17.47): The probability $P(s_j = 0 | \dots)$ is either 0 or 1, depending on whether the code bits $\{v_t\}$ together fulfill the check-sum s_j or not. Therefore, (17.47) should be understood as

$$\sigma_{j,l}^{x,(i)} = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} q_{j,t_1}^{b_1,(i)} \cdots q_{j,t_m}^{b_m,(i)}$$

where $\{t_1, \dots, t_m\} = B(\mathbf{h}_j) \setminus \{l\}$ and the summation is over all even-weight (for $x = 0$) or odd-weight (for $x = 1$) binary m -tuples $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$.

- p. 876, line 11: α_l^i should be $\alpha_l^{(i)}$.
- p. 947, Problem 17.22: $m = 6$ should be $k = 6$.
- p. 948, ref. 10: 432 should be 431.
- p. 948, ref. 17: “More” should be “New Results”.
- p. 948, ref. 19: The first two authors, Kou and Lin, should be swapped.
- p. 1102, ref. 17: The author order should be Lin, Rajpal, and Rhee, the book title should be *Information Theory and Applications*, and the editors should be T. A. Gulliver and N. P. Secord.
- p. 1102, ref. 18: The author order should be Lin, Rajpal, and Rhee, the editors should be R. E. Blahut, D. J. Costello, Jr., U. Maurer, and T. Mittelholzer, and the city should be Boston.
- p. 1250: Hocquengham should be Hocquenghem twice.

References

- [1] Shu Lin and Daniel J. Costello, Jr., *Error Control Coding*, 2nd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2004.
- [2] John G. Proakis, *Digital Communications*, 4th ed. Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill, 2001.
- [3] Shu Lin and Daniel J. Costello, Jr., *Error Control Coding*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1983.